

**Problem 7.17.** Let *UNARY-SSUM* be the subset sum problem in which all numbers are represented in unary. Why does the NP-completeness proof for *SUBSET-SUM* fail to show *UNARY-SSUM* is NP-complete? Show that *UNARY-SSUM*  $\in P$ .

**Part a.** Why does the NP-completeness proof for *SUBSET-SUM* fail to show *UNARY-SSUM* is NP-complete?

**Part b.** Show that *UNARY-SSUM*  $\in P$ .

*Proof Idea.* The *UNARY-SSUM* problem involving  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ , and  $t$  reduces to testing if  $t \in S^*$ . For example, let  $S_1 = \{\varepsilon, 11, 1111\}$ , and  $t_1 = 111111$ . Clearly,  $t_1 \in S_1^*$ , therefore  $\langle S_1, t_1 \rangle \in \text{UNARY-SSUM}$ .  $S$  is finite, therefore membership in  $S$ ,  $\text{MEMBER}_S = \{t \mid t \in S\}$  can be decided in polynomial time.  $\text{MEMBER}_S \in P$ , and  $P$  is closed under the star operation<sup>1</sup>, therefore *UNARY-SSUM*  $\in P$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{UNARY-SSUM} = \{ \langle S, t \rangle \mid S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, \text{ and for some} \\ \{y_1, \dots, y_l\} \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, \text{ we have } \sum y_i = t, \\ \text{and } x_i, y_j \text{ and } t \text{ are represented in unary} \}. \end{aligned}$$

To show *UNARY-SSUM*  $\in P$ , we give a polynomial time reduction from *UNARY-SSUM* to *MEMBER<sub>S</sub>* as described above.

$F =$  “On input  $\langle S, t \rangle$ , where  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ , each  $x_i$  and  $t$  is a non-negative number represented in unary:

1. If  $t = \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon \notin S$ , then output  $\langle \{11\}, 1 \rangle$ .
2. Output  $\langle S, t \rangle$ .”

□

<sup>1</sup>See solution to Problem 7.15.