**Problem 2.39.** Refer to Problem 1.42 for the definition of the shuffle operation. Show that the class of context-free languages is not closed under shuffle.

Informal description. For languages A and B, the shuffle of A and B is the language

$$\{w \mid w = a_1b_1\cdots a_kb_k, \text{ where } a_1\cdots a_k \in A \text{ and } b_1\cdots b_k \in B, \text{ each } a_i,b_i \in \Sigma^*\}.$$

Let  $A_1 = \{x^k y^k \mid k \geq 0\}$ , and  $B_1 = \{0^k \mid k \geq 0\}$ , and let  $S_1$  be the shuffle of  $A_1$  and  $B_1$ . Each string in  $S_1$  contains equal number of x's and y's, and any number of 0's.

$$S_1 = \{w \mid w = a_1b_1 \cdots a_kb_k, where \ a_1 \cdots a_k \in A_1 \ and \ b_1 \cdots b_k \in B_1, \ each \ a_i \in \{x,y\}^*, \ b_i \in \{0\}^*\}.$$

Now, let  $A_2 = \{x^k y^k \mid k \ge 0\}$ , and  $B_2 = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \ge 0\}$ . Let  $S_2$  be the shuffle of  $A_2$  and  $B_2$ . Every string in  $S_2$  contains equal number of x's and y's, and equal number of 0's and 1's.

$$S_2 = \{w \mid w = a_1b_1 \cdots a_kb_k, where \ a_1 \cdots a_k \in A_2 \ and \ b_1 \cdots b_k \in B_2, \ each \ a_i \in \{x,y\}^*, \ b_i \in \{0,1\}^*\}.$$

The languages  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  are CFLs, but  $S_2$  is not a CFL.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{x^k y^k \mid k \ge 0\}$ , and  $B = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \ge 0\}$ . Languages A and B are easily seen to be CFLs, but their shuffle S is not a CFL.

$$S = \{w \mid w = a_1b_1 \cdots a_kb_k, \text{ where } a_1 \cdots a_k \in A \text{ and } b_1 \cdots b_k \in B, \text{ each } a_i \in \{x,y\}^*, b_i \in \{0,1\}^*\}.$$

The proof is by contradiction. Assume the language S is context free. Let p be the pumping length given by the pumping lemma. Choose s to be the string  $x^p0^py^p1^p$ . Clearly s is a member of S and of length at least p. The pumping lemma states that s can be pumped, but we show that it cannot be pumped.