

① $f_1 \rightarrow$ outside scene $f_2 \rightarrow$ reflection

$$g_1 = f_1 + h_2 \star f_2 \quad [\text{blur } f_2]$$

$$g_2 = h_1 \star f_1 + f_2 \quad [\text{blur } f_1]$$

Apply fourier transform,

$$G_1(\mu) = F_1(\mu) + H_2(\mu) F_2(\mu)$$

$$G_2(\mu) = F_2(\mu) + H_1(\mu) F_1(\mu)$$

Eliminating $F_2(\mu)$, we get

$$G_1(\mu) = F_1(\mu) + H_2(\mu) [G_2(\mu) - H_1(\mu) F_1(\mu)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{F_1(\mu) = \frac{G_1(\mu) - H_2(\mu) G_2(\mu)}{1 - H_1(\mu) H_2(\mu)}}$$

This gives:

$$F_2(\mu) = G_2(\mu) - H_1(\mu) F_1(\mu)$$

$$= G_2(\mu) - H_1(\mu) G_1(\mu) - H_1(\mu) H_2(\mu) G_2(\mu)$$

$$1 - H_1(\mu) H_2(\mu)$$

$$\boxed{F_2(\mu) = \frac{G_2(\mu) - H_1(\mu) G_1(\mu)}{1 - H_1(\mu) H_2(\mu)}}$$

By taking the inverse fourier transform (IDFT), we get back f_1 and f_2

The only problem will be when denominators become zero.

i.e. $H_1(\mu)H_2(\mu) = 1$

This happens when

$$H_1(\mu) = 1 \text{ and } H_2(\mu) = 1$$

Since these are used for blurring the image, they must be low pass filters.

$$\int_a^b h_i(x) dx = 1 \text{ for any } a, b$$

$$\Rightarrow H_1(0) = 1, \text{ Similarly, } H_2(0) = 1$$

$$\text{Hence } H_1(\mu)H_2(\mu) = 1 \text{ for } \mu = 0$$

Thus the lower frequency components (specially $\mu = 0$) are not reconstructed well.

To address this problem, the denominator should be ensured non-zero.

We can add a small term (ϵ) to the denominator to ensure this.

The new reconstructed images are

$$F_1(\mu) = \frac{G_1(\mu) - H_2(\mu)G_2(\mu)}{1 - H_1(\mu)H_2(\mu) + \epsilon}$$

$$\text{and } F_2(\mu) = \frac{G_2(\mu) - H_1(\mu)G_1(\mu)}{1 - H_1(\mu)H_2(\mu) + \epsilon}$$

These are not exact images, because of the additional term ϵ , but are approximations.

They solve the problem of $F(\cdot)$ shooting up.