

Table of Contents :

- [Part A](#) -- One hidden layer, non normalized data, 50 epochs
- [Part B](#) -- One hidden layer, normalized data, 50 epochs
- [Part C](#) -- One hidden layer, normalized data, 100 epochs
- [Part D](#) -- Three hidden layers, normalized data, 50 epochs

```
In [1]: import keras
```

Using TensorFlow backend.

```
In [2]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
```

```
In [3]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
```

```
In [41]: file = 'concrete_data.csv'
```

Part A - Build the baseline

```
In [42]: concrete_data = pd.read_csv(file)
concrete_data.head()
```

Out[42]:

	Cement	Blast Furnace Slag	Fly Ash	Water	Superplasticizer	Coarse Aggregate	Fine Aggregate	Age	Strength
0	540.0	0.0	0.0	162.0	2.5	1040.0	676.0	28	79.99
1	540.0	0.0	0.0	162.0	2.5	1055.0	676.0	28	61.89
2	332.5	142.5	0.0	228.0	0.0	932.0	594.0	270	40.27
3	332.5	142.5	0.0	228.0	0.0	932.0	594.0	365	41.05
4	198.6	132.4	0.0	192.0	0.0	978.4	825.5	360	44.30

```
In [6]: concrete_data.shape
```

Out[6]: (1030, 9)

```
In [7]: concrete_data.describe()
```

Out[7]:

	Cement	Blast Furnace Slag	Fly Ash	Water	Superplasticizer	Coarse Aggregate	Aggr
count	1030.000000	1030.000000	1030.000000	1030.000000	1030.000000	1030.000000	1030.0
mean	281.167864	73.895825	54.188350	181.567282	6.204660	972.918932	773.5
std	104.506364	86.279342	63.997004	21.354219	5.973841	77.753954	80.1
min	102.000000	0.000000	0.000000	121.800000	0.000000	801.000000	594.0
25%	192.375000	0.000000	0.000000	164.900000	0.000000	932.000000	730.9
50%	272.900000	22.000000	0.000000	185.000000	6.400000	968.000000	779.5
75%	350.000000	142.950000	118.300000	192.000000	10.200000	1029.400000	824.0
max	540.000000	359.400000	200.100000	247.000000	32.200000	1145.000000	992.6

```
In [8]: concrete_data.isnull().sum()
```

```
Out[8]: Cement          0
Blast Furnace Slag     0
Fly Ash                0
Water                  0
Superplasticizer       0
Coarse Aggregate       0
Fine Aggregate         0
Age                    0
Strength               0
dtype: int64
```

Splitting the data

```
In [9]: concrete_data_columns = concrete_data.columns

X = concrete_data[concrete_data_columns[concrete_data_columns != 'Strength']] # all columns except Strength
y = concrete_data['Strength'] # Strength column
n_cols=X.shape[1]
```

```
In [10]: #Split the data into training dataset and testing dataset with 30% test dataset
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3)
```

Building the model

```
In [11]: from keras.models import Sequential
from keras.layers import Dense
```

```
In [12]: # One hidden layer with 10 nodes and relu function
# adam optimizer and mean_squared_error as loss function
def regression_model():
    # create model
    model = Sequential()
    model.add(Dense(10, activation='relu', input_shape=(n_cols,)))
    model.add(Dense(1))

    # compile model
    model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='mean_squared_error')
    return model
```

Training

```
In [18]: # build the model
model = regression_model()
```

```
In [19]: # fitting data to the model with 50 epoch  
model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=50)
```

Epoch 1/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 249us/step - loss: 63630.6960

Epoch 2/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 60us/step - loss: 14181.1870

Epoch 3/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 53us/step - loss: 4618.6805

Epoch 4/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 46us/step - loss: 4128.4929

Epoch 5/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 51us/step - loss: 3852.2232

Epoch 6/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 57us/step - loss: 3603.9148

Epoch 7/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 53us/step - loss: 3376.9353

Epoch 8/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 49us/step - loss: 3141.3085

Epoch 9/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 68us/step - loss: 2931.3604

Epoch 10/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 64us/step - loss: 2728.2332

Epoch 11/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 60us/step - loss: 2545.4343

Epoch 12/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 68us/step - loss: 2366.1939

Epoch 13/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 46us/step - loss: 2198.9479

Epoch 14/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 67us/step - loss: 2051.1286

Epoch 15/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 64us/step - loss: 1918.2048

Epoch 16/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 54us/step - loss: 1798.0097

Epoch 17/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 55us/step - loss: 1664.7188

Epoch 18/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 62us/step - loss: 1560.3549

Epoch 19/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 50us/step - loss: 1459.9578

Epoch 20/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 47us/step - loss: 1369.87
47

Epoch 21/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 53us/step - loss: 1292.13
50

Epoch 22/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 55us/step - loss: 1206.78
28

Epoch 23/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 53us/step - loss: 1136.36
56

Epoch 24/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 50us/step - loss: 1078.03
16

Epoch 25/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 49us/step - loss: 1009.70
66

Epoch 26/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 46us/step - loss: 950.216
4

Epoch 27/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 57us/step - loss: 902.265
0

Epoch 28/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 37us/step - loss: 846.454
5

Epoch 29/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 40us/step - loss: 800.056
6

Epoch 30/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 46us/step - loss: 755.732
0

Epoch 31/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 40us/step - loss: 713.881
9

Epoch 32/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 42us/step - loss: 675.440
0

Epoch 33/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 44us/step - loss: 638.058
1

Epoch 34/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 49us/step - loss: 603.847
2

Epoch 35/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 49us/step - loss: 571.376
4

Epoch 36/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 47us/step - loss: 538.516
8

Epoch 37/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 53us/step - loss: 510.680
6

Epoch 38/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 51us/step - loss: 485.416
0

```

Epoch 39/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 47us/step - loss: 458.670
7
Epoch 40/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 49us/step - loss: 431.618
5
Epoch 41/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 42us/step - loss: 410.852
9
Epoch 42/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 40us/step - loss: 389.491
4
Epoch 43/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 43us/step - loss: 368.903
3
Epoch 44/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 51us/step - loss: 350.552
5
Epoch 45/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 44us/step - loss: 331.984
2
Epoch 46/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 44us/step - loss: 315.445
0
Epoch 47/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 43us/step - loss: 299.845
6
Epoch 48/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 40us/step - loss: 285.796
9
Epoch 49/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 42us/step - loss: 271.733
5
Epoch 50/50
721/721 [=====] - 0s 39us/step - loss: 261.959
5

```

Out[19]: <keras.callbacks.callbacks.History at 0x11f46bb6148>

```

In [23]: # evaluate the model
evaluated_score = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test, verbose=1)

309/309 [=====] - 0s 26us/step

```

```

In [24]: y_predict=model.predict(X_test)

```

```

In [25]: from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error

```

```

In [26]: squared_error_score = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predict)

```

Repeating 50 times

```
In [27]: # Repeat 50 times
error_score=[]
for i in range(50):
    model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=50, verbose=0)
    y_predict=model.predict(X_test)
    error_score.append(mean_squared_error(y_test,y_predict))
```

```
In [33]: Mean = np.mean(error_score)
```

```
In [34]: Std = np.std(error_score)
```

```
In [40]: print('The mean of mean_squared_error is : {:.3f}\nThe standard deviation
n of mean_squared_error is : {:.3f}'.format(Mean, Std))
```

The mean of mean_squared_error is : 54.829

The standard deviation of mean_squared_error is : 8.249

Part B - Using normalized data

```
In [44]: #Normalization part by mean and standard deviation
X_nor = (X-np.mean(X))/np.std(X)
y_nor = (y-np.mean(y))/np.std(y)
```

```
In [45]: X_nor.head()
```

Out[45]:

	Cement	Blast Furnace Slag	Fly Ash	Water	Superplasticizer	Coarse Aggregate	Fine Aggregate	Age
0	2.477915	-0.856888	-0.847144	-0.916764	-0.620448	0.863154	-1.217670	-0.279733
1	2.477915	-0.856888	-0.847144	-0.916764	-0.620448	1.056164	-1.217670	-0.279733
2	0.491425	0.795526	-0.847144	2.175461	-1.039143	-0.526517	-2.240917	3.553066
3	0.491425	0.795526	-0.847144	2.175461	-1.039143	-0.526517	-2.240917	5.057677
4	-0.790459	0.678408	-0.847144	0.488793	-1.039143	0.070527	0.647884	4.978487

```
In [46]: y_nor.head()
```

Out[46]:

0	2.645408
1	1.561421
2	0.266627
3	0.313340
4	0.507979

Name: Strength, dtype: float64

Splitting the data


```
In [47]: #Split the data into training dataset and testing dataset with 30% test
         dataset
X_train_nor, X_test_nor, y_train_nor, y_test_nor = train_test_split(X_no
r, y_nor, test_size=0.3)
```

```
In [48]: #Train and evaluate the model for 50 times.
error_score_nor=[]
for i in range(50):
    model.fit(X_train_nor, y_train_nor, epochs=50, verbose=0)
    y_predict_nor=model.predict(X_test_nor)
    error_score_nor.append(mean_squared_error(y_test_nor,y_predict_nor))
```

```
In [49]: Mean_nor = np.mean(error_score_nor)
Std_nor = np.std(error_score_nor)
```

```
In [50]: print('The mean of mean_squared_error is : {:.3f}, while in normalized d
ata is {:.3f}\n\nThe standard deviation of mean_squared_error is : {:.3f},
while in normalized data is {:.3f}'.format(Mean, Mean_nor, Std, Std_nor
))
```

The mean of mean_squared_error is : 54.829, while in normalized data is 0.137

The standard deviation of mean_squared_error is : 8.249, while in normalized data is 0.021

How does the mean squared of error compared to Part A ?

As we can see from the results, the difference of number is huge in part A, the range of value is more than 1 while in part B as a consequence of normalization, the loss will have value between 0 and 1. For the practical reason, the result from part B is more convenient.

Part C - Using 100 epochs

```
In [51]: #Train and evaluate the model for 50 times using 100 epochs.
error_score_nor_100=[]
for i in range(50):
    model.fit(X_train_nor, y_train_nor, epochs=100, verbose=0)
    y_predict_nor=model.predict(X_test_nor)
    error_score_nor_100.append(mean_squared_error(y_test_nor,y_predict_n
or))
```

```
In [52]: Mean_nor_100 = np.mean(error_score_nor_100)
Std_nor_100 = np.std(error_score_nor_100)
```

```
In [54]: print('The mean of mean_squared_error_nor using 50 epochs is : {:.3f}, while using 100 epochs is {:.3f}\n'
The standard deviation of mean_squared_error_nor using 50 epochs is : {:.3f}, while using 100 epochs is {:.3f}'
.format(Mean_nor, Mean_nor_100, Std_nor, Std_nor_100))
```

The mean of mean_squared_error_nor using 50 epochs is : 0.137, while using 100 epochs is 0.124

The standard deviation of mean_squared_error_nor using 50 epochs is : 0.021, while using 100 epochs is 0.001

How does the mean squared of error compared to Part B ?

By increasing the epochs the accuracy is increased by applying equation

$accuracy = 1 - loss$ and also the behaviour is more uniform as we can see from the standard deviation of Part C is less than Part B. So, increasing the number of epochs may increase the accuracy of model and more reliable.

Part D - Increase the hidden layers

Building the model

```
In [56]: # Three hidden layers with 10 nodes and relu function
# adam optimizer and mean_squared_error as loss function
def regression_model_modified():
    # create model
    model = Sequential()
    model.add(Dense(10, activation='relu', input_shape=(n_cols,)))
    model.add(Dense(10, activation='relu'))
    model.add(Dense(10, activation='relu'))
    model.add(Dense(1))

    # compile model
    model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='mean_squared_error')
    return model
```

```
In [57]: #build the model and fitting the data with normalized dataset and 50 epochs
model_modified = regression_model_modified()
```

```
In [58]: #Train and evaluate the model for 50 times using 100 epochs.
error_score_nor_3layers=[]
for i in range(50):
    model_modified.fit(X_train_nor, y_train_nor, epochs=50, verbose=0)
    y_predict_nor=model_modified.predict(X_test_nor)
    error_score_nor_3layers.append(mean_squared_error(y_test_nor,y_predict_nor))
```

```
In [59]: Mean_nor_3layers=np.mean(error_score_nor_3layers)
Std_nor_3layers=np.std(error_score_nor_3layers)
```

```
In [60]: print('The mean of mean_squared_error_nor using 1 hidden layer is : {:.3f}, while using 3 hidden layers is {:.3f}\n'
The standard deviation of mean_squared_error_nor using 1 hidden layer is : {:.3f}, while using 3 hidden layers is {:.3f}'.format(Mean_nor, Mean_nor_3layers, Std_nor, Std_nor_3layers))
```

The mean of mean_squared_error_nor using 1 hidden layer is : 0.137, while using 3 hidden layers is 0.111

The standard deviation of mean_squared_error_nor using 1 hidden layer is : 0.021, while using 3 hidden layers is 0.006

How does the mean squared of error compared to Part B ?

As we can see, the accuracy is increased and it's more uniform than the part B. So increasing hidden layers may increase the accuracy of model and its reliability.