

# ThetaGate Research: EEG Devices, Trance Feedback, and Hypnosis

## 1. Best EEG Devices for Real-Time Brainwave Tracking

To build an EEG-powered hypnosis app, it's crucial to choose a headset that offers reliable **real-time brainwave data** and an **open SDK/API** for custom development. Below is a comparison of leading EEG devices – including Muse, OpenBCI, and Emotiv – highlighting their capabilities, data specs, compatibility, and usability:

Device	Channels	Sampling Rate	Raw Data Access / SDK	Approx. Price	Notes (Use-Case & Ease of Use)
<b>Muse 2</b> (Interaxon)	4 (dry sensors at TP9, TP10, AF7, AF8) <sup>1</sup>	256 Hz <sup>2</sup>	Yes – Official SDK & third-party (Muse LSL, BrainFlow) <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>	~\$250 <sup>5</sup>	Consumer-friendly headband; <i>easy setup</i> (Bluetooth to mobile). Great for meditation/focus tracking <sup>6</sup> , but limited channels and prone to noise <sup>7</sup> .
<b>OpenBCI Cyton</b>	8 (expandable to 16) <sup>8</sup>	250 Hz (up to 1000 Hz in some modes) <sup>9</sup>	Yes – Open-source API (serial, LSL) and <b>multi-language</b> SDKs (BrainFlow) <sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup>	~\$500 (base board) <sup>12</sup> up to \$1000+ (with 16-ch headset)	Research-grade board; <i>high flexibility</i> . Streams raw EEG via Bluetooth or USB in real-time <sup>13</sup> . Requires technical setup (assembly, electrodes) <sup>14</sup> and is less portable (bulkier headset). Ideal for custom BCI and AI projects <sup>15</sup> .

Device	Channels	Sampling Rate	Raw Data Access / SDK	Approx. Price	Notes (Use-Case & Ease of Use)
<b>Emotiv Insight</b>	5 (semi-dry) <sup>16</sup>	128 Hz per channel <sup>17</sup>	Yes – via Emotiv’s <b>Cortex API</b> (raw EEG requires Emotiv Pro subscription) <sup>18</sup> <sup>19</sup>	~\$499 <sup>20</sup>	Prosumer headset; <i>wireless &amp; fairly easy to use</i> . Provides real-time metrics on attention/stress via Emotiv apps <sup>21</sup> . Fewer channels, but comfortable design for daily use <sup>21</sup> . Raw data access is paywalled for advanced use <sup>19</sup> .
<b>Emotiv EPOC X</b>	14 (semi-dry) <sup>22</sup>	128 Hz (Bluetooth) or 256 Hz (with dongle) <sup>23</sup> <sup>24</sup>	Yes – via Cortex API (Emotiv Pro req’d for raw data) <sup>25</sup> <sup>19</sup>	~\$849 <sup>22</sup> (plus \$99/mo SDK for raw data)	Research-tier headset; <i>higher signal quality</i> and channel count for detailed data <sup>26</sup> . Comes with Emotiv software suite (incl. cloud data tools) <sup>27</sup> . However, the ecosystem is semi-closed – full access needs a paid license <sup>19</sup> . Best for academic research or emotion/Affective computing <sup>26</sup> <sup>28</sup> .
<b>NeuroSky MindWave</b>	1 (forehead sensor) <sup>29</sup>	~512 Hz internal (outputs summary metrics)	Yes – <b>free SDK</b> (extensive third-party support) <sup>30</sup>	~\$100 <sup>29</sup>	Ultra-low cost <i>introductory EEG</i> device. Very simple (single dry electrode); suitable for basic focus/relaxation feedback. Limited capability (one channel limits detecting complex patterns) <sup>31</sup> but has a large hobbyist community and apps due to its longevity.

Device	Channels	Sampling Rate	Raw Data Access / SDK	Approx. Price	Notes (Use-Case & Ease of Use)
<b>Neurocity Crown</b>	8 (around scalp: e.g. F5/F6, C3/C4, CP3/CP4, PO3/PO4) <sup>32</sup>	256 Hz <sup>33</sup>	Yes – <b>Developer-centric SDK</b> (JavaScript/TypeScript, REST API; also Python via community) <sup>34</sup> <sup>35</sup>	~\$999 <sup>36</sup>	Modern wearable with on-board processor for edge computing. <i>Developer-friendly:</i> built-in cloud connectivity and documentation. Good signal quality and real-time metrics streaming. Higher cost, but popular for full-stack app development (mobile/web integrations) <sup>34</sup> .

**Sources:** Device specifications from manufacturer documentation and independent reviews <sup>1</sup> <sup>37</sup> <sup>16</sup> <sup>38</sup> <sup>29</sup> . Pricing and features as of 2024–2025 <sup>39</sup> <sup>20</sup> <sup>25</sup> .

**Note:** All these devices provide *real-time EEG streaming*. The **Muse 2** stands out for its ease of use and integration with wellness apps, but it has only frontal/ear sensors and somewhat noisy data <sup>7</sup> . **OpenBCI** offers the most flexibility (open hardware, multi-signal support) for advanced projects, though it requires more assembly and coding skill. **Emotiv's** headsets strike a balance with higher channel counts and polished software, but their raw data access comes with subscription fees <sup>19</sup> . Simpler devices like **NeuroSky** MindWave can be useful for basic biofeedback or introductory experiments given their free SDK and low cost <sup>30</sup> , whereas newer platforms like **Neurocity Crown** cater to developers wanting an all-in-one solution with cloud API and onboard processing (useful for mobile or VR applications).

## 2. Existing Hypnosis/Brainwave Feedback Apps and Features

Several apps already marry EEG neurofeedback with meditation, relaxation, or hypnosis-like experiences. Examining these can inform ThetaGate's design:

- **Muse Meditation App:** The Muse headband comes with a companion app that provides real-time neurofeedback during meditation. It translates your brainwaves into nature sounds (e.g. wind or rain) that get calmer or more intense based on your mental state <sup>40</sup> . This immediate feedback helps users learn to maintain focus and calm; for example, when the mind wanders, the app's weather sounds intensify, cueing the user to relax back into a meditative state <sup>40</sup> . Over sessions, users can track calm/focus scores and progress.
- **Myndlift:** Myndlift is a mobile neurofeedback training app that works with Muse and other EEG headsets. It offers **personalized brain training programs** supervised by professionals or for self-improvement. The app measures your EEG (e.g. via Muse) and guides you through exercises or games that reinforce desired brain states <sup>41</sup> <sup>42</sup> . For example, the screen might show a game that only progresses when you produce more alpha/theta waves (relaxed focus). Myndlift

provides in-app wellness resources and insight reports, essentially using EEG to help users learn self-regulation of anxiety or attention over time <sup>43</sup> <sup>42</sup> . (Notably, Myndlift has even offered free access for Muse users for meditation guidance <sup>44</sup> .)

- **Healium:** *Healium* is an immersive meditation app that pairs with EEG headsets (e.g. BrainLink, Muse) or heart-rate sensors to drive **VR/AR experiences** <sup>45</sup> . The user wears a VR headset (or mobile AR) and an EEG; their brainwave data in real time will alter the virtual environment. For instance, in Healium's scenarios, as you become calmer or more focused (detected via increased alpha or stable low-beta activity), the app might cause a virtual scene to brighten or a tree to grow, rewarding your calm state <sup>45</sup> <sup>46</sup> . If your mind wanders (loss of focus), the visuals/audio respond (e.g. aura changes color) to nudge you back into concentration <sup>47</sup> <sup>48</sup> . Healium thus "gamifies" meditation with neurofeedback, and it includes progress dashboards and "healium scores" to track one's brain patterns over time <sup>49</sup> . (It emphasizes these are wellness tools, not medical devices <sup>50</sup> .)
- **EEG Neurofeedback Games:** Beyond meditation, some apps turn EEG biofeedback into games. For example, the **BrainBit Neurofeedback App** displays your brain activity in an engaging way, sometimes as a **game interface** <sup>51</sup> . The BrainBit "EEG Waves" mobile app shows live alpha, beta, theta levels in simple graphs or even a **pie-chart** visualization for easy interpretation <sup>52</sup> <sup>53</sup> . Similarly, apps like Mind Monitor (for Muse) let users see raw EEG waveforms, FFT spectrums, and even export data, effectively turning your phone into a portable EEG monitor <sup>54</sup> . These are not hypnosis apps per se, but they provide feedback on mental states (relaxation vs. concentration) which is relevant for trance training.
- **Clinical Neurofeedback for Relaxation:** There are also therapeutic programs that blend neurofeedback with relaxation training, which parallels hypnosis. For instance, the **Portable Neuromeditation app** (by a therapy clinic) guides users through protocols assigned by a therapist and uses EEG feedback to ensure the client is achieving the targeted brain state <sup>55</sup> . In practice, a clinician might assign a "deep relaxation" protocol that the patient runs at home with an EEG headband; the app then provides feedback (audio/visual) when the patient's brain activity indicates they are in the desired slow-wave state, much like an electronic hypnotic deepener.
- **Hypnosis-Specific Apps:** Traditional self-hypnosis apps (like *Reveri*, by Dr. David Spiegel) **do not use EEG** – they rely on audio guidance and user interactivity to induce trance. However, the emergence of EEG biofeedback means future hypnosis apps could integrate the two. In fact, some practitioners are starting to experiment with combining them (see below in section 7). For now, the market has meditation neurofeedback apps (as above) and separate hypnosis apps (like *Reveri*, *Mindset* etc.), but a dedicated "EEG-guided hypnosis" app is still a nascent concept. ThetaGate aims to bridge that gap by providing trance-specific feedback (e.g. indicating when the user hits a target brainwave profile for a deep hypnotic state).

### 3. Scientific Studies on EEG and Hypnosis (Trance Depth & Brainwaves)

Research into the neurophysiology of hypnosis consistently points to changes in certain brainwave frequencies during trance. In particular, **theta waves ( $\approx 4\text{--}8\text{ Hz}$ )** are strongly associated with hypnosis and deep trance states. Studies have found that during hypnosis, especially in highly *hypnotizable* individuals, theta activity significantly increases <sup>56</sup> . For example, one study noted that greater theta power correlated with higher hypnotic depth and analgesia (pain reduction) in hypnosis <sup>57</sup> . This aligns

with the experiential aspect of hypnosis: theta waves are characteristic of **deep relaxation, imagery, and internally focused attention**, all of which are hallmarks of a hypnotic state.

By contrast, **alpha waves (8–12 Hz)** are more characteristic of light relaxation or meditation. Alpha often rises when one is calmly awake with eyes closed, but it is not uniquely indicative of hypnosis – you can have alpha in simple relaxation without the focused suggestibility that defines trance. **Delta waves (0.5–4 Hz)** are associated with deep sleep or unconscious states. Generally, standard hypnotic trance does *not* involve the large, slow delta waves seen in sleep; rather, the trance EEG “more closely resembles the waking state than sleeping EEG” (i.e. you don’t become unconscious in hypnosis) <sup>58</sup>. In hypnosis you remain alert, but internally focused – so you see moderate alpha and pronounced theta, rather than the predominance of delta that comes with sleep <sup>59</sup>. In short, **hypnosis is linked to elevated theta activity, with a baseline of alpha, and usually reduced high-frequency beta** <sup>56</sup> <sup>59</sup>. Beta (>13 Hz) waves (associated with active thinking and external attention) tend to diminish as one goes into trance, since the person is no longer critically analyzing but rather quietly absorbed in inner experience.

It’s important to note that **no single “fingerprint” EEG pattern has been universally accepted for hypnosis** <sup>60</sup>. While increased theta is common, researchers caution that we cannot yet distinguish hypnosis from, say, relaxed meditation *only* by EEG – there’s overlap in brainwaves. A systematic review noted that many proposed EEG parameters for hypnosis haven’t been consistently validated as unique to trance <sup>60</sup>. Differences in individuals’ hypnotizability also play a role; some highly susceptible people show more theta even at baseline <sup>61</sup>. Overall, though, the **theta band emerges as the most frequently observed marker of deep hypnotic states** in literature <sup>56</sup> <sup>62</sup>. For ThetaGate, this means the app might focus on monitoring theta increases (and perhaps theta/alpha ratios) as an indicator of the user moving into a deeper trance.

## 4. Classification of Trance States via EEG Frequencies

Determining “how deep” someone is in trance from EEG is an active area of research. In practice, researchers and clinicians use a few approaches:

- **Frequency Thresholds:** Simple methods look at whether certain brainwave amplitudes cross a threshold. For example, one might define that *deep trance* is reached when **theta power** exceeds a certain level (or a certain percentage of total EEG power) while **beta power** drops below a level. In neurofeedback practice, an “**alpha-theta crossover**” is often used as a milestone for entering a hypnogogic state. In *Alpha-Theta training* (used for trauma therapy and creativity), trainers encourage clients to increase 6–8 Hz theta until it surpasses alpha at around **7 Hz**, which corresponds to “the entrance to [the] subconscious mind” <sup>63</sup>. When theta overcomes alpha (around that crossover frequency), the person is thought to move from a merely relaxed (alpha) state into a trance-like state where deep memories and imagery emerge <sup>63</sup>. This concept could be applied in ThetaGate: e.g. a visual gauge could show when the user’s theta band has risen above their alpha band, indicating they’re likely in a deeper trance vs. light relaxation.
- **Multi-Band Indices:** More complex measures combine multiple frequency bands. A prominent example is the **Bispectral Index (BIS)** monitor, originally developed for anesthesia depth. BIS algorithms distill EEG into a 0–100 scale. Hypnosis (a non-pharmacological trance) has been tested on such monitors: one study found that when subjects went into a standardized hypnotic induction, their BIS index dropped from ~97 (awake) to ~86 <sup>64</sup>. In other words, the EEG changes during hypnosis caused a significant drop in the “consciousness index” similar to (though much milder than) the drop seen in light sedation. The *cerebral state index (CSI)*, another EEG depth

metric, similarly fell (from ~95 to ~78) under hypnosis <sup>65</sup>. These tools aren't specific to hypnosis, but they indicate that **trance states can be quantified** to some degree on a continuum of consciousness. In practice, one could use a threshold like "BIS ~80" as an objective indicator that a subject is in *deep* hypnosis (since normal relaxed wakefulness is ~95–100 on that scale) <sup>64</sup>. However, such indices are proprietary black-box algorithms. Alternatively, one could design a simpler index – for instance,  $\text{Theta}/(\text{Alpha}+\text{Beta})$  ratio – and calibrate it per user to classify light vs. deep trance.

- **Machine Learning Classification:** Recent research is exploring AI models to classify hypnotic states from EEG patterns. One 2023 study used a **passive BCI** approach: they recorded EEG during real hypnosis sessions and labeled segments as "wakeful" vs "deep hypnosis" based on expert observation. They then trained machine learning classifiers on the EEG features. Notably, they found the most predictive features were in the **1.5–15 Hz range** (delta, theta, up to low-beta); using these bands, their models could classify deep hypnosis with **~82% accuracy** on average <sup>66</sup>. Each person's EEG signature of trance was slightly different, so the best results came from training on an individual's first session and then predicting on subsequent sessions <sup>67</sup>. This implies that an app like ThetaGate might benefit from a calibration phase (learning the user's baseline and their EEG when they subjectively feel in trance) to personalize the detection. Nonetheless, common patterns were used: focusing on **increases in slow-wave activity (theta/delta)** and perhaps certain spatial patterns (frontal vs posterior signals) to identify the transition into trance <sup>66</sup>. Another approach in research is using **differential entropy features** in multiple bands and feeding them to a classifier (as in some BCI papers) <sup>68</sup> <sup>69</sup>.

In summary, **trance depth classification** often relies on detecting a **surge of lower-frequency (theta) activity alongside suppression of high-frequency activity**. Simpler threshold techniques (like theta power > X) are easy to implement, while more sophisticated multi-band indices or ML models can provide individualized accuracy. ThetaGate could employ a hybrid: e.g., start with a general heuristic (theta/alpha ratio crossing a threshold) and optionally use machine learning to fine-tune the detection of the user's deepest hypnotic state.

## 5. Software Libraries and Tools for Real-Time EEG Visualization

Building a responsive EEG-powered app will require tools for streaming, visualizing, and processing brainwave data in real time. Fortunately, the BCI/neurotech community has developed many open-source libraries and frameworks suited for this purpose:

- **Lab Streaming Layer (LSL):** LSL is a de facto standard middleware for EEG research. It handles **real-time data streaming** from EEG devices, offering time-synchronized network transport of signals <sup>70</sup>. Many device SDKs (including Muse and OpenBCI) have LSL integrations. With LSL, one can collect EEG data and markers from various sources and feed them into analysis or display modules seamlessly. For ThetaGate, LSL could be used under the hood to grab data from the headset and ensure it's time-synced with app events (e.g. marking when a hypnosis script segment starts). There are also LSL viewer tools like *LabRecorder* (for recording streams) <sup>71</sup> and LSL plugins for programs like Unity and MATLAB.
- **BrainFlow:** BrainFlow is a cross-platform library that supports a wide range of EEG boards (including OpenBCI, Muse, NeuroSky, BrainBit, etc.) through a unified API. It provides high-level methods to start streams, get data, and even perform signal processing like filtering or FFT. Crucially, BrainFlow has bindings in **multiple programming languages** – Python, C++, C#, Java, JavaScript, MATLAB, R, Julia, etc <sup>11</sup>. This allows flexibility in choosing a development

environment. For example, the ThetaGate team could prototype algorithms in Python using BrainFlow, and later integrate the same library in a mobile app (BrainFlow supports Android and iOS via its C++/Java interface). BrainFlow also includes some built-in EEG processing functions (bandpower computation, concentration/relaxation metrics, etc.), which might accelerate development <sup>72</sup> <sup>73</sup>.

- **Visualization Libraries:** To provide feedback to users, we need real-time plotting or animation of brain signals. On desktop, **MNE-Python** is a powerful toolbox (for research) that also has real-time EEG viewing capability (with the MNE Realtime module). Python's **PyQtGraph** or **Matplotlib** can be used for custom live graphs in a GUI app. In the context of game or app development, **Unity3D** (with C#) or **Unreal Engine** can be used to create biofeedback visuals – these engines can receive EEG data via sockets or plugin (Emotiv, for example, provides a Unity SDK <sup>74</sup>). For mobile (Android/iOS), frameworks like **Flutter** have been used to create real-time EEG apps (Flutter can call native code or use platform channels to get EEG data streams). In fact, one guide suggests using *Flutter for the front-end* and Python/LSL for the back-end streaming <sup>75</sup>. If staying purely native, Android has libraries for plotting (MPAndroidChart, etc.) which could display brainwave graphs at ~10–20 Hz refresh.
- **OpenBCI GUI / OpenViBE:** If the team wants a ready-made starting point, the OpenBCI GUI (an open-source Processing application) can display EEG graphs, spectra, and even perform filtering and band detection in real time <sup>76</sup>. It's geared for OpenBCI boards but can read from LSL as well. *OpenViBE* is another open-source platform which allows one to **graphically design EEG processing pipelines** and user feedback interfaces <sup>77</sup> <sup>78</sup>. With OpenViBE, you can drag-and-drop elements (filters, FFT, classifiers, visual outputs) and run the scenario in real time – for example, one could create a neurofeedback scenario that plays a sound when theta exceeds a threshold. This might be overkill for a consumer app, but it's great for rapid prototyping and testing algorithms on live data.
- **Mobile SDKs and Apps:** Many EEG vendors provide their own software for real-time visualization which can be repurposed or serve as reference. For instance, **Mind Monitor** (a third-party app for Muse) shows raw EEG, brainwave bands, and even a spectrogram on your phone <sup>54</sup>. It proves that even a smartphone can handle real-time graphing of 4 channels at 256 Hz easily. Emotiv's App and EmotivPRO software allow real-time viewing of performance metrics (stress, engagement) and raw EEG (in EmotivPRO) on desktop. **NeuroExpress** and **BrainViz** are other community tools for real-time EEG plotting. If ThetaGate is to be a mobile app, leveraging these existing patterns (like updating line charts every second, or using bar graphs for band power) will be important for intuitive feedback.
- **Data Analysis Libraries:** For processing EEG to extract trance metrics, libraries like **NumPy/SciPy** (for filtering), **MNE** (for more advanced EEG transforms), or even **EEGNet** (a deep-learning model for EEG) could be integrated. Sharper filtering (to isolate theta, etc.) might be needed; the team can use built-in filters from BrainFlow or write custom ones. Additionally, **NeuroKit** (an open-source physiological signals toolkit in Python) has some EEG analysis functions that might help calculate features (like power spectral density) in real time. On the MATLAB side, if ever used, *EEGLab* and *BCILab* are comprehensive, but those are more for research than a shipping app.

In summary, the development stack could be: **Device SDK/BrainFlow for data acquisition**, **LSL for routing and sync**, and a chosen **visualization framework** (web-based dashboards, mobile UI components, or game engines for VR) to display feedback. All of these have robust community support. The neurotech community on GitHub and forums is active – for example, one developer recently shared

a Python + PyQt6 code for a live brainwave visualizer using Muse <sup>79</sup>, which could be instructive. With modern tools, it's quite feasible to stream EEG from a headset and update visuals within tens of milliseconds latency.

(Sources: *Developer recommendations* <sup>75</sup>, *BrainFlow docs* <sup>11</sup>, *Mentalab's review of analysis software* <sup>80</sup>, *OpenViBE features* <sup>77</sup>, and *user reports of building real-time EEG apps.*)

## 6. EEG Device SDKs/APIs and Community Support

Each EEG device typically comes with its own API or developer toolkit. Here's a breakdown of available SDKs and programming support for the key devices, along with notes on community resources:

- **Muse SDK:** Interaxon (Muse's maker) historically provided an official SDK for mobile (Android/iOS) that allowed apps to connect to the Muse headband via Bluetooth and stream raw EEG and computed band powers. While official support diminished in recent years, the SDK is still usable (Muse 2 communicates over BLE with an open protocol). In addition, an **open-source Muse LSL library** is available to stream Muse data into any LSL-compatible app <sup>4</sup>. For Python developers, projects like *muselsl* wrap this functionality, enabling easy data access. Muse can also be accessed via **BrainFlow** (which has built-in support for Muse 2, Muse S, etc.) – so one can use Muse from Python, Java, C#, etc., through BrainFlow's API <sup>81</sup> <sup>11</sup>. Community-wise, Muse has a dedicated developer following; the NeuroTechX community and forums (e.g., the Muse developers Slack, and open-source projects on GitHub) provide examples and help. Muse's **documentation and library support are well-developed** <sup>6</sup>, making it relatively straightforward to integrate. In short, **languages:** Java/Kotlin (Android), Swift/Obj-C (iOS), Python, C++, etc. via BrainFlow/LSL. **Community:** Active (many example apps, and even books/blogs on Muse hacking).
- **OpenBCI:** As an open-hardware platform, OpenBCI is very developer-friendly. The primary way to interface is through the serial radio or Bluetooth: the Cyton board streams data in a defined packet format. Developers can use the **OpenBCI GUI** or the *BrainFlow* library for a plug-and-play approach. **BrainFlow** in particular was originally co-developed with OpenBCI, so it fully supports Cyton, Ganglion, etc., and provides multi-language bindings (Python, C#, Java, JavaScript, MATLAB, R, etc.) <sup>11</sup>. OpenBCI also maintains an extensive GitHub with SDK examples, and their **documentation** covers everything from low-level packet structure to high-level integrations <sup>76</sup> <sup>82</sup>. There is an **official forum** (openbci.com forum) where the community (including OpenBCI engineers) discuss issues and share code – this is a great resource for troubleshooting and ideas. In terms of supported languages, you can interface OpenBCI via **Python (e.g., pyOpenBCI or brainflow), C/C++, Processing (Java), MATLAB/Simulink**, and more. OpenBCI encourages open-source contributions, so many wrappers exist. For real-time use, one can also use LSL: OpenBCI GUI can act as an LSL server, or you can use the BrainFlow streaming methods. **Community support:** Very strong among hobbyists, researchers, and neurotech hackers, given OpenBCI's open ethos.
- **Emotiv SDK (Cortex API):** Emotiv provides a high-level API called **Cortex**, which communicates with their headsets (Insight, Epoc, etc.) either locally or via their cloud. The Cortex API uses a WebSocket with JSON messages, making it cross-platform and language-agnostic <sup>83</sup>. Emotiv provides SDK examples in **C++, C# (.NET), Python, JavaScript/Node.js**, and even **Unity (C#)** <sup>74</sup>. In fact, their official GitHub "cortex-example" repository has templates in those languages to get you started. Using Cortex, a developer can subscribe to raw EEG data (if they have the proper license), as well as processed metrics (like "Engagement," "Relaxation" scores, facial expression



events, etc.). Emotiv's older SDK (for EPOC/EPOC+) was C++ based with proprietary libraries; now the Cortex API is the main route, which is more accessible. **Programming languages:** Python, C++, C#, JavaScript (and frameworks like Unity) are all supported <sup>74</sup> <sup>84</sup>. Emotiv even provides a **Unity plugin** and an **OSC output mode** for easy integration into things like Max/MSP or game engines <sup>85</sup>. On **community support:** Emotiv has a community forum and a developer Slack. They also offer email support for SDK subscribers. Because of the license requirement for raw data, the community tends to revolve around those who have invested in the research license. Nonetheless, one finds plenty of community code (e.g., "Emokit" – an open-source library that unofficially reads older Emotiv headsets). Emotiv's documentation (on GitBook) is comprehensive <sup>83</sup>, covering how to connect, handle the WebSocket, and interpret data. The **Cortex API** approach means even web apps could interface (via JavaScript) – which is a plus for flexibility.

- **NeuroSky SDK:** NeuroSky MindWave comes with a very simple SDK. They provide libraries for **Android, iOS, Windows, and macOS**, and the protocol for their ThinkGear chip is well documented. The *MindWave Mobile 2* connects via Bluetooth (Classic), and developers can use the **ThinkGear Connector** (a driver that outputs EEG data over a local socket) or directly parse the Bluetooth serial data. NeuroSky's developer website offers a free SDK download, including example code in multiple languages (C#, MATLAB, etc.) <sup>30</sup>. Many open-source projects have integrated NeuroSky (as it's been around since 2010), so community support is broad – one can find Arduino libraries, Processing sketches, etc., that work with MindWave. **Languages:** Java (Android), Objective-C (iOS), C# (there's a Unity demo as well), and any language that can read from a COM port or socket. **Community:** Though NeuroSky as a company is quieter now, the devices are used in education and hobby projects widely, so forums like Arduino communities or biofeedback hobbyist groups can help. NeuroSky's core technology is simple (one channel, delivering attention/meditation scores besides raw EEG), so integrating it is considered entry-level and safe.
- **Neurocity SDK:** Neurocity's Crown and Notion devices are cloud-connected. The **Neurocity SDK** primarily targets **JavaScript/TypeScript** – developers are expected to use Node.js or front-end JS to interact with the device via the Neurocity cloud API or locally over WiFi. They provide an official **JavaScript SDK (npm package)** and a well-documented API reference <sup>35</sup>. Using this, you can subscribe to brainwave data, device focus metrics, etc., with just a few lines of code. The Crown can also run code onboard ("ThoughtOS"), but for ThetaGate likely the focus is on streaming data out. Neurocity has a very developer-friendly approach: their docs include lots of example code, and they even have a developer community on Slack/Discord. They also have a Python client (in beta) for those who prefer Python, and the devices are supported in BrainFlow as well <sup>86</sup> <sup>87</sup>. **Community support:** Neurocity actively engages devs (e.g., hosting hackathons). Being a newer startup, the community is smaller than OpenBCI's, but quite enthusiastic. If ThetaGate wanted to support Crown, it could leverage this SDK to get data with minimal fuss (the SDK handles all the Bluetooth/WiFi communication and just provides a stream of JSON brain data).
- **Others:** There are other devices (e.g., **OpenBCI Galea, Muse S, BrainBit, MindMirror**, etc.), each with their APIs, but the patterns above cover the major ones. Most devices today either offer a native library in common languages or use a network/socket interface (like OSC or WebSockets) to be language-agnostic. For instance, BrainBit has an SDK and also an LSL module; Interaxon's Muse has community Swift and Python libraries.

**Integration Example:** A likely development route for ThetaGate is to use **BrainFlow** as a unifying layer for multiple headsets. With BrainFlow, the team could support Muse, OpenBCI, NeuroSky, etc., through one API. BrainFlow's documentation shows how to call its APIs in many languages and even provides

pre-compiled binaries for convenience <sup>11</sup> . Additionally, since BrainFlow is open-source, it has an active GitHub where community members (including the maintainer) can answer questions.

**Community and Safety:** When developing with these SDKs, it's good to note that communities often share code on forums like GitHub, Reddit (r/BCI or r/neurotech), and vendor forums. For example, OpenBCI's forum is filled with Q&A about using their Python libraries or issues with Bluetooth pairing – a valuable support resource. Emotiv's forum can help with Cortex API troubleshooting. Generally, these communities encourage open collaboration, which can accelerate development.

(Sources: Official SDK docs and community posts – e.g., Emotiv's site listing supported languages <sup>74</sup> , NeurotechJP's review noting SDK availability <sup>4</sup> <sup>30</sup> , and product pages like Neurosity's spec confirming sample rate and SDK support <sup>33</sup> <sup>34</sup> .)

## 7. Use of EEG in QHHT and Hypnotherapy Practices

**Quantum Healing Hypnosis Technique (QHHT)**, developed by Dolores Cannon, is a form of deep trance hypnosis aimed at past-life regression and subconscious healing. It is traditionally done without neurofeedback instruments – relying on the practitioner's techniques to guide the client to a somnambulistic (very deep) trance. There is limited formal research on **EEG in QHHT** specifically (QHHT is more of a practitioner-taught method than a lab-studied protocol), but we can draw from related areas and anecdotal accounts:

- **Anecdotal Case – EEG Confirmation of Trance:** Some modern hypnotherapists have begun using EEG headsets during sessions to get real-time feedback on the client's state. For instance, **Barbara Becker**, a QHHT and BQH practitioner, has demonstrated using a consumer EEG (the Flowtime headband) on a client during hypnosis to **confirm the depth of trance via brainwaves** <sup>88</sup> . In a video demonstration, she shows that as the client reaches deep hypnosis, the EEG readout displays dominant theta waves – validating that the client is in the desired altered state. Such anecdotal cases suggest that even in spiritually-oriented hypnosis like QHHT, EEG can serve as a reassuring “scientific feedback” tool to both practitioner and client. It's essentially a real-time trance meter: when the brain patterns match those typical of deep hypnosis (high theta, low beta), the practitioner knows the client is where they need to be.
- **Existing Protocols:** There is no standard EEG protocol for QHHT published in scientific literature. However, we can extrapolate from general hypnotherapy and neurofeedback. A few forward-looking hypnotherapists have proposed using **EEG biofeedback during inductions** – for example, displaying a simple signal to the therapist indicating when the client has reached a threshold of slow-wave activity, so they can proceed from induction to regression. In clinical hypnosis research, as noted earlier, **BIS/CSI monitors** (from anesthesia) have been tested; these could analogously be used by a hypnotherapist to monitor a numerical “trance depth index” continuously <sup>64</sup> <sup>89</sup> . In practice, though, most hypnotherapists still rely on observable signs (relaxation, breathing, eye movements, response to suggestions) to gauge depth, since they don't have easy access to EEG in the office.
- **Neurofeedback-Assisted Hypnosis:** A potentially fruitful area is combining neurofeedback training with hypnotic techniques. For instance, a therapist might first train a client with EEG feedback to easily enter an alpha-theta state (as done in some meditation or PTSD treatments), then perform hypnotic suggestion once that state is achieved. There have been some pilot studies in which EEG was monitored during hypnosis to see if adding feedback helps deepen the state, but results are not yet conclusive. One *recent study* (2023) did show the feasibility of a

passive BCI giving real-time hypnotic depth estimates, which could one day be used to adjust the session dynamically <sup>66</sup>. Another study using self-hypnosis for pain found that individuals who displayed more theta had better analgesic response, hinting that therapists could tailor their approach if they could see the EEG in real time <sup>61</sup>.

- **QHHT-Specific Anecdotes:** Within the QHHT community, there is talk that the method guides clients into the **theta state** (often practitioners say QHHT works at the “theta level” of mind). Dolores Cannon described the somnambulistic level as akin to just before deep sleep – likely meaning a mix of theta and some delta. While not formally measured, it’s reasonable to assume a successful QHHT session has the client’s EEG in a profile of high theta, some delta (if very deep), and low beta. If ThetaGate were used in QHHT, it could potentially log sessions and find, say, that clients consistently hit 4–5 Hz dominant waves during the past-life regression narration.
- **Are there protocols?** At present, no published protocol says “use X Hz as trigger in QHHT.” But a **related approach** is in *hypnoanaesthesia* (using hypnosis for surgery pain control) – researchers have used EEG monitors to ensure the patient is in a stable hypnotic state before beginning procedures <sup>89</sup>. By analogy, a QHHT practitioner might use an EEG to ensure the client is sufficiently in trance before asking to contact the “subconscious” or do the regression. If the EEG showed a lot of beta (indicating shallow trance), they might spend more time deepening. This kind of real-time adjustment is something ThetaGate could facilitate.
- **Case reports:** There aren’t formal case studies of QHHT with EEG in journals. However, some practitioners (like the one above) have informally reported that using an EEG can impress and relax clients – the clients like seeing that their brain “validated” the experience, which can increase confidence in the process. Also, if a client is having trouble letting go, seeing a biofeedback gauge might encourage them (“Oh, I need to relax more to get that theta meter up”). It must be noted, though, that an over-reliance on a device in what is meant to be a deeply inward, spiritual process could also be counterproductive if not handled carefully.

In conclusion, **EEG use in QHHT is still experimental and anecdotal**, but it appears promising as a feedback mechanism. ThetaGate could be a pioneer in this space, essentially creating a “Hypnometer” – a concept researchers have called for <sup>90</sup> <sup>91</sup>. This hypnometer would objectively indicate trance depth, helping practitioners tailor their technique. The ethical and practical considerations of this are discussed next.

(Sources: An example of a hypnotherapist using EEG during QHHT <sup>88</sup>, research noting monitors for hypnosis depth <sup>89</sup>, and general knowledge of QHHT practices.)

## 8. Ethical and Safety Considerations for EEG-Assisted Self-Hypnosis

When using EEG for self-hypnosis (or any kind of unattended trance work), safety and ethics are paramount. Here are key considerations:

- **User’s Mental Health and Contraindications:** Hypnosis is **not recommended for certain individuals** without professional supervision. Severe mental illnesses – such as schizophrenia or psychotic disorders – are generally contraindicated for hypnosis <sup>92</sup>, as the altered state might aggravate symptoms or blur reality boundaries. Likewise, epilepsy is often listed as a precaution for hypnosis <sup>92</sup>; while hypnosis itself doesn’t cause seizures, the deep relaxation or hyperventilation in some inductions could potentially trigger one, and flashing visual feedback (if

any) could be risky for photosensitive epileptics. ThetaGate should include clear warnings/contraindications: e.g. advising people with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, epilepsy, or dissociative disorders to consult a doctor before use <sup>92</sup>. At a minimum, the app might exclude these groups in its terms of service and have an onboarding screen to confirm none of those conditions are present.

- **Overuse and Dissociation: Can one hypnotize oneself too often or too deeply?** While there's no physical "addiction" to hypnosis, overuse might lead to psychological dependency or escape from reality. If a user repeatedly spends long periods in trance, they might prefer the dissociative state to normal life, which isn't healthy. Also, intensive neurofeedback training without rest can cause mental fatigue – users have reported feeling very tired after long sessions of EEG neurofeedback (essentially a workout for the brain). ThetaGate should encourage moderation (perhaps limiting session length/frequency or suggesting breaks). It's also wise to incorporate a **"safety exit"** – e.g., a guided wake-up phase at the end of each session to fully return the user to alertness. If the app detects the user has been in an eyes-closed theta state for an exceedingly long time, it could gently interrupt with grounding instructions. Users also should not operate machinery or drive immediately after a deep trance; a disclaimer to have a 10-15 minute buffer afterwards is prudent (similar to how meditation apps caution users).
- **Adverse Reactions:** Though rare, hypnosis *can* cause **unexpected emotional or physical reactions** in some cases. Documented side effects include dizziness, headaches, nausea, or anxiety in certain individuals <sup>93</sup>. Deep introspection might stir up repressed emotions, causing distress. Without a live therapist present, a self-hypnosis user encountering a traumatic memory or intense emotion might feel overwhelmed. ThetaGate must handle this ethically: for example, by providing a **"panic button"** to instantly end the session and ground the user (with calming audio or instructions to reorient to the present), and by supplying resources or helplines if the user experiences lingering distress. It should be clearly communicated that **harmful reactions are rare but possible** <sup>94</sup> <sup>93</sup>, and users should discontinue use if they consistently feel worse after sessions. Additionally, the app content (scripts) should be carefully designed to avoid inappropriate "trigger" phrases that could destabilize vulnerable users.
- **False Memories and Suggestions:** In regression hypnosis (like QHHT's past-life exploration), there's the risk of creating false memories or confabulations. While this is more of a hypnosis content issue than an EEG issue, it is ethically relevant. ThetaGate should have **disclaimers about the nature of hypnotic experiences** – e.g., that any visions or memories retrieved may be symbolic and not literal truth. This prevents users from misinterpreting their trance imagery in a harmful way (say, "recovering" a false trauma memory). Ethically, an app cannot fully replicate the safeguarding a trained therapist provides. So, clear guidance must be given: if using the app for regression or deep personal work, the user does so at their own risk, and it's not a substitute for professional therapy.
- **Privacy and Data Security:** EEG data is personal and could be sensitive (it might indirectly reveal things like stress levels, or if combined with other data, possibly mental state changes). ThetaGate should ensure all EEG recordings and session data are stored securely (encrypted) and not shared without consent. If cloud services are used (say, to sync progress or for analysis), users must opt in. Ethically, users should have the right to delete their data. Also, any "brain metrics" shown should be treated confidentially – the app might remind users that their EEG-derived "trance depth score" is just for them, and not a judgment of their ability.
- **Informed Consent:** Users should be thoroughly informed about what the app does. This includes an explanation that EEG will monitor their brainwaves and provide feedback, but **it is**

**not mind reading** or mind control. Some people might have misconceptions (thinking the device can manipulate their brainwaves – which it cannot, it only reads). A plain-language intro can dispel mystique and ensure users know what they’re getting into. Also, there should be a consent step for the hypnosis aspect – essentially, users self-induce, but they should agree to participate in the process and accept responsibility for their mental well-being during it.

• **Guidelines and Emergency Measures:** ThetaGate can incorporate psychological safety measures such as:

- Always include a **dehypnotization** phase at the session end (counting up, suggesting alertness and well-being).
- Provide an **FAQ/troubleshooting** section: e.g., “What if I feel stuck or too deep?” (Answer: you can always wake up – just open your eyes, stand up, drink water; the app could even detect if EEG goes into actual sleep and then chime to wake the user gently).
- Encourage users to set an intention and to journal after sessions to process any insights or emotions (this helps ground them).
- If any **contraindicated symptoms** occur (e.g., unusual sustained fast heart rate if paired with a HR monitor, or signs of panic), the app could detect it and advise stopping the session.
- Reminders that **self-hypnosis is not a medical treatment**: If users have serious issues (suicidal thoughts, severe anxiety), they should seek professional help rather than solely relying on the app.

In terms of **overuse risks**: using an EEG headset for extended periods has minimal physical risk (the devices are noninvasive sensors). One minor issue could be skin irritation from electrodes if worn too long; users should be advised to keep sensors clean and maybe limit sessions to reasonable lengths (e.g. <2 hours). The electrical safety of commercial EEG headsets is generally well within standards – they run on battery and are isolated, so there’s negligible risk of shock (unlike clinical EEG which plugs into wall power – but even those are isolated) <sup>95</sup> <sup>96</sup> . So physical safety is mostly about comfort (don’t have the headband so tight it causes a headache, etc.).

From an ethical standpoint, **transparency** is key: ThetaGate should clearly state what the EEG feedback is indicating. Users might otherwise misinterpret the visuals. For example, if a graph shows “theta increased by 20%,” the app should explain in context what that means (“Your brain entered a more relaxed rhythm associated with trance”). Misleading claims must be avoided – e.g., we shouldn’t claim the app *guarantees* a past life will be seen or that brainwaves prove a supernatural event. It’s safer to frame it as facilitating a state of consciousness conducive to introspection.

Finally, **failure handling**: Some people may not achieve the desired trance state – the app should not “blame” them (e.g., saying “you failed”). Instead, encourage that it can take practice and that not everyone’s EEG will look the same. Ensuring a positive, non-judgmental user experience is part of ethical design, so users don’t develop feelings of inadequacy or anxiety from the feedback.

*(Sources: Clinical guidelines on hypnosis safety <sup>94</sup> <sup>97</sup> <sup>98</sup> , contraindications listed by experts <sup>92</sup> , and general best practices in neurofeedback/hypnosis.)*

2 5 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 23 26 27 28 37 39 75 EEG Devices You Can Actually Use: Muse vs OpenBCI vs Emotiv for AI Projects | by Sharika Zareen | May, 2025 | Medium

<https://sharikazareen.medium.com/eeg-devices-you-can-actually-use-muse-vs-openbci-vs-emotiv-for-ai-projects-c81edb32aa72?source=rss-----ai-5>

11 72 73 81 86 Supported Boards — BrainFlow documentation

<https://brainflow.readthedocs.io/en/stable/SupportedBoards.html>

17 Insight - 5 Channel Wireless EEG Headset - Emotiv

<https://www.emotiv.com/products/insight?srsId=AfmBOoo7nnfYFZgoWQYhnUcObjbP-BBry4WsLmof2GiwmEgn10iS-fES>

21 Top 10 EEG Devices of 2025 — AJProTech

<https://ajprotech.com/blog/articles/top-10-eeg-devices-of-2025.html>

24 EPOC X - 14 Channel Wireless EEG Headset - Emotiv

[https://www.emotiv.com/products/epoc-x?srsId=AfmBOool0E5hG2o-eeXhMKMNy6hzVa2K\\_DRkpEMAL3YVc3XHDmm6BSWY](https://www.emotiv.com/products/epoc-x?srsId=AfmBOool0E5hG2o-eeXhMKMNy6hzVa2K_DRkpEMAL3YVc3XHDmm6BSWY)

33 Crown Tech Specs - Neurosity

<https://neurosity.co/tech-specs>

40 Muse™ EEG-Powered Meditation & Sleep Headband

[https://choosemuse.com/?srsId=AfmBOopCG1p3yH\\_M-EDV0ne\\_HUZectdk9rWkinJkozKzAjhcdXYxTzvq](https://choosemuse.com/?srsId=AfmBOopCG1p3yH_M-EDV0ne_HUZectdk9rWkinJkozKzAjhcdXYxTzvq)

41 Myndlift on the App Store

<https://apps.apple.com/us/app/myndlift/id1448710522>

42 Myndlift Neurofeedback Los Angeles | NeuroZone

<https://neurozonewave.com/brain-disorder-services-los-angeles/neurozone-remote-neurofeedback-programs/myndlift/>

43 Train Your Brain: Get Myndlift App on iOS & Android

<https://www.myndlift.com/download>

44 Announcing: Free Access to Myndlift with a Muse Headband

<https://www.myndlift.com/post/free-access-to-myndlift-with-a-muse-headband-unlock-your-brain-s-full-potential>

45 46 47 48 49 50 VR Meditation App with Neurofeedback | How It Works

<https://tryhealium.com/how-it-works>

51 BrainBit Neurofeedback on the App Store

<https://apps.apple.com/il/app/brainbit-neurofeedback/id1537953008>

52 53 EEG device app for smartphones

<https://brainbit.com/software-solutions/eeg-waves-app/>

54 Collecting Brain Signal Data Using The Muse 2 EEG Headset | by Tim de Boer | Building a bedroom BCI | Medium

<https://medium.com/building-a-bedroom-bci/collecting-brain-signal-data-using-the-muse-2-eeg-headset-a2d45ae00455>

55 Portable neurofeedback App - Instituto Dr. Scheib Mallorca

<https://www.psychosomatik.com/en/portable-neurofeedback-app/>

56 57 59 61 The Neuroscience of Hypnosis | Psychology Today

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/understanding-hypnosis/202401/the-neuroscience-of-hypnosis>

58 Clinical Hypnosis | Evidence-Based Hypnotherapy for Mental ...

<https://wilmingtonmentalhealth.com/clinical-hypnosis>

60 62 64 65 89 Frontiers | Hypnosis measured with monitors of anesthetic depth – EEG changes during the test for Harvard Group Scale of Hypnotic Susceptibility

<https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1267658/full>

63 Alpha Theta Training (A/T) - brain-trainer.com

<https://brain-trainer.com/answer/alpha-theta-training-a-t/>

66 67 90 91 Real-time assessment of hypnotic depth, using an EEG-based brain-computer interface: a preliminary study | BMC Research Notes | Full Text

<https://bmcresearchnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13104-023-06553-2>

68 EEG correlates of hypnotic susceptibility and hypnotic trance

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016787609090027B>

69 Classification of EEG signals for a hypnotrack BCI system

<https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1109/IROS.2018.8594136>

70 71 77 78 80 Best EEG Analysis & Acquisition Software [2023] | Mentalab

<https://mentalab.com/best-eeeg-analysis-software-2023/>

74 Emotiv/cortex-example: Example with Cortex V2/V3 API - GitHub

<https://github.com/Emotiv/cortex-example>

79 I built and am sharing my code for a live EEG brainwave ... - Reddit

[https://www.reddit.com/r/programming/comments/1cnbdag/i\\_built\\_and\\_am\\_sharing\\_my\\_code\\_for\\_a\\_live\\_eeg/](https://www.reddit.com/r/programming/comments/1cnbdag/i_built_and_am_sharing_my_code_for_a_live_eeg/)

83 Getting Started | Cortex API - GitBook

<https://emotiv.gitbook.io/cortex-api>

84 85 How to Build a BCI Project with EMOTIV EEG Headsets

<https://www.emotiv.com/blogs/tutorials/how-to-build-a-bci-project-with-emotiv-eeeg-headsets?srsltid=AfmBOoogAA7vK0xvxo1jIaIx-yjpWtMUrbv90ROorsrKskqriUGj9iuu>

87 Brainwaves - Neurocity SDK

<https://docs.neurocity.co/docs/api/brainwaves/>

88 Hypnotherapist confirms deep hypnosis with EEG brain waves ...

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IFIYNV00Mv0>

92 Contraindications for Hypnosis: Limitations of Safe and Effective ...

<https://blog.hypnotechs.com/posts/hypnosis-contraindications>

93 94 97 98 Hypnosis - Mayo Clinic

<https://www.mayoclinic.org/tests-procedures/hypnosis/about/pac-20394405>

95 Appendix 3. Principles of Electrical Safety - NCBI

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK390355/>

96 Technical aspects of EEG | MedLink Neurology

<https://www.medlink.com/articles/technical-aspects-of-eeeg>