
Final Project

TOTAL: 10pts

PURPOSE

To understand similarity, better, using multi-dimensional scaling.

GOAL

Analyze the most similar cities from all prior projects in one comprehensive model to identify which cities are truly similar to D.C.

DATA

Build a dataset from the findings from all prior projects:

- Project 2: [Firearm violence](#)
- Project 3: [Demographics](#)
- Project 4: [Hate crime](#)
- Project 5: [Public health](#)

Use your findings from each project to construct a city-level dataset. First, create a one-column table of just city names:

- `data.rankings = data.frame("City" = c("Washington DC",`
- `"Baltimore MD",`
- `"Miami FL",`
- `"Atlanta GA",`
- `"Etc",`
- `"Etc etc"))`

Second, add a column for the rankings of these cities for Project 2:

- `data.rankings$project2 <- c(0,1,2,3,4,5)`

Third, repeat for projects 3-5.

- `data.rankings$project3 <- c(0,5,4,2,3,1)`

NOTES:

- Keep the values in order of the list of cities, so everything matches up
- MSAs from Project 3 will need to be “translated” into cities
- Not every city is in each project
 - For example, Baltimore may be a similar city from Project 2, but not Project 4
 - You will need to find an approximate rank for these ‘missing’ cities across projects
- When complete, your dataset may have as many as 21 total cities/rows
 - Washington, D.C., plus five cities per project
 - Your dataset can have *less* than 21; this will happen if the same city was Top 5 in multiple projects. That’s completely fine
- Rankings are fixed, and generic, but usable. If you prefer, you can use specific metrics from the datasets in each project instead.
 - It is not recommended to use both rankings and metrics - this will create likely create spurious relationships across redundant fields
- If you want to re-evaluate any rankings from prior projects and update for this one, go for it.

METHODS

First, here’s a [completely unrelated example of how multi-dimensional scaling works](#), but hopefully helps make sense of this concept.

Second, [this script is a more relevant class example](#), based on the data from Project 5.

ANALYSIS

When conducting your analysis, consider this perspective:

1. State a finding
 - a. This is the new thing that you’ve identified from the data
2. Provide your evidence
 - a. This is typically a statistical reference
3. Add the context
 - a. This is the integration of the stat(s) and the finding
 - b. Compare your finding to the norm/average, or a similar measure in the data.

[HERE IS THE EXAMPLE SCRIPT FROM CLASS ON 16 APRIL 2025](#)

FORMAT

Submit your script as a usable HTML file, via RMarkdown. You create this output as a .Rmd file in RStudio, but only submit the .html file.

[Use this file as a template.](#)

[HERE IS THE EXAMPLE MARKDOWN FILE FROM CLASS ON 23 APRIL 2025](#)

SUBMISSION

Once your analysis is complete, please submit your project as either an HTML file (as the output/export/knit of your RMarkdown script), via Canvas.

GRADES

Data: 1pt

- Provide a table of your created dataset in your Markdown output.

Analysis: 6pts

- Describe three analytic insights from your data (2pts each).
 - An analytic insight should be 3-4 sentences and describe something new, interesting, unique, or otherwise noteworthy about your data
 - Each insight should focus on at least *one city* that isn't D.C., and at least *two datasets*

Visuals: 2pts

- One good graph
 - Clean titles, legend, labels, and theme
 - Clearly supports your analysis

Formatting: 1pt

- Error-free writing. No typos, run-on sentences, or sentence fragments. Proper punctuation. Real words.
 - Need help paraphrasing dense content? [Try this](#). And [here's a great reference](#), too.
- Project created in RMarkdown and submitted as an HTML file via Canvas.

Please [email me](#) with any questions.