# Meetings Spark Project

#### **Project Overview**

Boolean networks as a way of modeling ecosystems services

## Meeting 05/08/2025

Alvaro, Bruno, Carlos, Paulinha at EAWAG Brainstorming ideas and some key points that were made:

- Usually in Boolean networks there is no population dynamics associated and it would be a great contribution to integrate these two
- Even more if we integrated Stochastic Hybrid Systems (SHS) with Boolean Networks in a community ecology context. In SHS some populations are so rare that their dynamics could be considered stochastic, whereas other populations would follow classic deterministic dynamics
- What Bruno has started involves understanding how costs and benefits of interactions influence the persistence of species within a networks. For that he uses the structure of empirical mutualistic networks and associated costs and benefits for each species. When benefits surpass costs, species are "on" and present on the networks, as the cost of interaction increases, once it surpasses the benefits, species get extinct from the network. He found a sharp transition where above a certain cost, most species go extinct. Costs and benefits are randomly sampled from a distribution. Next steps to explore include:
  - What are the analytical expectation for the boundary conditions (having all species present when benefits > costs and all species extinct when benefits < costs considering normally distributed costs and benefit functions)\$
  - Expectations for random networks, what are the final number of interactions when network is random and cost benefit functions are also random
  - Starting from a random network, what kind of cost and benefit functions would result in networks that resemble the structure of empirical networks? Perhaps here we need to explore different distributions (beyond uniform or normal, for example, beta or lognormal) to give rise to networks with asymmetries like we observe in empirical networks (nestedness patterns for example, which are a common structure found in mutualistic systems)
  - Connection of boolean networks, costs and benefits and game theory what is the threshold for a Nash equilibrium considering costs and benefits in mutualisms? Is it possible to find an equilibrium even when the cost of the interaction is not zero?
  - Asymmetries in the costs benefit functions (shifting the curves to understand when the system collapses)
- How environmental fluctuations influences the predictability of ecosystem services
  - Fluctuations from the topics to the pole
  - $O_2$  as a global service vs pollination as a local service

### Meeting 12/08/2025

Bruno, Carlos & Paulinha @ EAWAG

- Discussion of the probability of all species being present (or extinct) given the distribution of costs and benefits following a normal distribution with means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and standard deviations  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . The derivation is on the Notes folder
  - Next step: understand the shape of the decay does it follow the same pattern observed for ecological networks?
- Environmental function Within the cost function, there is a physiological cost, which can be associated with an increase in cost given a deviation from an environmental optimum  $\theta$ . This would become:  $\alpha = |\theta z_i|$ , where  $z_i$  is the optimum for species i and  $\theta$  can be either sampled or alternatively it can include environmental fluctuations (akin to the proposal and published paper by Carlos and Paulo). The added environmental fluctuation could be of the form  $\theta = \frac{A}{2} (1 + \sin(2\pi\omega t))$
- Specialization function considering that benefits cannot accumulate indefinitely, we can incorporate a saturation function into the benefit function. With this we can explore the trade-off between number of partners and costs and benefits of interactions.
  - Consider bottom-up assembly building networks? For now we are considering a "top-down" approach in which the interaction network is given (might be the simplest to keep this and incorporate the saturation when parameterizing the cost-benefit functions). The form of this function is:

$$S = \frac{\left(\sum_{j}^{k} A_{ij} B_{j}\right) B_{max}}{\beta + \sum_{j}^{k} A_{ij} B_{j}}$$

• Connection between network structure and ecosystem services: how are the collapse of networks related to the loss of ecosystem services? Services are lost before the network collapses but what are the "early signs" that can signal such a shift? If we find literature exploring this aspect we can use it to parameterize the ecosystem service in a Boolean way. For example, imagine that one of these signals is the change in one of the properties of the network, as long as that property holds (degree of nestedness, for example), the service is "on", after a certain threshold, even if you have species and interactions the service is no longer available. In one extreme you can imagine that a single species performs the service. In that case, losing that species would lead to the loss of the service as well. Here we can explore how far a network can be "perturbed" (loss of interaction due to increase in cost) until the service is lost, based on information on properties of the network.

#### Meeting 14/08/2025

Bruno, Carlos, Paula & Paulo - Zoom

• Aiming for infinity in 5 steps.

For ecosystem services there is probably not much data available but what we can do is build expectations through a theoretical map. How general can we make the rules or does it have to be system specific? How does the topology of the system influences the provision of ecosystem services? Keep in mind potential trade-offs in the maintenance of services and the idea of services and de-services.

#### 1. Theoretical Map

Develop a framework to understand expectations that can generated predictions to be empirically tested. One example could be to explore ecosystem services that rely on species considered the "core" of the network *versus* services that dependent on species that are at the periphery of the network. Additionally we can consider multiple services such as pollination, seed dispersal, food provision, bird watching,...

- 2. Case studies
- Ecosystem services and indigenous knowledge networks (Cámara-Leret, Fortuna, and Bascompte 2019)
- Bird watching
- 3. Localities
- Pantanal could be an interesting system to explore (Donatti et al. 2011)
- 4. Spatial distribution of services (focused on one service)
- Exploring uneven distribution of services across space and time.
- Conflict between micro and macro scales: the services emerges at the micro scale (pollination, carbon storage); process base is local but some have a global component  $(CO_2, 0_2)$ . For some services we observe "pumps"
- Are there hotspots for different services?
- 5. Generalizations

Based on the information we gain from the combination of the previous steps, can we make generalizations about the ecosystem services and ecological networks?

- Current understanding of ecosystem service debt is mainly based on species loss and not necessarily on loss of interactions
- Static value of nature
- Potential extensions as a first approximation we are removing species that have a negative net benefit but another idea would be to remove interactions (instead of species); turning off interactions that are negative.

# Meeting 18/08/2025

Bruno, Carlos, Paulinha @ Eawag

• Proposal of an Ecosystem Service matrix, in which services are organized in rows and columns represent species that contribute to the service. Therefore, the ecosystem services provided by species follows:

$$E = \mathbf{P}\vec{x}$$

where, **P** is a matrix of  $k \times s$  dimension, in which k is the ecosystem services vector and s is the species vector. The entries  $P_{ij}$  depicts the effect of each species j on ecosystem service i. The vector  $\vec{x}$  is related to the state of species. For instance, present or absent, abundance and so on. For our purpose:

$$E_{ij} = \begin{cases} P_{ij}, & \text{if } x_i = 1\\ 0, & \text{if } x_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Based on this we can explore the structure of this matrix by:
  - Assuming services are correlated (positively or negatively)
  - Services are randomly structured
  - The role of the species in the adjacency matrix determines the services it proves (core vs periphery)
- Keeping in mind Currently our assumption is of a Boolean function operating in an static environment; fluctuations can arise via parameter  $\alpha$  regarding costs of interactions, influencing the cost-benefit function.

• Next steps Challenges on allowing species to come back to the network, there is a problem of the arbitrary choice of species order when estimating CB function; another challenge is to establish when to stop simulations if species keep changing. Regarding the second challenge we discussed including species "life cycles", which arise naturally when thinking about the fluctuating environments in the  $\alpha$  parameter (e.g., follow5 complete cycles) and another way would be to establish an extinction threshold in which we create a vector v and species can persist in the network even when they are not interacting/have negative benefits for a certain number of Boolean iterations (which would be akin to a "cycle")

#### References

Cámara-Leret, Rodrigo, Miguel A Fortuna, and Jordi Bascompte. 2019. "Indigenous Knowledge Networks in the Face of Global Change." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (20): 9913–18. Donatti, Camila I, Paulo R Guimarães, Mauro Galetti, Marco Aurélio Pizo, Flávia MD Marquitti, and Rodolfo Dirzo. 2011. "Analysis of a Hyper-Diverse Seed Dispersal Network: Modularity and Underlying Mechanisms." *Ecology Letters* 14 (8): 773–81.