

GIT Department of Computer Engineering
CSE 222/505 - Spring 2020
Homework 6 Question 2 Report

Melihcan Çilek
1801042092

1. CLASS DIAGRAMS

2. PROBLEM SOLUTION APPROACH

In this problem, we asked to write sorting algorithms at the book and redesign two of these algorithms which are Merge Sort and Quick Sort as they both will be implemented as `LinkedList<T>` generic type for data type. Implementing Merge Sort is not that much hard because when we make partition for arrays, we can go on linkedlist that we defined at the beginning by using iterators. Iterators was really helpful while I'm going this homework. Unless I use iterators, I would get much worse results about running time. Reason that I prefer iterators is that we had to use `get()` method of linkedlist alot when implementing this part so running time of the `get()` method at linkedlist is $O(n)$ so it takes so much time but when implementing this by using iterators, running time of iterators is $O(1)$ which is constant time so I implemented and tested really easy for merge sort. If we come to Quick Sort side, First, I did the implementation by using `get()` methods and because we are doing so much swap in quick sort, everytime program wants to swap two of the indexes, it was iterating till that indexes and swap so it was taking so much time. After I figure this thing out, I changed my impelementation from `get()` methods to iterators.

3. TEST CASES

There is no test case fort his problem

4. RUNNING AND RESULTS

If you run this program, you will get output with an .csv file that contains milliseconds that takes for sorting these algorithms. There is a table named `1801042092_comparison.excel` that compares worst and best case running times and that I obtained from this implementations.