Melih Kağan Özçelik Report 27.05.2020

## PART 1:

```
int knapsack algo ( vector<int> R, vector<int> D, int R lim, int n, vector<int> & result ) { //returns max runtime and indexes of test suites
                                                                   Complexity O(n^2)
                    <= R lim; j++) {
           if (i ==
                         == 0) {
              table[i][j] = 0;
           else if (R[i - 1] <= j){ //item i selected</pre>
              table[i][j] = max(D[i-1] + table[i-1][j-R[i-1]], table[i-1][j]);
              table[i][i] = table[i - 1][i]: //item i not selected
                                                                      Complexity O(n)
   int max_bug = table[n][R_lim];
   j= R lim;
   for(i = n; max bug>0 && i>0; i--){
       if ( max_bug != table[i-1][j] ){ //this means bug limit not equal to upper row with same runtime amount
          result.push_back(i-1);
           max_bug = max_bug - D[i-1]; //pick item set new max_bug
          j= j - R[i-1]; //set new runtime limit
   return table[n] [R lim];
                          0, if i = 0 or i = 0
TABLE(i,i) =
                          MAX[ BD(i-1) + TABLE(i-1, j - R(i-1)), TABLE(i-1, j)], if R(i-1) \leq j
                          TABLE(i-1, j), otherwise
```

→ My algorithm does not work if the running times of the test suites are given as real numbers, because I take running times as integer values and create a table with columns 0 to maximum possible runtime.

## PART 2:

```
freq_dis(vector<int> v1, vector<int> v2, int 11, int 12){ //to calculate differences between
int table[11+1][12+1];
                                                         //Ordered sequence of statement execution frequencies
for ( int i=0; i<=11; i++) {
    for( int j=0; j(-12; j++){
                                                        Complexity = L1xL2 = O(n^2)
       if ( i ==
           table[i][j] = j;
        else if ( j== 0) { //second vector empty
           table[i][j] = i;
        else if ( vl[i-1] == v2[j-1] ){ //last values same
           table[i][j] = table[i-1][j-1];
        else{
                        //replacement cost
                                                  insertion cost
           table[i][j] = min(4 + table[i-1][j-1], min(3 + table[i][j-1], 2 + table[i-1][j]));
return table[11][12];
```

I used Levenshtein distance algorithm with a table filled bottom to up. I tried (1-1-1), (3-2-2) and (4-3-2) for costs and choose (4-3-2), actually (4-3-2) and (3-2-2) give same result for given data text but I choose to assign more cost to insertion.

```
\begin{array}{ll} j, \ if \ i=0 \\ \\ i, \ if \ j=0 \\ \\ TABLE(\ i-1\ ,j-1\ ) \ if \ v1(i-1)=v2(j-1) \\ \\ MIN \ [4+TABLE(\ i-1\ ,j-1\ ), \ 3+TABLE(\ i\ ,j-1\ ), \ 2+TABLE(\ i-1\ ,j)] \ \ otherwise \end{array}
```