Readings Discussion Questions: Week Six - Discussion Group 3
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Why are identities so important in understanding environmental disputes?

In the video on mountain top removal, how are activists and concerned stakeholders framing the issue? Do you think these frames contribute to the conflict’s intractability?

Using information / understanding from your readings, give a few examples of potential frames in your case study (based on what you have read in local paper articles, tv, internet, or heard).

* Give examples of two types of frames as discussed in your readings (e.g., Identity Frame, Characterization Frame, Conflict Management Frame, Power Frame, Risk Frame, Gain versus Loss Frame, etc.).  This example should come from your case study but can be hypothetical if you cannot find a real example (e.g., what you can envision a stakeholder side using).  These should be statements or words that you would categorize into these various frames.  Define what each frame type is along with your example.
* Then, re-frame these statements into positive or neutral statements yourself. As discussed in the reading, stakeholders typically use positional language to present their frames, stating what their preferred outcomes are.  Reframing puts these interests and concerns in a positive or neutral light and in a way that encourages resolution.  There are several examples in the book chapter you read if needed as a guide.