**Chapter 2**

**Proposal Outline**

**Introduction**

Restoration efforts in coastal zones can likely be strongly influenced by landscape level processes such as changes within adjacent upland watershed characteristics or shoreline features due to erosion or development. Watersheds and shoreline habitats are naturally transient and changes occur over long periods of time due to natural processes including shifts in climate or effects of storms. However, other types of landscape change occur at different time scales and may have different (and unknown) effects including conversion from forest to agricultural lands to urban areas or development of coastal towns. Monitoring trends in key characteristics of a watershed over time can provide basic information on how these systems may be changing, and this can motivate actions to improve management and protection of coastal and inland habitats. In this chapter I will develop a data workflow and visualization tool to compile information on to assess trends in major features of the Suwannee River Basin (SRB) and adjacent coastline. Examining these trends will provide information to place observed changes in this region such as increased human population density and changes in coastal forests in a broader context in terms of short-term observations or longer-term trends.

Shorelines monitoring trends are used to conserve or manage coastal features. These features are not always properly documented or confirmed. Because of this, many coastline feature trends can go unnoticed including short-term and long-term trends. Short-term trends can be considered season coastal position changes, and long-term trends are physical features that have remained changed for several years.

**Study Area**

The Suwannee River Basin (SRB) is region of the southeastern United States, ranging from Cordele Georgine to Cedar Key, FL (Brian G. Katz, 2005). The SRB is often referred to as one of the most untouched river systems in the United States (Brian G. Katz, 2005). It holds a distinct combination of habitats including swamps, forests, and wetlands. The Suwannee River is one of the few rivers that has unnoticeable damage from human impacts such as damming, channeling, and introduction to large amounts of toxic material (Brian G. Katz, 2005).

Significant areas of the land within SRB are protected and conserved by local, State, and Federal agencies, as well as private land owners, and non-government organizations. There are over 50 state and county recreation parks along the river. There are also many selected sites along the Suwannee River that have been and are currently being monitored for water quality and benthic sampling.

The Big Bend coastline spans from Crystal River to Apalachee Bay and is located on the west coast of Florida. The Big Bend is largely a marsh-dominated coast. This coastline differs from other coastal areas in the Gulf of Mexico because it is primarily underdeveloped. Over 50% of the shoreline is under conservation protection (Main and Allen 2007), which in turn cause human populations to be very low, compared to other Florida coastal regions. Due to the low levels of human settlement and construction along this coast, and the large amount of land in conservation, this creates opportunities to examine how the coastline has changed over time from factors that may be occurring naturally.

**Background**

The Comprehensive Restoration Plan for the Gulf of Mexico requires adaptive management to be implemented into its projects as part of the $8.8 billion settlement with BP (https://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov/restoration-planning/gulf-plan). These settlement funds will be used to restore ecosystems that were impacted as part of the Deep Horizon Oil Spill in 2016.

Throughout the Gulf of Mexico region, a variety of monitoring efforts are ongoing to track the status of key resources and track the overall “health” of the Gulf.Much of the Gulf of Mexico shoreline has been requested to be studied, with much preference given to the Big Bend region (Raabe E. , 2008). The overall goal is to have a greater understanding of the changes of this local system. Spatial imagery is known to exist in the area, as well as decades worth of water quality data. Much of this data have yet to be processed or analyzed, leaving a great deal of completed ecological research to be desired.

The LCR project will use adaptive management for data collection, sampling, and evaluation. The LCR adaptive management plan is currently tailored for biological data, but the project will need to take additional steps to create a plan for spatial data. Temporal and spatial data will be integrated into the adaptive management workflow along with biological data.

Note how in the executive summary it moves from a general discussion to the basin, to some of the threats. By page 11 it is listing potential sources of water quality degradation in the basin. You could maybe use these as ideas for factors to look at in terms of trends over time. USGS identifies the potential threat (as an example animal-feeding operations) and then you can plot over time how animal feeding operations have changed. This report is from 2004, I don’t know how many of these things were followed up by USGS folks. Simeon could help you figure that out perhaps.

When you get to page 15 you see a list of “gaps and opportunities” you are working to fill those gaps and address opportunities.

**Reason for Effort**

The United States Geologic Survey (USGS) and other state and federal management agencies have been monitoring different aspects of water and land resources in the SRB for nearly 100 years (ref). This research has addressed water quality, river discharge, floodplains, and surface water exchange. Many of these studies have been done in discrete pieces with each study addressing specific objectives over short periods of time. In 2005 a group of agency and academic researchers led by USGS scientists identified a key need for the SRB was to integrate information from these studies in basin-wide and inter-disciplinary frameworks to provide XYZ. These discussions led to the development of a series of documents identifying threats to the SRB and key research needs (refs). As an example, Raabe (ABCD) digitized information from surveys of the coastline from approximately the Suwannee River mouth to Tampa Bay collected in the 1800’s and compare these surveys to satellite imagery from 1995 to characterize changes in coastal habitats between these two time periods. Research such as Raabe (ABCD) are useful because they provide resource managers with long-term perspective on how resources are or are not changing. This long-term perspective is important when assessing changes in resources such as coastal habitats that may be changing incrementally long time scales that are much longer than the time that an individual manager may have been observing the system (decades vs. years). The missing element that USGS identifies is the integration of both basin-wide and inter-disciplinary information.

According to the USGS Suwannee River Basin and Estuary: An Integrated Watershed Science Program Open-File Report of 2005-2010 (https://archive.usgs.gov/archive/sites/gulfsci.er.usgs.gov/suwannee/reports/KatzRaabeWP.pdf), the improvements to the water resources information and management of the Suwannee basin include to ensure spatial distribution and environmental covered, develop integrated a land-use and land-cover database that will offer past, present and planned information.

It is also noted that USGS has identified habitat conservation research gaps and opportunities in data collection and analysis. Some of the gaps missing are from mapping terrestrial and aquatic habitats, using data from remote sensing compatibilities for a complete spatial coverage of the area. (<https://archive.usgs.gov/archive/sites/gulfsci.er.usgs.gov/suwannee/reports/KatzRaabeWP.pdf>).

**Objectives**

Using publicly available data and by developing a reproducible workflow I will assess changes in large-scale geographic features and land use in the Suwannee River estuary and watershed. This will be done in two parts.

(1) Raabe et al. 2004 described a method using digitized 19th century topographic sheets for the Big Bend region of Florida and then compared these sheets to 1995 satellite imagery to characterize trends in large-scale geographic features for this region. I will follow methods from Raabe et al. (2004) and extend the data used beyond 1995 with more recent publicly available images (Figure 2). I will follow guidelines from Raabe et al. (2004) to focus on overall trends in large-scale geographic features and not focus on site specific changes due to variation in survey methods. My initial efforts will focus on geographic region surveyed as part of Seavey et al. (2011) from approximately the Waccasassa River, Florida to Horseshoe Beach, Florida with special emphasis on public lands. I will develop a data workflow for collecting and processing available imagery that is reproducible and uses publicly available resources.


(2) I will identify a set of watershed metrics for the Suwannee River basin from public data repositories that are useful for understanding trends in variables that are known to correlate with changes in river discharge, nutrient levels, or aquatic biodiversity and habitats.

Figure 2- Intertidal Zone Changes from 1886 to 1995 for Topographic Survey T-1700, (Raabe et al. 2004). As an example Raabe et al. (2004) determined that …provide an example result here to help in understanding the figure above.

**Spatial Observational Units**

At this time, no spatial units are defined. Selecting observation units will be established before the landscape metrics can be calculated and atmospheric variables corrected. It could be possible to select shapes or predefined buffer zones (et. al Yang 2007) for the area. Other spatial units could be defined by different levels of biological or human related data, which can influence shoreline coastal patterns.

**Methods**

The proposed goal of my research is to create an automized way to update maps, based on satellite imagery, or map data scrapping from another source.

An abundant amount of ecological data can be found in many R packages. Some of these packages are ran by government agencies, and updated frequently. Government organizations such as USGS, and USDA have public APIs to access these data (https://sheilasaia.rbind.io/post/2019-01-04-nass-api/). These APIs can connect to R and allow for data to be downloaded, and then be manipulated to suit the needs of the user. I propose that spatial and temporal analysis, to support the ongoing shoreline changes along the Lone Cabbage Reef, can be created using much of these available packaged data. Using the USADA quick stats, the census and survey data (<https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/>) for agriculture land, agricultural services, and improvement and construction might also be used to create dynamic maps.

Another way to apply another adaptive management method for this effort would be to create a set of calculations that can automatically process the same new images, using ENVI + IDL. Satellite imagery are measured using a series of calculations IDL. These calculations can also create new raster types, which can be compared in ENVI. Using the combination of calculations and spatial software analysis, an adaptive management plan can easily address imagery updates. This method can be easily reproduced but might be difficult to share with other potential users or agencies.

**Discussion**

Adaptive management plans can be applicable to all data types. Maps are one source of visual data that can be used to calculate patterns and trends. By using maps, the intended audience has a better understanding of the impact of ecological impacts in an area. Areas that are involved in monitoring programs, are the ideal candidate for spatial and temporal evaluations. Creating these series of shoreline satellite imagery, on behalf of the LCR project, will prove to be an amazing tool for both public and program needs.

# Bibliography

Brian G. Katz, E. A. (2005). *Suwannee River Basin and Estuary: An Integrated Watershed Science Program.* St. Petersburg: USGS.

Raabe, E. (2008). *Mapping of Florida's Coastal and Marine Resources: Setting Priorities Workshop.*