

1.3.4: Missing Data and Sampling Weights (brief intro)

(In Person)

Session Objectives (updated)

- 1. Identify, summarize and visualize missing data.
- 2. Missing Data Mechanisms (bias mechanisms or models)
- 3. Missing Data Handling and Imputation Methods (brief intro)
- 4. Impact of Sampling Weights for Survey Data (brief intro)

0. Prework - Before You Begin

A. Install packages

If you do not have them already, install the following packages from CRAN (using the RStudio Menu "Tools/Install" Packages interface):

- VIM
 - VIM package website
- skimr OPTIONAL
 - skimr website
- modelsummary OPTIONAL
 - modelsummary website
- tinytable OPTIONAL



- tinytable website
- summarytools OPTIONAL
 - summarytools on Github
- palmerpenguins
 - palmerpenguins website
- ggplot2
 - ggplot2 website
- naniar
 - naniar website
- dplyr
- gtsummary
- mice
 - mice website
 - BOOK: Flexible Imputation of Missing Data, 2nd ed., by Stef van Buuren (mice package author)

.

.

•

B. Open/create an RStudio project for this lesson

Let's start with the myfirstRproject RStudio project you created in Module 1.3.2 - part 1. If you have not yet created this myfirstRproject RStudio project, go ahead and create a new RStudio Project for this lesson. Feel free to name your project whatever you want, it does not need to be named myfirstRproject.



1. Identify, summarize and visualize missing data


Find Missing Data in Your Dataset.

One simple way to find missing data is to open it in the Data Viewer window and sort the data.

For example, load the VIM package and take a look at the sleep dataset provided within this package.

```
library(VIM)
data("sleep")
```


Click on the sleep dataset to open it in the data viewer:



Notice the light grey NAs shown for the missing data spots in this dataset.

If we click on the column for the Dream variable and sort these values, notice that the NAs all now show up at the bottom of the viewer window. It does not matter if you sort ascending or descending, the NAs are always at the bottom of the viewer.





This method is ok for a small dataset with not too many variables or rows of data. But let's look at other ways to summarize the amounts of missing data in your dataset.



Describe Missing Data.

Built-in summary() function

As we saw back in Module 1.3.2, Section 5, we can use the summary() function to get some basic statistics for each variable in the dataset, including the number of NAs.

summary(sleep)

BodyWgt	BrainWgt	NonD	Dream
Min. : 0.00	5 Min. : 0	.14 Min. : 2.100	Min. :0.000
1st Qu.: 0.600	0 1st Qu.: 4	.25 1st Qu.: 6.250	1st Qu.:0.900
Median : 3.34	2 Median: 17	.25 Median: 8.350	Median :1.800
Mean : 198.79	0 Mean : 283	.13 Mean : 8.673	Mean :1.972
3rd Qu.: 48.203	3 3rd Qu.: 166	.00 3rd Qu.:11.000	3rd Qu.:2.550
Max. :6654.000	0 Max. :5712	.00 Max. :17.900	Max. :6.600
		NA's :14	NA's :12
Sleep	Span	Gest	Pred
Min. : 2.60	Min. : 2.000	Min. : 12.00	Min. :1.000
1st Qu.: 8.05	1st Qu.: 6.625	1st Qu.: 35.75	1st Qu.:2.000
Median :10.45	Median : 15.100	Median : 79.00	Median :3.000
Mean :10.53	Mean : 19.878	Mean :142.35	Mean :2.871
3rd Qu.:13.20	3rd Qu.: 27.750	3rd Qu.:207.50	3rd Qu.:4.000
Max. :19.90	Max. :100.000	Max. :645.00	Max. :5.000
NA's :4	NA's :4	NA's :4	
Exp	Danger		
Min. :1.000	Min. :1.000		
1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.:1.000		
Median :2.000	Median :2.000		
Mean :2.419	Mean :2.613		
3rd Qu.:4.000	3rd Qu.:4.000		
Max. :5.000	Max. :5.000		



skimr package

Another helpful package is the skimr package which has the skim() function which provides a count of the amount of missing data and the proportion of complete data for that variable.

Rmarkdown for skimr package

When "knitting" to HTML the code below creates the summary table with the miniture histograms. However, when "knitting" to PDF (using the default portrait layout)m the histograms get cutoff on the page. Additional LaTex customization is needed to change the layout to landscape to be able to see the histograms.

library(skimr)
skim(sleep)

Table 1: Data summary

Name	sleep
Number of rows	62
Number of columns	10
Column type frequency:	
numeric	10
Group variables	None

Variable type: numeric

skim_variable	_missingco	mplete_ra	ntoenean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
BodyWgt	0	1.00	198.79	899.16	0.00	0.60	3.34	48.20	6654.0	
BrainWgt	0	1.00	283.13	930.28	0.14	4.25	17.25	166.00	5712.0	
NonD	14	0.77	8.67	3.67	2.10	6.25	8.35	11.00	17.9	
Dream	12	0.81	1.97	1.44	0.00	0.90	1.80	2.55	6.6	
Sleep	4	0.94	10.53	4.61	2.60	8.05	10.45	13.20	19.9	
Span	4	0.94	19.88	18.21	2.00	6.62	15.10	27.75	100.0	
Gest	4	0.94	142.35	146.81	12.00	35.75	79.00	207.50	645.0	
Pred	0	1.00	2.87	1.48	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.0	
Exp	0	1.00	2.42	1.60	1.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	5.0	
Danger	0	1.00	2.61	1.44	1.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	5.0	



modelsummary package

Another helpful package is the modelsummary package which has the datasummary_skim() function which is a slightly better version built off the skimr::skim() package and function.

i Rmarkdown for modelsummary package

The tinytable package is also used below with the modelsummary output to better control the placement of the resulting table when "knitting" to PDF.

```
library(modelsummary)
library(tinytable)
datasummary_skim(sleep) %>%
  theme_tt("placement", latex_float = "H")
```

	Unique	Missing Pct.	Mean	SD	Min	Median	Max	Histogram
BodyWgt	60	0	198.8	899.2	0.0	3.3	6654.0	
BrainWgt	59	0	283.1	930.3	0.1	17.2	5712.0	
NonD	40	23	8.7	3.7	2.1	8.4	17.9	
Dream	31	19	2.0	1.4	0.0	1.8	6.6	
Sleep	45	6	10.5	4.6	2.6	10.4	19.9	سائلا
Span	48	6	19.9	18.2	2.0	15.1	100.0	
Gest	50	6	142.4	146.8	12.0	79.0	645.0	L
Pred	5	0	2.9	1.5	1.0	3.0	5.0	L LL
Exp	5	0	2.4	1.6	1.0	2.0	5.0	L
Danger	5	0	2.6	1.4	1.0	2.0	5.0	b



summarytools package

Another package that also provides a nice summary of the variables in the dataset, is the dfSummary() from the summarytools dataset.

NOTE: Learn more about how to use summarytools::dfSummary() in an Rmarkdown document at https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/summarytools/vignettes/rmarkdown.html.

library(summarytools)
view(dfSummary(sleep))



Data Frame Summary

sleep

Dimensions: 62 x 10 **Duplicates**: 0

No	Variable	Stats / Values	Freqs (% of Valid)	Graph	Valid	Missing
1	BodyWgt [numeric]	Mean (sd): 198.8 (899.2) min ≤ med ≤ max: 0 ≤ 3.3 ≤ 6654 IQR (CV): 47.6 (4.5)	60 distinct values		62 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)
2	BrainWgt [numeric]	Mean (sd): 283.1 (930.3) min ≤ med ≤ max: 0.1 ≤ 17.2 ≤ 5712 IQR (CV): 161.8 (3.3)	59 distinct values		62 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3	NonD [numeric]	Mean (sd): 8.7 (3.7) min ≤ med ≤ max: 2.1 ≤ 8.4 ≤ 17.9 IQR (CV): 4.8 (0.4)	39 distinct values		48 (77.4%)	14 (22.6%)
4	Dream [numeric]	Mean (sd): 2 (1.4) min ≤ med ≤ max: 0 ≤ 1.8 ≤ 6.6 IQR (CV): 1.7 (0.7)	30 distinct values		50 (80.6%)	12 (19.4%)
5	Sleep [numeric]	Mean (sd): 10.5 (4.6) min ≤ med ≤ max: 2.6 ≤ 10.4 ≤ 19.9 IQR (CV): 5.1 (0.4)	44 distinct values		58 (93.5%)	4 (6.5%)
6	Span [numeric]	Mean (sd): 19.9 (18.2) min ≤ med ≤ max: 2 ≤ 15.1 ≤ 100 IQR (CV): 21.1 (0.9)	47 distinct values		58 (93.5%)	4 (6.5%)
7	Gest [numeric]	Mean (sd): 142.4 (146.8) min ≤ med ≤ max: 12 ≤ 79 ≤ 645 IQR (CV): 171.8 (1)	49 distinct values		58 (93.5%)	4 (6.5%)
8	Pred [integer]	Mean (sd) : 2.9 (1.5) min ≤ med ≤ max: 1 ≤ 3 ≤ 5 IQR (CV) : 2 (0.5)	1: 14 (22.6%) 2: 15 (24.2%) 3: 12 (19.4%) 4: 7 (11.3%) 5: 14 (22.6%)		62 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)
9	Exp [integer]	Mean (sd) : 2.4 (1.6) min ≤ med ≤ max: 1 ≤ 2 ≤ 5 IQR (CV) : 3 (0.7)	1: 27 (43.5%) 2: 13 (21.0%) 3: 4 (6.5%) 4: 5 (8.1%) 5: 13 (21.0%)		62 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)
10	Danger [integer]	Mean (sd) : 2.6 (1.4) min ≤ med ≤ max: 1 ≤ 2 ≤ 5 IQR (CV) : 3 (0.6)	1: 19 (30.6%) 2: 14 (22.6%) 3: 10 (16.1%) 4: 10 (16.1%) 5: 9 (14.5%)		62 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Generated by summarytools 1.1.4 (R version 4.5.1) 2025-06-20





Try It On Your Own

Try running summary() or skim() on the penguins dataset from the palmerpenguins package. Notice the summaries for the numeric and the factor type variables.

library(palmerpenguins) summary(penguins)

species	island	bill_length_mm	bill_depth_mm
Adelie :152		Min. :32.10	- • -
Chinstrap: 68	Dream :124	1st Qu.:39.23	1st Qu.:15.60
Gentoo :124	Torgersen: 52	Median :44.45	Median :17.30
		Mean :43.92	Mean :17.15
		3rd Qu.:48.50	3rd Qu.:18.70
		Max. :59.60	Max. :21.50
		NA's :2	NA's :2
flipper_length_	mm body_mass_g	sex	year
flipper_length_Min. :172.0	V		year Min. :2007
Min. :172.0	V	female:165	•
Min. :172.0	Min. :2700 1st Qu.:3550	female:165 male :168	Min. :2007
Min. :172.0 1st Qu.:190.0	Min. :2700 1st Qu.:3550	female:165 male :168 NA's : 11	Min. :2007 1st Qu.:2007
Min. :172.0 1st Qu.:190.0 Median :197.0	Min. :2700 1st Qu.:3550 Median :4050	female:165 male :168 NA's :11	Min. :2007 1st Qu.:2007 Median :2008
Min. :172.0 1st Qu.:190.0 Median :197.0 Mean :200.9	Min. :2700 1st Qu.:3550 Median :4050 Mean :4202	female:165 male :168 NA's :11	Min. :2007 1st Qu.:2007 Median :2008 Mean :2008



skim(penguins)

Table 3: Data summary

Name	penguins
Number of rows	$\overline{344}$
Number of columns	8
Column type frequency:	
factor	3
numeric	5
Group variables	None

Variable type: factor

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	ordered	n_unique	top_counts
species	0	1.00	FALSE	3	Ade: 152, Gen: 124, Chi: 68
island	0	1.00	FALSE	3	Bis: 168, Dre: 124, Tor: 52
sex	11	0.97	FALSE	2	mal: 168, fem: 165

Variable type: numeric

skim_variable n_m	issin g om	plete_1	rannean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
bill_length_mm	2	0.99	43.92	5.46	32.1	39.23	44.45	48.5	59.6	
$bill_depth_mm$	2	0.99	17.15	1.97	13.1	15.60	17.30	18.7	21.5	
$flipper_length_mm$	2	0.99	200.92	14.06	172.0	190.00	197.00	213.0	231.0	
$body_mass_g$	2	0.99	4201.75	801.95	2700.0	3550.00	4050.00	4750.0	6300.0	
year	0	1.00	2008.03	0.82	2007.0	2007.00	2008.00	2009.0	2009.0	



Visualize Missing Data.

Making plots with VIM package

The VIM package has an "aggregate" function aggr() which counts up the amounts of missing data for each variable and combinations of variables. The sleep dataset only has 10 variables.



⚠ WARNING - Beware of Using Too Many Variables at Once

Before using the aggr() function, limit the number of variables. FIRST create a dataset with only the variables you are interested in BEFORE running the function - otherwise you may lock up your computer if you feed it too many variables at once.

```
# get the amount of missing data in the sleep dataset
a <- aggr(sleep, plot = FALSE)
```

```
Missings in variables:
```

Variable Count NonD14 12 Dream 4 Sleep 4 Span Gest 4

The default output from above only lists the variables that have one or more rows with missing data. However, you can get a list of all of the variables with this code:

a\$missings

	Variable	Count
BodyWgt	BodyWgt	0
${\tt BrainWgt}$	${\tt BrainWgt}$	0
NonD	NonD	14
Dream	${\tt Dream}$	12
Sleep	Sleep	4
Span	Span	4
Gest	Gest	4
Pred	Pred	0
Exp	Exp	0
Danger	Danger	0



Next, let's get some plots of the missing data in the sleep dataset.


The plot on the LEFT below is a simple bar plot showing the missing counts for each variable in the dataset. Notice that there are only 5 variables with one or more missing values:

- * `NonD`
- * `Dream`
- * `Sleep`
- * `Span`
- * `Gest`

The plot on the RIGHT however, shows the amounts of missing data for the various patterns of missing data for the 10 variables in the sleep dataset. For example, notice that of the 62 rows of data in the sleep dataset:

- there are only 42 rows with complete data with no missing data on all 10 variables (i.e., 42/62 = 67.7% of the data is complete for all 10 variables);
- the next largest "pattern" of missing data is 9 rows that have both NonD and Dream variables with missing values; and
- there are 3 rows of data with the gest variable having missing data.

```
# make plots of the amounts and patterns of missing data
plot(a, numbers = TRUE, prop = FALSE)
```





Exploring patterns of missingness can be informative to better understand why the data might be missing and possibly provide insights into the underlying mechanisms causing or leading to the missing data.




Marginplots - see how missingness varys with other measures

In addition to a usual scatterplot, the marginplot() function in the VIM package, also shows information about missing values in the plot margins.

The red boxplot on the left shows the distribution of all values of Sleep where Dream contains a missing value. The blue boxplot on the left shows the distribution of the values of Sleep where Dream is observed.

```
x <- sleep[, c("Dream", "Sleep")]
marginplot(x)</pre>
```








Visualize Missing Data with the naniar package

The naniar package "provides principled, tidy ways to summarise, visualise, and manipulate missing data with minimal deviations from the workflows in ggplot2 and tidy data." See naniar website.

For example, let's make a similar to plot to what we did above to visualize the scatterplot between Dream and Sleep but also consider the amounts of missing data of one variable relative to the other variable in the plot. We can do this using the <code>geom_miss_point()</code> function provided in the naniar package which works with <code>ggplot2</code>.







We can also create an UpSet plot which is useful for visualizing intersections between sets. In the case of missing data, we are interested in visualizing how the missing data for each variable overlaps with each other (i.e., the missing data patterns).


To create an UpSet plot for the missing data patterns for the 10 variables in the sleep dataset, we can use the gg_miss_upset() function. The plot produced is similar to the plot above from the VIM package.

Notice that the plot ONLY shows patterns for the 20 of 62 rows and for the 5 of 10 variables with any missing data. The plot shows that:

- 9 rows have missing data for both the Dream and NonD variables
- 3 rows have missing data for the Gest variable
- 2 rows have missing data for the Span variable
- 2 rows have missing data for both Sleep and NonD
- 2 rows have missing data for Sleep, Dream and NonD variables
- 1 row has missing data for both Span and Gest
- 1 row has missing data for Span, Dream and NonD variables

gg_miss_upset(sleep)







2. Missing Data Mechanisms (bias mechanisms or models)

Why should we worry about missing data?

Setting aside bias concerns for the moment, missing data logistically causes issues with code-especially in R. At first glance this seems to be a huge pain since we get errors or nonsensical output. But these issues force us to deal with the missing data and provide explicit instructions to the computer code on how we want to address the missing data. Learn more in the Flexible Imputation of Missing Data BOOK.

For example, let's find the mean of the Dream variable in the sleep dataset.

mean(sleep\$Dream)

[1] NA

We get NA since there is missing data for the Dream variable, thus the mean of all rows is "not available". So, we need to tell R to first remove the missing values (the NAs) prior to computing the mean.

mean(sleep\$Dream, na.rm = TRUE)

[1] 1.972



We did do a deep dive above and we know that there are 12 rows with missing values for the Dream variable. But if we had run the mean() function with na.rm = TRUE, we might not have know just how much data was missing. So, it is always a good idea to make sure to check for missing data and assess how much you have PRIOR to conducting any analyses.

As we saw in Module 1.3.2, section 5 we can use the gtsummary package with the tbl_summary() function to get better summary statistics including a list of the amount of unknown (missing) rows. Let's get the means (and standard deviations) for 3 of the variables in the sleep dataset. Notice that there are no "unknowns" for BrainWgt since it has no missing values.

Customizing gtsummary::tbl summary()

Learn more at Multiline Summaries Using tbl_summary() on how I customized this table to include the count (N) of non-missing rows, mean and standard deviation along with the counts for the unknowns.

```
library(dplyr)
library(gtsummary)
sleep %>%
  select(Dream, Gest, BrainWgt) %>%
  tbl summary(
    type = all_continuous() ~ "continuous2",
    statistic = all_continuous() ~ c(
      "{N_nonmiss}",
      "{mean} ({sd})"
    )
  )
```



Characteristic	N=62
Dream	
N Non-missing	50
Mean (SD)	1.97 (1.44)
Unknown	$1\overline{2}$
Gest	
N Non-missing	58
Mean (SD)	142 (147)
Unknown	$\stackrel{\circ}{4}$
BrainWgt	
N Non-missing	62
Mean (SD)	283 (930)

When running a model, like a regression model between Dream and Sleep, let's look at the summary output from fitting a linear model using the built-in lm() function:

```
summary(lm(Sleep ~ Dream, data = sleep))
```

Call.

lm(formula = Sleep ~ Dream, data = sleep)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -6.2765 -2.0384 -0.1096 2.1599 9.2624

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
tercept) 6.0273 0.7960 7.572 1.27e-09 ***

(Intercept) 6.0273 0.7960 7.572 1.27e-09 ***
Dream 2.3051 0.3209 7.183 4.85e-09 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 3.178 on 46 degrees of freedom

(14 observations deleted due to missingness)

Multiple R-squared: 0.5287, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5184

F-statistic: 51.59 on 1 and 46 DF, p-value: 4.849e-09

Notice the output tells us that (14 observations deleted due to missingness). So this model was fit with only 62-14 = 48 rows (77.4%) of the original 62 rows of data. The model



was fit using only the complete dataset based on the 2 variables in the model: Dream and Sleep, where

- there are 10 rows missing data for only the Dream variable,
- 2 rows missing data for both Dream and Sleep variables,
- and 2 rows missing data for only the Sleep variable.

Keep in mind, when you are fitting any model (linear or logistic regression, analysis of variance, etc), the default is (almost) always to use a LISTWISE deletion, which removes ALL rows with any missing data on any of the variables considered in the model - including predictors, covariates, and outcome(s).



Let's also look at a small correlation matrix considering PAIRWISE versus LISTWISE deletion of missing data for 3 variables from the sleep dataset. Notice that the correlation between BrainWgt and Dream and Sleep are slightly different between LISTWISE and PAIRWISE approaches. These are all pearson correlations be default.

LISTWISE deletion

```
# LISTWISE deletion, use = "complete.obs"
sleep %>%
  select(BrainWgt, Dream, Sleep) %>%
  cor(use = "complete.obs")
```

```
BrainWgt Dream Sleep
BrainWgt 1.00000000 -0.08437367 -0.3221748
Dream -0.08437367 1.00000000 0.7270870
Sleep -0.32217479 0.72708696 1.0000000
```

PAIRWISE deletion

```
# PAIRWISE deletion, use = "pairwise.complete.obs"
sleep %>%
  select(BrainWgt, Dream, Sleep) %>%
  cor(use = "pairwise.complete.obs")
```

```
BrainWgt Dream Sleep
BrainWgt 1.0000000 -0.1051388 -0.358102
Dream -0.1051388 1.0000000 0.727087
Sleep -0.3581020 0.7270870 1.000000
```



There is also a helpful correlation function rcorr() in the Hmisc package. From this function we can save the output and get the n's and p-values in addition to the (Pearson) correlations. These numeric data have to be converted to a numeric matrix prior to inputting them to the rcorr() function, which is why as.matrix() is used in the code chunk below.

```
library(Hmisc)
c1 <- sleep %>%
  select(BrainWgt, Dream, Sleep) %>%
  as.matrix() %>%
  rcorr()
```

Show the correlations:

c1\$r

```
BrainWgt Dream Sleep
BrainWgt 1.0000000 -0.1051388 -0.358102
Dream -0.1051388 1.0000000 0.727087
Sleep -0.3581020 0.7270870 1.000000
```

Get the sample sizes for each correlation in the matrix:

c1\$n

	BrainWgt	\mathtt{Dream}	Sleep
${\tt BrainWgt}$	62	50	58
Dream	50	50	48
Sleep	58	48	58

Get each individual p-value for each correlation:

c1\$P

```
BrainWgt Dream Sleep
BrainWgt NA 4.674359e-01 5.779531e-03
Dream 0.467435869 NA 4.849120e-09
Sleep 0.005779531 4.849120e-09 NA
```



i Getting complete data

Note: The rcorr() function uses a PAIRWISE missing values deletion approach. If we want the LISTWISE correlations, we have to get complete data first. Use complete.cases() from the built-in stats package with dplyr::filter() to pull out only the 48 rows in the sleep dataset with complete cases on these 3 variables.

```
c2 <- sleep %>%
  select(BrainWgt, Dream, Sleep) %>%
  filter(complete.cases(.)) %>%
  as.matrix() %>%
  rcorr()
```

Show the correlations:

c2\$r

```
BrainWgt Dream Sleep
BrainWgt 1.00000000 -0.08437367 -0.3221748
Dream -0.08437367 1.00000000 0.7270870
Sleep -0.32217479 0.72708696 1.0000000
```

Get the sample sizes for each correlation in the matrix:

c2\$n

	BrainWgt	Dream	STeeb
${\tt BrainWgt}$	48	48	48
Dream	48	48	48
Sleep	48	48	48

Get each individual p-value for each correlation:

c2\$P

	${ t BrainWgt}$	Dream	Sleep
${ t BrainWgt}$	NA	5.685642e-01	2.553771e-02
Dream	0.56856416	NA	4.849120e-09
Sleep	0.02553771	4.849120e-09	NA



Missing Data Mechanisms

There are entire books and entire courses dedicated to understanding and dealing with missing data mechanisms, which we will not have time to go into depth in this TIDAL course.

Learn more at:

- https://stefvanbuuren.name/fimd/sec-MCAR.html
- BOOK: Statistical Analysis with Missing Data, Third Edition, by Roderick Little, Donald Rubin

The 3 missing data mechanisms to know are:

- MCAR = "missing completely at random"
 - This assumes that the missingness is not related to the data at all.
 - If the probability of being missing is the same for all cases, then the data are said to be missing completely at random (MCAR).
- MAR = "missing at random"
 - If the probability of being missing is the same only within groups defined by the observed data, then the data are missing at random (MAR).
 - For example, if people with higher levels of depression who were more likely to not answer a question or not complete the study, the missingness is "dependent" upon depression levels measured in the study.
- MNAR (or NMAR) = "missing not at random (not missing at random)"
 - MNAR means that the probability of being missing varies for reasons that are unknown to us.
 - An example of MNAR in public opinion research occurs if those with weaker opinions respond less often (e.g., non-response bias).

There is a nice summary of different approaches and assumptions and the effects to the models and statistical estimates, see FIMD Book, Section 1.3.8 Summary. This table illustrates that many "ad hoc" missing imputation approaches can result in standard errors that are too large or too small leading to incorrect calculations for p-values and confidence intervals.



Compare rows with and without missing data

Let's take a look at the BodyWgt and BrainWgt variables and compare the values for rows with and without missing data for the Dream variable. Here are the steps involved:

- 1. Create an indicator variable where 0=not missing and 1=missing for the Dream variable.
- 2. Run comparisons for the rows (subjects) with and without missing Dream data.
- 3. Potentially make plots to compare the rows with and without missing values for Dream.

Note: Both BodyWgt and BrainWgt are highly right skewed, so I did a log transform of both. Notice that the p-values for the non-parametric independent group test (Mann Whitney/Wilcoxon Rank Sum test) are the same, since the tests are based on ranks.

Table comparing BodyWgt and BrainWgt for rows with and without missing Dream data. Both are statistically significant - the animals with missing Dream value are larger (bigger body and brain weights).




Characteristic	$0 \text{ N} = 50^{1}$	$1 \text{ N} = 12^{1}$	p -value 2
BodyWgt	2(0, 28)	25(4, 144)	0.020
$\log_{BodyWgt}$	$0.61 \ (-1.27, \ 3.32)$	3.13(1.31, 4.92)	0.020
BrainWgt	12 (3, 169)	77 (28, 282)	0.041
$log_BrainWgt$	$2.50 \ (1.10, \ 5.13)$	4.31 (3.25, 5.53)	0.041

 $[\]overline{^{1}\text{Median (Q1, Q3)}}$

Side-by-side boxplots for the (log) of ${\tt BodyWgt}$ for rows with missing Dream data and without.

²Wilcoxon rank sum test







3. Missing Data Handling and Imputation Methods (brief intro)

Imputation - Mean Substitution

There are many ideas and options for creating data to "fill-in" or "impute" the spots for the missing values. Keep in mind that these methods are "making up" new (unobserved) data. Iideally, the missing imputation methods should be as unbiased as possible and should not increase or decrease the variability of the data. As good as some methods may be, always keep in mind that we will never know for sure if these new imputed data are "correct" or if they are the best they can be.

A simple example, suppose we have a variable with 5 numbers. Let's compute the mean and standard deviation of these numbers.

```
x \leftarrow c(2, 4, 3, 5, 10)
mean(x, na.rm = TRUE)
```

[1] 4.8

```
sd(x, na.rm = TRUE)
```

[1] 3.114482

Now let's set the 1st value to missing - replace the 2 with NA. Notice that the mean increases and the standard deviation decreased slightly from 3.114 to 3.109.

```
xna \leftarrow c(NA, 4, 3, 5, 10)

mean(xna, na.rm = TRUE)
```

[1] 5.5

```
sd(xna, na.rm = TRUE)
```

[1] 3.109126



Now, let's take the mean we just computed from the 4 non-missing values which was 5.5 and substitute it in for the missing value an recompute the new mean and standard deviation.

```
xsub <- c(5.5, 4, 3, 5, 10)
mean(xsub, na.rm = TRUE)</pre>
```

[1] 5.5

```
sd(xsub, na.rm = TRUE)
```

[1] 2.692582

IMPORTANT The new mean of xsub is the same = 5.5 as the mean for xna, but the standard deviation for this new list of 5 numbers is much smaller = 2.693 down from 3.109. And this "shrinking" of the standard deviation is even more pronounced from the original 5 numbers which was 3.114 for x. And the mean of the original numbers was 4.8 which is smaller than the mean-subtituted list for xsub.

This is a simple example and illustrates why we don't want to use mean-substitution for missing data. It also highlights that the goals of missing data imputation ideally shouldn't cause bias for estimating statistics like the mean and shouldn't increase or decrease the underlying variance of the original variables. And in theory the new variable with imputed data also shouldn't change the correlations (relationships) between the variables in the dataset. As you can see this can get complex rapidly and no imputation method is perfect. Often multiple missing inputation methods should be explored and some methods may work better for different models and statistical tests than others.

License CC BY-NC-ND 4.0



Example of k-nearest neighbor (kNN) missing imputation method

Instead of using mean substitution, let's look at another method - k-nearest neighbor, which is a "donor-based" method. Learn more at:

- VIM Vignette on "Donor based Imputation Methods"
- Datacamp Course on Imputation

Let's take the little dataset x which is a subset of the sleep dataset which has all 62 rows but only the Dream and Sleep variables. For these 2 variables, let's see what the kNN() (k-nearest neighbor) function in the VIM package does.

```
x <- sleep[, c("Dream", "Sleep")]
x_imputed <- kNN(x)</pre>
```


Now look at a scatterplot plot for these new Dream and Sleep variables with imputed values from the k-nearest neighbor approach. Notice the coloring of the points - the blue are the original values and the other colors represent the structure of missings.

- brown points represent values where Dream was missing initially
- beige points represent values where Sleep was missing initially
- black points represent values where both Dream and Sleep were missing initially

The kNN() method appears to preserve the correlation between Dream and Sleep.

```
marginplot(x_imputed, delimiter = "_imp")
```







Let's compare the results before and after the imputation for correlation and for a simple regression model. This is a "sensitivity" test of sorts. It is always a good idea to compare the results before and after applying any imputation method.

Correlation Original Data:

```
x %>%
  as.matrix() %>%
  Hmisc::rcorr()
      Dream Sleep
Dream 1.00 0.73
Sleep 0.73 1.00
      Dream Sleep
Dream
         50
               48
         48
               58
Sleep
      Dream Sleep
Dream
Sleep 0
Correlation kNN Imputed Data:
x_imputed %>%
  select(Dream, Sleep) %>%
  as.matrix() %>%
  Hmisc::rcorr()
```

```
Dream 1.00 0.74
Sleep 0.74 1.00
n = 62
Р
      Dream Sleep
Dream
Sleep 0
```

Dream Sleep



Simple Linear Regression Original Data:

```
summary(lm(Sleep ~ Dream, data = x))
Call:
lm(formula = Sleep ~ Dream, data = x)
Residuals:
   Min
            1Q Median
                           3Q
                                  Max
-6.2765 -2.0384 -0.1096 2.1599 9.2624
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
             (Intercept)
             2.3051
                       0.3209 7.183 4.85e-09 ***
Dream
___
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 3.178 on 46 degrees of freedom
  (14 observations deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.5287,
                             Adjusted R-squared: 0.5184
F-statistic: 51.59 on 1 and 46 DF, p-value: 4.849e-09
Simple Linear Regression kNN Imputed Data:
summary(lm(Sleep ~ Dream, data = x_imputed))
Call:
lm(formula = Sleep ~ Dream, data = x_imputed)
Residuals:
  Min
          1Q Median
                       3Q
                             Max
-6.241 -2.283 -0.221 2.157 9.257
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.6222 0.6920 8.125 3.00e-11 ***
Dream
             2.5106
                       0.2949 8.512 6.58e-12 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```



Residual standard error: 3.074 on 60 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.547, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5395 F-statistic: 72.46 on 1 and 60 DF, p-value: 6.579e-12

Notice that the correlations were similar. The regression intercepts and slopes were slightly different and the "Std. Error" for the regression coefficients for the imputed model were smaller than for the original data.



Example of multiple missing imputation method (using mice)

Let's take a look at the mice (Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations) package. The mice package provides for Multiple imputation using Fully Conditional Specification (FCS) implemented by the MICE algorithm as described in Van Buuren and Groothuis-Oudshoorn (2011).

Let's re-run the simple linear regression model above, but this time let's create 20 imputed datasets, run 20 regression models and then pool the results. See FIMD Book Section 1.4

Compare these regression results to the models above. Notice that the "std.error" for the regression coefficients are larger than they were for the kNN results and closer to fitting the model with the original data.

```
library(mice)
imp <- mice(x, seed = 1, m = 20, print = FALSE)
fit <- with(imp, lm(Sleep ~ Dream))
summary(pool(fit))</pre>
```

```
term estimate std.error statistic df p.value
1 (Intercept) 5.904120 0.7560889 7.808764 48.78611 3.858037e-10
2 Dream 2.326442 0.3216144 7.233638 45.29561 4.431364e-09
```



4. Impact of Sampling Weights for Survey Data (brief intro)

see Missing Data in PRAMS module.



R Code For This Module

• module_134.R

References

- Arel-Bundock, Vincent. 2022. "modelsummary: Data and Model Summaries in R." *Journal of Statistical Software* 103 (1): 1–23. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v103.i01.
- ———. 2025a. Modelsummary: Summary Tables and Plots for Statistical Models and Data: Beautiful, Customizable, and Publication-Ready. https://modelsummary.com.
- ——. 2025b. Tinytable: Simple and Configurable Tables in HTML, LaTeX, Markdown, Word, PNG, PDF, and Typst Formats. https://vincentarelbundock.github.io/tinytable/.
- Harrell Jr, Frank E. 2025. Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. https://hbiostat.org/R/Hmisc/.
- Horst, Allison, Alison Hill, and Kristen Gorman. 2022. Palmerpenguins: Palmer Archipelago (Antarctica) Penguin Data. https://allisonhorst.github.io/palmerpenguins/.
- Ihaka, Ross, Paul Murrell, Kurt Hornik, Jason C. Fisher, Reto Stauffer, Claus O. Wilke, Claire D. McWhite, and Achim Zeileis. 2023. *Colorspace: A Toolbox for Manipulating and Assessing Colors and Palettes*. https://colorspace.R-Forge.R-project.org/.
- Kowarik, Alexander, and Matthias Templ. 2016. "Imputation with the R Package VIM." Journal of Statistical Software 74 (7): 1–16. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v074.i07.
- R Core Team. 2025. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Sjoberg, Daniel D., Joseph Larmarange, Michael Curry, Jessica Lavery, Karissa Whiting, and Emily C. Zabor. 2024. Gtsummary: Presentation-Ready Data Summary and Analytic Result Tables. https://github.com/ddsjoberg/gtsummary.
- Sjoberg, Daniel D., Karissa Whiting, Michael Curry, Jessica A. Lavery, and Joseph Larmarange. 2021. "Reproducible Summary Tables with the Gtsummary Package." *The R Journal* 13: 570–80. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2021-053.
- Stauffer, Reto, Georg J. Mayr, Markus Dabernig, and Achim Zeileis. 2009. "Somewhere over the Rainbow: How to Make Effective Use of Colors in Meteorological Visualizations." Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 96 (2): 203–16. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00155.1.
- Templ, Matthias, Alexander Kowarik, Andreas Alfons, Gregor de Cillia, and Wolfgang Rannetbauer. 2022. VIM: Visualization and Imputation of Missing Values. https://github.com/statistikat/VIM.
- Tierney, Nicholas, and Dianne Cook. 2023. "Expanding Tidy Data Principles to Facilitate Missing Data Exploration, Visualization and Assessment of Imputations." *Journal of Statistical Software* 105 (7): 1–31. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v105.i07.
- Tierney, Nicholas, Di Cook, Miles McBain, and Colin Fay. 2024. Naniar: Data Structures, Summaries, and Visualisations for Missing Data. https://github.com/njtierney/naniar.



- van Buuren, Stef, and Karin Groothuis-Oudshoorn. 2011. "mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in r." *Journal of Statistical Software* 45 (3): 1–67. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03.
- ——. 2025. Mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations. https://github.com/amices/mice.
- Waring, Elin, Michael Quinn, Amelia McNamara, Eduardo Arino de la Rubia, Hao Zhu, and Shannon Ellis. 2022. Skimr: Compact and Flexible Summaries of Data. https://docs.ropensci.org/skimr/.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2016. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
- Wickham, Hadley, Winston Chang, Lionel Henry, Thomas Lin Pedersen, Kohske Takahashi, Claus Wilke, Kara Woo, Hiroaki Yutani, Dewey Dunnington, and Teun van den Brand. 2024. Ggplot2: Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.
- Wickham, Hadley, Romain François, Lionel Henry, Kirill Müller, and Davis Vaughan. 2023. Dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. https://dplyr.tidyverse.org.
- Zeileis, Achim, Jason C. Fisher, Kurt Hornik, Ross Ihaka, Claire D. McWhite, Paul Murrell, Reto Stauffer, and Claus O. Wilke. 2020. "colorspace: A Toolbox for Manipulating and Assessing Colors and Palettes." *Journal of Statistical Software* 96 (1): 1–49. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v096.i01.
- Zeileis, Achim, Kurt Hornik, and Paul Murrell. 2009. "Escaping RGBland: Selecting Colors for Statistical Graphics." Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 53 (9): 3259–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.11.033.

Other Helpful Resources

Missing Data Resources

- CRAN Task View for Missing Data
- R-miss-tastic Website
- Flexible Imputation of Missing Data (online book for 2nd edition) by Stef van Buuren
- Blog post on Missing Data Visualization in R using ggplot2
- Missing data R tutorial
- CRAN Task View on Missing Data
- A resource website on missing values
- Handling missing values with R tutorial
- Blog post "My favourite R package for: summarising data"

and

Other Helpful Resources