## Software installation and management

Maxim Storetvedt

Department of Computing, Mathematics, and Physics

January 17, 2018



- Modern Linux distributions have straightforward procedures for basic installation
  - Live images with user-oriented GUIs
  - Simple configuration options
  - Built-in partition tools
- · Great for users, but not so much for system admins
  - Imagine repeating the same process on 100 machines!

- Fortunately, tools for automating the installation exist
  - Kickstart, for distributions based on Red Hat Linux (CentOS, Fedora, Qubes)
  - Debian-installer, for distributions based on Debian Linux (Ubuntu, Mint, Elementary)
  - Bsdinstall, for FreeBSD systems

#### Kickstart

- Red Hat developed tool for automated installations
- Essentially a scripting interface to the standard installer software, Anaconda.
- · Flexible, with automated hardware detection
- · Behaviour is controlled by a single config file
  - · ks.cfg
- This file is divided into three parts:
  - A command section, for language, keyboard and time zone options.
    The source of the distribution is also specified here
  - · A list of packages to install
  - A collection of shell commands to run. Can be set to run before and/or after the installer
- A GUI tool for customising ks.cfg is available

- Network installation
  - Installing from the network can simplify deployment, and is a good option for sites with more than ten or so systems
  - A completely hands-free installation can be provided by PXE The Preboot Execution Environment
    - · A standard from Intel that allows booting from a network interface

- PXE
  - Acts like a miniature OS in the ROM of a system's network card
  - Exposes network capabilities through a standardised API for the system BIOS
    - · Allows netbooting of any PXE enabled PC without special drivers

- PXE installation process
  - The client sends a DHCP request with a PXE flag
  - The DHCP server responds with the name of the boot image and the server containing it
  - This image is executed by the client, giving it a menu of pointers to the available OS boot images
- PXE can also be used to boot diskless systems such as thin clients

- Cobbler
  - An open source provisioning server
    - · Can act as a boot server for PXE
  - Bundles all important netboot features
  - Helps manage the different OS images
  - Has template support that can be used with
    - · Kickstart configuration
    - Disk partitions
    - Packages
    - · Repositories
    - Other localisation requirements

- Traditionally, Linux software has been distributed as compressed archives, containing
  - The source code
  - Build files
  - Documentation
  - Configuration templates
- Inconvenient
  - The source archives had to be manually compiled and built for each program
  - A tedious and error prone process

- Packaging systems
  - Emerged as a way to facilitate software management
  - Here, packages include all the files required to run a piece of software, including
    - Binaries
    - · Dependency information
    - · Configuration templates
  - More importantly: make the installation process as straightforward as possible
    - · If an error occurs, a package can be backed out and reapplied
    - New versions of a software can be installed with a simple package update

- Packaging systems
  - More than just automatic archive unpackers
    - Can run scripts at various points during installation
    - Adds new users and groups, runs sanity checks and customises settings according to the environment
  - Typically aware of config files, and will attempt avoid changing these
    - · May do a backup before any changes
    - Or provide an alternative configuration file as a template
  - Theoretically, it should be possible to revert any changes back if an installed package breaks something
    - Emphasis on this only being theoretical!
    - Always do testing before applying new packages on a production system

- · Packaging systems
  - Define a dependency model that allows package maintainers to ensure that all required libraries and infrastructure their software depends on are installed on the system
  - However, the dependency graphs may be imperfect
    - May at times be impossible to install/update software due to dependencies having version incompatibilities

- Two package formats are commonly found within Linux systems
  - RPM (RPM Package Manager), used by Red Hat based Linux distributions
  - · DEB (Debian packages), for Debian based Linux distributions
- These packages formats have each their own corresponding package systems

- The package management systems can be divided into two separate layers
  - A lower level that contains the tools used for installing, uninstalling and querying the packages
  - A higher level containing systems that can download packages from the internet, analyse interpackage dependencies, and upgrade the packages present on the system

- Lower level tools
  - The RPM and DEB package formats both have their own tools at the lower level for installation, uninstallation and package querying
    - · RPM uses a tool with the same name: rpm
    - DEB uses dpkg

- rpm
  - Can be seen as multiple commands that share same name
  - The exact command can be specified by supplying the appropriate flag
    - · -i (install)
    - -U (update)
    - · -e (erase)
    - -q (query)
  - To update, say OpenSSH, the following command can be run after downloading the package containing the desired version:
    - rpm -U openssh-6.6.1.rpm, where openssh-6.6.1.rpm is the downloaded package

#### rpm

- However, note that if there are packages that depend on the OpenSSH version currently present on the system, the previous command will then fail
  - One possible solution can be to include the –force option, forcing rpm to install the new version anyway (and hope for the best)
  - Alternatively, the dependent packages could be updated as well.
- A list of the dependent packages can be generated using the -q flag with the -whatrequires option: rpm -q whatrequires openssh
- In fact, if their files were also supplied in the command on the previous page, rpm would automatically install these in the right dependency order (granted they support the new OpenSSH version)

- dpkg
  - In many ways similar to rpm, with slightly different syntax, e.g:
    - -i (for installing packages)
    - · -r (for uninstalling (removing) packages)
    - -I (for listing all installed packages. No query here. Can also be used for searching
  - More "chatty" than rpm, giving more details on what it's currently doing

- · Also known as "metapackage management systems"
- Not concerned with installation specifics. These tasks are handled by tools such as rpm and dpkg
- Instead, these high-level package management systems aim to
  - Simplify the task of locating and downloading packages
  - Automate the process of updating and upgrading systems
  - · Facilitate the management of interpackage dependencies

- It becomes evident that in order to achieve their goals, these systems must include more than client-side commands
  - · Software must be found, located and downloaded
  - · This while also being compatible
- The distribution maintainers should somehow provide an organised way for clients to access software when needed
  - But no single supplier can encompass "the whole world of Linux software"
- · Solution: having multiple "software repositories"

- · Software repositories
  - Package management systems tend to point to one or more web or ftp servers with repositories of software
  - These are commonly maintained by Linux distributers, and tailored for your specific distribution
  - · But what should these repositories contain?
    - · Formal releases with security updates?
    - Up-to-date versions of all the packages?
    - · Useful third-party software, not officially supported?
    - · Source code?
    - · Binaries for other hardware architectures?
  - And which one of these should be prioritised by the repository?

- Repositories may contain all of the previously mentioned
- The package management systems must decide what to include in their software "world"
  - Some repository terminology to help structure the process
    - A release is a self-consistent snapshot of the package universe, and contains multiple components
    - A component is a subset of the software within a release, and consists of packages
    - A package is a piece of software, often in a package management format (e.g. deb or .rpm). These may be specific for a certain architecture
    - An architecture represents a class of hardware. The expectation is that machines within a specific class are similar enough to run the same binaries. E.g x86 and x64 systems.

- APT: the Advanced Package Tool
  - High-level package management system mainly used in (but not limited to) Debian based distributions
  - · Mature and feature rich
    - · Can update a full system full of software with a single apt command
    - Can also be automated to continuously keep a system updated without human intervention
  - Includes a suite of commands, such as
    - · apt-get (for updates and installations), and
    - apt-cache (queries installed applications)
  - These can be wrapped in an omnibus apt command
    - E.g to install the OpenSSH client, you can either call apt-get directly:
      apt-get install openssh-client
    - Or simply call apt install openssh-client
    - · Which will then redirect the command to the appropriate tool (apt-get)

- YUM: Yellowdog Updater, Modified
  - High-level package management system for distributions using RPM (Red Hat, CentOS & etc)
  - "Inspired" by APT
    - · Thematically and implementationally similar
    - Can be considered "cleaner" in use, though slower than APT
  - Commands are also largely similar to those in APT
    - · e.g yum install openssh-client to install the openssh-client
  - · However, important differences exist
    - Calling apt update will update the information cache of available software in the repositories
    - While calling yum update will update every package on the system(!)
    - The command in yum for refreshing the information cache is yum check-update

# Higher vs. Lower package management

#### So, to summarise:

- Higher-level package management tools, such as apt and yum, rely on lower-level tools, such as rpm and dpkg, to handle the actual installation and querying of packages
- Instead, apt and yum focus on downloading, managing and updating packages on the system from a set of provided software repositories
- It is fully possible to make do without either apt or yum, only using dpkg and rpm. However, you as a user would then need to manually download any required packages, install these, resolve any potential dependency conflicts and version mismatches, and keep them updated afterwards.

## End

Questions?