

# 1 Formalization

<b>Types</b>	$\tau ::= \text{nat} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	
<b>Expressions:</b>	$e ::= x$ $\mid z$ $\mid s(e)$ $\mid \text{rec}(e; e_0; x.y.e_1)$ $\mid \lambda(x : \tau) e$ $\mid \text{ap}(e_1; e_2)$	variable zero successor recursion abstraction application

**Rules :**

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash z : \text{nat}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{nat}}{\Gamma \vdash s(e) : \text{nat}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{nat} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_0 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \text{nat}, y : \tau \vdash e_1 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{rec}(e; e_0; x.y.e_1) : \tau}$$

**Reduction Rules :**

$$(\lambda x : \tau. t) u \rightarrow t[x := u]$$

$$\text{rec}(0; t_0; x.y.t_s) \rightarrow t_0$$

$$\text{rec}(s(n); t_0; x.y.t_s) \rightarrow t_s[x := n, y := \text{rec}(n; t_0; x.y.t_s)].$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{C[e] \rightarrow C[e']} \quad \text{where}$$

$$C ::= [] \mid z \mid s(C) \mid C e \mid e C \mid \text{rec}(C; e_0; x.y.e_s)$$

## 2 Definitions and Theorems

**Definition 1** (Normal Form). *A term  $e$  is in normal form if there is no term  $e'$  such that*

$$e \rightarrow e'.$$

*In other words, no reduction rule applies to  $e$ .*

**Definition 2** (Weak Normalization). *A (closed) term  $e$  is weakly normalizing, written  $\text{MN}(e)$ , if there exists a finite reduction sequence*

$$e \rightarrow e_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow e_n$$

*such that  $e_n$  is in normal form.*

**Definition 3** (Reducibility Relation). *For each type  $\tau$  we define the predicate  $R_\tau(e)$  on closed terms  $e$  by induction on  $\tau$ :*

$$R_{\text{nat}}(e) := (\vdash e : \text{nat}) \wedge \text{MN}(e), \quad (1)$$

$$R_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}(e) := (\vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \wedge (\forall v. R_\sigma(v) \rightarrow R_\tau(e v)). \quad (2)$$

We often write

$$\mathcal{R}(\tau) = \{e \mid R_\tau(e)\}.$$

**Theorem 1** (Confluence).

$$\frac{e \rightarrow^* e_1 \quad e \rightarrow^* e_2}{\exists e_3. e_1 \rightarrow^* e_3 \wedge e_2 \rightarrow^* e_3}$$

### 3 Proof of Weak Normalization

**Lemma 1.**

$$e \rightarrow e' \wedge \text{MN}(e) \Rightarrow \text{MN}(e').$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $e \rightarrow e'$  and  $\text{MN}(e)$ , so there is  $n$  with  $e \rightarrow^* n$  and  $n$  in normal form. By confluence, there exists  $m$  such that

$$e' \rightarrow^* m \quad \text{and} \quad n \rightarrow^* m.$$

But  $n$  is normal, so  $m = n$ . Therefore  $e' \rightarrow^* n$ , i.e.  $\text{MN}(e')$ . □

**Lemma 2.**

$$e \rightarrow e' \wedge \text{MN}(e') \Rightarrow \text{MN}(e).$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $\text{MN}$ , from  $e \rightarrow e'$  and  $e' \rightarrow^* n$  (with  $n$  normal) we get

$$e \rightarrow e' \rightarrow^* n,$$

i.e.  $e \rightarrow^* n$ , so  $\text{MN}(e)$ . □

**Lemma 3.**

*If  $R_\tau(e)$  and  $e \rightarrow e'$ , then  $R_\tau(e')$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on the definition of  $R_\tau(e)$ .

- $\tau = \text{nat}$ . Then

$$R_{\text{nat}}(e) = (\vdash e : \text{nat}) \wedge \text{MN}(e).$$

By lemma 1, if  $e \rightarrow e'$  and  $\text{MN}(e)$  then also  $\text{MN}(e')$ . Thus  $R_{\text{nat}}(e')$ .

- $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$ . We have

$$R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e) = \vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \rho \wedge \text{MN}(e) \wedge \forall v (R_\sigma(v) \Rightarrow R_\rho(e v)).$$

Since if  $e \rightarrow e'$ , then for any  $v \in R_\sigma$ ,  $e v \rightarrow e' v$  (by reduction rule), thus for type  $\rho$  we get  $R_\rho(e' v)$ . Hence  $R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e')$ .

□

**Lemma 4.**

If  $e \rightarrow e'$  and  $R_\tau(e')$ , then  $R_\tau(e)$ .

*Proof.* Similarly, by induction on the definition of  $R_\tau(e)$ .

- $\tau = \text{nat}$ . Then

$$R_{\text{nat}}(e') = (\Gamma \vdash e' : \text{nat}) \wedge \text{MN}(e').$$

By lemma 2, if  $e \rightarrow e'$  and  $\text{MN}(e')$  then also  $\text{MN}(e)$ . Thus  $R_{\text{nat}}(e)$ .

- $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$ . We have

$$R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e') = \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \rho \wedge \text{MN}(e') \wedge \forall v (R_\sigma(v) \implies R_\rho(e' v)).$$

Since if  $e \rightarrow e'$ , then for any  $v \in R_\sigma$ ,  $ev \rightarrow e'v$  (by reduction rule), thus for type  $\rho$  we get  $R_\rho(ev)$ . Hence  $R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e)$ .

□

**Lemma 5** (Fundamental Lemma). *If  $\Gamma = x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n \vdash e : \tau$  and each  $v_i$  is a closed term with  $R_{\tau_i}(v_i)$ , then*

$$R_\tau(e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n]).$$

*Proof.*

□

**Theorem 2** (Weak Normalization). *If  $\vdash e : \tau$  and  $e$  is closed, then  $\text{WN}(e)$ .*

*Proof.*

□