

COMP527 Final Project - On Paper Part

1 Formalization

Types	$\tau ::= \text{nat} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	
Expressions:	$e ::= x$ $ z$ $ \text{succ}(e)$ $ \text{rec}(e; e_0; x.y.e_1)$ $ \lambda(x : \tau). e$ $ \text{app}(e_1, e_2)$ (written as $e_1(e_0)$)	variable zero successor recursion abstraction application

Typing Rules :

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \hline
 \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash z : \text{nat} \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash e : \text{nat} \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash \text{succ}(e) : \text{nat} \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash e : \text{nat} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_0 : \tau \quad \Gamma, x : \text{nat}, y : \tau \vdash e_1 : \tau \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash \text{rec}(e; e_0; x.y.e_1) : \tau \\
 \hline
 \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2 \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : \tau_1). e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1 \\
 \hline
 \Gamma \vdash \text{app}(e_1, e_2) : \tau_2
 \end{array}$$

Reduction Rules :

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \lambda(x : \tau. t). u \xrightarrow{\beta} t[x := u] \\
 \text{rec}(z; t_0; x.y.t_s) \rightarrow t_0 \\
 \text{rec}(\text{succ}(n); t_0; x.y.t_s) \rightarrow t_s[x := n, y := \text{rec}(n; t_0; x.y.t_s)] \\
 \frac{e \rightarrow e'}{C[e] \rightarrow C[e']} \quad \text{where:} \\
 C ::= [] \mid z \mid \text{succ}(C) \mid C e \mid e C \mid \text{rec}(C; e_0; x.y.e_s)
 \end{array}$$

Examples

$\text{succ} = \lambda(n : \text{nat}). \text{succ}(n)$
 $\text{pred} = \lambda(n : \text{nat}). \text{rec}(n; z; x.y. x)$
 $\text{add} = \lambda(m : \text{nat}). \lambda(n : \text{nat}). \text{rec}(m; n; x.y. \text{succ}(y))$
 $\text{subtract} = \lambda(m : \text{nat}). \lambda(n : \text{nat}). \text{rec}(n; m; x.y. \text{pred}(y))$
 $\text{multiply} = \lambda(m : \text{nat}). \lambda(n : \text{nat}). \text{rec}(m; z; x.y. \text{add}(n, y))$
 $\text{exp} = \lambda(m : \text{nat}). \lambda(n : \text{nat}). \text{rec}(n; \text{succ}(z); x.y. \text{multiply}(m, y))$

Proofs of Examples

1. Successor:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) &= (\lambda(x : \text{nat}). \text{succ}(x)) (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) \end{aligned}$$

2. Predecessor:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pred}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) &= (\lambda(x : \text{nat}). \text{rec}(x; z; x.y. x)) (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} \text{rec}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)); z; x.y. x) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{rec}(\text{succ}(z); z; x.y. x) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{rec}(z; z; x.y. x) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-0}} z \end{aligned}$$

3. Addition:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)), \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))) &= (\lambda m n. \text{rec}(m; n; x.y. \text{succ}(y))) (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) \\ &\quad (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} \text{rec}(2; 3; x.y. \text{succ}(y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} s(\text{rec}(1; 3; x.y. \text{succ}(y))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} s(\text{succ}(\text{rec}(0; 3; x.y. \text{succ}(y)))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-0}} \text{succ}(\text{succ}(3)) \rightarrow \text{succ}(4) \rightarrow 5 \end{aligned}$$

4. Subtraction:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{subtract}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))), \text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) &= (\lambda m n. \text{rec}(n; m; x.y. \text{pred}(y))) (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))) \\ &\quad (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} \text{rec}(2; 3; x.y. \text{pred}(y)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{pred}(\text{rec}(1; 3; x.y. \text{pred}(y))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{rec}(0; 3; x.y. \text{pred}(y)))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{red-0}} \text{pred}(\text{pred}(3)) \rightarrow \text{pred}(3) = 2 \rightarrow \text{pred}(2) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

5. Multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{multiply}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)), \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))) &= (\lambda m n. \text{rec}(m; z; x.y. \text{add}(n, y))) (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) \\
&\quad (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\beta} \text{rec}(2; 0; x.y. \text{add}(3, y)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{add}(3, \text{rec}(1; 0; x.y. \text{add}(3, y))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{add}(3, \text{add}(3, \text{rec}(0; 0; \dots))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{red-0}} \text{add}(3, \text{add}(3, 0)) = \text{add}(3, 3) = 6
\end{aligned}$$

6. Exponentiation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{exp}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)), \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))) &= (\lambda m n. \text{rec}(n; \text{succ}(z); x.y. \text{multiply}(m, y))) (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z))) \\
&\quad (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(z)))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\beta} \text{rec}(3; 1; x.y. \text{multiply}(2, y)) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{multiply}(2, \text{rec}(2; 1; x.y. \text{multiply}(2, y))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{multiply}(2, \text{multiply}(2, \text{rec}(1; 1; \dots))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{red-s}} \text{multiply}(2, \text{multiply}(2, \text{multiply}(2, \text{rec}(0; 1; \dots)))) \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{red-0}} \text{multiply}(2, \text{multiply}(2, \text{multiply}(2, 1))) = 8
\end{aligned}$$

2 Definitions and Theorems

Definition 1 (Normal Form). A term e is in normal form if there is no term e' such that

$$e \rightarrow e'.$$

In other words, no reduction rule applies to e .

Definition 2 (Weak Normalization). A (closed) term e is weakly normalizing, written $\text{WN}(e)$, if there exists a finite reduction sequence

$$e \rightarrow e_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow e_n$$

such that e_n is in normal form.

Definition 3 (Reducibility Relation). For each type τ we define the predicate $R_\tau(e)$ on closed terms e by induction on τ :

$$R_{\text{nat}}(e) := (\vdash e : \text{nat}) \wedge \text{WN}(e), \quad (1)$$

$$R_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}(e) := (\vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \wedge (\forall v. R_\sigma(v) \rightarrow R_\tau(e v)). \quad (2)$$

We often write

$$\mathcal{R}(\tau) = \{e \mid R_\tau(e)\}.$$

Theorem 1 (Confluence).

$$\frac{e \rightarrow^* e_1 \quad e \rightarrow^* e_2}{\exists e_3. e_1 \rightarrow^* e_3 \wedge e_2 \rightarrow^* e_3}$$

3 Proof of Weak Normalization

Lemma 1.

$$e \rightarrow e' \wedge \text{WN}(e) \Rightarrow \text{WN}(e').$$

Proof. Suppose $e \rightarrow e'$ and $\text{WN}(e)$, so there is n with $e \rightarrow^* n$ and n in normal form. By confluence, there exists m such that

$$e' \rightarrow^* m \quad \text{and} \quad n \rightarrow^* m.$$

But n is normal, so $m = n$. Therefore $e' \rightarrow^* n$, i.e. $\text{WN}(e')$. □

Lemma 2.

$$e \rightarrow e' \wedge \text{WN}(e') \Rightarrow \text{WN}(e).$$

Proof. By definition of WN , from $e \rightarrow e'$ and $e' \rightarrow^* n$ (with n normal) we get

$$e \rightarrow e' \rightarrow^* n,$$

i.e. $e \rightarrow^* n$, so $\text{WN}(e)$. □

Lemma 3.

If $R_\tau(e)$ and $e \rightarrow e'$, then $R_\tau(e')$.

Proof. By induction on the definition of $R_\tau(e)$.

- $\tau = \text{nat}$. Then

$$R_{\text{nat}}(e) = (\Gamma \vdash e : \text{nat}) \wedge \text{WN}(e).$$

By lemma 1, if $e \rightarrow e'$ and $\text{WN}(e)$ then also $\text{WN}(e')$. Thus $R_{\text{nat}}(e')$.

- $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$. We have

$$R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e) = \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \rho \wedge \text{WN}(e) \wedge \forall v (R_\sigma(v) \implies R_\rho(e v)).$$

Since if $e \rightarrow e'$, then for any $v \in R_\sigma$, $e v \rightarrow e' v$ (by reduction rule), thus for type ρ we get $R_\rho(e' v)$. Hence $R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e')$. □

Lemma 4.

If $e \rightarrow e'$ and $R_\tau(e')$, then $R_\tau(e)$.

Proof. Similarly, by induction on the definition of $R_\tau(e)$.

- $\tau = \text{nat}$. Then

$$R_{\text{nat}}(e') = (\Gamma \vdash e' : \text{nat}) \wedge \text{WN}(e').$$

By lemma 2, if $e \rightarrow e'$ and $\text{WN}(e')$ then also $\text{WN}(e)$. Thus $R_{\text{nat}}(e)$.

- $\tau = \sigma \rightarrow \rho$. We have

$$R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e') = \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \rho \wedge \text{WN}(e') \wedge \forall v (R_\sigma(v) \implies R_\rho(e' v)).$$

Since if $e \rightarrow e'$, then for any $v \in R_\sigma$, $e v \rightarrow e' v$ (by reduction rule), thus for type ρ we get $R_\rho(e v)$. Hence $R_{\sigma \rightarrow \rho}(e)$. □

Lemma 5 (Fundamental Lemma). *If $\Gamma = x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n \vdash e : \tau$ and each v_i is a closed term with $R_{\tau_i}(v_i)$, then*

$$R_\tau(e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n]).$$

Proof. Prove by induction on $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.

- $e = z$. Then $(e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n]) = z$, and z is normal by definition. Thus $R_\tau(e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$.
- $e = x_i$. Then $(e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n]) = v_i$, and $R_{\tau_i}(v_i)$ holds by hypothesis. Thus $R_\tau(e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$ holds.
- $e = \text{succ}(e')$. Then $R_\tau(e')$ by definition, and $e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n] \rightarrow \text{succ}(e'[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$. Thus $R_\tau(\text{succ}(e'[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n]))$ by the system rules, which means $R_\tau(e[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$ holds.
- $e = \text{rec}(n; e_0; x.y.e_1)$. As our assumptions, we have $\Gamma \vdash n : \text{nat}$, $\Gamma \vdash e_0 : \tau$, $\Gamma, x : \text{nat}, y : \tau \vdash e_1 : \tau$, and then we will prove by induction:
 - Base case: $(e = \text{rec}(z; e_0; x.y.e_1)) \rightarrow e_0$. Hold by our assumption.
 - Inductive case: We have induction hypothesis $R_\tau(\text{rec}(n; e_0; x.y.e_1))$, and we want to show that $R_\tau(\text{rec}(\text{succ}(n); e_0; x.y.e_1))$.
By our reduction rules $\text{rec}(\text{succ}(n); e_0; x.y.e_1) \rightarrow e_1[x := n, y := \text{rec}(n; e_0; x.y.e_1)]$, and thus from our assumptions we have $R_\tau(e_1[x := n, y := \text{rec}(n; e_0; x.y.e_1)])$.
- $e = \lambda(x : \tau). e'$. As $\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e' : \tau'$ we can get $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e' : \tau \rightarrow \tau'$. From the reduction rules $\lambda(x : \tau). e'. [x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n] \rightarrow e'[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n]$, and we have the IH of $R_{\tau'}(e'[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$, and thus it holds.
- $e = \text{app}(e_1, e_2) = e_1(e_2)$. As $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau'$, $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau$, and our IH that $R_{\tau'}(e_2[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$ and $R_{\tau' \rightarrow \tau}(e_1[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$, and thus by definition we have $R_\tau(e_1[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n] e_2[x_1 := v_1, \dots, x_n := v_n])$, which means $R_\tau(e_1 e_2)$ holds. □

Theorem 2 (Weak Normalization). *If $\vdash e : \tau$ and e is closed, then $\text{WN}(e)$.*

Proof. By the Fundamental Lemma in the empty context, $R_\tau(e)$ holds. In particular, if $\tau = \text{nat}$ then $\text{WN}(e)$ by definition of R_{nat} . If τ is a function type, we have checked that e itself cannot be an infinite reducible head, so e must reach a normal form in finitely many steps. Therefore, weak normalization holds. □