Output tables for the test of Multiple comparisons.

December 26, 2016

1 Average rankings of Friedman test

Average ranks obtained by applying the Friedman procedure

Ranking 2.5417 7.3333 5.7708 5.7708 7.625 6.0625 4.8542 5.0625 6.0208
R 2 - 2 2 2 2 4 2 4
Algorithm SVRCC MORF ST MTS MTSC RC ERC ERC SVRCC

Table 1: Average Rankings of the algorithms

Friedman statistic considering reduction performance (distributed according to chi-square with 9 degrees of freedom: 53.679545. P-value computed by Friedman Test: 2.1753014944891902E-8.

Iman and Davenport statistic considering reduction performance (distributed according to F-distribution with 9 and 207 degrees of freedom: 7.606124.

P-value computed by Iman and Daveport Test: 1.2751365120826391E-9.

2 Post hoc comparisons

Results achieved on post hoc comparisons for $\alpha=0.05,\,\alpha=0.10$ and adjusted p-values.

2.1 P-values for $\alpha = 0.05$

Nemenyi's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value \leq 0.001111. Holm's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value \leq 0.001351.

i	algorithms	$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	p	Holm
45	SVRCC vs. RC	5.816122	0	0.001111
44	SVRCC vs. MORF	5.48241	0	0.001136
43	RC vs. SVRRC	4.242909	0.000022	0.001163
42	SVRCC vs. MTSC	4.028379	0.000056	0.00119
41	SVRCC vs. ERC	3.980706	0.000069	0.00122
40	MORF vs. SVRRC	3.909197	0.000093	0.00125
39	SVRCC vs. MTS	3.742341	0.000182	0.001282
38	SVRCC vs. ST	3.694668	0.00022	0.001316
37	RC vs. ERCC	3.170263	0.001523	0.001351
36	RC vs. SVR	2.931897	0.003369	0.001389
35	SVRCC vs. SVR	2.884224	0.003924	0.001429
34	MORF vs. ERCC	2.836551	0.00456	0.001471
33	SVRCC vs. ERCC	2.645859	0.008148	0.001515
32	MORF vs. SVR	2.598186	0.009372	0.001563
31	MTSC vs. SVRRC	2.455166	0.014082	0.001613
30	ERC vs. SVRRC	2.407493	0.016062	0.001667
29	MTS vs. SVRRC	2.169127	0.030073	0.001724
28	ST vs. SVRRC	2.121454	0.033884	0.001786
27	ST vs. RC	2.121454	0.033884	0.001852
26	MTS vs. RC	2.073781	0.0381	0.001923
25	RC vs. ERC	1.835415	0.066444	0.002
24	MORF vs. ST	1.787742	0.073818	0.002083
23	MTSC vs. RC	1.787742	0.073818	0.002174
22	MORF vs. MTS	1.740069	0.081847	0.002273
21	SVRCC vs. SVRRC	1.573213	0.115669	0.002381
20	MORF vs. ERC	1.501704	0.133174	0.0025
19	MORF vs. MTSC	1.45403	0.145938	0.002632
18	MTSC vs. ERCC	1.382521	0.166812	0.002778
17	ERC vs. ERCC	1.334848	0.181926	0.002941
16	SVR vs. SVRRC	1.311011	0.189854	0.003125
15	MTSC vs. SVR	1.144155	0.252559	0.003333
14	MTS vs. ERCC	1.096482	0.272868	0.003571
13	ERC vs. SVR	1.096482	0.272868	0.003846
12	ERCC vs. SVRRC	1.072645	0.28343	0.004167
11	ST vs. ERCC	1.048809	0.294266	0.004545
10	MTS vs. SVR	0.858116	0.390828	0.005
9	ST vs. SVR	0.810443	0.417685	0.005556
8	ST vs. MTSC	0.333712	0.738597	0.00625
7	MORF vs. RC	0.333712	0.738597	0.007143
6	ST vs. ERC	0.286039	0.774848	0.008333
5	MTS vs. MTSC	0.286039	0.774848	0.01
4	ERCC vs. SVR	0.238366	0.811598	0.0125
3	MTS vs. ERC	0.238366	0.811598	0.016667
2	ST vs. MTS	0.047673	0.961977	0.025
1	MTSC vs. ERC	0.047673	0.961977	0.05

Table 2: P-values Table for $\alpha = 0.05$

2.2 P-values for $\alpha = 0.10$

Nemenyi's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value ≤ 0.002222 . Holm's procedure rejects those hypotheses that have an unadjusted p-value ≤ 0.002778 .

i	algorithms	$z = (R_0 - R_i)/SE$	p	Holm
45	SVRCC vs. RC	5.816122	0	0.002222
44	SVRCC vs. MORF	5.48241	0	0.002273
43	RC vs. SVRRC	4.242909	0.000022	0.002326
42	SVRCC vs. MTSC	4.028379	0.000056	0.002381
41	SVRCC vs. ERC	3.980706	0.000069	0.002439
40	MORF vs. SVRRC	3.909197	0.000093	0.0025
39	SVRCC vs. MTS	3.742341	0.000182	0.002564
38	SVRCC vs. ST	3.694668	0.00022	0.002632
37	RC vs. ERCC	3.170263	0.001523	0.002703
36	RC vs. SVR	2.931897	0.003369	0.002778
35	SVRCC vs. SVR	2.884224	0.003924	0.002857
34	MORF vs. ERCC	2.836551	0.00456	0.002941
33	SVRCC vs. ERCC	2.645859	0.008148	0.00303
32	MORF vs. SVR	2.598186	0.009372	0.003125
31	MTSC vs. SVRRC	2.455166	0.014082	0.003226
30	ERC vs. SVRRC	2.407493	0.016062	0.003333
29	MTS vs. SVRRC	2.169127	0.030073	0.003448
28	ST vs. SVRRC	2.121454	0.033884	0.003571
27	ST vs. RC	2.121454	0.033884	0.003704
26	MTS vs. RC	2.073781	0.0381	0.003846
25	RC vs. ERC	1.835415	0.066444	0.004
24	MORF vs. ST	1.787742	0.073818	0.004167
23	MTSC vs. RC	1.787742	0.073818	0.004348
22	MORF vs. MTS	1.740069	0.081847	0.004545
21	SVRCC vs. SVRRC	1.573213	0.115669	0.004762
20	MORF vs. ERC	1.501704	0.133174	0.005
19	MORF vs. MTSC	1.45403	0.145938	0.005263
18	MTSC vs. ERCC	1.382521	0.166812	0.005556
17	ERC vs. ERCC	1.334848	0.181926	0.005882
16	SVR vs. SVRRC	1.311011	0.189854	0.00625
15	MTSC vs. SVR	1.144155	0.252559	0.006667
14	MTS vs. ERCC	1.096482	0.272868	0.007143
13	ERC vs. SVR	1.096482	0.272868	0.007692
12	ERCC vs. SVRRC	1.072645	0.28343	0.008333
11	ST vs. ERCC	1.048809	0.294266	0.009091
10	MTS vs. SVR	0.858116	0.390828	0.01
9	ST vs. SVR	0.810443	0.417685	0.011111
8	ST vs. MTSC	0.333712	0.738597	0.0125
7	MORF vs. RC	0.333712	0.738597	0.014286
6	ST vs. ERC	0.286039	0.774848	0.016667
5	MTS vs. MTSC	0.286039	0.774848	0.02
4	ERCC vs. SVR	0.238366	0.811598	0.025
3	MTS vs. ERC	0.238366	0.811598	0.033333
2	ST vs. MTS	0.047673	0.961977	0.05
1	MTSC vs. ERC	0.047673	0.961977	0.1

Table 3: P-values Table for $\alpha = 0.10$

i	hypothesis	unadjusted p	p_{Neme}	p_{Holm}
1	SVRCC vs .RC	0	0	0
2	SVRCC vs .MORF	0	0.000002	0.000002
3	RC vs .SVRRC	0.000022	0.000993	0.000949
4	SVRCC vs .MTSC	0.000056	0.002527	0.002359
5	SVRCC vs .ERC	0.000069	0.003092	0.002817
6	MORF vs .SVRRC	0.000093	0.004167	0.003704
7	SVRCC vs .MTS	0.000182	0.008204	0.00711
8	SVRCC vs .ST	0.00022	0.009908	0.008367
9	RC vs .ERCC	0.001523	0.068535	0.056351
10	RC vs .SVR	0.003369	0.151604	0.121283
11	SVRCC vs .SVR	0.003924	0.176571	0.137333
12	MORF vs .ERCC	0.00456	0.205216	0.155052
13	SVRCC vs .ERCC	0.008148	0.366677	0.268897
14	MORF vs .SVR	0.009372	0.42173	0.299897
15	MTSC vs .SVRRC	0.014082	0.633688	0.43654
16	ERC vs .SVRRC	0.016062	0.722811	0.481874
17	MTS vs .SVRRC	0.030073	1.353286	0.872117
18	ST vs .SVRRC	0.033884	1.524762	0.948741
19	ST vs .RC	0.033884	1.524762	0.948741
20	MTS vs .RC	0.0381	1.714484	0.990591
21	RC vs .ERC	0.066444	2.989987	1.661104
22	MORF vs .ST	0.073818	3.321791	1.771622
23	MTSC vs .RC	0.073818	3.321791	1.771622
24	MORF vs .MTS	0.081847	3.683109	1.800631
25	SVRCC vs .SVRRC	0.115669	5.205125	2.429058
26	MORF vs .ERC	0.133174	5.992816	2.663474
27	MORF vs .MTSC	0.145938	6.567204	2.772819
28	MTSC vs .ERCC	0.166812	7.506534	3.002614
29	ERC vs .ERCC	0.181926	8.18668	3.092746
30	SVR vs .SVRRC	0.189854	8.543431	3.092746
31	MTSC vs .SVR	0.252559	11.365169	3.78839
32	MTS vs .ERCC	0.272868	12.279056	3.820151
33	ERC vs .SVR	0.272868	12.279056	3.820151
34	ERCC vs .SVRRC	0.28343	12.754361	3.820151
35	ST vs .ERCC	0.294266	13.241975	3.820151
36	MTS vs .SVR	0.390828	17.58727	3.908282
37	ST vs .SVR	0.417685	18.795847	3.908282
38	ST vs .MTSC	0.738597	33.236863	5.908776
39	MORF vs .RC	0.738597	33.236863	5.908776
40	ST vs .ERC	0.774848	34.868179	5.908776
41	MTS vs .MTSC	0.774848	34.868179	5.908776
42	ERCC vs .SVR	0.811598	36.521888	5.908776
43	MTS vs .ERC	0.811598	36.521888	5.908776
44	ST vs .MTS	0.961977	43.288954	5.908776
45	MTSC vs .ERC	0.961977	43.288954	5.908776

Table 4: Adjusted p-values