

Transaction Analysis Document

1. ****INCOME PATTERNS:**** No credit transactions are present in the dataset. Therefore, no analysis can be made on income frequency, consistency, or average monthly inflow.
2. ****SPENDING BEHAVIOR:**** All debits are categorized as "shopping." The total spending by recipient is as follows: mart: -2664, vendor: -733, shop: -771. Recurring payments were made to 'mart'.
3. ****FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT:**** Total inflow is 0. Total outflow is -4168. There is no information available on savings or investments.
4. ****TRANSACTION RELIABILITY:**** All 5 recorded transactions are debits. There is no information available to assess payment consistency or identify EMI-related payments. While there are recurring payments to the same recipient ('mart'), there's no information to determine if these are regular EMIs or subscriptions.
5. ****OVERALL FINANCIAL PROFILE:**** The data reveals only debit transactions, indicating spending without any income recorded. Spending was higher in February (-2327) compared to March (-1881). The primary spending category is "shopping," with "mart" being the most frequent recipient. The lack of income data makes it impossible to assess the overall financial health or identify areas needing attention beyond the need to track income. Month-on-month trends are limited by the short timeframe and absence of income data.

