# Exercise 19

## 陈志杰 524531910034

**Lemma.** Suppose G is a simple undirected graph, and  $u = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = v$  is a path connecting u and v, where  $u \neq v$ . Then there exists a simple path  $u = x_0, x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{m-1}}, x_n = v$  connecting u and v such that  $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m < n$ .

*Proof.* Because n is finite and during each iteration the length of P decreases, we can execute the following procedure which will eventually halt.

```
1: P \leftarrow (u = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = v)^1
```

 $\triangleright$  Here P is a path.

- 2: while there exists duplicate vertices in P do
- 3: Denote P by  $u = y_0, \dots, w = y_i, \dots, w = y_j, \dots, y_m = v$  where  $0 \le i < j \le m$ .
- 4:  $P \leftarrow (u, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_i, y_{j+1}, \dots, v)$
- 5: end while
- 6: **return** P

The returned path satisfies the desired properties.

#### Problem 1.

*Proof.* Suppose the unique simple path connecting u and v passes through w. Let this very path be  $u = x_0, x_1, \ldots, w = x_i, \ldots, x_n = v$ , where  $0 \le i \le n$ . We have d(u, v) = n.  $u = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_i = w$  is a simple path connecting u and w; thus d(u, w) = i.  $w = x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n = v$  is a simple path connecting w and v; thus d(w, v) = n - i. Now we have d(u, v) = d(u, w) + d(w, v).

Suppose d(u,v) = d(u,w) + d(w,v). Let  $u = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m = w$  be the unique simple path connecting u and w, and  $w = x_m, x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n = v$  be the unique simple path connecting w and v, where  $m \leq n$ , d(u,w) = m and d(w,v) = n-m. Then  $u = x_0, \ldots, x_m = w, \ldots, x_n = v$  is a path of length d(u,v) connecting u and v. By the lemma, we can remove some vertices from this path and make it a simple path. However, the length of the unique simple path connecting u and v is exactly d(u,v). Hence no vertices are removed from this path before it becomes a simple path, i.e., it is a simple path. Because this simple path passes through w, the proof is completed.

## Problem 2.

- Proof. (a) Let r be the root. Suppose  $uR_1v$ , i.e., u is v's ancestor in G. Let  $r = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = v$  be the unique simple path (of length n) connecting r and v. By definition  $u = x_k$  for some  $0 \le k < n$ . Hence  $r = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k = u$  is the unique simple path (of length k) connecting r and u. That u's level is less than v's level follows from k < n. Thus  $uR_2v$ , proving that  $R_1 \subseteq R_2$ .
- (b) Let  $V = \{r\}$ ,  $E = \emptyset$ , and G = (V, E). Trivially G is a rooted tree with root r, and  $R_1 = R_2 = \emptyset$ , as desired.
- (c) Let  $V = \{r, u, v_0, v_1\}$ ,  $E = \{e_0, e_1, e_2\}$  where  $e_0$  has endpoints  $r, u, e_1$  has endpoints  $r, v_0$ , and  $e_2$  has endpoints  $v_0, v_1$ . Let G = (V, E) be a rooted tree with root r.  $R_1 = \{(r, u), (r, v_0), (r, v_1), (v_0, v_1)\}$ . Because the levels of  $r, u, v_0, v_1$  are respectively  $0, 1, 1, 2, R_2 = \{(r, u), (r, v_0), (r, v_1), (v_0, v_1), (r, v_1)\}$ .  $R_1 \neq R_2$ , as desired.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The parentheses here are used only to avoid ambiguity about precedence of operations.

## Problem 3.

*Proof.* Let r be the root. Let  $r = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = w$  is the unique simple path connecting r and w. By definition  $u = x_i, v = x_j$  for some  $0 \le i, j < n$ . We use proof by cases.

- (a) i = j. Then u = v.
- (b) i < j. Because  $r = x_0, \dots, u = x_i, \dots, x_j = v$  is a simple path connecting r and v, u is v's ancestor.
- (c) i > j. Because  $r = x_0, \ldots, v = x_j, \ldots, x_i = u$  is a simple path connecting r and u, u is v's descendent.

Now the proof is completed.