

9. The input to this problem is a character string  $C$  of  $n$  letters. The problem is to find the largest  $k$  such that

$$C[1]C[2]\dots C[k] = C[n-k+1]\dots C[n-1]C[n]$$

That is,  $k$  is the length of the longest prefix that is also a suffix. Give a EREW parallel algorithm that runs in poly-logarithmic time with a polynomial number of processors.

This solution relies heavily on the solution to problem 3a: using  $n$  processors to create  $n$  copies of an input  $k$  in  $O(\log n)$  time. I will just use the result as if it were a simple operation.

The input is:  $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{n-2}, c_{n-1}, c_n$ .

We can use  $n^2$  processors to create  $n$  copies of the input in  $O(\log n)$  time:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ & & & \vdots & & & \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \end{array}$$

Then, we do that again, except each processor has an “offset” 1 to  $n$  for the write location. The figure below should be clear.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & c_1 & \dots & c_{n-4} & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \\ & & & & & & & \vdots & \\ & & & & & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \\ & & & & & & & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n-1} & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \end{array}$$

Next, we use  $n$  processors on each “pairing” above, for a total of  $n^2$  processors. Each processor writes a 1 if the aligning characters are equal, otherwise write 0.

Next, we have  $n$  AND-problems. Each pairing contains 1 to  $n$  ones or zeroes. If a prefix equals a suffix, then all comparisons yielded 1. The AND-problem for  $n$  inputs is solved with  $n$  processors in  $\log n$  time (Problem 1).

Now, we have to find the MAX of the alignment-lengths of the pairings which yielded 1 for the comparison. This is again done in  $\log n$  time with  $n$  processors using the same method as every other problem we’ve seen so far.

10. The input to this problem is a character string  $C$  of  $n$  letters. The problem is to find the largest  $k$  such that

$$C[1]C[2] \dots C[k] = C[n-k+1] \dots C[n-1]C[n]$$

That is,  $k$  is the length of the longest prefix that is also a suffix. Give a CRCW parallel algorithm that runs in constant time with a polynomial number of processors.

Consider the following setup from the previous problem:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \\
 c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \\
 \\
 & & c_1 & \dots & c_{n-4} & c_{n-3} & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n \\
 c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \\
 \\
 & & & & & & & & \vdots \\
 \\
 & & & & & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-1} & c_n \\
 c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & \\
 \\
 & & & & & & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n-1} & c_n \\
 c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & c_n & 
 \end{array}$$

Since we have a CRCW machine, the instances of  $c_i$  can be considered references rather than copies.

The rest of the operations are exactly the same as the previous problem, with modifications for CRCW.

The AND-problem is constant-time with  $n$  processors per “pairing”.

The MAX operation is the same as the MIN operation, which was done in class and is available in the course notes. It requires  $n^2$  processors, comparing each pairing of numbers, returning 1 if the first is greater, 0 otherwise. The AND operation is run on each row. The row containing no zeroes is the MAX.

11. Design a parallel algorithm for adding two  $n$ -bit integers. Your algorithm should run in  $O(\log n)$  time on a CREW PRAM with  $n$  processors.

NOTE: If your algorithm is EREW, you might want to rethink since I don't know how to do this easily without CR.

HINT: Use divide and conquer and generalize the induction hypothesis.