

(extra credit) 14. Consider the generalization of the U2 bridge crossing problem where n people with speeds s_1, \dots, s_n wish to cross the bridge as quickly as possible. The rules remain:

- It is nighttime and you only have one flashlight.
- A maximum of two people can cross at any one time
- Any party who crosses, either 1 or 2 people must have the flashlight with them.
- The flashlight must be walked back and forth, it cannot be thrown, etc.
- A pair must walk together at the rate of the slower persons pace.

Give an efficient algorithm to find the fastest way to get a group of people across the bridge. You **must** have a proof of correctness for your method.

Algorithm:

Sort the people by speed, increasing, and re-index the array for easy referencing.

$People = [p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n]$, where $p_i < p_j \leftrightarrow s_i < s_j$.

Step 1: Send p_1 and p_2 across the bridge.

Step 2: Return p_1 .

Step 3: Send p_{n-1} and p_n across the bridge.

Step 4: Return p_2 .

The problem is now reduced.

The two slowest people are on the other side. The flashlight is on the starting side.

Repeat the algorithm with input $[p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-3}, p_{n-2}]$.

Proof:

Assume \exists input $I \ni GRE(I)$ is not correct.

There are four cases to consider.

- $GRE(I) = [\dots, (x_k, y_k), \dots, z, \dots]$
 $OPT(I) = [\dots, (x_k, z), \dots, y_k, \dots]$, where OPT agrees with GRE for most steps, k .
- $GRE(I) = [\dots, (x_k, y_k), \dots, (w, z) \dots]$
 $OPT(I) = [\dots, (x_k, z), \dots, (v, y_k), \dots]$, where OPT agrees with GRE for most steps, k .
 $OPT' = [\dots, (x_k, y_k), \dots, (w, z) \dots]$.
GRE defines $x_k > y_k > z$ and $x_k - y_k < x_k - z$. Therefore, $max(x_k, y_k) + z < max(x_k, z) + y_k$, meaning OPT' results in a lower total time than OPT .
- $GRE(I) = [\dots, y_k, \dots, z \dots]$
 $OPT(I) = [\dots, z, \dots, y_k, \dots]$, where OPT agrees with GRE for most steps, k .
 $OPT' = [\dots, y_k, \dots, z \dots]$.
The total time remains the same. No big deal.

- $GRE(I) = [\dots, y_k, \dots, (w, z) \dots]$
 $OPT(I) = [\dots, z, \dots, (v, y_k), \dots]$, where OPT agrees with GRE for most steps, k .

In all cases, we create an OPT' such that $OPT' \geq OPT$.
 $GRE = \dots \geq OPT'' \geq OPT' \geq OPT$. \perp .

2. Give a polynomial time algorithm that takes three strings, A , B and C , as input, and returns the longest sequence S that is a subsequence of A , B , and C .

```
3LCS(string A, B, C):
    return LCS(LCS(A,B), C)

LCS(string A, B):
    m = length of A
    n = length of B

    for i = 1 to m do LCS[i,0] = 0
    for i = 1 to m do LCS[0,i] = 0

    for i = 1 to m do:
        for j = 1 to n do:
            If A[i] = B[j] then LCS[i,j] = LCS[i-1,j-1] + 1
            else LCS[i,j] = max(LCS[i-1,j], LCS[i,j-1])

    return string from traceback
```

LCS builds an $n \times n$ table and traceback moves left or up once per iteration. Therefore, we determine that the traceback takes $O(n)$ time and LCS takes $O(n^2)$ time, so our algorithm takes $O(n^2)$ time.

3. Give an efficient algorithm for finding the shortest common super-sequence of two strings A and B . C is a super-sequence of A if and only if A is a subsequence of C .
 HINT: Obviously this problem is very similar to the problem of finding the longest common sub- sequence. You should try to first figure out how to compute the length of the shortest common super-sequence.

```
SCS(string A, B):
  m = length of A
  n = length of B

  for i = 1 to m do SCS[i,0] = i
  for i = 1 to n do SCS[0,i] = i

  for i = 1 to m do:
    for j = 1 to n do:
      If A[i] = B[j] then SCS[i,j] = MAX(SCS[i-1][j], SCS[i][j-1])
      else SCS[i,j] = MIN(SCS[i-1][j], SCS[i][j-1]) + 1

  return string from traceback
```

4. Consider the algorithm that you developed for the previous problem.

(a) Show the table that your algorithm constructs for the inputs $A = xyxyz$, and $B = zzyxzy$

	ϵ	z	x	y	y	z	z
ϵ	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
z	1	1	2	3	4	5	6
z	2	2	3	4	5	5	6
y	3	3	4	4	5	6	7
x	4	4	4	5	6	7	8
z	5	5	5	6	7	7	8
y	6	6	6	6	7	8	9

(b) Explain how to find the length of the shortest common super-sequence in your table.

The length for the shortest common super-sequence is found in the $(length(A), length(B))$ position of the table.

(c) Explain how to compute the actual shortest common super-sequence from your table by tracing back from the table entry that gives the length of the shortest common super-sequence.

Begin at $(i=length(A), j=length(B))$ position of the table.

Prepend the A's i th character to the result.

While $i > 0$,

If cell value at $(i-1, j) < \text{cell value at } (i, j)$, then

Set $i := i-1$.

Prepend A's i th character to the result.

Else

Set $i := i-1$.

While $j > 0$,

Prepend B's j th character to the result.

Set $j := j-1$.