COMP9601 Assignment 3

Problem 1

We use k to denote the number of strings in X. Define $\Lambda = \{\$_1, ... \$_k\}$ as k distinct characters which do not appear in the strings in X. We first concatenate all strings in X and each string is followed by a character in Λ as follows

$$S = s_1 \$_1 s_2 \$_2, ..., s_{k-1} \$_{k-1} s_k \$_k.$$

Let K denote the length of this concatenated string. We define $\alpha[i] = LCP(SA[i], SA[i-1])(i=2,...,n)$ where LCP(a,b) is the longest prefix of two strings. Given α , we can find the longest common string (LCS) of all |X| strings by binary searching on the length of the LCS. If we want to find the LCS with length l, we can greedily divide the interval i=[2,K] into maximal sub-intervals while the value of $\alpha[i]$ in each sub-interval is at least l or the size of the interval is 1 but $\alpha[i] < l$. If there is a sub-interval that contains at least one suffix from each string in X. Then the LCS with length l exists.

It suffices to show that we can construct α in O(n) time. Then the algorithm stated above can be finished in $O(n \log n)$ time. We define $h[i] = \alpha(SA^{-1}[i])$ which is the $LCP(T[i...K], T[SA^{-1}[i] - 1...K])$. Therefore, we can construct $\alpha[i]$ if we have h with $\alpha[i] = h[SA[i]]$. In fact, h[i] has the following property (proof is omitted):

$$h[i] \ge h[i-1] - 1.$$

Equipped with this property, we don't need to check the first h[i-1] - 1's characters in T[i...K] and $T[SA^{-1}[i] - 1...K]$ for computing h[i]. Hence, we can calculate the h array in O(n) time.

Problem 2

The algorithm is illustrated as in Algorithm 1. We briefly explain the algorithm in the rest of the solution.

Because the suffixes of T has been sorted with respect to their first k characters, if Start[i] = 0, the first k characters of T[A[i]...n] and T[A[i-1]...n] must be the same (it means the rank can not be decided with only the first k characters). We define $A^{-1}[i] = j$ if A[j] = i.

Find the intervals $[s_i, t_i]$ (where s_i is the start index and t_i is the end index of *i*-th interval) such that $Start[j] = 0, \forall j \in [s_i, t_i], Start[s_i - 1] = 1$ (if $s_i > 1$) and $Start[t_i + 1] = 1$ (if $t_i < n$). In other words, each interval is the region with maximal length such that Start[j] is 0.

For each region $[s_i, t_i]$, we need to sort the suffixes (start from the positions of $A[s_i-1]$, $A[s_i]$, ..., $A[t_i]$) with respect to the first 2k characters. As stated above, the first k characters of these suffixes are the same. The rank can be decided by checking the rank of the suffix T[A[j]+k...n] ($\forall j \in [s_i-1,t_i]$) in A^{-1} . In other words, because we have the rank of suffixes with respect to the first k characters. The rank of T[A[j]+k...n] with respect to T[A[j]+k...A[j]+2k-1] can be found by directly checking $A^{-1}[A[j]+k]$. Define $\delta(i)=r_j$ (if $A^{-1}[A[j]+k]=i$) where r_j is the index of the region.

Algorithm 1: Calculate A', Start' from A, Start

```
Input: A, Start, k
   Output: A', Start'
 1 Initial A'[i] = A[i], \forall i = 1, ..., n;
 2 Initial \alpha(i) = 0, \delta(i) = 0, \beta(i) = 0, \forall i = 1, ..., n;
 3 Initial beg(i) = 0, \forall i = 1, ..., n; // the start position of regions of consecutive 0s;
 4 i = 1, r = 0;// r is the number of regions of consecutive 0s;
 5 while i \neq n do
       if Start[i] = 0 then
           r = r + 1, beg(r) = i - 1;
           j = i; while j \le n and Start[j] = 0 do
 8
              \alpha(i) = r;
 9
             j=j+1;
10
           for p = \{i - 1, i, ... j - 1\} do
11
              \delta(A^{-1}[A[p]+k]) = r;
12
             \beta(A^{-1}[A[p]+k]) = p;
13
           i = j;
14
       i = i + 1;
15
16 Let b = beg;
17 for i = 1...n do
       if \delta(i) \neq 0 then
18
           x = b(\delta(i)); //the rank in A';
19
           A'[x] = A[\beta(i)];
20
           if \alpha(A^{-1}[A'[x-1]]) = \alpha(A^{-1}[A'[x]]) and \alpha(A^{-1}[A'[x-1]+k]) = \alpha(A^{-1}[A'[x]+k])
21
           then
            Start'[x] = 0;
22
           b(\delta(i)) = b(\delta(i)) + 1;
23
```

Define $\beta(i) = j$ (if $A^{-1}[A[j] + k] = i$). After calculating $\delta(i)$ and $\beta(i)$, we can find the rank of suffixes with respect to the first 2k characters by scanning them once.

Problem 3

Denote [l, r] as the suffix range of P and [l', r'] as the suffix range of P'. Denote |P| is the length of P Denote $[l_c, r_c]$ as the suffix range of PP' which is our goal to find with given [l, r] and [l', r']. We have the following two facts:

- Because P is the prefix of PP', we have $l \leq l_c \leq r_c \leq r$.
- Because the ranks of the suffixes with same prefix P (i.e. T[SA[i]...n] $i \in [l,r]$) are decided by T[SA[i] + |P|...n]), we have $SA^{-1}[SA[i] + |P|] \le SA^{-1}[SA[j] + |P|]$ ($\forall i, j \in [l,r], i \le j$).

With these two facts, l_c can be found by binary searching on the smallest position such that $SA^{-1}[SA[l_c] + |P|] \ge l'$. Similarly r_c can be found by binary searching on the largest position such that $SA^{-1}[SA[r_c] + |P|] \le r'$.

Problem 4

- 1. $SA^{-1}[k] = \Psi^k[1]$.
- 2. In order to get SA[j], we calculate $\Psi^0[j]=j, \Psi^1[j], \Psi^2[j], \ldots$ in sequence, then

$$SA[j] = SA[\Psi^k[j]] - k$$

holds for all k. We check whether $B[\Psi^k[j]] = 1$ every step, and stop if it is true. Since $SA[\Psi^k[j]]$ increases a unit one time, this algorithm must stop in $O(\log n)$ time. Then we have $SA[j] = SA[\Psi^t[j]] - t$, where $t \ge 0$ is the smallest t, $B[\Psi^t[j]] = 1$ holds.

Problem 5

Define Appear[i,x] = # of x in BWT[0...i-1]. We start with T[n] = 1 which is the smallest suffix (SA[1] = n). By checking BWT[1], it can be known that T[n-1] = BWT[1]. Then we find the rank of T[n-1...n] by find the range of suffixes which start with the character T[n-1]. With the help of Appear[1, BWT[1]], we know the rank of the suffix T[n-1...n] accurately (say k). Then, T[n-2] = BWT[k]. Following the steps above, the string T can be constructed from the last character to the first. The algorithm is illustrated in Algorithm 2. With the help of

Algorithm 2: The algorithm

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{1} & i = 1, p = n; \\ \mathbf{2} & T[n] = \$; \\ \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{while} \ p > 1 \ \mathbf{do} \\ \mathbf{4} & p = p - 1; \\ \mathbf{5} & x = BWT[i]; \\ \mathbf{6} & T[p] = x; \\ \mathbf{7} & i = count[x] + Appear[i, x] + 1; \end{array}
```

Appear[i,x]=# of x in BWT[0...i-1]. The Ψ can be constructed with the similar approach with Algorithm 2. The algorithm for construct Ψ is illustrated in Algorithm 3. It need $O(n|\Sigma|)$ ($|\Sigma|$ is the size of character size) to calculate Appear and O(n) time to calculate Ψ . Hence, the time complexity is $O(n|\Sigma|+n)=O(n|\Sigma|)$.

Algorithm 3: The algorithm

```
1 i = 1, p = n;

2 while p > 1 do

3 p = p - 1;

4 x = BWT[i];

5 i' = count[x] + Appear[i, x] + 1;

6 \Psi[i'] = i;

7 u = i';

8 \Psi[1] = i;
```