

Example:

Hunt Company produces tomato sauce at five different plants. The capacity (in tons) of each plant is given in Table 1. The tomato sauce is stored at one of three warehouses. The per-ton cost (in hundreds of dollars) of producing tomato sauce at each plant and shipping it to each warehouse is given in Table 2. Hunt has four customers. The cost of shipping a ton of sauce from each warehouse to each customer is as given in Table 3. Each customer must be delivered the amount (in tons) of sauce given in Table 4. Fixed costs for opening plants and warehouses are provided in Table 5. Prepare a formulation and solve to minimize total cost.

Table 1						
		_	Plai	nt		_
_	1	2	3	_	4	5
Tons	300	200	30	0	200	400
Table 2						
			То			
	Warehouse		Warehouse Wareho		ouse	
Diamet 4	1		2	3 12		
Plant 1	8					
Plant 2	7		5 7			
Plant 3	8		6 ! 6			
Plant 4	5					
Plant 5	7		6	5		
Table 3				To		
	Cus	tomer 1	Customer		tomer 3	Customer 4
Warehouse		40	80	2 Cusi	90	50
Warehouse		-	70			
		70			60	80
Warehouse	3	80	30		50	60
Table 4						
Customer						
	1	2	3		4	
Demand	200	300	150	0	250	
Table	2 5					
5 1 .	_	Fi	Fixed Annual Cost (in thousands)			
Plant		35				
Plant		45				
Plant		40				
Plant		42				
Plant		40				
Warehouse 1			30			
Warehouse 2			40			
Wareho	use 3			30		

Formulation:

Indexed Sets:

i: plant #

j: warehouse #

k: customer#

(Note, we will use two derived indexed sets: ij and ik)

Data: (Hint – look at the tables!)

Ui = the capacity of plant i in tons

Cij = production and shipping cost from plant i to warehouse j

Sjk = shipping cost from warehouse j to customer k

Dk = demand for customer k in tons

Fi = fixed cost for plant i

Gj = fixed cost for warehouse j

T = total demand

Variables:

Xij = tons produced at plant i and shipping to warehouse j

Yjk = tons shipped from warehouse j to customer k

Mi = 1 if plant i is open, 0 otherwise

Wj = 1 if warehouse j is open, 0 otherwise

Minimize Total Cost (Similar to fixed charge problem – but two things – plants and warehouses.):

Minimize $\sum_i F_i M_i + \sum_j G_j W_j + \sum_i \sum_j C_{ij} X_{ij} + \sum_j \sum_k S_{jk} Y_{jk}$

relate x directly to Y

Constraints:

 $\sum_{i} Y_{ik} = D_k$ for all k (Meet demand)

 $\sum_{j} X_{ij} \leq U_i M_i$ for all i (Don't exceed capacity)



 $\sum_i X_{ij} = \sum_k Y_{jk}$ for all j (Node balance at warehouses) $\sum_k Y_{jk} \leq (T)W_j$ for all j (Don't exceed warehouse capacity)

Xij >= 0, Yjk >= 0, Mi ~ binary, Wj ~ binary for all combinations



Note, there are stronger formulas (i.e., we could add some additional constraints to help), but the above formulation will work.

Benefit to solving the problem as a Linear Program (i.e., eliminating the binary constraints). {Changing variable restrictions.}

Need to add more constraints (that are still valid) to make the formulation stronger.

Thought process ... if warehouse j ships anything to customer k, then warehouse j must be open and the most we can ship is Dk.

What about adding the following constraints, in place of the last constraint? Yjk <= Dk * Wj for all j and k pairs

Thought process: If plant i ships anything to warehouse j, then warehouse j must be open and plant i has to be open, most we can ship is Ui.

What about adding the following constraints? The goal is to decouple (disaggregate) the X's and Y's with respect to the warehouse.

Xij <= Ui*Wj for all i and j pairs

Recap:

Adding more constraints to reduce the number of integer (binary) variables. Problem is easier to solve.

Original IP Formulation: 671,500

Relaxed LP Formulation: 641,500 (with Warehouses not binary due to T = 900)

Relaxed LP Formulation with first set of new constraints: 651,833.3 (with Warehouses not binary)

Relaxed LP Formulation with both sets of new constraints: 671,500 (with Warehouses binary)

Now we can use the above formulation to solve for reduced costs and shadow prices if we want.