## Multilingual Grammatical Error Annotation: Combining Language-Agnostic Framework with Language-Specific Flexibility

http://open-writing-evaluation.github.io

## Multilingual GEC Annotation Framework

- **Goal:** Develop a consistent, reusable framework for grammatical error annotation across typologically diverse languages.
- **Inspired by** the dataset-agnostic design of errant; extend its core philosophy to multilingual settings.
- Two-component architecture: a shared architecture that applies across languages (MRU: Missing, Replacement, and Unnecessary), and optional extensions tailored to language-specific features
- Structured templates for common error types (e.g., spelling, word order, word boundary) facilitate reuse across related languages.
- **Built on** the stanza NLP toolkit for tokenization and POS tagging in 70+ languages.
- **Easily extensible:** New languages can be supported with minimal adaptation.
- Available at https://github.com/open-writing-evaluation/jp errant bea.

## Examples of Error Annotation for Czech and German

Without language-specific classification modules, our grammatical error annotation system remains capable of generating generic error annotations using the core MRU framework combined with POS labels.

Czech	S Mám velkou rodinu , tak nemohla jsem mít naději , že něco dostanu .
$\overline{N}$ áplava et al. $(\overline{2022})$	A 5 7   R:WO   jsem_nemohla   REQUIRED   -NONE-   0
Ours	A 5 7       R: VERB AUX -> AUX VERB       jsem nemohla       REQUIRED       -NONE-      0
	('I have a big family, so I couldn't hope to get anything.')
German	S Dagegen wieder , bekommen BA Studenten die ein extra Jahr oder mehr studiert haben , leichter Jobs .
Boyd (2018)	A O 3   R:OTHER   Dahingegen   REQUIRED   -NONE-   O
	A 4 5   U:PNOUN     REQUIRED   -NONE-   O
	A 5 6   R:NOUN   BA-Studenten   REQUIRED   -NONE-   O
Ours	A O 2   R:ADV ADV -> ADV   Dahingegen   REQUIRED   -NONE-   O
	A 2 3   U:PUNCT     REQUIRED   -NONE-   O
	A 5 5   M:PUNCT   -   REQUIRED   -NONE-   O
	('On the other hand, BA students who have studied an extra year or more find jobs more easily again.')

## Language-Specific Error Annotation

```
1: function ERRORCLASSIFICATION (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}):
2: if (SIM (phonetic) > \alpha_1) \wedge (SIM (shape) > \alpha_2) then
3: return R:SPELL:PHONOGRAPHIC
4: else if (SIM (phonetic) > \alpha_1) then
5: return R:SPELL:PHONETIC
6: else if (SIM (shape) > \alpha_2) then
7: return R:SPELL:SHAPE
8: else if (SET (\mathcal{S}) == SET (\mathcal{T})) then
9: return R:WO
10: else if (MERGE(\mathcal{S}) == MERGE(\mathcal{T})) then
11: return R:WB
12: end if
13: return {R}
```

The algorithm above presents our proposed classification routine for Replacement errors. Given a pair of word sequences—the source (S) and the target (T)—the algorithm classifies the error into one of the following types: spelling errors (R:SPELL), word order errors (R:WO), or word boundary errors (R:WB). Spelling similarity is computed using two metrics: phonetic similarity and visual (shape-based) similarity. The thresholds  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  govern sensitivity to phonetic and visual matches, respectively.

The classification uses the following notation:

- $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$ : word sequences in the source and target sentences.
- SIM(phonetic) and SIM(shape): similarity functions comparing pronunciation and visual form.
- SET(S): returns a bag-of-words representation of S, disregarding word order.
- Merge(S): reconstructs a character sequence from the tokenized input (i.e., merging tokens without spaces) to test for boundary alignment.

Examples of Replacement error types: phonetic spelling error (R:SPELL:PHONETIC), word order error (R:WO), and word boundary error (R:WB):

```
R:SPELL:PHONETIC their 	o there
R:WO You can help me 	o Can you help me
R:WB ice cream 	o icecream
```



