#### 1

# Shallow-learning methods for pedestrian classification and detection in images

Master's degree in Computer Engineering for Robotics and Smart Industry Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence course, a.a. 2021/2022 Lorenzo Busellato<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>VR472249, lorenzo.busellato\_02@studenti.univr.it

### Abstract

Pedestrian detection is a key task in the computer vision field, given its obvious applications in surveillance systems, autonomous driving and robotics. This work presents a pipeline for shallow-learning based pedestrian detection. The Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) method for feature extraction is described and applied to the Daimler Mono Pedestrian Classification Benchmark Data Set. Three classification techniques, Support Vector Machines (SVMs), K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and Naive Bayes, are described and benchmarked with different sets of hyperparameters. The benchmarks are then compared against each other to determine the best performing method in terms of accuracy in classification. Finally the best performing method is used to implement a full pedestrian detection pipeline.

#### **CONTENTS**

I	Introd	action	2
II	Object	ives	2
III	Datase	t	2
IV	Methodology		2
	IV-A	Histogram of Oriented Gradients	2
	IV-B	Naive Bayes	2
	IV-C	K-Nearest Neighbors	2
	IV-D	Support Vector Machine	2
	IV-E	Hard-negative mining	
	IV-F	Pedestrian detection	
	IV-G	Non-maximum suppression	2
V	Experiments and results		2
	V-A	Adopted metrics	2
	V-B	Performed experiments	2
	V-C	Results	
VI	Conclu	usions	2

#### I. INTRODUCTION

THE task of pedestrian detection, i.e. the task of localizing pedestrians within an image, is one of the most tackled instances of the broader class of object detection problems. This is due to its natural applications in autonomous driving, surveillance and robotics. For instance, in the US alone about 5000 of the 35000 annual car accident fatalities involve pedestrians [1], therefore the need for automated methods for pedestrian detection is apparent.

The task of pedestrian detection presents a series of complexities regarding the high variability in scale and size of the person within the image, occlusions with the rest of the scene, susceptibility to lighting conditions and the real time detection requirement.

The main models that are used for pedestrian detection are hand-crafted models and deep learning models. Handcrafted models are based on classification of hand-crafted features. Deep learning models on the other hand typically use convolutional neural networks for the extraction of features, therefore producing high-level semantic representations of objects. In this work the first class of models will be analyzed and implemented. Specifically, the Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) method will be used to extract features from images, because it is known[2] that it is the best performing non-deep learning based feature extractor for human detection. The classification of images will then be implemented using non-deep learning methods, namely Support Vector Machines (SVMs), K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and Naive Bayes. Finally the best performing classifier will be used to implement a pedestrian detector.

The main objectives of this work are described in section II. The data set and methodology employed are described in sections III and IV. The experimental evaluation is presented in section V. The conclusions are summarized in section VI.

#### II. OBJECTIVES

The main objective of this work is the implementation of a pedestrian detection pipeline, which is made up of three main components: a feature extractor, a classifier and a detector.

Regarding the classifiers, the objective is to obtain an indepth comparison between the performance of some wellknown shallow learning techniques with respect to the problem of pedestrian detection, as well as the relation between hyperparameters and classifier performance.

Finally, the best performing classifier will be used to implement a detector, therefore completing the pedestrian detection pipeline. The pipeline will then be applied to a video sequence in order to showcase its performance.

#### III. DATASET

The data set used in this work is the Daimler Mono Pedestrian Classification Benchmark Data Set. The data set consists of four separate subsets: the base set and three additional sets. The base set is divided into five subsets, three for training (named "1", "2" and "3") and two for testing (named "T1" and "T2"). All five sets contain 4800  $18 \times 36$  images containing pedestrians and 5000  $18 \times 36$  images not

containing pedestrians. The three additional sets each contain  $1200 \ 640 \times 280$  images for the purpose of extracting additional negative samples for the hard-negative mining procedure.

In this work, data sets 1, 2 and 3 will be grouped together in a singular data set for the training of the models, as will data sets T1 and T2 for the testing.

#### IV. METHODOLOGY

### A. Histogram of Oriented Gradients

Given an input image I, for each pixel  $(x,y) \in I$  the gradients  $G_x$  and  $G_y$  are computed. This is typically done via a convolution operation:

$$G_x = \omega_x * I \quad G_y = \omega_y * I \tag{1}$$

where  $\omega_x$  and  $\omega_y$  are the kernels associated to the convolution operation. The most common kernels used in the context of pedestrian detection are:

$$\omega_x = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \omega_y = \omega_x^T$$

They are the most commonly used because experimentally it is observed[2] that they produce the least amount of detected false positives per moving window (FFPW) compared to other kernels.

After the convolution operation, two gradient matrices are obtained,  $G_x$  and  $G_y$ . For each pixel  $(x,y) \in I$  then the magnitude  $\mu$  and angle  $\theta$  are computed:

$$\mu(i,j) = \sqrt{G_x(i,j)^2 + G_y(i,j)^2}$$
 (2)

$$\theta(i,j) = \left| \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{G_y(i,j)}{G_x(i,j)} \right) \right|$$

- B. Naive Bayes
- C. K-Nearest Neighbors
- D. Support Vector Machine
- E. Hard-negative mining
- F. Pedestrian detection
- G. Non-maximum suppression

# V. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

- A. Adopted metrics
- B. Performed experiments
- C. Results

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

# REFERENCES

- [1] Umesh Shankar. *Pedestrian roadway fatalities*. Tech. rep. 2003
- [2] Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs. "Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection". In: 2005 IEEE computer society conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR'05). Vol. 1. Ieee. 2005, pp. 886–893.