

THE UNIVERSAL COEFFICIENT THEOREMS

J. Mensah

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Derived Functors	1
2.1	Tor	1
2.2	Ext	1
3	Universal Coefficient Theorems	1
3.1	Homology	1
3.2	Cohomology	4
4	Künneth Theorems	4
4.1	Homology	4
4.2	Cohomology	4
5	Applications	4

1. Introduction

2. Derived Functors

2.1. Tor

2.2. Ext

3. Universal Coefficient Theorems

3.1. Homology

Let R be a commutative ring and let $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ be a chain complex of R -modules. One may add “coefficients” to the chain complex by tensoring each C_n with a fixed R -module

M . This yields a new chain complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_{n+1} \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1} \otimes 1_M} C_n \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\partial_n \otimes 1_M} C_{n-1} \otimes_R M \rightarrow \cdots$$

of R -modules, denoted by $C_\bullet \otimes_R M$. Each chain $c \in C_n \otimes_R M$ may be written as an “ M -linear” combination of chains

$$c = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \otimes m_i$$

where $c_i \in C_n$ and $m_i \in M$. Note that M is not required to have a ring structure, so after adding coefficients one should still regard $C_n \otimes_R M$ as an R -module. The purpose of the universal coefficient theorem for homology is to relate the homology of $C_\bullet \otimes_R M$ to the homology of C_\bullet . Note that there is a map

$$\delta' : H_n(C_\bullet) \otimes_R M \rightarrow H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_R M) \quad ([c] \otimes m) \xrightarrow{\delta'} [c \otimes m].$$

which should be thought of as an approximation of the structure of $H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_R M)$; the universal coefficient theorem tells us how well this estimate works.

Theorem 3.1 (Universal Coefficient Theorem for Homology). *Let R be a PID, and let $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ be a chain complex of free R -modules. Then for any R -module M , there exists a short exact sequence of R -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(C_\bullet) \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\delta'} H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_R M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(H_{n-1}(C_\bullet), M) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\star)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is natural in both C_\bullet and M . Furthermore, this sequence splits, but not naturally.

Proof. Since R is a PID, and C_{n-1} is a free R -module, it follows that $\text{im } \partial_n \subseteq C_{n-1}$ is also free. Thus, the short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \partial_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet \rightarrow \text{im } \partial_\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

splits, where the subcomplexes $\ker \partial_\bullet$ and $\text{im } \partial_\bullet$ are defined by taking kernels and images degree-wise. Since this sequence is split, we may apply $-\otimes_R M$ to obtain a new short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \partial_\bullet \otimes_R M \rightarrow C_\bullet \otimes_R M \rightarrow \text{im } \partial_\bullet \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0.$$

For a chain complex with trivial boundary maps, the homology groups coincide with the chain groups in each degree, so the associated long exact sequence in homology may be

written as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{im } \partial_{n+1} \otimes_R M \\
 & & & \nearrow \delta_{n+1} & \\
 \ker \partial_n \otimes_R M & \longleftarrow & H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_R M) & \longrightarrow & \text{im } \partial_n \otimes_R M \\
 & & \nearrow \delta_n & & \\
 \ker \partial_{n-1} \otimes_R M & \longleftarrow & \cdots & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\delta_n = \iota_n \otimes_R 1_M$ for the inclusion $\iota_n: \text{im } \partial_n \hookrightarrow \ker \partial_{n-1}$. The weaving lemma yields short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(\iota_{n+1} \otimes_R 1_M) \xrightarrow{\delta'_{n+1}} H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_R M) \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} \ker(\iota_n \otimes_R 1_M) \rightarrow 0.$$

where δ'_{n+1} and ∂'_n are the maps induced by δ_{n+1} and ∂_n , respectively. Since the tensor product preserves cokernels, the cokernel may be expressed as

$$\text{coker}(\iota_{n+1} \otimes_R 1_M) \cong \text{coker } \iota_{n+1} \otimes_R M \cong H_n(C_\bullet) \otimes_R M.$$

Under this identification, δ'_{n+1} takes $([c] \otimes m) \mapsto [c \otimes m]$. Since the failure of the tensor product to preserve kernels is measured by the first torsion group, the kernel may be expressed as

$$\ker(\iota_n \otimes_R 1_M) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(\text{coker } \iota_n, M) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(H_{n-1}(C_\bullet), M).$$

Substituting these isomorphisms into the short exact sequence above yields the desired result. Since the connecting homomorphism and isomorphisms used were all natural, the entire sequence is natural in both C_\bullet and M . \blacksquare

Remark 3.1. In the above proof, we implicitly used the fact that Tor was defined by taking a projective resolution of the first argument when computing the kernel of $\iota_n \otimes 1_M$. Since Tor is symmetric, one may also prove the theorem by taking a free resolution of the second argument M instead: given a free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

tensoring with C_\bullet and applying the weaving lemma to the associated long exact sequence also yields the desired result.

3.2. Cohomology

Let R be a commutative ring and let $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ be a chain complex of R -modules. The dual cochain complex $(C^\bullet, \delta^\bullet)$ is formed by taking the dual of each C_n , yielding the sequence of R -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C_{n-1}, R) \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \text{Hom}_R(C_n, R) \xrightarrow{d^n} \text{Hom}_R(C_{n+1}, R) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $d^n = (\partial_{n+1})^*$ is the pullback along ∂_{n+1} . More generally, one may bake coefficients into the cochain complex by instead taking the R -module of homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_R(C_n, M)$ for a fixed R -module M . This yields a new cochain complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C_{n-1}, M) \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \text{Hom}_R(C_n, M) \xrightarrow{d^n} \text{Hom}_R(C_{n+1}, M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

of R -modules, denoted by $\text{Hom}_R(C_\bullet, M)$. Note that this is a fundamentally different process than *adding* coefficients by tensoring the dual chain complex with M . The purpose of the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology is to relate the cohomology of $\text{Hom}_R(C_\bullet, M)$ to the cohomology of the dual complex C^\bullet .

Theorem 3.2 (Universal Coefficient Theorem for Cohomology). *Let R be a PID, and let $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ be a chain complex of free R -modules. Then for any R -module M , there exists a short exact sequence of R -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{n-1}(C_\bullet), M) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_R(C_\bullet, M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_n(C_\bullet), M) \rightarrow 0$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is natural in both C_\bullet and M . Furthermore, this sequence splits, but not naturally.

Proof. ■

4. Künneth Theorems

4.1. Homology

4.2. Cohomology

5. Applications