Course: CSC707, Automata, Computability and Computational Theory

Homework 1: Functions, Sets, Proofs, Induction, Countability

Submission: Use Wolfware

File Format: Both LaTeX and PDF

Due Date: 2:00 AM, Tuesday, January 19, 2010

- 1. Specify an estimate time spent (minutes) on each sub-problem (optional).
- 2. No penatly for skipping up to three (3) sub-problems at your choosing.
- 3. What sub-problems you would like us to discuss in class (optional).
- 4. Provide any feedback/questions you may have on this homework (optional).
- 5. Using LaTeX is required.
- 1. Prove of disprove the countability of each of the following sets:
  - (a)  $S = \{f : N \to N \mid f \text{ is total and, } \forall i \in N, f(i) \leq 2i\}$
  - (b) \_\_\_\_\_ The set of all finite sequences of natural numbers
  - (c) \_\_\_\_\_ The set of all subsets of a countable set
  - (d)  $S = \{1, 3, 5\}$
  - (e) \_\_\_\_\_ The set of all ordered pairs of integers

# **Answers**:

(a) We hope to discuss this sub-problem in the class.

For  $S = \{f : N \to N \mid f \text{ is total and, } \forall i \in N, f(i) \leq 2i\}$ , every  $x \in N$  maps to a finite subset of N:

$$1 \to \{1, 2\}, 2 \to \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \dots, n \to \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2 * n\}, \dots$$

Since the countable union of countable sets:  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j$ ,  $S_j$  is countable for  $\forall j, S = \{f : N \to N \mid f \text{ is total and, } \forall i \in N, f(i) \leq 2i\}$  is countable.

(b) The set of all finite sequences of natural numbers consists of 1-length sequences of N, 2-length sequences of N, ..., n-length sequences of N and so on. All these n-length sequences of N,  $n \in N$ , are countable. Since the countable union of countable sets is countable, the set of all finite sequences of natural numbers is countable.

- (c) The set of all subsets of a countable set is countable. Assume a countable set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n, \ldots\}$ , we can create a function to map a sequence  $A = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots \rangle$  to a subset of S. For example, given a subset S' of S, if  $s_1 \notin S'$ , then  $a_1 = 0$  in the corresponding sequence A' for S'. This function maps the countable set "sequence of S" onto the set of all subsets of a countable set S. Thus, the set of subsets of a countable set is countable.
- (d)  $S = \{1, 3, 5\}$  is countable since |S| is finite, which is 3.
- (e) I provide two solutions to it:
  - i. The set of all ordered pairs of integers is the cross-product of the set of integers,  $Z \times Z$ . Since the set of integers, Z, is coutable and  $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j$  is countable for  $\forall j, Z \times Z$  is countable. Thus, the set of all ordered pairs of integers is countable.
  - ii. I use a function that is similar to the one that counts the ordered pairs of natural numbers. For an ordered pair of integers, (i,j), I can compute their absolute values, (|i|,|j|), and use the function  $\frac{(|i|+|j|-2)(|i|+|j|-1)}{2}+|i|$  to compute the corresponding mapping value k. In this way, we can map the k value of the integer pairs, (i,j), i>0, j>0, to 4k+1, the k value of the integer pairs, (i,j), i<0, j>0, to 4k+2, the k value of the integer pairs, (i,j), i<0, j<0, to 4k+3, and the k value of the integer pairs, (i,j), i>0, j<0, to 4k+3. First I construct a function  $f(i,j): Z \to N, i \in Z, j \in Z, i \neq 0, j \neq 0$ :

$$f(i,j) = \begin{cases} f(i,j) = 4 * \left( \frac{(|i|+|j|-2)(|i|+|j|-1)}{2} + |i| \right) + 1 & \text{if } i > 0, j > 0 \\ f(i,j) = 4 * \left( \frac{(|i|+|j|-2)(|i|+|j|-1)}{2} + |i| \right) + 2 & \text{if } i < 0, j > 0 \\ f(i,j) = 4 * \left( \frac{(|i|+|j|-2)(|i|+|j|-1)}{2} + |i| \right) + 3 & \text{if } i < 0, j < 0 \\ f(i,j) = 4 * \left( \frac{(|i|+|j|-2)(|i|+|j|-1)}{2} + |i| \right) + 4 & \text{if } i > 0, j < 0 \end{cases}$$

Our new function use the same function to compute the corresponding mapping value k by replacing i,j with |i|,|j| and map (i,j) to 4k+x based on which quadrant (i,j) belong to. Since the function  $f(i,j) = \frac{(i+j-2)(i+j-1)}{2} + i, i \in N, j \in N$  is bijection, our function is also bijection. Thus, the ordered pairs of  $(i,j), i \in Z, j \in Z, i \neq 0, j \neq 0$  is countable. I can constuct the whole ordered pairs of integers by unioning the finite set of ordered pairs  $(i,j), i \in Z, j \in Z, i = 0$  or j = 0. Since both sets are countable, their union is countable, too.

2. Define the contrapositive (NOT) for each of the two statements:

(a) 
$$A$$
 AND (NOT  $B$ )  $\rightarrow C$  OR (NOT  $D$ )

(b)  $\exists p : \forall x \in L \ \exists \ u, v, w \text{ such that:}$ 

i. 
$$x = uvw$$

ii. 
$$|uv| \leq p$$

iii. 
$$|v| > 0$$

iv. 
$$\forall k \geq 0, uv^k w \in L$$

#### Answer:

(a) (NOT C) AND D  $\rightarrow$  (NOT A) OR B

(b)  $\forall p : \exists x \in L \ \forall \ u, v, w \text{ such that:}$ 

i. 
$$x \neq uvw$$
 OR

ii. 
$$|uv| > p$$
 OR

iii. 
$$|v| \le 0 \text{ OR}$$

iv. 
$$\exists k \geq 0, uv^k w \notin L$$

3. Correct (if necessary) each of the following claims and prove the claims:

(a) For 
$$\forall x, 2^x \geq x^2$$

(b) \_\_\_\_\_ There is no pair of integers a and  $b: a \mod b = b \mod a$ 

(c) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^3 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} i\right)^2$$

#### Answer:

(a) The claim,  $\forall x, 2^x \geq x^2$ , is not correct: When  $x = -2, 2^x = \frac{1}{4} < x^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ 

The corrected claim is:  $\forall x \geq 4, 2^x \geq x^2$ .

**Prove**: First I use induction to prove  $2^x \ge 2x + 1, \forall x \ge 4$ .

When 
$$x = 4, 2^x = 16, 2x + 1 = 9, 2^x \ge 2x + 1$$

Assume  $x > 4, 2^x \ge 2x + 1$ , then we have:

 $2^{x+1} = 2 * 2^x \ge 2x + 1 + 2 = 2(x+1) + 1$  Thus,  $2^x \ge 2x + 1, \forall x \ge 4$ .

Then I use induction to prove  $\forall x \geq 4, 2^x \geq x^2$ : When  $x = 4, 2^x = 16, x^2 = 16, 2^x \geq 2x + 1$ 

Assume  $x > 4, 2^x \ge x^2$ , then we have:

$$2^{x+1} = 2 * 2^x \ge x^2 + 2x + 1 = (x+1)^2 \Rightarrow 2^{x+1} \ge (x+1)^2$$

Thus,  $2^x \ge 2x + 1, \forall x \ge 4$ .

(b) The claim, there is no pair of integers a and b:  $a \mod b = b \mod a$ , is not correct.

**Prove**: If a = b, then  $a \mod b = 0$ ,  $b \mod a = 0$  and  $a \mod b = b \mod a$ , which means the claim is not correct. Therefore, the claim should be changed to: there is no pair of distinct non-zero integers a and  $b : a \mod b = b \mod a$ . Prove: When ab = 0,  $a \mod b$ ,  $b \mod a$ , or both  $a \mod b$  and  $b \mod a$  is invalid since the modulo can not be 0. When ab < 0, then  $(a \mod b) * (b \mod a) < 0$  and  $(a \mod b) \ne (b \mod a)$ . When ab > 0 and assume |a| > b,  $b \mod a = b$  and

 $a \mod b \neq b$ . Therefore, the claim, there is no pair of distinct non-zero integers  $a \mod b : a \mod b = b \mod a$ , is correct.

- (c) Prove: The sum of cubes can be represented as:  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^3 = \frac{n^2*(n+1)^2}{4}$ . Since  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i = \frac{n*(n+1)}{2}$ ,  $\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} i\right)^2 = (\frac{n*(n+1)}{2})^2 = \frac{n^2*(n+1)^2}{4}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i^3 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} i\right)^2$ . The claim is correct.
- 4. Prove or disprove each of the following claims:
  - (a)  $\sqrt{2}$  is a rational number
  - (b) \_\_\_\_\_ Any even number is composite (i.e. not prime)

## Answer:

- (a) Disprove: Assume  $\sqrt{2}$  is a rational number. Thus, we can have:  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$  such that a and b do not have common divisor. From  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$ , we can have:  $2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$ , from which we can further get  $a^2 = 2*b^2$ . Then we know  $a^2$  is an even number and a must be an even number, since the square of an odd number can not be an even number. Knowing that a is an even number, we can replace a with 2\*k and we have:  $4*k^2 = 2*b^2 \Rightarrow b^2 = 2*k^2$ , which means that b is also an even number. If a and b are both even numbers, then they have a common divisor, a, which means that we have a conflict with our assumption that a and a do not have common divisor. Therefore, a is not a rational number.
- (b) Disprove: 2 is an even number since it can be divided by itself. 2 is also a prime number since it has exactly two distinct natural number divisors, 1 and 2. Thus, not every even number is composite.
- 5. State (without proof) if a definition is one-to-one, onto, or a bijection.
  - (a) \_\_\_\_\_  $f: Z \to Z \mid f(i) = |i|$
  - (b)  $f: N \to \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \mid f(i) \equiv i \mod 4$
  - (c)  $\frac{1}{f(2)=3}$   $f: \{1,2,3\} \to \{1,2,3\} \mid f(1)=3, f(2)=1, f(3)=2,$

## Answer:

- (a)  $f: Z \to Z \mid f(i) = |i|$  is not one-to-one, onto, or a bijection.
- (b)  $f: N \to \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \mid f(i) \equiv i \mod 4$  is onto.
- (c)  $f: \{1,2,3\} \to \{1,2,3\} \mid f(1)=3, f(2)=1, f(3)=2, f(2)=3$  is onto.
- 6. The ugly proof.

- (a) Choose any of the above sub-problems.
- (b) Provide an **ugly** solution to this sub-problem.

The 'ugliest solution' is the one that contains either common or hard-to-catch mistakes. The more mistakes the better, but the elegancy of the mistakes will be valued higher.

## Answers:

- (a) I choose problem 1(c): prove or disprove the set of all subsets of a countable set.
- (b) The set of all subsets of a countable set is countable. A subset of a countable set is countable and the set of all subsets of a countable set can be viewed as the countable union of its subsets. Since the coutable union of countable sets:  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j$  is countable for  $\forall j$ , the set of all subsets of a countable set is countable.

The problem of this ugly proof is: the union of all its subsets equals itself.