Genes expressed in the *Drosophila* head reveal a role for fat cells in sex-specific physiology

Shinsuke Fujii and Hubert Amrein¹

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University Medical Center, 252 CARL Bldg/Research Drive, Durham, NC 27710, USA

¹Corresponding author e-mail: hoa1@duke.edu

The downstream effectors of the Drosophila sex determination cascade are mostly unknown and thought to mediate all aspects of sexual differentiation, physiology and behavior. Here, we employed serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) to identify male and female effectors expressed in the head, and report 46 sexbiased genes (>4-fold/P < 0.01). We characterized four novel, male- or female-specific genes and found that all are expressed mainly in the fat cells in the head. Tsx (turn on sex-specificity), sxe1 and sxe2 (sex-specific enzyme 1/2) are expressed in males, but not females, and are dependent on the known sex determination pathway, specifically transformer (tra) and its downstream target doublesex (dsx). Female-specific expression of the fourth gene, fit (female-specific independent of transformer), is not controlled by tra and dsx, suggesting an alternative pathway for the regulation of some effector genes. Our results indicate that fat cells in the head express sex-specific effectors, thereby generating distinct physiological conditions in the male and female head. We suggest that these differences have consequences on the male and female brain by modulating sex-specific neuronal processes.

Keywords: Drosophila/fat cells/mating behavior/SAGE/sex-specific genes

Introduction

In *Drosophila*, sex determination is mediated by the ratio of X chromosomes to sets of autosomes (X:A ratio). A ratio of 1 (2X:2A) initiates a regulatory cascade involving the splicing regulators Sex-lethal (Sxl), transformer (tra) and transformer2 (tra2), and ultimately leads to the generation of sex-specific transcription factors encoded by doublesex (dsx) and fruitless (fru; reviewed by McKeown, 1994; Cline and Meyer, 1996). Sxl is transcriptionally active in both sexes, but only the female embryos produce functional SXL protein by a mechanism of alternative splicing which is maintained by an autoregulatory feedback mechanism (Bell et al., 1991). SXL also regulates female-specific splicing of tra premRNA to allow production of functional TRA protein (Sosnowski et al., 1989; Inoue et al., 1990). TRA and the constitutively expressed TRA2 (Amrein et al., 1988; Goralski et al., 1989) positively regulate dsx pre-mRNA splicing to generate a female-specific transcript encoding

DSXf protein (Burtis and Baker, 1989). In males, which have an X:A ratio of 0.5 (1X:2A), the absence of SXL, and consequently of TRA, results in constitutive splicing of dsx pre-mRNA to produce a transcript that encodes a male-specific protein, DSX^m. The absence of TRA in males also results in the alternative splicing of fru premRNA, leading to expression of a male-specific FRU^m protein, which is essential for female-directed male courtship behavior (Ryner et al., 1996). The function of TRA and TRA2 for female differentiation is dramatically revealed in XX flies homozygous mutant for either gene: these flies are sexually transformed and develop as socalled ψ (pseudo)-males, which are indistinguishable from normal XY males in morphology and behavior, but are sterile (Baker and Ridge, 1980; Belote and Baker, 1987). Three other regulatory genes, intersex (ix), hermaphrodite (her) and dissatisfaction (dsf), are also involved in the regulation of sex determination. However, they are likely to mediate their male and female functions through other sex-specific factors, since at least HER and DSF appear to be expressed equally in both sexes (Chase and Baker, 1995; Finley et al., 1998; Li and Baker, 1998).

Whereas the regulatory splicing pathway of Sxltra-dsx/fru is well understood, much less is known about the downstream targets (effectors). The only sex-specific effectors identified to date are the female-specifically expressed yolk protein genes yp1, yp2 and yp3, at least two of which (yp1 and yp2) are directly regulated by the DSX proteins (Burtis et al., 1991; Coschigano and Wensink, 1993; An and Wensink, 1995a,b). DSXf and DSXm share identical DNA-binding domains, but differ in their C-termini, which function as protein-protein interaction domains (Coschigano and Wensink, 1993; Erdman and Burtis, 1993). This observation, together with in vivo analysis of yp1 promoter-lacZ reporter constructs (Abrahamsen et al., 1993), has led to a model in which DSXf acts as a transcriptional activator and DSXm as a repressor of the yp1 and yp2 genes. No other downstream target genes of DSX or FRU are known.

To understand the molecular, sex-specific processes that operate during development and control adult behavior, genome-wide expression analysis will be necessary. Here we report a first step towards this goal. To identify effector genes with sex-specific or sex-biased expression in the adult, we carried out serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) and generated a transcript inventory of the *Drosophila* male and female head. Analysis of >7000 unique tags (i.e. transcripts) revealed the presence of 46 sex-biased candidate genes. Northern blot analysis of eight novel candidates confirmed sex specificity/bias for all of them. Interestingly, four of the genes were expressed in either males or females only, most notably in the fat cells of the head. Three of these genes are virtually male specific and are dependent on the sex determination

cascade, particularly on *dsx*. Surprisingly, expression of the fourth gene, which is highly female biased, is dependent on *Sxl*, but independent of *tra*, *tra2* and *dsx*, suggesting the existence of an alternative pathway important for sex-specific gene expression. We propose that the fat cells in the head, and perhaps other nonneuronal cells, play an important role in establishing sex-specific differences in physiology, which might modulate brain function and ultimately influence male and female behaviors, including courtship and mating.

Results

We sought to identify genes that are expressed at significantly different levels in adult males and females. We reasoned that SAGE (Velculescu et al., 1995) could be used efficiently to investigate differences in gene expression in the male and female head and, thus, should allow us to identify sex-specific genes that might be involved in behavior. We decided to use RNA from heads, as opposed to whole flies or dissected brains, for two main reasons. First, it eliminates a large number of genes expressed in the testes and ovaries. Secondly, even though the brain plays the central role in behavior, it may not necessarily be the major source of male- and female-specific genes, but rather be modulated by 'instructive signals' such as peptides and/or hormones synthesized in other tissues. Therefore, we generated SAGE libraries from RNA of wild-type male and female heads and compiled a data set of >110 000 individual transcripts (67 000 female tags and 49 000 male tags) representing ~7000 genes (for details, see Materials and methods). The vast majority of tags are present at similar levels in both sexes (Figure 1A).

A small set of sex-biased transcripts identified by SAGE

We focused our studies on genes that were expressed at least 4-fold more abundantly in one sex versus the other. Applying moderate (P < 0.01) or stringent (P < 0.001) probability criteria, we identified 46 or 13 genes, respectively (Figure 1A; Table I; see Materials and methods), including all five previously known sex-specific genes, the three *yolk protein* (*yp*) and both *roX* genes. *roX1* and *roX2* were found exclusively in males and were represented by 13 and 12 tags, respectively. (Note that throughout this paper, the terms 'sex-specific', 'male-specific' and 'female-specific' refer to expression differences of at least 10-fold between the sexes as analyzed by northern blot analysis and phosphoimaging.) Tags for the yp genes were found several hundred times in the female library, but only once or never in the male library (Table I). Sex-biased expression of the eight novel candidates was confirmed by northern blot analysis on male and female head RNA (Figure 1B). We focused our investigations on the four novel, sex-specific genes CG7592, CG17820, cyp4d21 and CG4979. The entire data set from our SAGE can be accessed at http://rush.genetics.duke.edu/amrein/sage/

The tags representing the male-specific genes encode members of three distinct protein families (Table I): an odorant-/pheromone-binding protein (opbp; CG7592), a cytochrome P450 enzyme (CYPs; *cyp4d21*) and a phospholipase (CG4979). The female-specific tag identified a gene of unknown biochemical function (CG17820).

We shall refer to these genes as *turn-on sex-specificity* (tsx), sex-specific enzyme 1 and 2 (sxe1 and sxe2) and female-specific independent of transformer (fit), respectively.

Tissue and developmental expression of the sex-specific genes

We investigated the tissue-specific expression of tsx, sxe1, sxe2 and fit using northern blot hybridization with RNA isolated from the head, thorax and abdomen of male and female adult flies (Figure 2A). Northern blot analysis confirmed our results from the SAGE screen; all four genes were expressed in the head in a sex-specific manner. In addition, tsx was expressed in all major body segments of the adult, whereas sxe1 and fit are expressed at much lower levels in the thorax than the head and not at all in the abdomen. Finally, sxe2 is expressed exclusively in the male head. Initially, in situ hybridization experiments for all four genes did not show defined and localized signals within the head, whereas control experiments with the male-specific roX2 gene (Amrein and Axel, 1997), the neuron-specific synaptobrevin gene (n-syb; Sudhof et al., 1989) and the fat body-specific yp3 gene (Garabedian et al., 1987) revealed the expected sex and tissue specificity (Figure 3, right panel; data not shown). This observation suggested that the expression levels of the novel genes are too low for detection by this technique. However, during the course of this study, we identified two strains (w^{1118} and B^s ; CyO/cn tra2 bw) in which tsx and fit are expressed at significantly higher levels than in wildtype Ore-R flies (Figure 3C). We therefore performed in situ hybridization experiments on tissue sections of fly heads from these strains for both tsx and fit. Hybridization signals of tsx transcripts were localized to the (deep) fat cells in the ventral and dorsal regions of the head but not the brain, the eyes, the antenna, the maxillary palps or the labellum of CvO males or tra2 ψ-males (Figure 3A, left panel, and B). As expected, no hybridization signals were observed in any parts of female heads (Figure 3A, bottom of left panel). For fit, we used heads from males and females of the w^{1118} strain (Figure 3A, middle panel). Hybridization signals were only found in females, but not males, and were also restricted to deep fat cells in both the dorsal and ventral part of the head. Again, we noticed no fit-positive cells in the brain, the visual or the chemosensory systems. Thus, these data showed that tsx and fit are expressed mainly in the fat cells of the male or female head, respectively.

To examine tissue specificity further, we performed northern blot analysis of RNA from dissected heads (Figure 2B). The 'purity' of the dissected tissue was examined by northern hybridization experiments with probes for tissue-specific genes, which demonstrated that the desired tissue was either successfully enriched for or removed. For example, brain-specific *n-syb* transcripts (Sudhof *et al.*, 1989) were highly enriched in dissected brain (B) when compared with carcass (C), whereas fat body-specific *yp3* expression (Garabedian *et al.*, 1987) was found abundantly in the carcasses and heads lacking chemosensory organs (H⁻), but not the brain. Similarly, *pbprp2* expression, which is restricted to the chemosensory system (Pikielny *et al.*, 1994), was almost entirely lost in the H⁻ fraction (Figure 2B, lower panels). When these




Fig. 1. Identification of sex-biased SAGE tags and expression of candidate genes. (A) Distribution of SAGE tags from male and female head libraries. The number of times each unique SAGE tag was observed was plotted on a logarithmic scale. Tags not found in a library were converted to a value of 1. Male tag numbers are normalized to female tag numbers (coefficient is 1.4). Triangles indicate tags with P < 0.001; crosses indicate tags with P > 0.01. (B) Northern blot analysis of eight novel, sex-biased genes in male and female heads. Total RNA was isolated from dissected male and female heads. Blots were hybridized with probes to all eight novel genes, represented by SAGE tags that are at least four times more abundant in one library versus the other (> 4-fold; P < 0.001). 18S/28S and 5S RNA markers are indicated on the left and were derived from ethicium bromide-stained gels. All blots contained 5 μ g of male and female RNA per lane, respectively, and were re-hybridized with a probe against the p49 gene to provide an RNA loading control.

northern blots were hybridized with probes for *tsx*, *sxe1*, *sxe2* and *fit*, we found that none was expressed in the brain (Figure 2B, top panels, lane B). All genes were expressed in the C and H⁻ preparations, suggesting that the head carcass, but not the chemosensory system, is the main source of transcripts for all four genes (Figure 2B, top panels, lanes C and H⁻). These findings are consistent with the *in situ* hybridization experiments that detected *tsx* and *fit* transcripts in the deep fat cells in the head (Figure 3A).

To investigate whether *tsx*, *sxe1*, *sxe2* and *fit* are expressed exclusively in adults, we hybridized probes for each of them to RNA from various embryonic, larval and pupal stages. The only gene expressed prior to the adult stage was *tsx*, which was first observed in the third instar

larvae, but then gradually decreases during pupal stages (data not shown). Interestingly, expression in larvae is non-sex specific and higher than in adult males.

The male-specifically expressed genes are dependent on tra, tra2 and dsx function

To explore the regulation of the four sex-specific genes, we carried out a series of northern blot analyses using flies with mutations in various sex determination genes. For example, XX animals homozygous mutant for tra or tra2 are sexually transformed into ψ -males, which are indistinguishable from wild-type, tra or tra2 XY males in their sexual morphology and behavior. Thus, male-specific genes that are downstream of Sxl and tra/tra2 in the sex

Table I. List of sex-biased tags in the adult fly head

Tag sequence	Male	Female	P chance	Gene symbol	Function/note	CG number	Location
GCCACGCCCC	0	667	< 0.0001	<i>Yp3</i>	Yolk protein	11129	12B8
CGAGCGAACC	0	644	< 0.0001	Yp2	Yolk protein	2979	9B1
GGCATCGATA	1	381	< 0.0001	Yp1	Yolk protein	2985	9B1
GATCCAGCCA	28	1	< 0.0001	$CG7592 (tsx)^a$	Ligand binding or carrier	7592	99B8
TCATTCATTC	0	26	< 0.0001	CG17820 (fit)a	Unknown	17820	93F8-9
CCAGGAGCAA	14	0	< 0.0001	Cyp4d21 (sxe1) ^a	Cytochrome P450	6730	28B4
TTTTGACAGA	13	0	< 0.0001		Non-coding RNA, dosage compensation	_	3F
GTTGACGCGC	12	0	< 0.0001	roX2	Non-coding RNA, dosage compensation	_	10B17
GGCGGTGAAC	13	2	0.0005	CG4979 (sxe2)a	Phosphatidylserine-specific phospholipase A1	4979	89B6
GAGGCGGCGG		54		CG11458a	Unknown	11458	77F4
CTGTGTTTAT	16	4	0.0008	yellow-c ^a	yellow family	4182	35B8
CCAACATCGT		7		CG7433a	4-aminobutyrate aminotransferase	7433	76D8–E1
ATCCACGTCC		11	< 0.0001		L-iditol 2-dehydrogenase	1982	84B2
CACTTCGTAG	8	0	0.001	CG15219b	Testis-specific transcript	15219	40B1
GCCTATTCGT	8	0	0.001	AI946554 ^b	Testis-specific transcript	_	60E12
TAATAACAAC		1	0.001	CG10135	Calcium binding, calcium sensing	10135	87D1
TGCGATTCCA		2	0.001	CG5288	Galactose kinase	5288	66E6
TTAAAATTAA	8	0	0.001	CG15425	Unknown	15425	24E1
TTTAGTTCTT		1	0.001	RH08983.3'	- -	-	33E9
TACAGCGTAA		3	0.002	Peb ^b	Testis-specific transcript	2665	60F5
TACTTATGTC	9	1	0.002	CG3488	Esterase/lipase/thioesterase family	3488	23D4
ACGTCAGAAC		3	0.002	BcDNA:LD06023	Unknown	_	102F4
	10	2	0.005	CG2736	Scavenger receptor, defense response	2736	60F4
TGAGGATGAA		2	0.005	Actn	Calcium binding, cytoskeletal anchor protein	4376	2C4-7
TTTGAACATT		2	0.005	AE003804/AE003542	C. 1	-	53E10-54B8/68F1-69A3
AAAACAACAG		1	0.006	AE003803	_	_	54B8-C11
ACGATGTTGA	6	0	0.006	CG1764	Dimethylargininase	1764	11E9
ATGATTACCA	6	0	0.006	CG5344	RAB GTPase activator	5344	86E15
ATGGTATGCC	8	1	0.006	CG17661	Ligand binding or carrier, calcium binding	17661	Unknown
CGGATTGCTG	6	0	0.006	AE003677/AE003736		-	84E4-F2/93F3-F12
CTGAAACATC	8	1	0.006	GM06073.5'	_	_	67E1–3
GACCCTAACC	6	0	0.006	Mio	Transcription factor	18362	39C1-3
GCGGCAGTGG		1	0.006	CG11236	D-aspartate oxidase	11236	27B1
TATTGAATAT	8	1	0.006	DIP1	dsRNA binding, chromatin binding	17686	20A
TTAGAATGGT	8	1	0.006	HL04936.5'	dskiva binding, chromatin binding	-	82C3
TTTGGAATAA	6	0	0.006	CG2131	Procollagen <i>N</i> -endopeptidase,	2131	39F1-3
TTTTCCAATT	8	1	0.006	CG2131 CG12012	Unknown	12012	63D2
ACCAAGGCAC		9	0.007	CG72012 CG7461	Very long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase	7461	56D3
		9	0.007	AE003649	very long-chain acyi-CoA denydrogenase	/401 _	35D7–F1
CGAGCAGAAA		9			A navia un magulated mustain		
CTGTCAACCT	0	12	0.007 0.008	fau PH10272 5'	Anoxia up-regulated protein	6544	86C4
ACTATATAC	1 9			RH19272.5'	- Links over	20060	24A5
ACAATATACG		2	0.009	CG30069	Unknown	30069	50E8
GATCGCTACC	9	2	0.009	msta	Unknown	18033	2E2
TATATCTATA	9	2	0.009	cmp44E	Plasma membrane protein	8739	44E4
TATTACTGCC	9	2	0.009	CG9645	Enteropeptidase	9645	88A12
TTCTTGAATG	9	2	0.009	CG11572	Unknown	11572	102A7-8

Male tag numbers are normalized to female tag numbers (coefficient is 1.4). Tags are listed as P < 0.01, and fold difference ≥ 4 . P was calculated using Monte Carlo Simulation in the SAGE 2000 Software v4.12. Gene symbol, predicted function, CG number and location are retrieved from FlyBase at http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu. If a tag matches multiple ESTs from the same gene, only one EST is listed. Due to biological (alternative splicing, multiple sites of polyadenylation) and technical (incomplete digestion of cDNAs with NlaIII) reasons, several tags may be annotated to a single gene. In these cases, only the most abundant tag is listed in this table. Genes known previously to be expressed in a sex-biased manner are in bold. ^aNorthern blot analyses for these tags are shown in Figure 2B.

determination cascade should be expressed in ψ -males and, conversely, female-specific genes should be repressed. We first analyzed expression of tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 in heterozygous males and females of these various strains, which confirmed that these genes were only expressed in males but not females (Figure 3C; data not shown). We then investigated the tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 expression in XX flies homozygous mutant for Sxl, tra and tra2, and found that all were expressed in these ψ -males (Figure 4A and B). Expression levels for tsx were much higher in tra2 ψ -males and tra2 tra2 tra2 males than tra3 tra3 tra4 tra4 tra5 tra5

CyO/tra2 strain, but not the tra2 mutation itself. In any case, there was no significant difference in expression levels of all three genes between XY males and XX ψ -males within a given strain (Figure 4A, compare lanes 3 and 5; B, compare lanes 3 and 4, and 5 and 6), indicating that tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 are regulated by Sxl, tra and tra2. These results are also consistent with the RNA in situ hybridization experiments, which showed tsx expression in tra2 ψ -males (Figure 3A).

The dominant Y-linked eye mutation Bar^{stone} (B^s) is present in the tra and tra2 strains to distinguish sexually transformed XX ψ -males from XY males. B^s reduces the size of the eye and the visual brain centers by ~90%

^bBy Northern blot analysis, these tags were found to be expressed only in the male abdomen, but not the head (data not shown).




Fig. 2. Expression of tsx, sxe1, sxe2 and fit is restricted mainly to the head. (A) Northern blot analysis was carried out with RNA isolated from head (H), thorax (T) and abdomen (A) to determine sex- and tissue-specific expression. Approximately 5 μg of total RNA were loaded in each lane. Identical northern blots were hybridized with probes for tsx, sxe1, sxe2 and fit. An rp49 probe was used as an RNA loading control. (B) Northern blot analysis of various head tissues from males and females: brains without the optic lobes (B) were dissected from the remaining parts of the head carcass (C), which consisted mainly of the cuticle (containing no RNA) and the fat cells, as well as the visual, olfactory (antenna and maxillary palps) and taste sensory systems (labellum). Note that deep fat cells in the head were partly lost in the dissection procedure and are therefore reduced in either B or C. The H- lane contained RNA isolated from heads in which all chemosensory organs (antenna, maxillary palps and labellum) were removed. Identical northern blots were hybridized with probes for tsx, sxe1, sxe2 and control genes (pbprp2, n-syb and rp49) or for fit and control genes (yp3, pbprp2, n-syb and rp49), respectively.

(Childress, 1973). As shown in Figure 4B, males with wild-type and B^s eyes have similar transcript levels of all three male-specific genes (compare lanes 1 and 3), indicating that the visual system cannot be the major site of expression for tsx, sxe1 and sxe2. This observation is consistent with previous northern blots and in situ hybridization experiments (Figures 2B, 3A and B).

To test whether tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 were indeed dependent on dsx, we investigated their expression in flies with different dsx mutations. dsx^{I} is a null mutation, generating no functional DSX protein (Nöthiger et al., 1987; Burtis, 1993). Thus, dsx^{I} homozygous mutant flies are intersexual in phenotype, regardless of their karyotype. dsx^{D} is an insertion of a transposable DNA element in the fourth, female-specific exon, leading to TRA-independent, male-specific dsx splicing (Nagoshi and Baker, 1990). Therefore, in hemizygous X/X; $dsx^{D}/Df[dsx]$ flies, only

DSX^m but no DSX^f is produced, whereas in heterozygous X/X: dsx^D/dsx^+ flies, both forms of DSX are generated (DSX^f from the dsx^+ allele and DSX^m from the dsx^D allele). Northern blot analysis of RNA isolated from heads of these various dsx mutant flies indicated that all three genes are controlled by dsx (Figure 4C). First, tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 were expressed, albeit at reduced levels, in dsx¹ homozygous XX and XY intersexes, suggesting that the absence of functional DSX leads to basal expression of these genes (Figure 4C, lanes 3 and 4). Secondly, X/X; dsx^D/Df ψ -males (producing only DSX^m) and X/X; dsx^{D}/dsx^{+} intersexes (producing both DSX^m and DSX^f) also express all three genes (Figure 4C, lanes 5 and 6). Thus, our data demonstrate that tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 are downstream effectors of the sex determination cascade and may be direct targets of dsx.

fit is dependent on Sxl, but not on tra and tra2

As for the male-specific genes, we investigated the regulation of the female-specific gene fit using flies mutant for the sex determination genes. Genes normally expressed only in females are expected to be repressed in any ψ -male, if regulated by the sex determination cascade. We found that fit expression was indeed abolished in Sxl ψ -males (Figure 5A, lane 5). Sxl/Y males showed no fit expression, just like wild-type males, because males do not produce any SXL protein (Figure 5A, lane 3). This result suggested that fit expression is dependent on Sxl function, just as observed for the three male-specific genes.

We then asked whether fit is also dependent on regulators downstream of Sxl. Northern blot analysis of homozygous mutant tra and tra2 flies revealed that ψ-males showed high levels of *fit* expression that typically are observed in heterozygous females of these strains (Figures 5B, lanes 4 and 6, and 3C, lane 6; data not shown). Similarly, homozygous males showed a very low level of fit expression, similar to that observed for heterozygous XY males of these strains (Figures 5B, lanes 3 and 5, and 3C, lane 5; data not shown). Taken together, these data indicate that fit expression is independent of tra, tra2 and, hence, the downstream regulators dsx and fru. In addition, analysis of RNA from different strains revealed rather large variability in expression levels of fit in females. In strains with elevated levels of female fit expression, low levels were observed in males (Figures 3C and 5B).

Ectopic expression of TSX in females reduces receptivity

To investigate a potential role for TSX in behavior, we constructed GAL4-dependent UAS reporters with epitopetagged (V5; see Materials and methods) cDNAs for these genes and generated transgenic flies. The TSX protein was stably expressed under the control of a ubiquitously expressed GAL4 driver (actin: GAL4; data not shown). We tested whether ectopic expression of TSX caused any effects on mating behavior and measured mating performance of males and females by determining latency time in single mating set-ups (for details, see Materials and methods). No obvious effects on mating performance were observed in males with ubiquitous TSX expression, but we observed that TSX-expressing females were negatively affected (Table II). In both lines tested,

significantly fewer TSX-expressing females mated during the observation period (15 min) when compared with control females. The increase in non-maters is probably caused by an elevated escape response, as TSX-expressing females often run away from an approaching male. Taken together, our experiments suggest that ectopic TSX expression interferes with normal female mating response.

Discussion

Genetic investigations have led to an understanding of the molecular splicing cascade that culminates in the expression of sex-specific transcription factors encoded by *dsx* and *fru*. We hypothesized that a detailed analysis of gene expression differences between adult males and females





Fig. 3. tsx and fit are expressed in the fat cells of the head. (A) In situ hybridization of digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA derived from a tsx and fit cDNA clone reveals specific signals in large fat cells in the ventral and dorsal region (red arrows) of the head capsule of CyO males, tra2 ψ-males (tsx) and w^{1118} females (fit), respectively. Note the absence of signal in the brain and the visual system. The female (tsx) and the male (fit) head show no hybridization signals. Sections of males, ψ-males and females were also hybridized with antisense RNA probes derived from tsy (right panel) to indicate the location of neuron cell bodies in the brain. Strains used were tsy tsy tsy tsy and tsy tsy

could lead to an understanding of the critical sex-specific physiological events downstream of these regulators. The characterization of the first few candidates identified by SAGE has established the value of this approach.

fit is regulated by a tra-independent pathway

An unexpected result was the identification of a *Sxl*-dependent, but *tra*- and *tra2*-independent pathway that mediates female-specific *fit* expression. It is generally believed that most aspects of sexual differentiation and behavior are mediated by *tra* and *tra2* (Cline and Meyer, 1996; Baker *et al.*, 2001). However, this assumption has not been tested rigorously for female mating behaviors.

How is *fit* expression controlled in females? First, *fit* could be regulated directly by SXL, which seems unlikely, because SXL functions post-transcriptionally (Bell *et al.*, 1988, 1991; Salz *et al.*, 1989; Valcarcel *et al.*, 1993;



Bashaw and Baker, 1995). The known RNA targets of SXL, Sxl, tra and msl2 pre-mRNAs, are stably expressed in the absence of SXL in males (McKeown et al., 1987; Bell et al., 1988; Bashaw and Baker, 1995; Kelley et al., 1995; Zhou et al., 1995). Therefore, if fit RNA were a target of SXL, we would expect to find stable fit RNA precursor in males as well as conserved SXL-binding sites within the fit pre-mRNA. Neither is the case for fit. A second possibility is that fit is controlled through a Sxldependent, unknown regulator. We identified several strains in which females expressed higher levels of fit RNA than wild-type Ore-R females (Figure 3C). Variations in *fit* expression are probably caused by differences in genetic backgrounds, which could include both cis-regulatory elements in the fit promoter and transacting factors. Identifying these elements might provide an opportunity to elucidate the nature of the tra-independent pathway that regulates fit expression. Naturally, exogenous factors, such as temperature, diet, population density, etc., may also influence fit expression.

Sex-specific functions may be mediated by the fat cells in the head

Another intriguing result from our investigations is the observation that none of the four genes is expressed at significant levels in the brain. Instead, our studies show that tsx, sxe1, sxe2 and fit are expressed mainly in the fat cells of the head (Figures 2 and 3), which suggests that these genes create different physiological conditions in the adult male and female head important for sex-specific functions.

We propose that *fit*, *sxe1* and *sxe2*, which are expressed mainly in the head, may exert their functions on the brain. Similar to the pituitary in the head of mammals, the fat cells could play the role of an endocrine organ. Such a function has been shown for the fat cells of females, where the YPs are synthesized and released into the hemolymph (Butterworth *et al.*, 1991, 1999).

Based on the predicted protein sequences, we can envisage sex-specific roles for *sxe1* and *tsx. tsx* encodes a

Fig. 4. Expression of tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 is dependent on Sxl, tra and dsx. Blots were hybridized with RNA from manually dissected heads. Approximately 5 µg of RNA were loaded per lane. All blots were rehybridized with an rp49 probe to monitor RNA loading. Head RNA from Ore-R males and females was used for comparison in each experiment (lanes 1 and 2). (A) Expression of tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 in Sxl mutant flies: Sxl ψ-males express all three male-specific genes, as indicated by strong hybridization signals in lane 5. No expression was observed in heterozygous Sxl females (lane 4). Genotypes were: y cm Sxl^{f7M1}ct v/Y males (lane 3); w Sxl^{M1f3}sn/Binsinscy females (lane 4); and y cm Sxl^{f7M1}ct v/w Sxl^{M1,f3}sn ψ-males (lane 5). (**B**) Expression of tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 in tra and tra2 mutant flies: both tra and tra2 ψ-males strongly express all three male-specific genes, as indicated by the strong hybridization signals in lanes 4 and 6, respectively. Genotypes were: X/B^sY ; th st tra cp ri p^p/tra e ca males (lane 3); X/X; th st tra cp ri p^p/tra e ca Ψ-males (lane 4): B^sY: cn tra2 bw/cn tra2 bw males (lane 5); and X/X; cn tra2 bw/ cn tra2 bw ψ-males (lane 6). (C) Expression of tsx, sxe1 and sxe2 in various dsx mutant flies: XX flies with various dsx mutations show a low to intermediate level of expression of tsx, sxe1 and sxe2. Note the dramatic increase of tsx expression in flies expressing DSX^m (lanes 5 and 6) when compared with flies with no functional DSX protein, suggesting an activating role for dsx on tsx. Genotypes were: X/B^sY ; dsx^1/dsx^1 intersexes (lane 3); X/X; dsx^1/dsx^1 intersexes (lane 4); X/X; TM6/dsxD intersexes; and X/X; Df[dsx]/dsxD ψ -males; X/X; TM6/dsx^D intersexes (lane 5); and X/X; Df[dsx]/dsx^D ψ males (lane 6).




Fig. 5. fit is not regulated by tra and tra2. Blots were hybridized with RNA from manually dissected heads. Approximately 5 µg of RNA were loaded per lane. All blots were re-hybridized with an rp49 probe to monitor RNA loading. RNA from Ore-R males and females was used for comparison in each experiment (lanes 1 and 2). (A) Expression of fit in Sxl mutant flies. Sxl \psi-males do not express the fit gene, as indicated by complete lack of signal in lane 5. Note that yp3 is also dependent on SLX. Genotypes were: y cm Sxlf7,M1ct v/Y males (lane 3); w SxlM1,f3sn /Binsinscy females (lane 4); and y cm Sxlf7,M1ct v/w $Sxl^{MI,f3}sn$ ψ -males (lane 5). (**B**) Expression of fit in tra and tra2 mutant flies. Both tra and tra2 \psi-males express significant levels of fit RNA, similar to that observed in heterozygous females of these strains (see Figure 3C), as indicated by clear hybridization signals in lanes 4 and 6, respectively. A very low level of fit expression was observed in both homozygous XY males (lanes 3 and 5) and heterozygous males (Figure 3C, lane 5). Genotypes were X/B^sY ; th st tra cp ri p^p / tra e ca males (lane 3); X/X; th st tra cp ri p^p / tra e ca ψ -males (lane 4); B^sY ; cn tra2 bw/cn tra2 bw males (lane 5); and X/X; cn tra2 bw/ cn tra2 bw ψ -males (lane 6).

member of the opbp gene family. Oderant/pheromone binding proteins (OPBPs) are expressed generally in support cells of chemosensory sensilla and secreted into the extracellular lymph space, where they interact with odor and taste ligands to increase their solubility, protect them from degradation or remove them from the lymph space (Pikielny et al., 1994; Galindo and Smith, 2001). We suggest that TSX has been co-opted for a role to interact with and transport small molecules in the head. Upon release from the fat cells, TSX bound to a ligand may reach a target organ, for example the brain, to exert its physiological effects. The reduced mating activity of females ectopically expressing TSX is consistent with such a role (Table II). In addition, a precedent for a protein related to *Drosophila* OPBPs with a putative function unrelated to chemosensation has been reported in rats (Schoentgen and Jolles, 1995).

Expression of all *Drosophila opbp* genes, including *tsx*, has been analyzed previously using the GAL4/UAS system (Galindo and Smith, 2001). These experiments

Table II. Reduced mating performance of females ectopically expressing TSX protein

Genotype	n	Fraction of females that fail to copulate (%)	Latencies (min)
Act:GAL4/UAS:tsx_V5.4	60	41.7	5.9 ± 0.7
UAS:tsx_V5.4/+	64	19.4	5.6 ± 0.6
Act:GAL4/UAS:tsx_V5.5	60	26.7	5.7 ± 0.6
UAS:tsx_V5.5 /+	55	10.9	5.8 ± 0.5
Act:GAL4/+	63	15.9	5.8 ± 0.6
White	63	12.7	5.5 ± 0.5
Wild type	63	15.9	3.8 ± 0.6

Individual virgin females (3–6 days old) of the genotype indicated were placed with a single *Ore-R* male (3–8 days old) in a mating chamber (see Materials and methods). Each pair was observed for 15 min. The time to copulation (latency) was recorded and averaged. The mean latencies and SEMs were calculated only for pairs that mated within the 15 min observation period. Note that the fraction of females that fail to copulate within the 15 min observation period is significantly larger in the experimental females (genotypes in bold) than in the various controls.

revealed tsx expression in chemosensory organs of both adult sexes. In contrast, our northern blot analysis and RNA in situ hybridization experiments showed that tsx transcripts in the adult are male specific and found mainly in the fat cells of the head, but not the chemosensory organs (Figures 2B and 3). Moreover, we observed abundant non-sex-specific expression in the larvae and pupae. The most likely explanation for these discordant results is the lack of multiple, essential regulatory promoter elements in the GAL4 driver used in the previous study (Galindo and Smith, 2001), which would therefore inaccurately represent tsx expression. However, our studies do not exclude the possibility of low tsx expression in the chemosensory system, which would be consistent with a role in pheromone detection. Such a role is appealing, because *Drosophila* mating behavior is mediated by taste cues recognized by sensory bristles located in the labellum and the forelegs (Nayak and Singh, 1983). In addition, strain-specific differences might also account for the non-sex-specific expression observed in the tsx:Gal4 driver.

The second gene for which we wish to propose a function, *sxe1*, encodes a cytochrome P450 protein (CYP), members of which have been studied extensively in mammals and insects. One major role of these enzymes is liver detoxification, whereby toxic, water-insoluble metabolites are rendered sufficiently water soluble to be excreted in the urine. A second, important function for CYPs is their role in steroid hormone metabolism, in both mammals and insects. Of particular interest in this regard is cytochrome P450arom (CYP19), which has been widely implicated in sex-specific functions in vertebrates (Roselli and Resko, 1997; Fisher *et al.*, 1998; Conley and Hinshelwood, 2001).

In insects, CYPs are involved in ecdysone metabolism, specifically in hydroxylation of cholesterol precursors (Mayer *et al.*, 1978; Smith *et al.*, 1979; Grieneisen *et al.*, 1993; Adams *et al.*, 2000). *Disembodied (dib)*, the only studied *Cyp* gene in *Drosophila*, is involved in ecdysone metabolism during embryogenesis (Chavez *et al.*, 2000). However, ecdysone triggers most transition phases during development, including larval molts and various differen-

tiation processes in the pupae during metamorphosis (Mitsui and Riddiford, 1978; Riddiford, 1993). A reported function of ecdysone in the adult is its involvement in *yp* gene regulation in the fat body of females (Shirk *et al.*, 1983).

The expression of *sxe1* in the fat cells of the male head suggests the intriguing possibility that small molecules (e.g. steroid hormones) might be synthesized in a sexspecific fashion. Released into the circulatory system, they could reach any organ in the adult male fly, including the brain, and hence mediate sex-specific physiological states that could affect behaviors. One target of such a malespecific hormone might be the neurons in the brain expressing DSF, an orphan nuclear hormone receptor, which controls different male- and female-specific behaviors in adult flies (Finley *et al.*, 1997, 1998).

A role for sex-specific genes in behavior

Expression and regulation of the genes reported here provide a means to generate distinct physiological states in the head of the two sexes. What are the likely consequences of these differences? What aspects of maleness and femaleness are affected by the presence/absence of these proteins and enzymes? It is tempting to speculate that these genes play specific roles in sexual behaviors, simply because of their implicated biochemical function (i.e. tsx and sxe1). However, our knowledge about the extent of behavioral differences between sexes is limited by the way we study fruit flies, namely in confined spaces with abundant food sources and mating choices. For example, very little is known about foraging, energy consumption, food intake, grooming, locomotion, etc. in wild fruit flies in general, and with regard to potential differences between the sexes in particular. Thus, some of the sex-specific genes described here may be involved in aspects of physiology and behavior not related to courtship and mating. In this regard, it is interesting to note that two of the eight genes, sxe1 and sodh-1 (Figure 1; Table I), were also isolated in a microarray analysis for genes under circadian control, and tsx was found in the same study to be under the control of the circadian regulator CLK (McDonald and Rosbash, 2001). Moreover, recent behavioral studies reported sex-specific differences in locomotor activity between males and females (Helfrich-Forster et al... 2001). Thus, these observations suggest that sex-specific physiological differences in adult Drosophila may influence a variety of behaviors not linked to courtship.

Materials and methods

Fly strains and genetics

The following fly strains were used: Ore-R, w^{1118} , w $Sxl^{M1/3}sn/\hat{Y}X$ y f, y cm Sxl^{p7M1} , ct v/Binsinscy, B^sY ; th st tra cp ri $p^p/TM3$, tra e ca/TM6, B^sY ; cn tra2 bw/CyO, Df[dsx]/TM3, B^sY ; $dsx^1/TM3$, B^sY ; hs \rightarrow traf Df[3L] st tra p^p dsx/dsx^D Sb e/TM6. Crosses were performed at 25°C. For the generation of SAGE libraries, we used manually dissected male or female heads of 2- to 4-day-old Ore-R flies.

SAGE

SAGE libraries were generated as described in 'Serial Analysis of Gene Expression', version 1.0d with minor modifications. The protocol and analysis software, SAGE 2000 Software Version 4.12, were obtained from The Johns Hopkins University (see also http://www.sagenet.org). Poly(A)+ RNA was isolated from collected heads with RNAzol B (TELTEST, Inc.) and oligo(dT) columns (Stratagene). Dynabeads oligo(dT)25

(Dynal) were used as primer to synthesize cDNA from 1.0 μg of poly(A)⁺ RNA. Double-stranded cDNA was digested with *Nla*III (NEB) and collected by a magnet. After linker ligation, DNA fragments were digested with the tagging enzyme, *BsmF*I (NEB), and ligated. PCR amplification (26 cycles) was carried out with biotinylated primers and 1% of the ligation products as template. After *Nla*III (NEB) digestion of the 102 bp PCR products, the released 26 bp fragments were purified by the streptavidin-linked magnetic beads and PAGE. After 1.5 h concatenation, concatemers were cloned into the *Sph*I site of pZero-1 (Invitrogen). Purified plasmids from cultured transformants were screened for long inserts (>800 bp) by *Xba*I and *Xho*I digestion. We sequenced 2236 (screened 8640) and 2496 (screened 10 848) plasmids in the male and female head libraries, respectively. Sequencing was performed on the ABI 3700 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

Analysis and annotation of SAGE tags

Sequence data were analyzed using SAGE 2000 Software Version 4.12. To build the database for annotation, we downloaded data sets from The Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project (BDGP: http://www.fruitfly.org) on November 23, 2001 as the following: na_geno.dros.RELEASE2 (The Celera/BDGP whole-genome shotgun sequence from Release 2), na_gadfly.dros.RELEASE2 (nucleic acid sequence for every predicted transcript cDNA from Release 2), na_EST.dros [Drosophila expressed sequence tag (EST) sequences from BDGP], na_cDNA.dros (Drosophila full-length cDNA sequences from BDGP) and na_gb.dros [Drosophila sequences from GenBank (minus BDGP and EDGP sequences)]. Using the 'Genomic mode' of SAGE 2000 Software, we extracted all the 10 bp sequences downstream of the CATG site. We annotated tags to genes using the following criteria: (i) tags matched to the 3'-most CATG site of the full-length cDNA or 3' EST; (ii) tags matched to the 3'-most CATG site of the predicted gene; and (iii) tags matched to only genomic DNA sequence and the matched CATG site is located within 2 kb downstream of the predicted gene.

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was used for all northern blot analysis in this report. RNA was loaded on formamide–agarose gels (1%) and blotted to Hybond-N+membranes (Amersham/Pharmacia). In Figure 1B, oligo DNA and PCR probes were used. The StarFire oligo DNAs (50 bases) were labeled with [32P]dATP using the StarFire DNA Labeling System (Integrated DNA Technologies). The names of probes and their sequences are the following:

StF-Cyp4d21 (5'-TTAAGTTTGCTCCTGGCATGTAACATAAAATAAATACAAAAAAATGTAAA-3');

StF-yellow-c (5'-TAATGTCATAATGTAAATACAAAAATGCACCGCGTCATAAACACAGCATG-3');

StF-CG4979 (5'-CACCGCCCATGAACTCGTTGCCGGTGCATGT-ATTGACTGCATATTTTTCG-3');

StF-CG17820 (5'-TGAATGAATGACATGGTGTATCCAATCGAA-CGCCTAACGCAGTGCACGTC-3'). Other probes (coding region of each genes) were labeled with [32P]dCTP by random priming. The cDNA clones for Sodh-1 (LP12301, gb;AI297864), CG7433 (GM13560, gb;AA803585), CG7592 (LP05187, gb;AI261107) and CG11458 (GH15115, gb;AI238960) were kindly provided by Dr Todd Laverty.

RNA in situ hybridization

RNA *in situ* hybridization was carried out essentially as described (Schaeren-Wiemers and Gerfin-Moser, 1993). This protocol was modified to include detergents in most steps, thereby increasing sensitivity and reducing background. The hybridization buffer contained 50% formamide, 5× SSC, 5× Denhardt's, 250 μg/ml yeast tRNA, 500 μg/ml herring sperm DNA, 50 μg/ml heparin, 2.5 mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween-20 and 0.25% CHAPS. Anti-DIG antibody (Boehringer Mannheim) steps were in the presence of 0.1% Triton X-100, and the reaction was developed for ~18 h in buffer containing 0.1% Tween-20 using the NBT/BCIP kit from Promega. Slides were mounted in Glycergel (Dako) and viewed with Nomarski optics.

Tissue dissections

Flies were anesthetized with CO_2 , decapitated and placed in a depression well dish in $1\times$ phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at room temperature. Brains were dissected out using fine forceps (no. 4 or finer) and placed directly into a microcentrifuge tube with 0.5 ml of ice-cold $1\times$ PBS. The remaining carcasses were gathered into a separate tube and also placed on ice. When finished with all flies, tubes were quickly (\sim 10 s) spun down on a tabletop picofuge and PBS removed. Parts were immediately frozen on

dry ice and then stored at -80° C until RNA was prepared. For the H⁻ fraction, labella and antennae were carefully removed from heads using forceps and then processed in the same manner as above.

Cloning of expression constructs and generation of transgenic flies

A cDNA of the *tsx* gene was obtained by RT–PCR from male head RNA and cloned into the vector pET-DEST42 (Invitrogen). The V5-tagged TSX fusion gene was then cloned into the pUAST vector (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) and transgenic flies were generated according to Amrein and Axel (1997).

Mating assay

Males and females in mating assays were collected within 8 h after hatching and reared on standard food in isolation. They were kept on a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle for 3–8 days. Matings were performed in mating chambers (small plastic cuvettes) and were observed until copulation occurred, but not longer than 15 min. The genotype of the experimental males was generated from the following crosses: Act: GALA//UAS-tsx_V5.4 (or V5.5): yw/w; act: GALA/TM3SerGFP × w; UAS:tsx_V5.4 (or V5.5); UAS:tsx_V5.4 (or V5.5)/+: OreR × w; UAS:tsx_V5.4 (or V5.5); Act: GALA/+: OreR × yw/w; act: GALA/TM3SerGFP.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Caroline Chromey for excellent technical assistance and editing, Nathalie Velarde for help with template preparation and sequencing, and Corum McNealy and Whitney Jones for preparing RNA samples. We would like to thank Gregg Riggins for helpful advice on SAGE, and Fred Dietrich for providing support with sequence analysis. We appreciate the generosity of Tom Cline, Monica Steinmann, the Stock Center at Indiana University in Bloomington (fly strains) and Todd Laverty (EST clones) for providing essential materials used in this study. Raquel Sitcheran, Joe Nevins, Robin Wharton and members of the Amrein laboratory made insightful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by an NIH grant awarded to H.A. (GM-60234-02).

References

- Abrahamsen, N., Martinez, A., Kjaer, T., Sondergaard, L. and Bownes, M. (1993) Cis-regulatory sequences leading to female-specific expression of yolk protein genes 1 and 2 in the fat body of Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Gen. Genet., 237, 41–48.
- Adams, M.D. et al. (2000) The genome sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Science, **287**, 2185–2195.
- Amrein,H. and Axel,R. (1997) Genes expressed in neurons of adult male *Drosophila*. *Cell*, **88**, 459–469.
- Amrein,H., Gorman,M. and Nöthiger,R. (1988) The sex-determining gene *tra-2* of *Drosophila* encodes a putative RNA binding protein. *Cell*, **55**, 1025–1035.
- An,W. and Wensink,P.C. (1995a) Integrating sex- and tissue-specific regulation within a single *Drosophila* enhancer. *Genes Dev.*, 9, 256–266.
- An,W. and Wensink,P.C. (1995b) Three protein binding sites form an enhancer that regulates sex- and fat body-specific transcription of *Drosophila* yolk protein genes. *EMBO J.*, 14, 1221–1230.
- Baker,B.S. and Ridge,K.A. (1980) Sex and the single cell. I. On the action of major loci affecting sex determination in *Drosophila* melanogaster. Genetics, 94, 383–423.
- Baker,B.S., Taylor,B.J. and Hall,J.C. (2001) Are complex behaviors specified by dedicated regulatory genes? Reasoning from *Drosophila*. *Cell.* 105, 13–24.
- Bashaw,G.J. and Baker,B.S. (1995) The *msl-2* dosage compensation gene of *Drosophila* encodes a putative DNA-binding protein whose expression is sex specifically regulated by Sex-lethal. *Development*, 121, 3245–3258.
- Bell,L.R., Maine,E.M., Schedl,P. and Cline,T.W. (1988) Sex-lethal, a Drosophila sex determination switch gene, exhibits sex-specific RNA splicing and sequence similarity to RNA binding proteins. Cell, 55, 1037–1046.
- Bell,L.R., Horabin,J.I., Schedl,P. and Cline,T.W. (1991) Positive autoregulation of *sex-lethal* by alternative splicing maintains the female determined state in *Drosophila*. *Cell*, **65**, 229–239.
- Belote, J.M. and Baker, B.S. (1987) Sexual behavior: its genetic control during development and adulthood in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 84, 8026–8030.

- Brand, A.H. and Perrimon, N. (1993) Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development*, **118**, 401–415.
- Burtis, K.C. (1993) The regulation of sex determination and sexually dimorphic differentiation in *Drosophila*. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol., 5, 1006–1014.
- Burtis, K.C. and Baker, B.S. (1989) *Drosophila doublesex* gene controls somatic sexual differentiation by producing alternatively spliced mRNAs encoding related sex-specific polypeptides. *Cell*, **56**, 997–1010.
- Burtis, K.C., Coschigano, K.T., Baker, B.S. and Wensink, P.C. (1991) The doublesex proteins of *Drosophila melanogaster* bind directly to a sexspecific yolk protein gene enhancer. *EMBO J.*, **10**, 2577–2582.
- Butterworth, F.M., Bownes, M. and Burde, V.S. (1991) Genetically modified yolk proteins precipitate in the adult *Drosophila* fat body. J. Cell Biol., 112, 727–737.
- Butterworth, F.M., Burde, V.S., Mauchline, D. and Bownes, M. (1999) A yolk protein mutant leads to defects in the secretion machinery of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Tissue Cell*, **31**, 212–222.
- Chase, B.A. and Baker, B.S. (1995) A genetic analysis of *intersex*, a gene regulating sexual differentiation in *Drosophila melanogaster* females. *Genetics*, **139**, 1649–1661.
- Chavez, V.M., Marques, G., Delbecque, J.P., Kobayashi, K., Hollingsworth, M., Burr, J., Natzle, J.E. and O'Connor, M.B. (2000) The *Drosophila disembodied* gene controls late embryonic morphogenesis and codes for a cytochrome P450 enzyme that regulates embryonic ecdysone levels. *Development*, 127, 4115–4126.
- Childress, D. (1973) The inter- and intrachromosomal effects of the bar-stone translocation in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Gen. Genet. 121, 133–138.
- Cline, T.W. and Meyer, B.J. (1996) Vive la difference: males vs females in flies vs worms. Annu. Rev. Genet., 30, 637–702.
- Conley, A. and Hinshelwood, M. (2001) Mammalian aromatases. Reproduction, 121, 685–695.
- Coschigano, K.T. and Wensink, P.C. (1993) Sex-specific transcriptional regulation by the male and female doublesex proteins of *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev.*, **7**, 42–54.
- Erdman, S.E. and Burtis, K.C. (1993) The *Drosophila* doublesex proteins share a novel zinc finger related DNA binding domain. *EMBO J.*, **12**, 527–535
- Finley, K.D., Taylor, B.J., Milstein, M. and McKeown, M. (1997) dissatisfaction, a gene involved in sex-specific behavior and neural development of Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 94, 913–918.
- Finley, K.D., Edeen, P.T., Foss, M., Gross, E., Ghbeish, N., Palmer, R.H., Taylor, B.J. and McKeown, M. (1998) *Dissatisfaction* encodes a tailless-like nuclear receptor expressed in a subset of CNS neurons controlling *Drosophila* sexual behavior. *Neuron*, **21**, 1363–1374.
- Fisher, C.R., Graves, K.H., Parlow, A.F. and Simpson, E.R. (1998) Characterization of mice deficient in aromatase (ArKO) because of targeted disruption of the cyp19 gene. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 95, 6965–6970.
- Galindo, K. and Smith, D.P. (2001) A large family of divergent Drosophila odorant-binding proteins expressed in gustatory and olfactory sensilla. Genetics, 159, 1059–1072.
- Garabedian, M.J., Shirras, A.D., Bownes, M. and Wensink, P.C. (1987) The nucleotide sequence of the gene coding for *Drosophila melanogaster* yolk protein 3. *Gene*, 55, 1–8.
- Goralski, T.J., Edstrom, J.E. and Baker, B.S. (1989) The sex determination locus *transformer-2* of *Drosophila* encodes a polypeptide with similarity to RNA binding proteins. *Cell*, **56**, 1011–1018.
- Grieneisen, M.L., Warren, J.T. and Gilbert, L.I. (1993) Early steps in ecdysteroid biosynthesis: evidence for the involvement of cytochrome P-450 enzymes. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 23, 13–23.
- Helfrich-Forster, C., Winter, C., Hofbauer, A., Hall, J.C. and Stanewsky, R. (2001) The circadian clock of fruit flies is blind after elimination of all known photoreceptors. *Neuron*, 30, 249–261.
- Inoue, K., Hoshijima, K., Sakamoto, H. and Shimura, Y. (1990) Binding of the *Drosophila sex-lethal* gene product to the alternative splice site of transformer primary transcript. *Nature*, 344, 461–463.
- Kelley,R.L., Solovyeva,I., Lyman,L.M., Richman,R., Solovyev,V. and Kuroda,M.I. (1995) Expression of *msl-2* causes assembly of dosage compensation regulators on the X chromosomes and female lethality in *Drosophila*. Cell, 81, 867–877.
- Li,H. and Baker,B.S. (1998) Her, a gene required for sexual differentiation in *Drosophila*, encodes a zinc finger protein with

- characteristics of ZFY-like proteins and is expressed independently of the sex determination hierarchy. *Development*, **125**, 225–235.
- Mayer, R.T., Svoboda, J.A. and Weirich, G.F. (1978) Ecdysone 20hydroxylase in midgut mitochondria of *Manduca sexta* (L.). *Hoppe Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem.*, 359, 1247–1257.
- McDonald,M.J. and Rosbash,M. (2001) Microarray analysis and organization of circadian gene expression in *Drosophila*. Cell, 107, 567–578
- McKeown, M. (1994) Sex determination and differentiation. *Dev. Genet.*, **15**, 201–204.
- McKeown, M., Belote, J.M. and Baker, B.S. (1987) A molecular analysis of *transformer*, a gene in *Drosophila melanogaster* that controls female sexual differentiation. *Cell.*, **48**, 489–499.
- Mitsui, T. and Riddiford, L.M. (1978) Hormonal requirements for the larval–pupal transformation of the epidermis of *Manduca sexta in vitro*. *Dev. Biol.*, **62**, 193–205.
- Nagoshi,R.N. and Baker,B.S. (1990) Regulation of sex-specific RNA splicing at the *Drosophila doublesex* gene: *cis*-acting mutations in exon sequences alter sex-specific RNA splicing patterns. *Genes Dev.*, **4** 89–97
- Nayak,S.V. and Singh,R.N. (1983) Sensilla on the tarsal segments and the mouthparts of adult *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Int. J. Insect Morphol. Embryol.*, 12, 273–291.
- Nöthiger, R., Leuthold, M., Anderesen, N., Gerschwiler, P., Grueter, A., Keller, W., Leist, C., Roost, M. and Schmid, H. (1987) Genetic and developmental analysis of the sex-determining gene *doublesex* (*dsx*) of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genet. Res.*, **50**, 113–123.
- Pikielny, C.W., Hasan, G., Rouyer, F. and Rosbash, M. (1994) Members of a family of *Drosophila* putative odorant-binding proteins are expressed in different subsets of olfactory hairs. *Neuron.* 12, 35–49.
- Riddiford,L.M. (1993) Hormone receptors and the regulation of insect metamorphosis. *Receptor*, 3, 203–209.
- Roselli, C.E. and Resko, J.A. (1997) Sex differences in androgenregulated expression of cytochrome P450 aromatase in the rat brain. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, **61**, 365–374.
- Ryner, L.C., Goodwin, S.F., Castrillon, D.H., Anand, A., Villella, A., Baker, B.S., Hall, J.C., Taylor, B.J. and Wasserman, S.A. (1996) Control of male sexual behavior and sexual orientation in *Drosophila* by the *fruitless* gene. *Cell*, **87**, 1079–1089.
- Salz,H.K., Maine,E.M., Keyes,L.N., Samuels,M.E., Cline,T.W. and Schedl,P. (1989) The *Drosophila* female-specific sex-determination gene, *Sex-lethal*, has stage-, tissue- and sex-specific RNAs suggesting multiple modes of regulation. *Genes Dev.*, 3, 708–719.
- Schaeren-Wiemers, N. and Gerfin-Moser, A. (1993) A single protocol to detect transcripts of various types and expression levels in neural tissue and cultured cells: *in situ* hybridization using digoxigenin-labelled cRNA probes. *Histochemistry*, **100**, 431–440.
- Schoentgen,F. and Jolles,P. (1995) From structure to function: possible biological roles of a new widespread protein family binding hydrophobic ligands and displaying a nucleotide binding site. *FEBS Lett.*, **369**, 22–26.
- Shirk, P.D., Minoo, P. and Postlethwait, J.H. (1983) 20-hydroxyecdysone stimulates the accumulation of translatable yolk polypeptide gene transcript in adult male *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 80, 186–190.
- Smith, S.L., Bollenbacher, W.E., Cooper, D.Y., Schleyer, H., Wielgus, J.J. and Gilbert, L.I. (1979) Ecdysone 20-monooxygenase: characterization of an insect cytochrome p-450 dependent steroid hydroxylase. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.*, **15**, 111–133.
- Sosnowski, B.A., Belote, J.M. and McKeown, M. (1989) Sex-specific alternative splicing of RNA from the *transformer* gene results from sequence-dependent splice site blockage. *Cell*, **58**, 449–459.
- Sudhof, T.C., Baumert, M., Perin, M.S. and Jahn, R. (1989) A synaptic vesicle membrane protein is conserved from mammals to *Drosophila*. *Neuron*, 2, 1475–1481.
- Valcarcel, J., Singh, R., Zamore, P.D. and Green, M.R. (1993) The protein Sex-lethal antagonizes the splicing factor U2AF to regulate alternative splicing of transformer pre-mRNA. *Nature*, 362, 171–175.
- Velculescu, V.E., Zhang, L., Vogelstein, B. and Kinzler, K.W. (1995) Serial analysis of gene expression. Science, 270, 484–487.
- Zhou, S. et al. (1995) Male-specific lethal 2, a dosage compensation gene of *Drosophila*, undergoes sex-specific regulation and encodes a protein with a RING finger and a metallothionein-like cysteine cluster. *EMBO J.*, **14**, 2884–2895.