Math 235 Notes

Syed Mustafa Raza Rizvi

July 18, 2020

These are my 2nd year Linear Algebra 2 notes at the University of Waterloo (MATH 235). They are pretty similar to the content you may see in the course notes by D. Wolczuk.

You will find that these aren't very useful as notes, in the sense that they are not significantly shorter than the content in the course notes, they're really just a way for me to type down the content I am learning and absorb it. Also, I won't be including the proofs, it's best to read the course notes for that.

Thanks to Prof. Dan Wolczuk for providing me with the macros to typeset this LaTeX document.

If the university or staff feel that I should take down this document, please feel free to contact me on github

7. Fundamental Subspaces

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. The four fundamental subspaces of A are

Definition

Fudamental Subspaces

- 1. $Col(A) = \{A\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m | \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, called the **column space**
- 2. Row(A) $\{A^T\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$, called the **row space**
- 3. Null(A) = $\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | A\vec{x} = \vec{0}\}$, called the **null space**
- 4. $\text{Null}(A^T) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m | A^T \vec{x} = \vec{0}\}$, called the **left nullspace**

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then $\operatorname{Col}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Null}(A^T)$ are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m , and $\operatorname{Row}(A)$ Theorem and $\operatorname{Null}(A)$ are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n 7.1.1

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then the colums of A which correspond to leading ones in the RREF of A form a basis for Col(A). Moreover, 7.1.2

$$\dim \operatorname{Col}(A) = \operatorname{rank} A$$

If R is an $m \times n$ matrix and E is an $n \times n$ invertible matrix, then

Lemma

7.1.3

$$\{RE\vec{x}|\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = \{R\vec{y}|\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then the non-zero rows in the reduced row echelon form of A form a basis for Row(A). Hence,

7.1.4

 $\dim \text{Row}(A) = \text{rank } A$

For any $m \times n$ matrix A we have rank $A = \operatorname{rank} A^T$

 ${\bf Corollary}$

7.1.5

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then

Dimension

Theorem

$$\operatorname{rank} A + \dim \operatorname{Null}(A) = n$$

8. Linear Mappings

Some review

A mapping $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is called **linear** if

Definition

Linear

$$L(s\vec{x} + t\vec{y}) = sL(\vec{x}) + tL(\vec{y})$$

Mapping

for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$

Two linear mappings $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $M: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are said to be **equal** if $L(\vec{v}) = M(\vec{v}), \forall \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

The **range** of a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by

Definition

Range

$$Range(L) = \{L(\vec{x}) | \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

The **kernel** of a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by

Definition

Kernel

$$Ker(L) = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | L(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \}$$

The **standard matrix** of a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined by

Definition

Standard Matrix

$$[L] = [L(\vec{e}_1) \dots L(\vec{e}_n)]$$

It satisfies

$$L(\vec{x}) = [L]\vec{x}$$

for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

If $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear mapping, then $\operatorname{Range}(L) = \operatorname{Col}([L])$

Theorem

7.2.1

If $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear mapping, then Ker(L) = Null([L])

Theorem 7.2.2

Let $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear mapping. Then,

Theorem

 $\dim(\operatorname{Range}(L)) + \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(L)) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^n)$

7.2.3

8.1 General Linear Mappings

Linear Mappings $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$

We will extend our definition of a linear mapping to the case where the domain and codomain are general vector spaces instead of just \mathbb{R}^n

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be vector spaces. A mapping $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ is called **linear** if

Definition

Linear

$$L(s\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{y}) = sL(\mathbf{x}) + tL(\mathbf{y})$$

Mapping

for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{V}$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$

Two linear mappings $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ and $M: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ are said to be **equal** if $L(\mathbf{v}) = M(\mathbf{v}), \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$

Note: A linear mapping $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is called a linear operator

Let $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ and $M: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ be linear mappings. We define $L+M: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ by

Definition Addition

$$(L+M)(\mathbf{v}) = L(\mathbf{v}) + M(\mathbf{v})$$

Scalar Multiplication

and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $tL : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ by

$$(tL)(\mathbf{v}) = tL(\mathbf{v})$$

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be vector spaces. The set \mathbb{L} of all linear mappings $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ with standard addition and scalar multiplication of mappings is a vector space 8.1.1

Let $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ and $M: \mathbb{W} \to \mathbb{U}$ be linear mappings. We define $M \circ L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{U}$ by

Definition Composition

$$(M \circ L)(\mathbf{v}) = M(L(\mathbf{v})), \ \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$$

 $\text{If $L:\mathbb{V}\to\mathbb{W}$ and $M:\mathbb{W}\to\mathbb{U}$ are linear mappings, then $M\circ L:\mathbb{V}\to\mathbb{U}$ is a linear mapping } 8.1.2$

Let $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ and $M: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ be linear mappings.

Definition

If $(M \circ L)(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}, \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ and $(L \circ M)(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}, \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$, then L and M are said to be **invertible**.

Invertible Mapping

We write $M = L^{-1}$ and $L = M^{-1}$

8.2 Rank-Nullity Theorem

Let's extend the definitions of the range and kernel to general linear mappings.

For a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ the **kernel** of L is defined to be

Definition

Range

$$\operatorname{Ker}(L) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} | L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{W}}\}$$

Kernel

and the **range** of L is defined to be

Range(
$$L$$
) = { $L(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{W} | \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ }

If \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} are vector spaces and $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ is a linear mapping, then

Theorem 8.2.1

 $L(\mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{V}}) = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{W}}$

If $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ is a linear mapping, then $\operatorname{Ker}(L)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{V} and $\operatorname{Range}(L)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{W}

Theorem 8.2.2

Let $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ be a linear mapping. We define the **rank** of L to be

Definition

Rank

$$\operatorname{rank}(L) = \dim(\operatorname{Range}(L))$$

Nullity

We define the **nullity** of L to be

$$\operatorname{nullity}(L) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(L))$$

Let $\mathbb V$ be an n-dimensional vector space and let $\mathbb W$ be a vector space. If $L:\mathbb V\to\mathbb W$ is linear, then

Rank-Nullity Theorem

$$rank(L) + nullity(L) = n$$

Remark: The proof for the Rank-Nullity Theorem is identical to the proof for the dimension theorem, only that this time it is a generalisation for general vector spaces. You could see the Rank-Nullity theorem as an analog of the Dimension theorem for general linear mappings.

8.3 Matrix of a Linear Mapping

We will now show that every linear mapping $l: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ can also be represented as a matrix mapping. However, we must be careful when dealing with general vector spaces as our domain and codomain. For example, it is certainly impossible to represent a linear mapping that maps polynomials to matrices, since we cannot multiply a matrix by a polynomial.

Thus, if we are going to convert vectors in \mathbb{V} to vectors in \mathbb{R}^n in order to define matrix representations of general linear mappings. Recall the coordinate vector of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}$ with respect to a basis \mathcal{B} is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, if $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ and $\mathbf{x} = b_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + b_n \mathbf{v}_n$ then the coordinate vector of \mathbf{x} with respect to \mathcal{B} is defined to be

$$[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Using coordinates, we can write a matrix mapping representation of a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$. We want to find a matrix A such that

$$[L(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{C}} = A[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}$, where \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathbb{V} and \mathcal{C} is a basis for \mathbb{W} Considering $[L(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{C}}$ and using the properties of linear mappings and coordinates, we get

$$[L(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{C}} = [L(b_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + b_n\mathbf{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}} = b_1[L(\mathbf{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} + \dots + b_n[L(\mathbf{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}}$$
$$= [[L(\mathbf{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} \dots [L(\mathbf{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}}] \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This, we have the matrix $[[L(\mathbf{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} \dots [L(\mathbf{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}}]$ being matrix-vector multiplied by the vector $[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ as desired.

Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for a vector space \mathbb{V} and \mathcal{C} is any basis for a finite dimensional vector space \mathbb{W} . For a linear mapping $L : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$, the **matirx of** L with respect to basis \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} is defined by

Definition

Matrix of a

Linear

Mapping

$$_{\mathcal{C}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}} = [[L(\mathbf{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} \dots [L(\mathbf{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{C}}]$$

and satisfies

$$[L(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{C}} = _{\mathcal{C}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}}[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}$

In the special case of a linear operator L acting on a finite dimensional vector space

 \mathbb{V} with basis \mathcal{B} , we often wish to find the matrix $_{\mathcal{B}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}}$

Suppose $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is any basis for an *n*-dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} and let $L : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ be a linear operator. The \mathcal{B} -matrix of L (or the matrix of L with respect to the basis \mathcal{B}) is defined by

Definition

Matrix of a

Linear

Operator

$$[L]_{\mathcal{B}} = [[L(\mathbf{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \dots [L(\mathbf{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{B}}]$$

and satisfies

$$[L(\mathbf{x})]_{\mathcal{B}} = [L]_{\mathcal{B}}[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}$

(Makes more sense if you refer to the course notes) In example 4 we found that the matrix of L with respect to basis \mathcal{B} is diagonal since the vectors in \mathcal{B} are eigenvectors of L. Such a basis is called a **geometrically natural basis**

8.4 Isomorphisms

The ten vector space axioms define a "structure" for the set based on the operations of addition and scalar multiplication. Since all vector spaces satisfy the same ten properties, we expect that all n-dimensional vector spaces should have the same structure, and they do as seen by the work done with coordinate vectors. Whatever basis we use for an n-dimensional vector space \mathbb{V} , we have a nice way of relating vectors in \mathbb{V} to vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, we see that the operations of addition and scalar multiplication are preserved with respect to this basis.

No matter which vector space we are using and which basis for the vector space, any linear linear combination of vectors is really just performed on the coordinates of the vectors with respect to the defined basis.

Let's now look at how to use general linear mappings to mathematically prove these observations.

Let $L : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ be a linear mapping. L is called **one-to-one** (**injective**) if for every $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $L(\mathbf{u}) = L(\mathbf{v})$, we must have $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$

Definition
One-To-One
Onto

L is called **onto** (**surjective**) if for every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$, there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$

We observe that for a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ being onto means that $\operatorname{Range}(L) = \mathbb{W}$

And L being one-to-one means that for each $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Range}(L)$ there exists exactly one $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$. Another way to say it would be, the images of distinct vectors are distinct. We now establish a relationship between a mapping being one-to-one and its kernel

Let $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ be a linear mapping. L is one-to-one (injective) if and only if Lemma $\operatorname{Ker}(L) = \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{V}}\}$ 8.4.1

For two vector spaces \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} to have the same structure we need each vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ to be identified with a unique vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ such that linear combinations are preserved. So to do that we need to find a mapping L from \mathbb{V} to \mathbb{W} which is both one-to-one and onto, and for linear combinations to be preserved we need the linear mapping to be linear.

A vector space \mathbb{V} is said to be **isomorphic** to a vector space \mathbb{W} if there exists a linear mapping $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ which is one-to-one and onto. L is called an **isomorphism** from \mathbb{V} to \mathbb{W}

Definition Isomorphism Isomorphic

Looking at examples of isomorphisms will show that it is mapping basis vectors

to basis vectors. And since it is that basis vectors are mapping to basis vectors, the dimensions of the two vector spaces should be the same

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be finite dimensional vector spaces. \mathbb{V} is isomorphic to \mathbb{W} if and only if dim $\mathbb{V} = \dim \mathbb{W}$ 8.4.2

If $\mathbb V$ and $\mathbb W$ are both n-dimensional vector spaces and $L:\mathbb V\to\mathbb W$ is linear, then L is one-to-one if and only if L is onto 8.4.3

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be isomorphic vector spaces and let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{V} . A Theorem linear mapping $L: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\{L(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, L(\mathbf{v}_n)\}$ is a 8.4.4 basis for \mathbb{W}

Linear Extensions

Suppose you know that the linear transformation $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to P_1(\mathbb{R})$

Where,
$$T(1) = -3 + 2x$$
, $T(x) = 2 + 3x$, $T(x^2) = x^2$

In order to compute $ax^2 + bx + c$ you would rewrite $T(ax^2 + bx + c) = aT(x^2) + bT(x) + cT(1)$

And so, by assuming linearity, you **determined** the image of $ax^2 + bx + c$ by the action of T on a basis $\{1, x, x^2\}$

Let $S, T : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ be linear transformations and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} Lemma If $S(\vec{b}_i) = T(\vec{b}_i)$ for each i, then S = T 8.4.X This can be proven by showing that $S(\mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{v})$ for every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be vector spaces, and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} Theorem Furthermore, let $f: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{W}$ be a function 8.4.Y

$$T(\vec{b_i}) = f(\vec{b_i})$$

for each i. Moreover, the general formula for an image of T is

Then there exists a **unique** linear transformation $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ such that

$$T(c_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + c_n\vec{b}_n) = c_1f(\vec{b}_1) + \dots + c_nf(\vec{b}_n)$$

After proving that a T exists, uniqueness follows immediately from Lemma 8.4.X

Let \mathbb{V} and \mathbb{W} be vector spaces, and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{V} Definition Furthermore, let $f: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{W}$ be a function.

Linear Extension

Then the linear extension of f is the linear transformation $T: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{W}$ where

$$T(c_1\vec{b}_1 + \dots + c_n\vec{b}_n) = c_1f(\vec{b}_1) + \dots + c_nf(\vec{b}_n)$$

Every linear transformation is the linear extension of its action on a basis

9. Inner Products

9.1 Inner Product Spaces

Let \mathbb{V} be a vector space. An **inner product** on \mathbb{V} is a function $\langle , \rangle : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ that has the following properties: for every $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{V}$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

Definition Inner

If $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$, and $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ iff $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ (Positive Definite)

Product,

I2 $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ (Symmetric)

Inner Product

Product Space

I3 $\langle s\mathbf{v} + t\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = s \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + t \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$ (Left linear)

A vector space $\mathbb V$ with an inner product \langle,\rangle on $\mathbb V$ is called an **inner product space**

Remark: Since an inner product is left linear and symmetric, then it is also **right** linear:

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, s\mathbf{v} + t\mathbf{u} \rangle = s\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + t\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$$

Thus, we say that an inner product is bilinear

The **dot product** is an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n , called the **standard inner product** on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\langle A, B \rangle = tr(B^T A)$$
 on $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is called the **standard inner product** on $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$

Observe that calculating $\langle A, B \rangle$ corresponds exactly to finding the dot product on vectors in \mathbb{R}^{mn} under the obvious isomorphism (one that matches the trace with the dot product expression). Hence, when using this inner product you do not actually have to compute B^TA (and can get away with using the isomorphism and calculating the dot product)

The inner product $\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)g(x)dx$ on the vector space $C[-\pi, \pi]$ of continuous functions defined on the closed interval from $-\pi$ to π . It is extremely important in applied math, physics and engineering. This is the foundation for Fourier Series.

If $\mathbb V$ is an inner product space with inner product \langle , \rangle , then for any $v \in \mathbb V$ we have

Theorem 9.1.1

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0} \rangle = 0$$

Remark: Whenever one considers an inner product space, they must define which inner product space they are using. For \mathbb{R}^n or $M_{m\times n}(\mathbb{R})$ it's generally the standard inner product, this is the one we assume is being used if no other inner product is defined.

9.2 Orthogonality and Length

Length

Let \mathbb{V} be an inner product space with inner product \langle,\rangle . For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ we define Definition the **length** (or **norm**) of \mathbf{v} by

$$||\mathbf{v}|| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}$$

The length of a vector is well defined as the inner product must be positive definite. The length of any vector in an inner product space depends on the inner product being used.

Let \mathbb{V} be an inner product space with inner product \langle,\rangle . For any $\mathbf{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{V}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ Theorem we have

- (1) $||\mathbf{x}|| \ge 0$, and $||\mathbf{x}|| = 0$ iff $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
- $(2) ||t\mathbf{v}|| = |t|||\mathbf{v}||$
- (3) $\langle \mathbf{x}, \vec{y} \rangle \leq ||\mathbf{x}|| ||\vec{y}||$ Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakovski Inequality
- (4) $||\mathbf{x} + \vec{y}|| \le ||\mathbf{x}|| + ||\vec{y}||$ Triangle Inequality

Let \mathbb{V} be an inner product space with inner product \langle,\rangle . If $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ is a vector such Definition that $||\mathbf{v}|| = 1$, then \mathbf{v} is called a **unit vector** Unit vector

We often find a unit vector, $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$, in the direction of a certain vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$, this is called **normalizing** the vector. By theorem 9.2.1 we see

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{||\mathbf{v}||}$$

Orthogonality

Let \mathbb{V} be an inner product space with inner product \langle , \rangle . If $\mathbf{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = 0$$

then **x** and \vec{y} are said to be **orthogonal**

Just like length, whether two vectors are orthogonal or not is dependent on its definition of the inner product.

If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a set of vectors in an inner product space \mathbb{V} with inner product \langle , \rangle such that $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, then S is called an **orthogonal set** Orthogonal

Set

If $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set in an inner product space \mathbb{V} , then 9.2.2

$$||\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_k||^2 = ||\mathbf{v}_1||^2 + \dots + ||\mathbf{v}_k||^2$$

If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set in an inner product space \mathbb{V} with inner product \langle , \rangle such that $\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then S is linearly independent 9.2.3

If $\mathcal B$ is an orthogonal set in an inner product space $\mathbb V$ that is a basis for $\mathbb V$, then $\mathcal B$ is Definition called an **orthogonal basis** for $\mathbb V$ Orthogonal Basis

If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis for an inner product space \mathbb{V} with linear product \langle , \rangle and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$, then the coefficient of \mathbf{v}_i when \mathbf{v} is written as a linear ombination of the vectors in S is $\frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_i||^2}$. In particular,

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_1||^2} \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_n||^2} \mathbf{v}_n$$

Orthonormal Bases

Since the formula for the coordinates would be simpler if the vectors in the orthogonal basis were unit vectors. So we will **normalize** vectors so that they are of unit length. $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{||\mathbf{v}||}$

If $S = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal set in the inner product space \mathbb{V} such that $||\mathbf{v}_i|| = 1$ for $1 \le i \le k$, then S is called an **orthonormal set** Orthonormal Set

A basis for an inner product space $\mathbb V$ which is an orthonormal set is called an **or-** Definition Orthonormal basis of $\mathbb V$ Basis

If \mathbf{v} is any vector in an inner product space \mathbb{V} with inner product \langle , \rangle and $\mathcal{B} = \text{Corollary}$ $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{V} , then

$$\mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle \mathbf{v}_n$$

Orthogonal Matrices

For an $n \times n$ matrix P, the following are equivalent

Theorem 9.2.6

- 1. The columns of P form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n
- 2. $P^T = P^{-1}$
- 3. The rows of P form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n

If the columns of an $n \times n$ matrix P form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n , then P is called an **orthogonal matrix**

Definition

Orthogonal

If P and Q are $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices and $\mathbf{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

Matrix Theorem

9.2.7

- 1. $(P\mathbf{x}) \cdot (P\vec{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \vec{y}$
- 2. $||P\mathbf{x}|| = ||\mathbf{x}||$
- 3. det $P = \pm 1$
- 4. All real eigenvalues of P are 1 or -1
- 5. PQ is an orthogonal matrix

9.3 The Gram-Schmidt Procedure

(Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization Theorem)

Theorem

Let $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ be a basis for an inner product space \mathbb{W} . If we define $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ 9.3.1 successively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v}_1 &= \mathbf{w}_1 \\
\mathbf{v}_2 &= \mathbf{w}_2 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_1||^2} \mathbf{v}_1 \\
\mathbf{v}_i &= \mathbf{w}_i - \frac{\langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_1||^2} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_2||^2} \mathbf{v}_2 - \dots - \frac{\langle \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{v}_{i-1} \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_{i-1}||^2} \mathbf{v}_{i-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for $3 \le k \le n$, then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $\mathrm{Span}\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$ for $1 \le k \le n$

Note: the theorem implies that Every finite dimensional inner product space has an orthogonal basis.

The process for finding an orthogonal basis for an inner product space in the theorem is called the **Gram-Schmidt procedure**

The order in which one processes the vectors while applying the procedure changes what the resultant basis looks like.

(QR-Decomposition)

Theorem 9.3.2

Let $A = [\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{a}_n] \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ where dim $\operatorname{Col}(A) = n$. Let $\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_n$ denote the vectors that result from applying the Gram-Schmidt procedure to the columns of A (in order) and then normalizing. If we define

$$Q = [\vec{q}_1 \cdots \vec{q}_n]$$

and

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{q}_1 & \vec{a}_2 \cdot \vec{q}_1 & \dots & \vec{a}_n \cdot \vec{q}_1 \\ 0 & \vec{a}_2 \cdot \vec{q}_2 & \dots & \vec{a}_n \cdot \vec{q}_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vec{a}_n \cdot \vec{q}_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \vec{a}_n \cdot \vec{q}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

then Q is orthogonal, R is invertible, and

$$A = QR$$

9.4 General Projections

As the title suggests, we will abstract the ideas of projections from our works that used the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^n . Given a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and a plane $P \in \mathbb{R}^3$ which passes through the origin (a subspace), we wanted to write \mathbf{x} as the sum of a vector in P and a vector orthogonal to every vector in P. Therefore, for a given subspace \mathbb{V} of an inner product space \mathbb{V} and any vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$

$$\mathbf{v} = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{perp}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v})$$

Let W be a subspace of an inner product space V. The **orthogonal complement** of \mathbb{W} , \mathbb{W}^{\perp} , in \mathbb{V} is defined by

Definition Orthogonal Complement

$$\mathbb{W}^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V} | \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W} \}$$

Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ be a spanning set for a subspace \mathbb{W} of an inner product space \mathbb{V} , Theorem and let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{V}$. We have that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{W}^{\perp}$ if and only if $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$

9.4.1

If W is a subspace of an inner product space V, then

Theorem 9.4.2

- 1. \mathbb{W}^{\perp} is a subspace of \mathbb{V}
- 2. If dim $\mathbb{V} = n$, then dim $\mathbb{W}^{\perp} = n$ -dim \mathbb{W}
- 3. If dim $\mathbb{V} = n$, then $(\mathbb{W}^{\perp})^{\perp} = \mathbb{W}$
- 4. $\mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{W}^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}\}\$
- 5. If dim $\mathbb{V} = n$, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{W} , and $\{\mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{W}^{\perp} , then $\{\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_k,\mathbf{v}_{k+1},\ldots,\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis for V

Suppose \mathbb{W} is a k-dimensional subspace of an inner product space \mathbb{V} and $\{\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{W} . For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ we define the **projection** of \mathbf{v} onto \mathbb{W} by $\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_1||^2} \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle}{||\mathbf{v}_L||^2} \mathbf{v}_k$

Definition Projection,

Perpendicu-

lar

and the **perpendicular** of the projection by

$$\operatorname{perp}_{WV}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \operatorname{proj}_{WV}(\mathbf{v})$$

Notice that we need an *orthogonal basis* for W to calculate the projection. Hence, these are often called **orthogonal projections**.

To save ourselves some work, we defined the perpendicular of the projection in such a way that we do not need an orthogonal basis (or any basis) for \mathbb{W}^{\perp} .

If \mathbb{W} is a k-dimensional subspace of an inner product space \mathbb{V} , then for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ Theorem we have 9.4.3

$$\operatorname{perp}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} - \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{W}^{\perp}$$

If \mathbb{W} is a k-dimensional subspace of an inner product space \mathbb{V} , then $\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}}$ is a linear operator on \mathbb{V} with kernel \mathbb{W}^{\perp} 9.4.4

If \mathbb{W} is a subspace of a finite dimensional inner product space \mathbb{V} , then for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ Theorem we have 9.4.5

$$\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}^{\perp}}(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{perp}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v})$$

9.5 The Fundamental Theorem

Let \mathbb{V} be a vector space and \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{W} be subspaces of a vector space \mathbb{V} such that Definition $\mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{W} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. The **direct sum** of \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{W} is Direct Sum

$$\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{W} = \{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{V} | \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}\}\$$

If \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{W} are subspaces of a vector space \mathbb{V} such that $\mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{W} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, then $\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{W}$ Theorem is a subspace of \mathbb{V} . Moreover, if $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{U} and $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{W}$ 9.5.1 basis for \mathbb{W} , then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{W}$

If \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{W} are subspaces of a vector space \mathbb{V} such that $\mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{W} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{W}$, Corollary then there exists unique $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ 9.5.2

If $\mathbb V$ is a finite dimensional inner product space and $\mathbb W$ is a subspace of $\mathbb V$, then $\mathbb W \oplus \mathbb W^\perp = \mathbb V$ 9.5.3

(The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra)

If A is an $m \times n$, then $\operatorname{Col}(A)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Null}(A^T)$ and $\operatorname{Row}(A^T) = \operatorname{Null}(A)$. In particular,

Theorem

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \text{Row}(A) \oplus \text{Null}(A)$$
 and $\mathbb{R}^m = \text{Col}(A) \oplus \text{Null}(A^T)$

The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra implies the Rank-Nullity Theorem. For example, if A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then we know that the rank and nullity of the linear mapping $L(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ is rank $L = \text{rank } A = \dim \text{Row } A$ and nullity $L = \dim (\text{Null } A)$. Since $\mathbb{R}^n = \text{Row}(A) \oplus \text{Null}(A)$ we get by Theorem 9.4.2 (2) that

$$n = \operatorname{rank} L + \operatorname{nullity} (L)$$

It can also be shown that the Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra implies a lot of our results about solving systems of linear equations.

9.6 The Method of Least Squares

In the sciences one often tries to find a correlation between two quantities by collecting data from repeated experimentation. Say, for example, a scientist is comparing quantities x and y which are known to satisfy a quadratic relation $y = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2$. The scientist would like to find appropriate values for a_0, a_1 , and a_2 . So the scientist performs several experiments involving these two quantities and gets y_i and x_i . And gets the equations in the three unknowns a_0, a_1, a_2

$$y_1 = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_1^2 \tag{1}$$

$$\vdots = \qquad \vdots \qquad (2)$$

$$y_m = a_0 + a_1 x_m + a_2 x_m^2 (3)$$

which has more equations then unknowns. Such a system of equations is called an **overdetermined system**. Also, due to experimentation error, the system is very likely to be inconsistent. So, we need to find values of a_0, a_1, a_2 which best approximate the data collected.

To solve the problem, we rephrase it in terms of Linear Algebra. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with m > n and let the system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ be inconsistent. We want to find a vector \vec{x} that minimizes the distance between $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, i.e. we need to minimize $||\vec{b} - A\vec{x}||$

We will return to the original problem soon

(Approximation Theorem)

Theorem 9.6.1

Let \mathbb{W} be a finite dimensional subspace fo an inner product space \mathbb{V} . If $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{V}$, then the vector closest to \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{W} is $\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v})$. That is,

$$||\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v})|| < ||\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}||$$

for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}, \mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathbf{v})$

Notice that $A\vec{x}$ is in the column space of A. Thus, the Approximation Theorem tells us that we can minimize $||\vec{b} - A\vec{x}||$ by finding the projection of \vec{b} onto the

column space of A. Therefore, if we solve the consistent system

$$A\vec{x} = \text{proj}_{\text{Col}A}(\vec{b})$$

we will find the desired vector \vec{x} . A simpler form would be

$$\vec{b} - A\vec{x} = \vec{b} - \text{proj}_{\text{Col}A}(\vec{b}) = \text{perp}_{\text{Col}A}(\vec{b})$$

Thus, $\vec{b} - A\vec{x}$ is in the orthogonal complement of the column space of A which, by the Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra, means $\vec{b} - A\vec{x}$ is in the nullspace of A^T . Hence

$$A^T(\vec{b} - A\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$$

or equivalently

$$A^T A \vec{x} = A^T \vec{b}$$

This is called the **normal system** and the individual equations are called the **normal equations**. This system will be consistent by construction. However, it need not have a unique solution. If it does have infinitely many solutions, then each of the solutions will minimize $||\vec{b} - A\vec{x}||$

This method of finding an approximate solution is called the **method of least** squares, because we are minimizing

$$||\vec{b} - A\mathbf{x}|| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{v}_m \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{v_1^2 + \dots + v_m^2}$$

which is the same as minimizing $v_1^2 + \cdots + v_m^2$

Returning to the problem of finding a curve of best fit for a set of data points. Let's say we have data points $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)$ and we want to find the values of a_0, \ldots, a_n such that $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$ is the polynomial of best fit. That is, we want to find the values of a_0, \ldots, a_n such that the values of y_i are approximated as well as possible by $p(x_i)$.

Let
$$\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}$$
 and define $p(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} p(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ p(x_m) \end{bmatrix}$. To make this look like the method of

least squares we write

$$p(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} p(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ p(x_m) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1(x_1) + \dots + a_n(x_1)^n \\ \vdots \\ a_0 + a_1(x_m) + \dots + a_n(x_m)^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_m & \dots & x_m^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = X\vec{a}$$

Thus, we are trying to minimize $||X\vec{a} - \vec{y}||$ and we can use the method of least squares above.

Let m data points $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)$ be given and write

Theorem 9.6.2

$$\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}, \qquad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_m & \dots & x_m^n \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\vec{a} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$ is any solution to the normal system

$$X^T X \vec{a} = X^T \vec{y}$$

then the polynomial

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

is the best fitting polynomail of degree n for the given data. Moreover, if at least n+1 of the numbers x_1, \ldots, x_m are distinct, then the matrix X^TX is invertible and this \vec{a} is unique with

$$\vec{a} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{y}$$

10. Applications of Orthogonal Matrices

10.1 Orthogonal Similarity

In chapter 6, we said that two matrices A and B are similar if there exists an invertible matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP = B$. If $L : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear operator and $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , then $P = [\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n]$ we get

$$[L]_{\mathcal{B}} = P^{-1}[L]P$$

We then look for a basis \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{R}^n such that $[L]_{\mathcal{B}}$ was diagonal. We found such a basis is made up of the eigenvectors of [L]

We found in the last chapter that orthogonal matrices have certain properties. In this chapter we try to find an orthonormal basis \mathcal{B} of eigenvectors such that $[L]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is diagonal. Since the corresponding matrix P will be orthogonal

$$[L]_{\mathcal{B}} = P^T[L]P$$

Two matrices A and B are said to be **orthogonally similar** if there exists an orthogonal matrix P such that

 $P^T A P = B$

Orthogonally Similar

Definition

Since the matrix P would be orthogonal, we'd have that $P^T = P^{-1}$, so we also have $B = P^{-1}AP$ and so we still have the properties of similar matricies, $\operatorname{rank}(A) = \operatorname{rank}(B)$, $\operatorname{tr} A = \operatorname{tr} B$, $\operatorname{det} A = \operatorname{det} B$

(Triangularization Theorem)

Theorem 10.1.1

If A is an $n \times n$ matrix with real eigenvalues, then A is orthogonally similar to an upper triangular matrix T

If A is orthogonally similar to an upper triangular matrix T, then A and T must share the same eigenvalues. Thus, since T is upper triangular, the eigenvalues must appear along the main diagonal of T

The proof gives us a method for finding an orthogonal matrix P so that $P^TAP = T$ is upper triangular.

10.2 Orthogonal Diagonalization

We now know that for any linear operator L on \mathbb{R}^n with real eigenvalues we can find an orthonormal basis \mathcal{B} such that the \mathcal{B} -matrix of L is upper triangular. But having a diagonal matrix would be even better, so we'll now look at which matrices are orthogonally similar to a diagonal matrix.

An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to be **orthogonally diagonalizable** if there exists an orthogonal matrix P and diagonal matrix D such that

Definition
Orthogonally
Diagonalizable

$$P^T A P = D$$

that is, if A is orthogonally similar to a diagonal matrix So we need to first determine which matrices are orthogonally diagonalizable.

We'll work backwords, so lets assume that A is orthogonally diagonalizable. Then by definition, there exists an orthogonal matrix P such that $P^TAP = D$ is diagonal (since that's the end result). We want to see what the properties of A are which make it diagonalizable. A and D are similar (also, orthogonally similar), so they must have the same properties. So, we can instead look at D to tell us the properties of A. Since D is diagonal, $D^T = D$.

Using the fact that P is orthogonal, we can rewrite $P^TAP = D$ as $A = PDP^T$. Taking the transpose gives

$$A^{T} = (PDP^{T})^{T} = (P^{T})^{T}D^{T}P^{T} = PDP^{T} = A$$

so we have that $A = A^T$

If A is orthogonally diagonalizable, then $A^T = A$

Theorem 10.2.1

This theorem develops into an **if and only if** theorem. (See the upcoming remark)

A matrix A such that $A^T = A$ is said to be **symmetric**

Definition

Symmetric

So, to be symmetric the matrix should be square. Also, it is symmetric iff $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $1 \le i, j \le n$

Matrix

If A is a symmetric matrix with real entries, then all of its eigenvalues are real

Lemma 10.2.2

(Principle Axis Theorem)

Theorem

Every symmetric matrix A is orthogonally diagonalizable

10.2.3

Remark: We have now proven that a matrix is orthogonally diagonalizable if and only if it is symmetric

A matrix A is symmetric if and	only if $\vec{x} \cdot (A\vec{y}) = (A\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{y}$ for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
----------------------------------	---

Theorem 10.2.4

If \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 are eigenvectors of a symmetric matrix A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 , then \vec{v}_1 is orthogonal to \vec{v}_2

Theorem 10.2.5

Consequently, if a symmetric matrix A has n distinct eigenvalues, the basis of eigenvectors which daigonalizes A will naturally be orthogonal. Hence, to orthogonally diagonalize such a matrix A, we just need to normalize these eigenvectors to form an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n of eigenvectors of A

10.3 Quadratic Forms

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. A function $Q: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form

Definition

$$Q(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

Quadratic Form

is called a quadratic form

We see that quadratic forms $Q(\vec{x})$ have no cross terms if and only if its corresponding symmetric matrix is diaogonal. We call such a quadratic from a **diagonal** quadratic form.

Classifying Quadratic Forms

Let $Q(\vec{x})$ be a quadratic form. We say that:

Definition

Classifications of Quadratic

Forms

- 1. $Q(\vec{x})$ is **positive definite** if $Q(\vec{x}) > 0$ for all $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$,
- 2. $Q(\vec{x})$ is **negative definite** if $Q(\vec{x}) < 0$ for all $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$,
- 3. $Q(\vec{x})$ is **indefinite** if $Q(\vec{x}) > 0$ for some \vec{x} and $Q(\vec{x}) < 0$ for some \vec{x} ,
- 4. $Q(\vec{x})$ is **positive semidefinite** if $Q(\vec{x}) \ge 0$ for all \vec{x} ,
- 5. $Q(\vec{x})$ is **negative semidefinite** if $Q(\vec{x}) \leq 0$ for all \vec{x} .

Let A be the symmetric matrix corresponding to a quadratic form $Q(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}$. Theorem If P is an orthogonal matrix that diagonalizes A, then $Q(\vec{x})$ can be expressed as 10.3.1

$$\lambda_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2$$

where $\vec{y} = P^T \vec{x}$ and where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A corresponding to the columns of P

Remark: The eigenvectors we used to make up P are called the **principal axes** of A. We will see a geometric interpretation of this in the section for sketching quadratic froms.

If A is a symmetric matrix, then the quadratic form $Q(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}$ is

Theorem 10.3.2

- 1. positive definite iff the eigenvalues of A are all positive
- 2. negative definite iff the eigenvalues of A are all negative
- 3. indefinite iff some of the eigenvalues of A are positive and some are negative
- 4. positive semidefinite iff all of the eigenvalues are non-negative
- 5. negative semidefinite iff all of the eigenvalues are non-positive