Formal Methods in Software Development Applications of SMT solving

West University of Timișoara Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics Department of Computer Science

Based on slides of the lecture Satisfiability Checking (Erika Ábrahám), RTWH Aachen

WS 2019/2020

Assume that we have three virtual machines (VMs) which require 100, 50 and 15 GB hard disk respectively. There are three servers with capabilities 100, 75 and 200 GB in that order. Find out a way to place VMs into servers in order to:

- 1 Minimize the number of servers used.
- 2 Minimize the operation cost (the servers have fixed daily costs 10, 5 and 20 USD respectively.)

Assume that we have three virtual machines (VMs) which require 100, 50 and 15 GB hard disk respectively. There are three servers with capabilities 100, 75 and 200 GB in that order. Find out a way to place VMs into servers in order to:

- 1 Minimize the number of servers used.
- 2 Minimize the operation cost (the servers have fixed daily costs 10, 5 and 20 USD respectively.)

Solution. We first formalize the problem. Then we can translate it into the SMT-LIB format and use an SMT solver to find a solution.

Assume that we have three virtual machines (VMs) which require 100, 50 and 15 GB hard disk respectively. There are three servers with capabilities 100, 75 and 200 GB in that order. Find out a way to place VMs into servers in order to:

- 1 Minimize the number of servers used.
- 2 Minimize the operation cost (the servers have fixed daily costs 10, 5 and 20 USD respectively.)

Solution. We first formalize the problem. Then we can translate it into the SMT-LIB format and use an SMT solver to find a solution.

Let x_{ij} denote that VM i is placed on the server j and y_j denote that server j is in use. We need to express the followings.

Assume that we have three virtual machines (VMs) which require 100, 50 and 15 GB hard disk respectively. There are three servers with capabilities 100, 75 and 200 GB in that order. Find out a way to place VMs into servers in order to:

- 1 Minimize the number of servers used.
- 2 Minimize the operation cost (the servers have fixed daily costs 10, 5 and 20 USD respectively.)

 ${\bf Solution.}\ \ {\bf We\ first\ formalize\ the\ problem.}\ \ {\bf Then\ we\ can\ translate\ it\ into\ the\ SMT-LIB\ format\ and\ use\ an\ SMT\ solver\ to\ find\ a\ solution.}$

Let x_{ij} denote that VM i is placed on the server j and y_j denote that server j is in use. We need to express the followings.

■ A VM is on exactly one server: $x_{i1} + x_{i2} + x_{i3} = 1$, $\forall i \in \{1, ..., 3\}$

Assume that we have three virtual machines (VMs) which require 100, 50 and 15 GB hard disk respectively. There are three servers with capabilities 100, 75 and 200 GB in that order. Find out a way to place VMs into servers in order to:

- 1 Minimize the number of servers used.
- 2 Minimize the operation cost (the servers have fixed daily costs 10, 5 and 20 USD respectively.)

 ${\bf Solution.}\ \ {\bf We\ first\ formalize\ the\ problem.}\ \ {\bf Then\ we\ can\ translate\ it\ into\ the\ SMT-LIB\ format\ and\ use\ an\ SMT\ solver\ to\ find\ a\ solution.}$

Let x_{ij} denote that VM i is placed on the server j and y_j denote that server j is in use. We need to express the followings.

- A VM is on exactly one server: $x_{i1} + x_{i2} + x_{i3} = 1$, $\forall i \in \{1, ..., 3\}$
- A used server has at least a VM on it: $(x_1 = 1) \lor ... \lor (x_3 = 1) \Rightarrow (y = 1), j = \overline{1,3}$

Assume that we have three virtual machines (VMs) which require 100, 50 and 15 GB hard disk respectively. There are three servers with capabilities 100, 75 and 200 GB in that order. Find out a way to place VMs into servers in order to:

- 1 Minimize the number of servers used.
- 2 Minimize the operation cost (the servers have fixed daily costs 10, 5 and 20 USD respectively.)

Solution. We first formalize the problem. Then we can translate it into the SMT-LIB format and use an SMT solver to find a solution.

Let x_{ij} denote that VM i is placed on the server j and y_j denote that server j is in use. We need to express the followings.

- A VM is on exactly one server: $x_{i1} + x_{i2} + x_{i3} = 1$, $\forall i \in \{1, ..., 3\}$
- A used server has at least a VM on it: $(x_1 = 1) \lor ... \lor (x_3 = 1) \Rightarrow (y = 1), j = \overline{1,3}$
- Capability constraints: $100x_{11} + 50x_{21} + 15x_{31} \le 100y_1$ $100x_{12} + 50x_{22} + 15x_{32} \le 75y_2$ $100x_{13} + 50x_{23} + 15x_{33} \le 200y_3$

There are various ways of encoding the variables of the problem, for example:

```
(Variant 1) as integers
(Variant 2) as real
(Variant 3) as bool
(Variant 4) using assert-soft constraints
```

There are various ways of encoding the variables of the problem, for example:

```
(Variant 1) as integers
(Variant 2) as real
(Variant 3) as bool
(Variant 4) using assert-soft constraints
```

Variant 1.

There are various ways of encoding the variables of the problem, for example:

```
(Variant 1) as integers
(Variant 2) as real
(Variant 3) as bool
(Variant 4) using assert-soft constraints
```

Variant 1. We declare each variable as integer, e.g.:

We also need to ensure that variables are 0/1, e.g.:

```
(assert (and (>= x11 0) (>= x12 0) (>= x13 0) (>= x21 0)...
(assert (and (<= y1 1) (<= y2 1) (<= y3 1)))
```

Another variant for encoding the 0/1 integers is:

```
(assert (or (>= x11 0) (<= x11 1)
(assert (or (>= x12 0) (<= x12 1)...
```

There are various ways of encoding the variables of the problem, for example:

```
(Variant 1) as integers
(Variant 2) as real
(Variant 3) as bool
(Variant 4) using assert-soft constraints
```

Variant 1. Constraint of type 2 can be encoded in 2 ways. For example:

```
(assert (and (>= y1 x11) (>= y1 x21) (>= y1 x31)))
...
```

Or as:

```
(assert (implies (= y1 1) (or (= x11 1) (= x21 1) (= x31 1) ...
```

There are various ways of encoding the variables of the problem, for example:

```
(Variant 1) as integers
(Variant 2) as real
(Variant 3) as bool
(Variant 4) using assert-soft constraints
```

Variant 2. We declare each variable as real. The constraints should be the same as for the integer encoding.

There are various ways of encoding the variables of the problem, for example:

```
(Variant 1) as integers
(Variant 2) as real
(Variant 3) as bool
(Variant 4) using assert-soft constraints
```

Variant 3. We declare each variable as bool. The capability constraints require only integer/real variables, so we need to transform the bool variables into integer/real. This can be done by declaring a function as follows: (define-fun bool_to_int ((b Bool)) Int (ite b 1 0)) and cast the bool variables to int/real, e.g.

```
(assert (<= (+ (* 100 (bool_to_int x11)) ... ) (...)))
```

There are various ways of encoding the variables of the problem, for example:

```
(Variant 1) as integers
(Variant 2) as real
(Variant 3) as bool
(Variant 4) using assert-soft constraints
```

Variant 4. Use assert-soft constraints (soft constraints) (see https://rise4fun.com/Z3/tutorialcontent/optimization). For our problem, soft constraints can be used to encode the optimization goals: (assert-soft (not y1) :id num_servers) ...

```
(assert-soft (not y1) :id num_servers) ...
(assert-soft (not y1) :id costs :weight 10) ...
```

The assert-soft command represents MaxSMT (maximize the number of constraints which can be satisfied) which tries to maximize the weighted sum of boolean expressions belonged to the same id. Since we are doing minimization, negation is needed to take advantage of MaxSMT support.

Job shop scheduling Problem

Job shop scheduling is an optimization problem in computer science and operations research in which jobs are assigned to resources at particular times. The most basic version is as follows. We are given n jobs J_1 , J_2 , ..., J_n of varying processing times, which need to be scheduled on m machines with varying processing power, while trying to minimize the makespan. The makespan is the total length of the schedule (that is, when all the jobs have finished processing). Additionally, the following constraints might be involved:

- *Precedence*. Between two jobs which want to take a machine.
- Resource. Machines execute at most one job at a time.

Example of a Job shop scheduling problem

$d_{i,j}$	Machine 1	Machine 2
Job 1 Job 2	2	1
Job 2	3	1
Job 3	2	3
max = 8		

Let d_{ij} be the duration of Job i on Machine j. For example $d_{11}=2$ time units (TU), $d_{12}=1$ TU, etc. The maximal makespan is 8 TU.

The problem can be formalized as follows. We consider the variable t_{ij} representing "time required for job i on machine j." $(i = \overline{1,3}, j = \overline{1,2})$

precedence. For example, for Job 1 we have:

$$(t_{1,1} \geq 0) \wedge (t_{1,2} \geq t_{1,1} + 2) \wedge (t_{1,2} + 1 \leq 8)$$

■ resource. For example, we have "Job 1 on machine 1 is scheduled either before or after job 2 on the same machine":

$$(t_{1,1} \ge t_{2,1} + 3) \lor (t_{2,1} \ge t_{1,1} + 2)$$

■ Using Z3 SMT solver, we can find a suitable schedule, for example $t_{31} = 2$, $t_{21} = 4$, $t_{22} = 7$, $t_{32} = 4$, $t_{12} = 2$, $t_{11} = 0$.

- Using Z3 SMT solver, we can find a suitable schedule, for example $t_{31} = 2$, $t_{21} = 4$, $t_{22} = 7$, $t_{32} = 4$, $t_{12} = 2$, $t_{11} = 0$.
- Is it optimal?

- Using Z3 SMT solver, we can find a suitable schedule, for example $t_{31} = 2$, $t_{21} = 4$, $t_{22} = 7$, $t_{32} = 4$, $t_{12} = 2$, $t_{11} = 0$.
- Is it optimal?
- It seems like it is not optimal since we have unused times on VM2.
 For optimality add the constraints which minimizes the maximum finish times on each machine:

```
(define-fun max ((x Int) (y Int)) Int (ite (< x y) y x))
(minimize (max (+ t11 2) (max (+ t21 3) (+ t31 2))))
(minimize (max (+ t12 1) (max (+ t22 1) (+ t32 3))))
```