Course 3 Finite Automata/Finite State Machines

The structure and the content of the lecture is based on (1) http://www.eecs.wsu.edu/~ananth/CptS317/Lectures/index.htm, (2) W. Schreiner Computability and Complexity, Lecture Notes, RISC-JKU, Austria



Excursion: Previous lecture

The Chomsky Hierarchy

We have: $\mathcal{L}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{L}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{L}_2 \supseteq \mathcal{L}_3$.

Closure properties of Chomsky families

Let
$$G_1 = (N_1, T_1, S_1, P_1), G_2 = (N_2, T_2, S_2, P_2).$$

Closure of Chomsky families under union

The families \mathcal{L}_0 , \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 , \mathcal{L}_3 are closed under union.

Key idea in the proof

$$G_{\cup} = (N_1 \cup N_2 \cup S, T_1 \cup T_2, P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{S \to S_1 | S_2\})$$

Closure of Chomsky families under product

The families \mathcal{L}_0 , \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 , \mathcal{L}_3 are closed under product.

Key ideas in the proof

For
$$\mathcal{L}_0$$
, \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2

$$G_p = (N_1 \cup N_2 \cup S, T_1 \cup T_2, P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 S_2\})$$

For \mathcal{L}_3

$$G_p = (V_{N_1 \cup N_2}, V_{T_1 \cup T_2}, S_1, P_1' \cup P_2)$$

where P_1' is obtained from P_1 by replacing the rules $A \to p$ with $A \to pS_2$

Closure properties of Chomsky families (cont'd)

Closure of Chomsky families under Kleene closure

The families \mathcal{L}_0 , \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 , \mathcal{L}_3 are closed under Kleene closure operation.

Key ideas in the proof

For
$$\mathcal{L}_0$$
, \mathcal{L}_1

$$G^* = (V_N \cup \{S^*, X\}, V_T, S^*, P \cup \{S^* \to \lambda | S | XS, Xi \to Si | XSi, i \in V_T\})$$

The new introduced rules are of type 1, so G^* does not modify the type of G.

For
$$\mathcal{L}_2$$

$$G^* = (V_N \cup \{S^*\}, V_T, S^*, P \cup \{S^* \to S^*S | \lambda\})$$

For
$$\mathcal{L}_3$$

$$G^* = (V_N \cup \{S^*\}, V_T, S^*, P \cup P' \cup \{S^* \to S | \lambda\})$$

where P' is obtained with category II rules, from P, namely if $A \rightarrow p \in P$ then $A \rightarrow pS \in P$.



Finite Automata



Finite Automaton (FA)

Finite state machines are everywhere!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t8YKCItVDlg

Why finite automata are important?

https://www.quora.com/Why-is-it-so-important-to-have-a-good-understanding-of-automata-theory



Finite Automaton (FA)

- Informally, a state diagram that comprehensively captures all possible states and transitions that a machine can take while responding to a stream or sequence of input symbols.
- Recognizer for "Regular Languages"
- Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)
 - The machine can exist in only one state at any given time
- Non-deterministic Finite Automata (NFA)
 - The machine can exist in multiple states at the same time

Deterministic Finite Automata - Definition

- A Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA) consists of:
 - Q a finite set of states
 - \blacksquare Σ a finite set of input symbols (alphabet)
 - q₀ a start state (one of the elements from Q)
 - F set of accepting states
 - δ : Q×Σ → Q a transition function which takes a state and an input symbol as an argument and returns a state.
- A DFA is defined by the 5-tuple: $\{Q, \sum, q_0, F, \delta\}$

Example #1

- Build a DFA for the following language:
 - L = {w | w is a binary string that contains 01 as a substring} same as
 - L = {w | w is of the form x01y where x,y are binary strings} same as
 - L = {x01y | x,y are binary strings}
 - Examples: 01, 010, 011, 0011, etc.
 - *Counterexamples*: *ε*, 0, 1, 111000
- Steps for building a DFA to recognize L:
 - $\sum = \{0,1\}$
 - Decide on the non-final (non-accepting) states: Q
 - Designate start state and final (accepting) state(s): F
 - Decide on the transitions: δ


Regular expression: (01)*01(01)*



DFA for strings containing 01

Start state

What makes this DFA deterministic?



•
$$Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$$

•
$$\sum = \{0,1\}$$

• start state =
$$q_0$$

•
$$F = \{q_2\}$$

Transition table

symbols

δ	0	1	
γ q ₀	q_1	q_0	
opto q ₀ q ₁ ate*q ₂	q ₁	q_2	
ate*q2	q_2	q_2	

What if the language allows empty strings?

Accepting/final sta



Example #2

- Build a DFA for the following language:
 - L = { w | w is a binary string that has exactly length2}

See whiteboard



- Input: a word w in ∑*
- Question: Is w acceptable by the DFA?
- Steps:
 - Start at the "start state" q₀
 - For every input symbol in the sequence w do:
 - Compute the next state from the current state, given the current input symbol in w and the transition function
 - If after all symbols in w are consumed, the current state is one of the accepting states (F) then accept w;
 - Otherwise, reject w.



Regular Languages


- Let L(A) be a language recognized by a DFA A.
 - Then L(A) is called a "Regular Language".



The Chomsky Hierachy



Location regular languages in the Chomsky Hierarchy





- Problem: A clamping circuit
 (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clamper_(electronics)) waits
 for a "1" input, and turns on forever. However, to avoid
 clamping on spurious noise, we'll design a DFA that waits
 for two consecutive 1s in a row before clamping on.
- Solution: build a DFA for the following language:

L = { w | w is a bit string which contains the substring 11}

- State Design:
 - q₀: start state (initially off), also means the most recent input was not a 1
 - q₁: has never seen 11 but the most recent input was a 1
 - q₂: has seen 11 at least once



Consider the program which reads symbols from an input stream and returns true if the stream contains an even number of '0' and an even number of '1' (and no other symbol).

```
function EvenZerosAndOnes()

e_0, e_1 \leftarrow \text{true}, \text{true}

while input stream is not empty do

read input

case input of

0: e_0 \leftarrow \neg e_0

1: e_1 \leftarrow \neg e_1

default: return false

end case


end while


return e_0 \wedge e_1

end function
```

	e_0	e_1
q_0	true	true
q_1	true	false
q_2	false	true
q_2	false	false

Example #3: Even Number of Digits (cont'd)







Summary

- Finita Automata
 - Deterministic
 - Non-deterministic