

Automated Theorem Proving, SS 2017. Homework 5 (due May 17, 2017)

1. Apply resolution to the following set of clauses:

- (a) (1) $\neg P \vee Q$
- (2) $\neg Q$
- (3) P
- (b) (1) $P \vee Q$
- (2) $\neg P \vee Q$
- (3) $P \vee \neg Q$
- (4) $\neg P \vee \neg Q$

2. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 : \quad & \forall_{x,y,u,v} T(x, y, u, v) \Rightarrow P(x, y, u, v) \\ A_2 : \quad & \forall_{x,y,u,v} P(x, y, u, v) \Rightarrow E(x, y, u, v, y) \\ A_3 : \quad & T(a, b, c, d) \end{aligned}$$

Prove that A_3 is a logical consequence of A_1 and A_2 .

3. Prove by resolution that G is a logical consequence of F_1 and F_2 where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 : \quad & \forall_x (C[x] \Rightarrow (W[x] \wedge R[x])) \\ F_2 : \quad & \exists_x (C[x] \wedge O[x]) \\ G : \quad & \exists_x (O[x] \wedge R[x]) \end{aligned}$$

4. Prove by resolution that G is a logical consequence of F_1 and F_2 where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 : \quad & \exists_x \left(P[x] \wedge \forall_y (D[y] \Rightarrow L[x, y]) \right) \\ F_2 : \quad & \forall_x \left(P[x] \Rightarrow \forall_y (Q[y] \Rightarrow \neg L[x, y]) \right) \\ G : \quad & \forall_x (D[x] \Rightarrow \neg Q[x]) \end{aligned}$$

5. Prove by resolution that G is a logical consequence of F where

$$\begin{aligned} F : \quad & \forall_{x,y} \exists (S[x, y] \wedge M[y]) \Rightarrow \exists_y (I[y] \wedge E[x, y]) \\ G : \quad & \neg \exists_x I[x] \Rightarrow \forall_{x,y} (S[x, y] \Rightarrow \neg M[y]) \end{aligned}$$

6. Prove by resolution that G is a logical consequence of F_1, F_2 , and F_3 where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 : \quad & \forall_x (Q[x] \Rightarrow \neg P[x]) \\ F_2 : \quad & \forall_x \left((R[x] \wedge \neg Q[x]) \Rightarrow \exists_y (T[x, y] \wedge S[y]) \right) \\ F_3 : \quad & \exists_x \left(P[x] \wedge \forall_y (T[x, y] \Rightarrow P[y]) \wedge R[x] \right) \\ G : \quad & \exists_x (S[x] \wedge P[x]) \end{aligned}$$