

## HypurrFi Security Review

#### **Pashov Audit Group**

Conducted by: Hals, unforgiven, merlinboii February 12th 2025 - February 18th 2025

## **Contents**

1. About Pashov Audit Group	3
2. Disclaimer	3
3. Introduction	3
4. About HypurrFi	3
5. Risk Classification	4
5.1. Impact	4
5.2. Likelihood	4
5.3. Action required for severity levels	5
6. Security Assessment Summary	6
7. Executive Summary	7
8. Findings	10
8.1. High Findings	10
[H-01] DeployUsdxlUtils does not transfer ownership of usdxlToken to admin	10
[H-02] Deployer does not transfer ownership of CapAutomator to admin	11
[H-03] Incorrect proxy address tracking misconfigures USDXL pool tokens	11
8.2. Medium Findings	14
[M-01] DeployHyFiConfigEngine: double deployment of proxyAdmin	14
[M-02] DeployUsdxlUtils: Wrong setting for mint limits	16
[M-03] Pool reserves should be initialized and supplied in same transaction	17
[M-04] Uncompilable DeployUsdxlHyperTestnet script	17
8.3. Low Findings	19
[L-01] DeployUsdxlUtils: UsdxlInterestRateStrategy contract is deployed twice	19
[L-02] Remove deprecated Göerli testnet files from deployment	20
[L-03] Incorrect tokenName set during hyTokenImpl initialization	20

[L-04] _deployUsdxl() doesn't initialize usdxlVariableDebtToken	20
[L-05] _deployUsdxl() doesn't initialize usdxlAToken	21
[L-06] TODO resolution required for GSM proxy admin and unique interest rate strategy handling	21
[L-07] DeployUsdxlUtils: _deployGsm() should use usdxlToken's proxy address instead of implementation	23
[L-08] DeployUsdxlUtils: usdxlAToken and usdxlVariableDebtToken contracts are deployed twice	23

#### 1. About Pashov Audit Group

Pashov Audit Group consists of multiple teams of some of the best smart contract security researchers in the space. Having a combined reported security vulnerabilities count of over 1000, the group strives to create the absolute very best audit journey possible - although 100% security can never be guaranteed, we do guarantee the best efforts of our experienced researchers for your blockchain protocol. Check our previous work <a href="mailto:here">here</a> or reach out on Twitter <a href="mailto:@pashovkrum">@pashovkrum</a>.

#### 2. Disclaimer

A smart contract security review can never verify the complete absence of vulnerabilities. This is a time, resource and expertise bound effort where we try to find as many vulnerabilities as possible. We can not guarantee 100% security after the review or even if the review will find any problems with your smart contracts. Subsequent security reviews, bug bounty programs and on-chain monitoring are strongly recommended.

#### 3. Introduction

A time-boxed security review of the **lastdotnet/hypurrfi-deployments** repository was done by **Pashov Audit Group**, with a focus on the security aspects of the application's smart contracts implementation.

## 4. About HypurrFi

HypurrFi is a leveraged lending marketplace on Hyperliquid, enabling clean leverage loops while maintaining spot positions on native assets like HYPE and stHYPE. Its stablecoin, \$USDXL, is backed by protocol revenue and a growing reserve of tokenized U.S. Treasuries.

#### 5. Risk Classification

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

#### 5.1. Impact

- High leads to a significant material loss of assets in the protocol or significantly harms a group of users.
- Medium only a small amount of funds can be lost (such as leakage of value) or a core functionality of the protocol is affected.
- Low can lead to any kind of unexpected behavior with some of the protocol's functionalities that's not so critical.

#### 5.2. Likelihood

- High attack path is possible with reasonable assumptions that mimic on-chain conditions, and the cost of the attack is relatively low compared to the amount of funds that can be stolen or lost.
- Medium only a conditionally incentivized attack vector, but still relatively likely.
- Low has too many or too unlikely assumptions or requires a significant stake by the attacker with little or no incentive.

### 5.3. Action required for severity levels

- Critical Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed)
- High Must fix (before deployment if not already deployed)
- Medium Should fix
- Low Could fix

#### 6. Security Assessment Summary

#### review commit hashes:

- <u>2509ece7be02e22c1db54e2238ba4c1715ca2bae</u>
- 2490d3ca12a081a8c49981935c2b11eddcb5d519

fixes review commit hashes:

- <u>a049c7dcad5ce0c9af0f9f369b984023d324bd9b</u>
- df0d50f3a37f3c199214b6c1e460e390a7a03e17

#### Scope

The following smart contracts were in scope of the audit:

- ConfigurrHyFiReservesMainnet
- ConfigurrHyFiReservesTestnet
- DeployCapAutomator
- DeployHyFi
- DeployWHYPE
- SupplyHyFi
- TransferOwnership
- [USDfSilo]
- HyperTestnetReservesConfigs
- DeployHyFiUtils
- DeployUtils
- BorrowUsdxlHyperTestnet
- DeployUsdxlGsmHyperTestnet
- DeployUsdxlHyperTestnet
- RepayUsdxlHyperTestnet
- HyperTestnetReservesConfigs
- DeployUsdxlFileUtils
- DeployUsdxlUtils

## 7. Executive Summary

Over the course of the security review, Hals, unforgiven, merlinboii engaged with HypurrFi to review HypurrFi. In this period of time a total of **15** issues were uncovered.

#### **Protocol Summary**

<b>Protocol Name</b>	HypurrFi
Repository	https://github.com/lastdotnet/hypurrfi-deployments
Date	February 12th 2025 - February 18th 2025
<b>Protocol Type</b>	Lending

#### **Findings Count**

Severity	Amount
High	3
Medium	4
Low	8
Total Findings	15

## **Summary of Findings**

ID	Title	Severity	Status
[ <u>H-01</u> ]	DeployUsdxlUtils does not transfer ownership of usdxlToken to admin	High	Resolved
[ <u>H-02</u> ]	Deployer does not transfer ownership of CapAutomator to admin	High	Resolved
[ <u>H-03</u> ]	Incorrect proxy address tracking misconfigures USDXL pool tokens	High	Resolved
[ <u>M-01</u> ]	DeployHyFiConfigEngine: double deployment of proxyAdmin	Medium	Resolved
[ <u>M-02</u> ]	DeployUsdxlUtils: Wrong setting for mint limits	Medium	Resolved
[ <u>M-03</u> ]	Pool reserves should be initialized and supplied in same transaction	Medium	Resolved
[ <u>M-04]</u>	Uncompilable DeployUsdxlHyperTestnet script	Medium	Resolved
[ <u>L-01</u> ]	DeployUsdxlUtils: UsdxlInterestRateStrategy contract is deployed twice	Low	Resolved
[ <u>L-02</u> ]	Remove deprecated Göerli testnet files from deployment	Low	Resolved
[ <u>L-03</u> ]	Incorrect tokenName set during hyTokenImpl initialization	Low	Resolved
[ <u>L-04</u> ]	_deployUsdxl() doesn't initialize usdxlVariableDebtToken	Low	Resolved
[ <u>L-05</u> ]	_deployUsdxl() doesn't initialize usdxlAToken	Low	Resolved

[ <u>L-06</u> ]	TODO resolution required for GSM proxy admin and unique interest rate strategy handling	Low	Resolved
[ <u>L-07]</u>	DeployUsdxlUtils: _deployGsm() should use usdxlToken's proxy address instead of implementation	Low	Resolved
[ <u>L-08</u> ]	DeployUsdxlUtils: usdxlAToken and usdxlVariableDebtToken contracts are deployed twice	Low	Resolved

### 8. Findings

#### 8.1. High Findings

# [H-01] DeployUsdxlutils does not transfer ownership of usdxlToken to admin

#### Severity

**Impact:** Medium

Likelihood: High

#### **Description**

The \_deployUsdxl function, deploys usdxlTokenProxy and sets \_deployer as the owner of \_usdxlToken

But it does not transfer the ownership (admin rights) from deployer to admin

#### Recommendations

Transfer ownership of usdxlToken to admin after deployment and config

# [H-02] Deployer does not transfer ownership of CapAutomator to admin

#### Severity

Impact: Medium

Likelihood: High

#### **Description**

The function DeployCapAutomator.run deploys an instance of CapAutomator, assigning msg.sender (the deployer) as the initial owner. But it does not transfer the ownership to the designated admin.

#### Recommendations

Transfer ownership of capAutomator to admin after deployment.

# [H-03] Incorrect proxy address tracking misconfigures USDXL pool tokens

#### **Severity**

**Impact:** Medium

Likelihood: High

#### **Description**

The <code>DeployUsdxlUtils.\_getUsdxlATokenProxy()</code> and <code>DeployUsdxlUtils.\_getUsdxlVariableDebtTokenProxy()</code> functions incorrectly return implementation contract addresses instead of proxy addresses.

```
//File: src/deployments/utils/DeployUsdxlUtils.sol

function _getUsdxlATokenProxy() internal view returns (address) {
    return address(usdxlAToken); // Returns implementation instead of proxy
}

function _getUsdxlVariableDebtTokenProxy() internal view returns (address) {
    return address
    //(usdxlVariableDebtToken); // Returns implementation instead of proxy
}
```

This causes four main issues:

- 1. Incorrect contract exports in deployment artifacts in the initializeUsdxlReserve() function.
- 2. Incorrect token configurations in the <u>\_setUsdxlAddresses()</u> function, leaving the USDXL pool's <u>AToken</u> and <u>VariableDebtToken</u> unconfigured.
- 3. Incorrect facilitator configurations for the USDXL token in the <a href="mailto:addUsdxlATokenAsEntity">addUsdxlATokenAsEntity()</a> function.
- 4. Incorrect discount token and strategy configurations in the \_setDiscountTokenAndStrategy() function.

#### Recommendation

Track the actual proxy addresses that are configured in the USDXL pool instead of using implementation addresses. This ensures that token configurations are applied to the correct contract instances that the pool interacts with.

To implement this:

1. Get and track proxy addresses from pool's reserve data after pool initialization:

```
function initializeUsdxlReserve(
    address token,
    IDeployConfigTypes.HypurrDeployRegistry memory deployRegistry
    internal
    --- SNIPPED ---
    // set reserves configs
    getPoolConfigurator(deployRegistry).initReserves(inputs);
   IPoolAddressesProvider poolAddressesProvider = getPoolAddressesProvider
+ (deployRegistry);
    //@audit DataTypes should be additional imported
   DataTypes.ReserveData memory reserveData = IPool
+ (poolAddressesProvider.getPool()).getReserveData(token);
    // @ {\tt audit\ Introduce\ new\ two\ state\ variables\ to\ track\ proxy\ addresses} \\
   usdxlATokenProxy = UsdxlAToken(reserveData.aTokenAddress);
  usdxlVariableDebtTokenProxy = UsdxlVariableDebtToken
+ (reserveData.variableDebtTokenAddress);
    // export contract addresses
    DeployUsdxlFileUtils.exportContract
      (instanceId, "usdxlATokenProxy", _getUsdxlATokenProxy());
    DeployUsdxlFileUtils.exportContract(
      instanceId,
      "usdxlVariableDebtTokenProxy",
      _getUsdxlVariableDebtTokenProxy
}
```

#### 2. Update getter functions to return proxy addresses:

```
function _getUsdxlATokenProxy() internal view returns (address) {
    return address(usdxlAToken);
    return address(usdxlATokenProxy);
}

function _getUsdxlVariableDebtTokenProxy() internal view returns (address) {
    return address(usdxlVariableDebtToken);
    return address(usdxlVariableDebtTokenProxy);
}
```

#### 3. Update treasury configuration to use proxy:

#### 8.2. Medium Findings

# [M-01] DeployHyFiConfigEngine: double deployment of proxyAdmin

#### Severity

**Impact:** Medium

Likelihood: Medium

#### **Description**

```
DeployHyFiConfigEngine.run creates a ProxyAdmin using transparentProxyFactory:
```

```
then calls <u>createAndSetupRatesFactory</u> and passes the address of <u>proxyAdmin</u> as <u>ownerForFactory</u>:
```

```
function createAndSetupRatesFactory(
        IPoolAddressesProvider addressesProvider,
       address transparentProxyFactory,
       address ownerForFactory,
       address[] memory reservesToSkip
    ) internal returns (V3RateStrategyFactory, address[] memory) {
        --snip--
       V3RateStrategyFactory ratesFactory = V3RateStrategyFactory(
            ITransparentProxyFactory(transparentProxyFactory).create(
                address(new V3RateStrategyFactory(addressesProvider)),
                ownerForFactory,
                abi.encodeWithSelector
                  (V3RateStrategyFactory.initialize.selector, uniqueStrategies)
        );
       --snip--
}
```

It calls <a href="ITTransparentProxyFactory">ITTransparentProxyFactory</a> (transparentProxyFactory).create and passes the address of <a href="ITTownerForFactory">ownerForFactory</a> (already deployed <a href="proxyAdmin">proxyAdmin</a>) as <a href="IntitalOwner">initialOwner</a>. The problem is that <a href="Create">create</a> function expects the address of owner and deploys its own <a href="adminProxy">adminProxy</a>:

https://github.com/bgd-labs/solidityutils/blob/90266e46868fe61ed0b54496c10458c247acdb51/src/contracts/transp arent-proxy/TransparentProxyFactoryBase.sol#L29

```
function create(
   address logic,
   address initialOwner,
   bytes calldata data
) external returns (address) {
   address proxy = address(new TransparentUpgradeableProxy
      (logic, initialOwner, data));
   _storeProxyInRegistry(proxy);

   emit ProxyCreated(proxy, logic, initialOwner);

   return proxy;
}
```

So the pattern will be like: proxyAdmin(1) > proxyAdmin(2) > transparentProxy > Impl As a result, the admin will not be able to upgrade the contract.

```
Note: import {ITransparentProxyFactory} from "solidity-utils/contracts/transparent-proxyFactory.sol"; The code for the above interface is here: https://github.com/bgd-labs/solidity-utils/blob/main/src/contracts/transparent-proxyFactory.sol
```

#### Recommendations

Dont deploy a separate proxyAdmin and just pass address of admin to create function.

## [M-02] DeployUsdxlUtils: Wrong setting for mint limits

#### Severity

Impact: Medium

Likelihood: Medium

#### **Description**

Functions <u>\_addUsdxlATokenAsEntity()</u> and <u>\_addUsdxlFlashMinterAsEntity()</u> set mint limit as 1B instead of 100mil:

```
function addUsdxlATokenAsEntity
    (IDeployConfigTypes.HypurrDeployRegistry memory deployRegistry)
       internal
       // pull aToken proxy from reserves config
       _getUsdxlToken().addFacilitator(
         address(_getUsdxlATokenProxy()),
         'HypurrFi Market Loans', // entity label
         1e27 // entity mint limit (100mil)
   function _addUsdxlFlashMinterAsEntity
     (IDeployConfigTypes.HypurrDeployRegistry memory deployRegistry)
       internal
     _getUsdxlToken().addFacilitator(
       address(flashMinter),
       'HypurrFi Market Flash Loans', // entity label
       1e27 // entity mint limit (100mil)
     );
```

#### Recommendations

Use 1e26 instead of 1e27 to set it to 100mil

# [M-03] Pool reserves should be initialized and supplied in same transaction

#### Severity

Impact: High

Likelihood: Low

#### **Description**

Currently, for the **HyperEVM testnet**, the pool is initialized with the reserve tokens in the <code>ConfigurrHyFiReserves</code> script, and the tokens are supplied in a different script, <code>SupplyHyFi</code>. This approach leaves the system vulnerable to a **inflation attack** by the first depositor on an empty reserve. Ideally, both actions (initializing and supplying reserves) should happen in the same transaction to ensure that the system is correctly configured and cannot be exploited by an attacker who may manipulate the pool before the liquidity is added.

#### **Instances:**

- **USDC** and **sUSDe** tokens are supplied to the pool, but their respective reserves are not initialized by any of the deployment scripts as the **ConfigurrHyFireserves** script only initializes the **KHYPE** token reserves.
- The **KHYPE reserve** is initialized by the **ConfigurityFireserves** script but not supplied with liquidity.

#### Recommendations

Update the deployment process so that the pool reserves are both initialized and supplied with a minimum liquidity (seed amount) in the same transaction.

#### [M-04] Uncompilable

DeployUsdxlHyperTestnet Script

#### Severity

**Impact:** Low

Likelihood: High

#### **Description**

The DeployUsdxlHyperTestnet script attempts to use usdxlConfig for deployment configuration but fails to declare it as a state variable. This causes compilation failures and renders the deployment script unusable.

```
//File: script/DeployUsdxlHyperTestnet.sol

function _deploy() internal {
    vm.setEnv('FOUNDRY_ROOT_CHAINID', vm.toString(block.chainid));
    instanceId = 'hypurrfi-testnet';

    config = DeployUsdxlFileUtils.readInput(instanceId);
    e> usdxlConfig = DeployUsdxlFileUtils.readUsdxlInput
    //(instanceId); // @audit usdxlConfig not declared
    --- SNIPPED ---
    _deployUsdxl(usdxlConfig.readAddress
    //('.usdxlAdmin'), deployRegistry); // Fails: usdxlConfig not declared
}
```

#### Recommendation

Declare the usdxlConfig state variable in the DeployUsdxlHyperTestnet.

#### 8.3. Low Findings

#### [L-01] DeployUsdxlUtils:

#### UsdxlInterestRateStrategy contract is

#### deployed twice

```
DeployUsdxlUtils: The function _deployUsdxl deploys UsdxlInterestRateStrategy in step 3:
```

But in step 10 of \_deployUsdxl, calls \_updateUsdxlInterestRateStrategy() which deploys \_UsdxlInterestRateStrategy for the second time:

```
function _updateUsdxlInterestRateStrategy
   (IDeployConfigTypes.HypurrDeployRegistry memory deployRegistry)
    internal
{
      address(deployRegistry.poolAddressesProvider),
      0.02e27
    );
      _getPoolConfigurator(
      deployRegistry
    ).setReserveInterestRateStrategyAddress(address(_getUsdxlToken())
}
```

Use the already deployed <u>UsdxlInterestRateStrategy</u> contract address, instead of deploying it again.

# [L-02] Remove deprecated Göerli testnet files from deployment

The input folder contains a folder with primary.json for deployment on the Göerli testnet. However, the Göerli testnet has been deprecated and can no longer be used for test deployments. As a result, the presence of the primary.json file for Göerli is redundant and may cause confusion or lead to errors when attempting to deploy on this testnet.

Recommendation: remove the **Göerli testnet** related entries, files, and folders from the deployment process to avoid issues and ensure that only supported chains are used for deployments.

#### [L-03] Incorrect tokenName set during

#### hyTokenImpl initialization

When the hytokenImpl is initialized in the DeployHyFiutils script, the atokenName is set to "SPTOKEN\_IMPL", which is specific to the SparkLend protocol, while this should be set to the specific name corresponding to the HypurrFi protocol instead.

Recommendation: update the DeployHyFiUtils script to set the atokenName to the appropriate name for the **HypurrFi** protocol during the initialization of hyTokenImpl.

## [L-04] \_deployUsdx1() doesn't initialize usdxlVariableDebtToken

The <u>\_deployUsdxl()</u> function is designed to deploy the **usdxl token** and the required contracts to initialize the **usdx reserve**, however, it was noticed that when the <u>usdxlvariableDebtToken</u> is deployed, it is not initialized in the

script, which allows any malicious actor to initialize it with unintended, incorrect, or irrelevant parameters as the

usdxlVariableDebtToken.initialize() function is unrestricted.

Recommendation: ensure that the **usdxlvariableDebtToken** is properly initialized within the script during the deployment process.

## [L-05] \_deployUsdx1() doesn't initialize usdx1AToken

The \_deployUsdx1() function is designed to deploy the usdxl token and the required contracts to initialize the usdx reserve, however, it was noticed that when the usdxlatoken is deployed, it is not initialized in the script, which allows any malicious actor to initialize it with unintended, incorrect, or irrelevant parameters as the usdxlatoken.initialize() function is unrestricted.

Recommendation: ensure that the **usdxlaToken** is properly initialized within the script during the deployment process.

# [L-06] TODO resolution required for GSM proxy admin and unique interest rate strategy handling

The following unresolved **TODOS** introduce crucial issues in deployment and configuration logic:

1. Hardcoded address(0) as a proxy admin in DeployUsdxlUtils.\_deployGsm(). Currently, the proxy admin is hardcoded as address(0), meaning no one can manage upgrades or administrative functions of the proxy.

```
//File: (usdxl-core) src/deployments/utils/DeployUsdxlUtils.sol

function _deployGsm() internal returns (address) {
    AdminUpgradeabilityProxy proxy = new AdminUpgradeabilityProxy(
        address(gsmImpl),
    address(0), // TODO: set admin to timelock
    ""
    );
    --- SNIPPED ---
}
```

#### 2. Duplicate strategy contracts in

DeployHyFiConfigEngine.\_getUniqueStrategiesOnPool()

The function currently checks for duplicate strategies only by contract address, but not by actual parameters. However, in

v3RateStrategyFactory.initialize(), strategies are identified using a hash of their parameters. This means the same configuration can be registered multiple times under different contracts, leading to unnecessary duplication.

```
//File:
//(hypurrfi-deployment) lib/aave-helpers/src/v3-config-engine/V3RateStrategyFactory.so

function initialize
   (IDefaultInterestRateStrategy[] memory liveStrategies) external initializer {
   for (uint256 i = 0; i < liveStrategies.length; i++) {
     RateStrategyParams memory params = getStrategyData(liveStrategies[i]);

   bytes32 hashedParams = strategyHashFromParams(params);

@> _strategyByParamsHash[hashedParams] = address(liveStrategies[i]);

@> _strategies.push(address(liveStrategies[i]));

emit RateStrategyCreated(address(liveStrategies[i]), hashedParams, params);
}
}
```

#### Recommendation

- For DeployUsdxlUtils.\_deployGsm() function: If the proxy admin is meant to be a contract (such as a timelock contract), deploy it as part of the script and assign it properly. Otherwise, pass the proxy admin address as a parameter to \_deployGsm() instead of hardcoding address(0).
- For DeployHyFiConfigEngine.\_getUniqueStrategiesOnPool(): Before adding a new unique strategy, check if another strategy with the same parameters already exists.

# [L-07] DeployUsdxlUtils: \_deployGsm() should use usdxlToken's proxy address instead of implementation

<u>DeployUsdxlUtils</u>: The <u>\_deployGsm()</u> function should use proxy address (<u>\_getUsdxlToken()</u>) instead of its implementation when deploying new Gsm:

```
function _deployGsm(
    address token,
    address gsmOwner,
    uint256 maxCapacity,
    IDeployConfigTypes.HypurrDeployRegistry memory deployRegistry
) internal returns (address gsmProxy) {
    --snip--

    // Deploy GSM implementation
    Gsm gsmImpl = new Gsm(address(usdxlToken), address(token), address
        (fixedPriceStrategy));
    --snip--
```

#### Recommendations:

Use \_getUsdxlToken() instead of address(usdxlToken).

#### [L-08] DeployUsdxlUtils: usdxlAToken and

usdxlVariableDebtToken contracts are

#### deployed twice

Function \_deployUsdxl deploys usdxlAToken and usdxlVariableDebtToken token contracts in step 4 and uses their address in different configurations:

```
function deployUsdxl(
     addressproxyAdmin,
     IDeployConfigTypes.HypurrDeployRegistrymemorydeployRegistry
    ) internal {
       --snip--
        // 4. Deploy USDXL AToken and Variable Debt Token
       usdxlAToken = new UsdxlAToken(IPool(IPoolAddressesProvider
          (deployRegistry.poolAddressesProvider).getPool()));
       usdxlVariableDebtToken =
            new UsdxlVariableDebtToken(IPool(IPoolAddressesProvider
              (deployRegistry.poolAddressesProvider).getPool()));
        // 5. Deploy Flash Minter
        flashMinter = new UsdxlFlashMinter(
            address(usdxlToken),
            deployRegistry.treasury,
            0, // no fee
            deployRegistry.poolAddressesProvider
        );
```

But in step 8 of \_deployUsdxl, calls \_initializeUsdxlReserve() which deploys usdxlAToken and usdxlVariableDebtToken tokens and exports their address for the second time:

```
function _initializeUsdxlReserve(
     addresstoken,
     IDeployConfigTypes.HypurrDeployRegistrymemorydeployRegistry
   ) internal {
       usdxlAToken = new UsdxlAToken(_getPoolInstance(deployRegistry));
       usdxlVariableDebtToken = new UsdxlVariableDebtToken(_getPoolInstance
          (deployRegistry));
       DeployUsdxlFileUtils.exportContract
          (instanceId, "usdxlATokenImpl", address(usdxlAToken));
       DeployUsdxlFileUtils.exportContract(
         instanceId,
          "usdxlVariableDebtTokenImpl",
          address
        )
       --snip--
   }
```

#### Recommendations:

Use the already deployed <u>usdxlAToken</u> and <u>usdxlVariableDebtToken</u> contract addresses, instead of deploying them again.