

Predictive Distributions & Properties of MLES

Merlise Clyde

STA721 Linear Models

Duke University

September 15, 2016

Topics

- Predictive Distributions
- OLS/MLES Unbiased Estimation
- Gauss-Markov Theorem

Readings: Christensen Chapter 2, Chapter 6.3, (Appendix A, and Appendix B as needed)

Prediction

- Predict Y_* at \mathbf{x}_*^T (could be new point or existing point)
 $\mathbf{Y}_* = \mathbf{x}_*^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_*$
- $E[Y_* | \mathbf{x}_*] = \mathbf{x}_*^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mu_*$ minimizes squared error loss for predicting Y_* at \mathbf{X}_*

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_* - f(\mathbf{x}_*)]^2 &= E[Y_* - \mu_* + \mu_* - f(\mathbf{x}_*)]^2 \\ &= E[Y_* - \mu_*]^2 + E[\mu_* - f(\mathbf{x}_*)]^2 + \\ &\quad 2E[(Y_* - \mu_*)(\mu_* - f(\mathbf{x}_*))] \\ &\geq E[Y_* - \mu_*]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Crossproduct term is 0:

$$E[E[(Y_* - \mu_*)(\mu_* - f(\mathbf{x}_*)) | \mathbf{x}_*]] = E[0 \cdot (\mu_* - f(\mathbf{x}_*))]$$

- equality if $f(x) = E[Y_* | \mathbf{x}_*]$, the “best” predictor of Y_*
- MLE of μ_* is $\mathbf{x}_*^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{Y}_*$ (is this unique?)
- OLS Best Linear predictor of \mathbf{Y}_*
- Under joint Normality of \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X} Best Predictor

Look at

$$Y_* - \hat{Y}_* = \mathbf{x}_*^{*T} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{x}_*^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \epsilon_*$$

$$\text{var}(Y - \hat{Y}) = \text{var}(\mathbf{x}_*^T \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{x}_*^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \text{var}(\epsilon_*)$$

Two Sources of variation:

- Variation of estimator around true regression
- Variation of error around true regression

Distribution of

$$\frac{Y_* - \mathbf{x}_*^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\sqrt{\text{MSE}(1 + \mathbf{x}_*(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_*^T)}} \sim t(n - p - 1, 0, 1)$$

$(1 - \alpha)100$ % Prediction Interval

$$\mathbf{x}_*^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \pm t_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\text{MSE}(1 + \mathbf{x}_*(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_*^T)}$$

Models & MLEs

- $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$ with $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in C(\mathbf{X}) \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}$
- $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}$ is the orthogonal projection operator on the column space of \mathbf{X} ; e.g. \mathbf{X} full rank $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T$
- If $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ is not invertible use a generalized inverse

A generalize inverse of \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A}^- satisfies $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$

Lemma (B.43)

If \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} are generalized inverses of $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})$ then

- 1 $\mathbf{XG}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{XH}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}$
- 2 $\mathbf{XG}\mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{XH}\mathbf{X}^T$

$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}^T$ is the orthogonal projection operator onto $C(\mathbf{X})$ (does not depend on choice of generalized inverse!) [See proof in Theorem B.44]

Generalize Inverses

A generalize inverse of \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{A}^- satisfies $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$

Special Case: Moore-Penrose Generalized Inverse

- Decompose symmetric $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^T$
- $\mathbf{A}_{MP}^- = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^-\mathbf{U}^T$
- $\mathbf{\Lambda}^-$ is diagonal with

$$\lambda_i^- = \begin{cases} 1/\lambda_i & \text{if } \lambda_i \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- Symmetric $\mathbf{A}_{MP}^- = (\mathbf{A}_{MP}^-)^T$
- Reflexive $\mathbf{A}_{MP}^-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}_{MP}^- = \mathbf{A}_{MP}^-$

If \mathbf{P} is an orthogonal projection matrix, the generalized inverse of \mathbf{P} , $\mathbf{P}^- = \mathbf{P}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta} \\ \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}\end{aligned}$$

- MLE of β iff $\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}$
- If $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ is invertible, then

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

and is unique

- But if $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ is not invertible,

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

is one solution which depends on choice of generalized inverse

What can we estimate uniquely?

$$\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$$

- Distribution of \mathbf{Y} determined by $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and σ^2
- $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$

Identifiability

$\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and σ^2 are identifiable if distribution of \mathbf{Y} ,
 $f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \sigma_1^2) = f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_2, \sigma_2^2)$ implies that $(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \sigma_1^2)^T = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_2, \sigma_2^2)^T$

For linear models, equivalent definition is that $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is identifiable if for any $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$ $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1) = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_2)$ implies that $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\beta}_2$. If $r(\mathbf{X}) = p$ then $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is identifiable. If \mathbf{X} is not full rank, there exists

$\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \neq \boldsymbol{\beta}_2$, but $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$ and hence $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is not identifiable

Recall the One-way ANOVA model

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \tau_j \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_{11}, \dots, \mu_{n_1 1}, \mu_{12}, \dots, \mu_{n_2 2}, \dots, \mu_{1J}, \dots, \mu_{n_J J})^T$$

- Let $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 = (\mu, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_J)^T$
- Let $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = (\mu - 42, \tau_1 + 42, \dots, \tau_J + 42)^T$
- Then $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ even though $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \neq \boldsymbol{\beta}_2$
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is not identifiable
- yet $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is identifiable, where $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (a linear combination of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$)

Identifiability and Estimability

Theorem

A function $g(\beta)$ is identifiable if and only if $g(\beta)$ is a function of $\mu(\beta)$

In linear models, historical focus on linear functions. Identifiable linear functions are called *estimable* functions

Definition

A vector valued function $\mathbf{L}\beta$ is *estimable* if $\mathbf{L}\beta = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\beta$ for some matrix \mathbf{A}

Equivalently

Definition

A vector valued function $\mathbf{L}\beta$ is *estimable* if it has an unbiased linear estimator, i.e. there exists an \mathbf{A} such that $E(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{L}\beta$ for all β

Estimability

Work with scalar functions $\psi = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$

Theorem

The function $\psi = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T$ is a linear combination of the rows of \mathbf{X} . i.e. there exists \mathbf{a}^T such that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X}$

Proof.

The function $\psi = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable if there exists an \mathbf{a}^T such that $E[\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Y}] = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Y}] &= \mathbf{a}^T E[\mathbf{Y}] \\ &= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\beta}$



Estimability of Individual β_j

Proposition

For

$$\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta = \sum_j \mathbf{x}_j \beta_j$$

β_j is not identifiable if and only if there exists α_j such that $\mathbf{x}_j = \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{x}_i \alpha_i$

One-way Anova Model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & \mathbf{0}_{n_1} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{n_1} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & \mathbf{0}_{n_2} & \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{n_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_J} & \mathbf{0}_{n_J} & \mathbf{0}_{n_J} & \cdots & \mathbf{1}_{n_J} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tau_J \end{pmatrix}$$

Are any parameters μ or τ_j identifiable?

Theorem

Under the assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned}E[\mathbf{Y}] &= \boldsymbol{\mu} \\ \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}) &= \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n\end{aligned}$$

every estimable function $\psi = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ has a unique unbiased linear estimator $\hat{\psi}$ which has minimum variance in the class of all unbiased linear estimators. $\hat{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is any set of ordinary least squares estimators.

Unique Unbiased Estimator

Lemma

- If $\psi = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is estimable, there exists a unique linear unbiased estimator of $\psi = \mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y}$ with $\mathbf{a}^* \in C(\mathbf{X})$.
- If $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Y}$ is any unbiased linear estimator of ψ then \mathbf{a}^* is the projection of \mathbf{a} onto $C(\mathbf{X})$, i.e. $\mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{a}$.

Unique Unbiased Estimator

Proof

- Since ψ is estimable, there exists an $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $E[\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Y}] = \lambda^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \psi$
- Let $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^* + \mathbf{u}$ where $\mathbf{a}^* \in C(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathbf{u} \in C(\mathbf{X})^\perp$
- Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = E[\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Y}] &= E[\mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y}] + E[\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{Y}] \\ &= E[\mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y}] + 0\end{aligned}$$

$$E[\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

since $\mathbf{u} \perp C(\mathbf{X})$ (i.e. $\mathbf{u} \in C(\mathbf{X})^\perp$) $E[\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{Y}] = 0$

- Thus $\mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y}$ is also an unbiased linear estimator of ψ with $\mathbf{a}^* \in C(\mathbf{X})$

Uniqueness

Proof.

Suppose that there is another $\mathbf{v} \in C(\mathbf{X})$ such that $E[\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{Y}] = \psi$.
Then for all β

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E[\mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y}] - E[\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{Y}] \\ &= (\mathbf{a}^* - \mathbf{v})^T \mathbf{X} \beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } (\mathbf{a}^* - \mathbf{v})^T \mathbf{X} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \beta$$

- Implies $(\mathbf{a}^* - \mathbf{v}) \in C(\mathbf{X})^\perp$
- but by assumption $(\mathbf{a}^* - \mathbf{v}) \in C(\mathbf{X})$ ($C(\mathbf{X})$ is a vector space)
- the only vector in BOTH is $\mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{v}$

Therefore $\mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y}$ is the unique linear unbiased estimator of ψ with $\mathbf{a}^* \in C(\mathbf{X})$. □

Proof of Minimum Variance

- Let $\mathbf{a}^{*T}\mathbf{Y}$ be the unique unbiased linear estimator of ψ with $\mathbf{a}^* \in C(\mathbf{X})$.
- Let $\mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{Y}$ be any unbiased estimate of ψ ; $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^* + \mathbf{u}$ with $\mathbf{a}^* \in C(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathbf{u} \in C(\mathbf{X})^\perp$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\mathbf{a}^T\mathbf{Y}) &= \mathbf{a}^T \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{a} \\ &= \sigma^2 \|\mathbf{a}\|^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 (\|\mathbf{a}^*\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + 2\mathbf{a}^{*T}\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \sigma^2 (\|\mathbf{a}^*\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|^2) + 0 \\ &= \text{Var}(\mathbf{a}^{*T}\mathbf{Y}) + \sigma^2 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \\ &\geq \text{Var}(\mathbf{a}^{*T}\mathbf{Y})\end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^*$

Hence $\mathbf{a}^{*T}\mathbf{Y}$ is the unique linear unbiased estimator of ψ with minimum variance "BLUE" = Best Linear Unbiased Estimator

Proof.

Show that $\hat{\psi} = \mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

Since $\mathbf{a}^* \in C(\mathbf{X})$ we have $\mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{a}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{P}_X^T \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\end{aligned}$$

for $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T = \mathbf{a}^{*T} \mathbf{X}$



- Gauss-Markov Theorem says that OLS has minimum variance in the class of all Linear Unbiased estimators
- Requires just first and second moments
- Additional assumption of normality, OLS = MLEs have minimum variance out of **ALL** unbiased estimators; not just linear estimators (requires Completeness and Rao-Blackwell Theorem - next semester)
- Mean Squared Error for estimator $g(\mathbf{Y})$ of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is

$$E[g(\mathbf{Y}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}]^2 = \text{Var}(g(\mathbf{Y})) + \text{Bias}^2(g(\mathbf{Y}))$$

where $\text{Bias} = E[g(\mathbf{Y})] - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$

- Bias vs Variance tradeoff
- Can have smaller MSE if we allow some Bias!