# Super Awesome Processor A (SAPA) v1.0

Load-Store/Accumulator

Team 1A - Khaled Alfayez, Shaun Davis, Trinity Merrell, Logan Smith

# **CONTENTS:**

Executive Summary	
Introduction	
Instruction Set	4
-Design	
-Implementation	
Xilinx Model	
Testing Methodology	6
Final Performance Results	
Conclusion	8
Appendices	9
-A1 Design Documentation	10
-A2 Design Process Journal	44
-A3 Test Results	

# **Executive Summary**

SAPA v1.0 processor is a new, state-of-the-art processor developed in Terre Haute, IN. This processor is primarily intended for non-robust coding projects, and offers options for easy-to-understand instructions, competitive program sizes, and lightning-efficient processing speeds. SAPA v1.0 has provided correct results for relPrime algorithms for one month and standing.

This report reviews SAPA's 12 official assembly instructions as well as their machine language translations. Beyond instructions, this report outlines everything necessary to understand the SAPA processor from the inside out. This includes everything from implementing and testing individual units to integrating the processor entirely.

Our target audience includes programmers of all ages, though particularly those with a penchant for the software and hardware realms of computer architecture. They know the value in a powerful processor and have a solid idea of the kind of efficiency necessary to carry out computations of all sorts.

Our processor edges out competition in two areas: ease-of-use and performance. All of our instructions are sized at 16-bits, allowing for easy to understand machine language translations. We also offer users an assembler capable of translating a host of pseudoinstructions available for convenience. Performance-wise, our processor runs faster than the average processor in 1990 at about 60Mhz. This is easily on par with many competitors on the market today.

The long-term goal of the SAPA v1.0 is to provide programmers a simpler processor design that is not only easy to implement and adapt, but efficient and accurate. The hope is to change the industry to see processors as not only tools but machines that are beautiful and adapting with every day.

### Introduction

Our processor, appropriately named the Super Awesome Processor A (SAPA) v1.0, is a 16-bit processor based off of MIPS 32-bit architecture. Most of the differences are attributed to the Load-Store/Accumulator design, accomadations for a multi-cycle datapath, and the reduced 16-bit size. Our design has three different types of instructions that allow us to compute arithmetic, jump to other instructions, and use immediate values. Additionally, multiple pseudo-instructoins are available to the user for convienience. There are sixteen registers implemented by our design, outlined in the Appendix.

To achieve a completed processor, out team had to make many design decisions, involving compromise and some sacrifices. We originally had twenty registers for use, but trimmed that down to better fit the 16-bit size of our processor, giving us less flexibility when writing code. Our choice in architecture – Load/Store with Accumulator, caused us to have many extra muxes to choose the value from our special computation result register as well as a special register in the datapath that always stores the value of the computation result register. All of this was caused by our accumulator computation register.

Because our design is also load/store, we found it necessary to have a memory unit as well as a register file to hold data values. Between these two components and our ALU, we found it necessary to have special temporary register such as IR, MDR, A/B/C

to hold necessary values between stages in the datapath. Because our team decided to implement a computation register, while it was convenient, we had to be careful when considering how to write our instructions.

Overall, we believe our processor has an intuitive desgn that takes advantage of both the load-store and accumulator arheitectures.

# **Instruction Set Design**

Our processor utilized three different types of instructions, all 16-bit. First, we have the C-type instruction which handle register to register computations for arithmetic and logic. Within this type, there are instructions such as *add*, *sub*, *and*, *or*, *etc*. These instructions take two registers as operands to their computations, share a single opcode, and are distinguishable by their func code. Their results are always stored in the specialized computation register.

The second instruction type, I-type instructions, are used for register to data and register to memory computations. These instructions handle storing data from registers into memory and loading data into registers from memory, immediate values, and the computation register. They also handle control flow such as branches and jumps that are necessary for loops and procedure calls.

Lastly, we have the L-type, or leap type, instructions which are used for jal and j instructions. Jump instructions use a 12-bit immediate with the top 4 bits of the PC concatenated to create a 16-bit address and allowing for a 2^11 size block of "jump space". We made this instruction type instead of just using an I-type again because we wanted more range for jumps.

### **Implementation**

According to our implementation of the SAPA v1.0 processaor, only one instruction can go through our instruction pipeline at a time. We have also utilized multi-cycle register transfer language, which means each instruction is executed in 4 or more steps.

In order to implement this multi-cycle processor, we needed to develop a control unit that handled both fetching new instructions from memory and moving through the appropriate cycles when a new instruction is sent into the processor.

For each cycle, different control bits were sent to each unit in the datapath such as write and read controls on registers and the memory unit, and the op code for the ALUControl unit (see A1. Specficiation for Controls).

Due to our load-store/accumulator architecture type, the code density of our processor is relatively high. Since data is held in registers, the tradeoff for high density programs are programs of smaller sizes. Since each instruction is equal in size, programs are much easier to store.

### **Xilinx Model**

For our different units, we made them using Verilog and schematic. It was pretty easy moving between both meathods for debugging purposes and made making the units easy for everyone.

For implementing the datapath however, we kept it in schematic since it is much easier to take all of our previous work, moving it over and connecting it all together rather than trying to retype in all of the things we have already done.

This processor can be made in either all schematic or all Verilog but we avoided doing this since it would limit our productiveness if the person working on the specific file wasn't proficient in what was being used.

# **Testing Methodology**

Our testing strategy consisted mostly of trying to anticipate problems before they occurred. We think of what might go wrong with a component and write tests to catch those situations. After that, we write general cases for expected outputs with non-extreme or expected data. When these tests are finished, we run through all inputs that satisfy the tests and make sure the output is expected/acceptable.

Testing individual units was fairly simple since all they needed to do was fulfill whatever function we designed them for and we are all pretty cabable at using Verilog so if anything went wrong, it was just a little debugging.

When we started combining units together to make parts of the processor, we decided that it would be a good idea to have people make parts of the datapath that they had no influence on the units that are used if possible. This is so that the unit actually works and it's not just the person who designed it inserting magic numbers or using functions that the unit isn't supposed to have. This is also a way for us to insure that we all understand the datapath we made since it should as easy as a very advanced connect the dots in which you just insert the appropriate units and connect them all together.

One problem we had while testing the parts was that sometimes what we wanted to test wouldn't show the result until the cycle after execution. It was quickly realized and

then solved by having the parts update on the negative clock edge rather than the positive edge.

We had this same problem while testing some of our functions for the datapath but we couldn't use the same solution since we wanted it to all trigger on the same clock edge to reduce confusion. We figured out how to input stalls without messing with the datapath in order to check our functions.

### **Final Performance Results**

#### **Space**

The relPrime algorithm detailed in **A1.** relPrime (Machine Language) is a total of **200B** for Euclid's algorithm and **614B** for the relPrime algorithm in total. These figures were determined by the equation n \* 2 + m \* 2 = totalBytes, where n is the total number of instructions and m is the total number of independent memory instructions (ie instructions where memory accessed to be either store or loaded). We recognize this is is large compensation of space but, as mentioned previously, this is due to the architecture of the processor.

#### Time

In testing, the SAPA v1.0 clocked a cycle time of **58.431 Mhz** - faster than the average processor from the early 1990s, which was 50Mhz. After running the algorithm for relPrime detailed in **A1. relPrime (Assembly)**, with the previous condition condition n = 0x13B0 (where n = \$a0 register), **36,360 instructions** are run over **40,099 cycles**. This produces **1.09 average cycles per instruction**. On the processor, the execution time is **7.35558ms**. Overall, these results are not the most inefficient and we feel our processor is on average, at the level of competitors in this area.

# Conclusion

Overall, we believe that our processor has a solid and user friendly design. To reach a finishing point point for this project, our team faced many challenges and late nights. However, what we learned from this project was invaluable. We are prouid of how we implemented this processor to leave room for adaptability due to the wide range of instructions. Being more efficient from computers from the 90's, while it is not up to today's standards, it is very functional and a good working processor.

# **Appendices**

CONTENTS:		
A1. Design Documentation		.10
1. Register Descriptions		.10
-Table of Register Descriptions		
2. Instructions - Assembly and Machine Language		.11
-Procedure Call Convention	11	
-Syntax and Semantics	11	
-Table of Machine Language Translations for		
Instructions		
-Table of Common Operations	20	
-relPrime and Euclid's Algorithm (Assembly)	21	
-relPrime and Euclid's Algorithm (Machine Language) .	23	
3. Register Transfer Language (RTL)		.29
4. Datapath Components		.33
-Table of Components and Signals	33	
-Block Diagram of Datapath	34	
5. Control Unit		.35
-Table of Control Signals		
-Specification for Controls	36	
-Finite State Machine Transition Diagram	37	
6. Implementation and Testing		.38
-Hardware Implementation Plan	38	
-Unit Testing	38	
-Integration Plan	40	
-System Test Plan		
A2. Design Process Journal		.44

A3. Test results .......57

# **Register Descriptions**

**Table of Register Descriptions** 

Register	Number	Availability	Description
\$sn (0- 2) <(^.^)>	0-2	Read/write	General purpose registers. The intent is to store long term computation results and save values over functions calls
\$t <i>n (0-3)</i> <(,)>	3-6	Read/write	General purpose registers, to be used like \$sn registers. Will NOT be saved over function calls.
\$v <(,)>	7	Read/write	This register is for storing the return value from a function
\$cr <(,)>	8	Read only*	Accumulator - stores most recently computed value
\$ra <(^.^)>	9	Read/write	Stores the return address of a function
\$a <i>n</i> (0- 1) <(,)>	10-11	Read/write	These registers are used to store arguments for use in a called function
\$st <(^.^)>	12	Read/write	Reference "top" or lowest memory address of stack
\$in (0-1) :~/	13-14	Not available	Used by assembler for pseudo instructions.
\$k :~/	15	Read/write (while handling exceptions)	Exception registers; only accessible with permissions*

Unsafe between procedure calls = <(-\_-,)> Safe between procedure calls = <(^\_^)>

<sup>\*</sup> Enforced by exception handler

# **Instructions - Assembly and Machine Language**

### **Procedure Call Conventions:**

- 1. Registers \$in, \$kn (where n is 0-1) are reserved for the assembler and operating system and should not be used by user programs or compilers.
- 2. Registers \$an (where n is 0-1) are used to pass arguments to procedures, any other arguments should go on the stack. Register \$v is used to return a value from functions.
- 3. Registers \$an, \$tn, \$d, \$cr, and \$v are temporary and volatile. Expect them to contain different data after a procedure call.
- 4. \$sn registers must be backed up on the stack at the beginning of a procedure and restored before returning from the procedure. This preserves values in these registers over procedure calls.
- 5. \$st is the stack register. It points to the top memory location in the stack. If the stack is grown at any time in a procedure, it must be reduced before returning from that procedure.
  - a. Memory is allocated to the stack by subtracting from the value in \$st. Memory is deallocated from the stack by adding to the value in \$st.
- 6. \$ra is the return address of a procedure. Jal will overwrite \$ra to be the next instruction, so \$ra must ALWAYS be backed up on the stack before a procedure call and restored after returning from the procedure.
- 7. The instruction jr \$ra will return the program to the address.

# Syntax and Semantics:

### **Basic Instruction Formats**

C-type. Computation types (register to register)

opcode	r1	r2	func	
15	11	7	3	0

C-type instructions are used for register to register computations. They handle arithmetic and logical computations such as add, sub, and, or, etc. These instructions share a single opcode, and are distinguishable by their func code.

I-type. Immediate types (register to data, register to memory)

opcode	r1	immediate	
15	11	7	0

I-type instructions are used for register to data and register to memory computations. These instructions handle storing data from registers into memory and loading data into registers from memory, immediate values, and the \$cr register. They also handle control flow such as branches and jumps that are necessary for loops and procedure calls.

L-type, Leap type

opcode	immediate	
15	11 0	

L-type instructions are used for jal and j instructions.

### **Arithmetic and Logical Instructions**

Arithmetic and logical instructions are **C-type** instructions. These instructions take two registers as operands to their computations. Their results are always stored in the specialized \$cr register.

Arithmetic and logical instructions are directly translated from their assembly to the machine language. For example, the following assembly would translate accordingly into binary:

add \$s2, \$t3

0000	0010	0111	0000
ор	r1	r2	func

Registers are directly translated from their respective numbers in the registry (see chart in Registers).


## **List of Arithmetic and Logical Instructions:**

**Addition:** 

add r1, r2			
0000	r1	r2	0000
4	4	4	4


Stores the sum of r1 and r2 into register \$cr

#### Subtraction:




Stores the difference between r1 and r2 into register \$cr

#### AND:



Stores the logical AND of r1 and r2 into register \$cr





Stores the logical OR of r1 and r2 into register \$cr

#### NOR:

nor r1 ,r2

0000	r1	r2	0100
4	4	4	4

Stores the logical NOR of r1 and r2 into register \$cr

#### NAND:

nand r1, r2

0000	r1	r2	0101
4	4	4	4

Stores the logical NAND of r1 and r2 into register \$cr

#### **Exclusive OR:**

xor r1. r2

	0000	r1	r2	0110
,	4	4	4	4

Stores the logical Exclusive OR of r1 and r2 into register \$cr

#### **Set Less Than:**

slt r1, r2

0000	r1	r2	0111	
1	1	1	1	

Stores either a 1(True) or a 0(False) in \$cr depending on if r1 is less than r2

### **Branch Instructions**

Branch instructions are **I-type** instructions, and compare the value in \$cr to the value in r1. The branch instruction will then succeed or fail based on equivalence or inequality.

Branch instructions are PC-relative, meaning they use an 8-bit offset that allows a user to jump  $2^7$ -1 instructions forward or  $2^7$  backward. A translation may appear as below (where "loop" is 3 instructions above bieq):

biea \$s0. loop

<i>οιος φου, ισορ</i>		
0001	0000	1101
ор	r1	BranchAddr

#### **List of Branch Instructions:**

#### Branch if equal:

bieq r1, location

0001	r1	BranchAddr
4	4	8

Conditional branch to address in immediate if r1 is equal to register \$cr

#### **Branch not equal:**

bneq r1, location

0010	r1	BranchAddr
4	4	8

Conditional branch to address in immediate if r1 does not equal register \$cr

#### **Jump Instructions**

Jump instructions utilize two instructions formats: L-type and l-type.

Jump instructions use a 12-bit immediate with the top 4 bits of the PC concatenated to create a 16-bit address and allowing for a 2<sup>-1</sup> size block of "jump space". PC is set to this new address.

#### **List of Jump Instructions:**

### Jump:

j location		
0011		JumpAddr
	4	12

Unconditional jump to the address in immediate

### Jump and link:

jal	location		
	0100		JumpAddr
		4	12

Unconditional jump to the address in immediate, storing the address of subsequent instruction into register \$ra

#### Jump register:

j	ir r1		
	0101	r1	unused
	4	4	8

Unconditional jump to the address in r1

#### **Load/Store Instructions**

Load/Store instructions are **I-type** and often require a value to be computed and stored in \$cr prior to execution. Specific requirements are denoted for each instruction.

Load/store instructions are directly translated similar to arithmetic and logical instructions. The following assembly would translate accordingly:

<i>IW</i> \$t0		
1010	0101	unused
ор	r1	Imm

#### **List of Load/Store Instructions:**

#### Load upper immediate:

lui r1, upper(big)

101 11, 010001 (1019	/	
0110	r1	Immediate
4	4	8

Load top half of 16-bit immediate into r1. Bottom bits are set to zero.

#### Load lower immediate:

Ili r1, lower(bi	g)	
0111	r1	Immediate
4	4	8

Load lower half of 16-bit immediate into r1. Top bits are set to sign of immediate.

#### Load to register:

Itr r1, immediate

1000
r1
SignExtImm

4
4
8

Take a sign-extended 8-bit immediate value and store in r1. If immediate is greater than 8-bits, utilizes load upper immediate and load lower immediate.

Translates to:

```
lui $i0, upper(big)
lli $i1, lower(big)
or $i0, $i1
ctr r1
```

#### Copy to register:

ctr r1

1001	r1	unused
4	4	8

Take a previously computed value from \$cr and store in r1

#### Load word:

lw r1		
1010	r1	unused
4	4	8

Access memory at the address stored in \$cr and store the value in r1

#### Store word:

sw r1		
1011	r1	unused
4	4	8

Store value in r1 at the previously computed memory address in register \$cr.

### **Special Procedures**

#### Interact with I/O

syscall

oyooan	
1100	unused
4	12

How to use syscall:

Step 1. Load appropriate code into \$v.

Step 2. Load argument values, if any, into \$a0 or \$a1 as specified.

Step 3. Issue the SYSCALL instruction.

Step 4. Retrieve return values, if any, from result registers as specified.

#### Example:

**Table of Syscall Codes** 

Function	Integer in \$v	Argument or Return Value	
PRINT_INT	1	\$a0 = value	
PRINT_STRING	2	\$a0 = address of string	
READ_INT	3	Result placed in \$v	
READ_STRING	4	\$a0 = address, \$a1 = maximum length	
EXIT	5	None	
PRINT_CHAR	6	\$a0 low byte = character	
READ_CHAR	7	Character returned in low byte of \$v	

### **Pseudo Instructions**

These are instructions are not official instructions but sets of instructions that our processor will handle with smaller instructions. All pseudo instructions are **I-type**. It is important to note that the expansion of pseudo instructions often require **C-type** instructions. Therefore, users should generally assume that \$cr will be overwritten when executing pseudo instructions and backup \$cr if they wish to use it afterward.

#### Set branch comparison:

sbc imm

Sets register \$cr to a sign-extended 8-bit immediate value in preparation for a branch instruction.

Translation:

Itr \$i0, 0 Itr \$i1, imm add \$i0, \$i1

#### Set jump register:

sjr JumpAddr

Sets register \$ra to a jump address specified by the user.

Translation:

Itr \$i1, JumpAddr Itr \$i0, 0 add \$i0, \$i1 ctr \$ra

#### **OR** immediate:

```
ori r1, imm
```

Stores the logical OR of r1 and immediate into register \$cr. 8-bit numbers are zero-extended.

#### 8-bit Translation:

```
Ili $i0, imm # Loads zero-extended lower 8 bits or r1, $i0
```

#### 16-bit Translation:

```
lui $i0, upper(imm) # Loads upper 8 bits, bottom bits filled with 0 lli $i1, lower(imm) # Loads zero-extended lower 8 bits or $i0, $i0 or r1, $cr
```

#### **ADD** immediate:

```
addi r1, imm
```

Stores the arithmetic result of r1 and immediate into register \$cr. 8-bit numbers are zero-extended. For 16-bit numbers, the following translation occurs:

```
8-bit Translation: ltr $i0.
```

```
add r1, $i0

16-bit Translation:

lui $i0, upper(big)

lli $i1, lower(big)

or $i0, $i1
```

\$i0, imm

#### Load address:

```
la r1, address
```

add r1, \$cr

Stores the sign-extended address in immediate into r1.

#### Translation:

```
lui $i0, upper(address)
lli $i1, lower(address)
or $i0, $i1
ctr r1
```

#### Register to Register:

```
rtr r1. r2
```

Moves the value in r2 to r1.

Translation:

```
ltr $i0, 0
add r2, $i0
ctr r1
```

# **Table of Machine Language Translations for Instructions**Key: R[8] = \$cr, R[9] = \$ra

Key: R[8] = \$cr, R[9] = \$						
Instruction	Туре	Code	Descri rules	ption o	f bits	and
Add	С	R[8] = R[r1] + R[r2]	0000	r1	r2	0000
Sub	С	R[8] = R[r1] - R[r2]	0000	r1	r2	0001
AND	С	R[8] = R[r1] & R[r2]	0000	r1	r2	0010
OR	С	R[8] = R[r1]   R[r2]	0000	r1	r2	0011
NOR	С	$R[8] = \sim (R[r1]   R[r2])$	0000	r1	r2	0100
NAND	С	$R[8] = {\sim}(R[r1] \& R[r2])$	0000	r1	r2	0101
XOR	С	$R[8] = R[r1] ^ R[r2]$	0000	r1	r2	0110
Set Less Than	С	R[8] = (R[r1] < R[r2]) ? 1 : 0	0000	r1	r2	0111
Branch Equal	I	If $(R[8] = R[r1])$ PC = PC + 1 + BranchAddr	0001	r1	Brar	nchAddr
Branch Not Equal	I	If (R[8] != R[r1]) PC = PC + 1 + BranchAddr	0010	r1	Brar	nchAddr
Jump	L	PC = JumpAddr	0011	JumpA	Addr	
Jump and Link	L	R[9] = PC + 1 PC = JumpAddr	0100	JumpA	Addr	
Jump Register	I	PC = R[r1]	0101	r1	8'b0	
Load Upper Immediate	I	$R[r1] = \{imm, 8'b0\}$	0110	r1	lmm	ediate
Load Lower Immediate	1	$R[r1] = \{8'b0, imm\}$	0111	r1	lmm	ediate
Load to Register	Ι	R[r1] = SignExtImm	1000	r1	Imm	ediate
Copy to Register	I	R[r1] = R[8]	1001	r1	8'b0	
Load Word	I	R[r1] = M[R[8]]	1010	r1	8'b0	
Store Word	I	M[R[8]] = R[r1]	1011	r1	8'b0	)

syscall	I	I/O	1100	4'b0	8'b0
Set Branch Comparison	-	R[8] = SE(BranchComparison)	Pseudo	instruc	etion
Set Jump Register	-	R[9] = JumpAddr	Pseudo	instruc	ction
ORi	-	R[8] = r1   ZeroExtImm	Pseudo	instruc	etion
Load Address	-	R[r1] = SignExtImm	Pseudo	instruc	ction
Register to Register	-	R[r1] = R[r2]	Pseudo	instruc	etion
Addi	-	R[r1] = SignExtImm	Pseudo	instruc	etion

## **Table of Common Operations:**

Tuble of C	or Common Operations.				
	SAPA 1.0	Description			
Load Address	la \$s2, 0x4EF6	Loading an address is a pseudo instruction.  Refer to <i>Pseudo instruction</i> for full details on how <i>la</i> works.			
Arithmetic / Logical	Itr \$t1, 1 Itr \$t2, 1 add \$t1,	Load values into two registers and call add to store their sum in \$cr. Similar for other arithmetic and logical operations.			
Iterations	ltr \$s1, 15 Itr \$t0, 1 Itr \$t1, 0 Itr \$t2, 3 loop: slt \$s1, \$t1 bieq \$t0, exit	This for-loop keeps adding 3 to register \$s3 (0) until \$t1 becomes greater than \$s1 (15), resulting with a 18 stored in \$t1 after the loop has exited.			

	add \$t1, \$t2 ctr \$t1 j loop exit:	
Branches	See above	The example above utilizes <i>slt</i> and <i>bieq</i> to create a branch on greater than, which isn't an instruction itself but can be created using multiple instructions.
Jumps	add2:  ltr \$t0, 2 add \$t0, \$  a0  ctr \$t1 sbc 20 bneq \$t1, add2  sjr end jr \$ra end:	This example of code does recursive addition of adding two until the value of 20 is reached. However, it needs to move to end instead of moving to the next set of recursive code. Unfortunately, it is too far for the regular jump code to reach. The user can instead; however, set the value of the desired address to the \$ra register and can now jump to it.

### relPrime and Euclid's Algorithm (Assembly):

relPrimeSetup:

```
addi
      $st, 0
                   # $cr = $st
                   # store $ra on stack
SW
      $ra
                   # $cr = $st - 4
addi
      $st, -4
                   # $st = $st - 4
ctr
      $st
                   # store $s0 on stack
      $s0
SW
                   # $cr = $st - 4
addi $st, -4
                   # \$st = \$st - 4
ctr
      $st
                   # store $s1 on stack
SW
      $s1
      $s0, $a0
                   # $s0 = n
rtr
ltr
      $t0, 2
                   # m = 2
      $a1, $t0
                   # $a1 = m
rtr
```

```
# $s1 = m
             $s1, $t0
      rtr
relPrimeLoop:
             gcd
      jal
      sbc
             1
                          # $cr = 1
             v, cleanup # if gcd(n, m) == 1, branch to cleanup
      biea
                          # $cr = m + 1
      addi
             $s1, 1
                          # m = m + 1
      ctr
             $s1
             $a1, $s1
      rtr
                          # $a1 = m
             $a0, $s0
      rtr
             relPrimeLoop
      i
gcd:
      sbc
                          # $cr = 0
             0
      bneq $a0, gcdLoop
                                 # if a != 0, branch to gcdLoop
             $v, $a1
                          # $v = b
      rtr
             $ra
                          # return to caller
      jr
gcdLoop:
                          # $cr = 0
      sbc
             0
      bneg $a1, subOne # if b != 0, branch to subOne
             $v, $a0
                          # $v = a
      rtr
                          # return to caller
      jr
             $ra
subOne:
      slt
             $a1, $a0
                          # $cr = b < a
             $t0, 0
      ltr
             t0, subTwo # if (a > b) go to subTwo
      bieg
      sub
             $a0, $a1
                          # $cr = a - b
                          # a = a - b
      ctr
             $a0
                          # return to gcdLoop
             gcdLoop
subTwo:
      sub
             $a1, $a0
                          # $cr = b - a
                          # b = b - a
      ctr
             $a1
                          # return to gcdLoop
             gcdLoop
      j
cleanup:
                          # $v = m
             $v, $s1
      rtr
                          # $cr = $st
      addi
             $st, 0
      lw
             $s1
                          # restore $s1
             $st, 4
                          # $cr = $st + 4
      addi
                          # \$st = \$st + 4
             $st
      ctr
      lw
             $s0
                          # restore $s0
             $st, 4
                          # $cr = $st + 4
      addi
             $st
                          # \$st = \$st + 4
      ctr
             $ra
                          # restore $ra
      lw
      jr
             $ra
```

# relPrime and Euclid's Algorithm (Machine Language):

relPrimeSetup:

addi \$st, 0	# \$cr = \$st				
1000	1101	00	000000		
0000	1100	1101	0000		
sw \$ra	# store \$ra on sta	ack			
1011	1001		000000		
addi \$st, -4	# \$cr = \$st - 4	1	,		
1000	1101	11	111100		
	Γ	Γ			
0000	1100	1101	0000		
ctr \$st	# \$st = \$st - 4				
1001	1100	00	000000		
Φ. 0	// « I « » « Ф « О « » « « I	1			
sw \$s0	# store \$s0 on st		000000		
1011	0000	00	000000		
addi \$st, -4	# \$cr = \$st - 4				
1000	1101	11	111100		
	Γ	Γ			
0000	1100	1101	0000		
ctr \$st	# \$st = \$st - 4				
1001	1100	00	00000000		
sw \$s1	# store \$s1 on st	ack			
1011	0001		0000000		
	1				
rtr \$s0, \$a0	) # \$s0 = n				
1000	1101	00	000000		

0000	1010	1101	0000	
1001	0000	000	000000	
Itr \$t0, 2	# m = 2			
1000	0011	000	000010	
rtr \$a1, \$t0	# \$a1 = m			
1000	1101	000	000000	
0000	0011	1101	0000	
1001	1011	000	000000	
rtr \$s0, \$t0	# \$s1 = m			
1000	1101	000	000000	
0000	0011	1101	0000	
1001	0001	000	000000	
relPrimeLoop: jal gcd				
0100		000000101001		
sbc 1	# \$cr = 1			
1000	1101	000	00000	
1000	1110	0000001		
0000	1101	1110	0000	
bieq \$v, cleanup # if gcd(n, m) == 1 branch to cleanup				
0001	0111	00100011		

addi \$s1, 1	# \$cr = m + 1			
1000	1101	000	000001	
	I			
0000	0001	1101	0000	
ctr \$s1	# m = m + 1			
1001	0001	000	000000	
rtr \$a1, \$s1	# \$a1 = m			
1000	1101	000	000000	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>,</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0000	0001	1101	0000	
	T	1		
1001	1011	000	000000	
rtr \$a0, \$s0	# \$a0 = n			
1000	1101	000	000000	
		T		
0000	0000	1101	0000	
	1	1	1	
1001	1010	000	000000	
j relPrimeL	_оор			
0011		00000011010		
and.	•			
gcd: sbc 0	# \$cr = 0			
1000	1101			
1000	1110	0000000		
		Ι		
0000	1101	1110	0000	

bneq \$a0, gcdLoop # if a != 0, go to subOne

0010	1010	000	000100	
rtr \$v, \$a1	# \$v = b			
1000	1101	000	000000	
0000	1011	1101	0000	
1001	0111	000	000000	
jr \$ra	# return to caller			
0101	1001	000	000000	
	•	<b>'</b>		
gcdLoop: sbc 0	# \$cr = 0			
1000	1101	000	000000	
		<b>L</b>		
1000	1110	000	000000	
	1			
0000	1101	1110	0000	
bneq \$a1, sub	One # if b != 0, branch	n to subOne		
0010	1011	000	000100	
rtr \$v, \$a0	# \$v = a			
1000	1101	000	000000	
0000	1010	1101	0000	
			, ,	
1001	0111	00000000		
jr \$ra	# return to caller			
0101	1001	00000000		
	•	•		

subOne:

subOne:	<b>.</b>	<i>"</i>			
slt	\$a1, \$a0	# \$cr = b < a	Τ	1	
000	0	1011	1010	0111	
ltr	\$t0, 0				
10	00	0011		0000000	
bieq	bieq \$t0, subTwo # if !(a > b) go to subTwo				
00	01	0011		0000011	
sub	\$a0, \$a1	# \$cr = a - b			
000	0	1010	1011	0001	
ctr	\$a0	# a = a - b			
10	01	1010		0000000	
j	gcdLoop	# return to gcdLo	# return to gcdLoop		
	711		00000011000	) 1	
subTwo:	\$a1, \$a0	# \$cr = b - a			
000	0	1011	1010	0001	
ctr	\$a1	# b = b - a			
10	01	1011		0000000	
j	gcdLoop	# return to gcdLc	оор		
00	011		00000011000	)1	
cleanup:	¢c1	# \$v = m			
			0000000		
10	UU	1101		00000000	
000	0	00001	1101 0000		
10	01	0111	0000000		
	-	1			

addi \$st, 0	# \$cr = \$st			
1000	1101	000	000000	
		•		
0000	1100	1101	0000	
lw \$s1	# restore \$s1			
1010	0001	000	000000	
addi \$st, 4	# \$cr = \$st + 4			
1000	1101	000	000100	
	1			
0000	1100	1101	0000	
ctr \$st	# \$st = \$st + 4			
1001	1100	000	000000	
lw \$s0				
1010	0000	000	000000	
addi \$st, 4	# \$cr = \$st + 4			
1000	1101	000	000100	
0000	1100	1101	0000	
ctr \$st	# \$st = \$st + 4			
1001	1100	000	000000	
lw \$ra	# restore \$ra			
1010	1001	00000000		
jr \$ra				
0101	1001	00000000		

# **Register Transfer Language (RTL)**

#### **Definitions:**

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{CR} = \mathsf{Register}[8] & \mathsf{OP} = \mathsf{IR}[\mathsf{15}\text{-}\mathsf{12}] \\ \mathsf{RA} = \mathsf{Register}[9] & \mathsf{IMM8} = \mathsf{IR}[\mathsf{7}\text{-}\mathsf{0}] \\ \mathsf{I0} = \mathsf{Register}[\mathsf{13}] & \mathsf{IMM12} = \mathsf{IR}[\mathsf{11}\text{-}\mathsf{0}] \\ \end{array}$ 

I1 = Register[14] IMM16 = 16-bit immediate
R1 = Register[IR[11-8]] IR = Instruction Register
R2 = Register[IR[7-4]] MDR = Memory Data Register

### **Common RTL:**

Cycle	Label	RTL
0	FETCH INSTR:	PC = PC + 1 IR = Mem[PC]
1	DECODE:	A = R1 $B = R2$ $C = CR$ $ALUout = PC + SE(IMM8)$
Last	DONE:	Goto FETCH INSTR

### **Unique RTL:**

	Type C	Ор 0000	func 0000 - 0111
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	OPERATION:	ALUout = /	4 op В
3		CR = AL	Uout

Instruction Branch if equal / not equal	Type I	Op fur 0001 / 0010 -	
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	BIEQ:	if (A == C) then PC = ALUout	
	BNEQ:	if (A != C) then PC = ALUout	
3	STALL		
Instruction Load upper /	Type I	Op 0110 / 0111	func -
lower Imm.	•	011070111	
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	LUI:	R1 = IMM8[8-0] << 8	
	LLI:	R1 = ZE(IMM8[8-0])	
Instruction Load to register	Type I	Op 1000	func -
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	LTR16:	if IMM16 then I0 = IMM16[15-8] << 8 I1 = ZE(IMM16[7-0])	
	LTR8:	if IMM8 then R1 = SE(IMM8)	
3	LTR16:	ALUout = 10   11	

R1 = ALUout

4

LTR16:

Instruction Copy to register	Type I	Op 1001	func -
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	CTR:	R1 = C	

Instruction Load word	Type I	Op 1010	func -
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	LD FROM MEM:	MDR = Mem[C]	
3	LWSTALL		
4	LOAD TO REG:	R1 = MDR	
5	STALL		

Instruction Store word	Type I	Op 1011	func -
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	SW:	Mem[C] = A	
3	STALL		

Instruction syscall	Type I	Ор 1110	func -
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	INCR PC:	PC = PC + 1	
?	READ:	if FINISHED then goto RDONE	
	WRITE:	if FINISHED then goto WDONE	
	EXIT:	Close program	
?	RLOOP:	if FINISHED then goto RDONE els	e goto RLOOP
	WLOOP:	if FINISHED then goto WDONE els	se goto WLOOP
?	RDONE: / WDONE:		


Instruction Jump Register	Type I	Op 0101	func -
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	JR:	PC = A	
3	STALL		

Instruction -	Type L	Op 0011 / 0100	func -
Cycle	Label	RTL	
2	J:	PC = (IMM12)   PC[15-12]	
	JAL:	RA = PC PC = (IMM12)   PC[15-12]	
3	STALL		

# Datapath Components Table of Components and Signals

Signals Components	Inputs (size)	Outputs (size)	Description of Component
PC	- newPC 16'b	- PC 16'b	- PC => Program counter
Memory	- Address 16'b - Write Data 16'b	- MemData 16'b	- Access to memory
IR	- Instruction Data 16'b	- r1 4'b - r2 4'b - imm 8'b or 12'b	- IR => instruction register - Stores instruction data
MDR	- Memory Data 16'b	- Memory Data 16'b	- MDR => Memory data register - Stores memory data
Register File	- r1 4'b - r2 4'b - WriteDest 4'b - Write Data 16'b	- r1 data 16'b - r2 data 16'b	- Registers that store data for reading/writing
A/B Registers	- A or B respectively 16'b	- A or B respectively 16'b	- These registers hold operands for the ALU
ALU	- A 16'b - B 16'b	- isZero 1'b - Overflow 1'b - Operation Result 16'b	- Performs operation on two operands
Shift Left by 1	- lmm 12'b	- Shifted imm size +	- Shifts immediate by 1
Shift Left by 8	- Imm 8'b	- Shifted imm size + 8	- Shifts immediate by 8
Sign Extender	- Imm 8'b	- Sign Extended imm 16'b	- Fill in top bits of immediate with sign of immediate
Zero Extender	- Imm 8'b	- Zero extended imm 16'b	- Fill in top bits of immediate with 0s

# **Block Diagram of Datapath**




# **Control Unit**

**Table of Control Signals** 

		i Oigilais	
Control Signal	Size	Component	Description
PCSrc	3'b	PC Source (Mux)	Controls the source of PC: incremented PC, jump address, or branch address.
PCWrite	1'b	PC	Controls whether PC should be written to
isZero	1'b	PC	ALU isZero result *Branch condition
isBranch	1'b	PC	Controls whether an instruction is a branch *Branch condition
isBIEQ	1'b	ALU	Determines whether an branch instructions is a bieq
lorD	1'b	MemAddr (Mux)	Decides whether a memory address is coming from PC (instruction) or the C register (data)
MemRead	1'b	Memory	Controls whether memory is being read
MemWrite	1'b	Memory	Controls whether memory is being written to
IRWrite	1'b	IR	Controls whether IR is being written to
WriteDest	2'b	RegDest (Mux)	Controls whether destination of a register write is \$cr or r1
WriteSrc	3'b	WriteData (Mux)	Controls whether source of data to be written to a register (MDR, ALout, C, etc.)
CRWrite	1'b	Register File	Controls whether the \$cr register can be written to (ie disable \$cr being set as r1 in <b>I-type</b> instructions)
RegWrite	1'b	Register File	Controls whether a register is being written to
ALUSrcA	1'b	ALUSrcA (Mux)	Controls whether the source of ALU input A is PC or the A register
ALUSrcB	2'b	ALUSrcB (Mux)	Controls whether the source of ALU input B is one of three immediates or the B register
ALUop	2'b	ALUControl	Controls the operation sent to the ALUControl component

### **Specification for Controls:**

```
PCSrc
                                                     011 - (IMM8 << 8)
      000 - PC + 1
                                                     100 - ZE(IMM8)
                                                     101 - PC
      001 - ALUout
      010 - Register A
                                                     110 - SE(IMM8)
      011 - (PC[15-12] | IMM12)
                                              CRWrite
      100 - Addr. of Exception Handler
                                                     0 - turns off; 1 - turns on
PCWrite
                                               RegWrite
                                                     0 - turns off; 1 - turns on
      0 - turns off; 1 - turns on
isBranch
                                               ALUSrcA
      0 - not branch
                                                     0 - Register A
      1 - is branch
                                                     1 - PC
isBIEQ
                                               ALUSrcB
      0 - is bieq instruction
                                                     00 - Register B
      1 - is not bieg instruction
                                                     01 - 1
                                                     10 - SE(IMM8)
IorD
      0 - C register (data)
                                                     11 - Register C
      1 - PC (instruction)
                                               ALUop
MemRead
                                                     00 - Add (PC increment)
      0 - turns off; 1 - turns on
                                                     01 - Sub (Branch)
                                                     10 - function code (C-type)
MemWrite
      0 - turns off; 1 - turns on
                                                     11 - OR
IRWrite
                                              func
      0 - turns off; 1 - turns on
                                                     4'b function determined by C-type
WriteDest
                                              opcode
      00 - r1
                                                     Determined by instruction
      01 - $cr
                                               current state/next state
      10 - $ra
                                                     Keeps track of state in multi-cycle
WriteSrc
                                               CLK
      000 - ALUout
                                                     Clock speed
      001 - Register C
                                               Reset
      010 - MDR
                                                     0 - Don't Reset; 1 - Reset
```



# Implementation and Testing

### **Hardware Implementation Plan:**

Special Registers (PC, IR, MDR, A, B, C):

- Each register will be able to hold 16-bits and have one output with as much.
- They will have multiple inputs such as the clock signal, a reset signal, and an input for the data.
- These will be made with a Verilog module in Xilinx.

#### Memory:

- For each bit, use a flip flop.
- Use buses and muxes to combine the data.
- Built as a schematic using these parts in Xilinx.

#### Register File:

- The register file will be made of registers and parts for the logic required to choose the correct outputs.
- 4'b address input and value output
- The cr register will always be outputted to a special C register.
- The register file will be modified from the resource provided to us to handle 16 registers.

#### ALU:

- For a 16-bit ALU, we will add a unit for Arithmetic (adds and subs), a unit for Logic (OR, NOR, XOR, AND, NAND), and a unit for less than (slt).
- Each unit will have a 16-bit input and output with their outputs connected to a mux.
- The mux chooses which data to output of the ALU, based on the ALU control signal.
- The ALU can be built in Xilinx using schematics, a modified design from the 4-bit ALU built in Lab 6.

#### Sign Extender:

 A simple verilog module that takes the most significant bit of the input and extends it to the left, extending the size of the data to 16 bits.

#### Zero Extender:

 Another verilog module that fills top bits with zeros, extending the size of the data to 16 bits.

#### Shifters:

Verilog module that will take input and shift the bits to certain amount.

#### Muxes (1'b, 2'b, 3'b, 4'b)

Modify resources given to us

# **Unit Testing:**

# **General Testing:**

- Consider instruction description including inputs and outputs
- Draw out the path of data flow from one component to another
- Confirm desired data source input and output for each component
  - Upon error return to last step and debug

- Repeat until problem is found
- Confirm desired result/action at the end of calculation

### Regular and Special Registers (A, B, C, IR, MDR):

Overall, all of these registers have one thing that they need to accomplish. They need to be able to take in values, hold them and then output that same value on the output for that register.

#### **Memory:**

The memory needs to able to hold the instructions that we will be using for all operations and other values that the user might want to store into it after the processor starts doing operations. The memory should be able to output any instruction we have stored in it as well as any data when called upon.

#### **Register File:**

The register file needs to be able to select any registers located within it (Note: The only situation that it should not be able to select the proper register is if the user tries selecting a register that they are not allowed to manipulate themselves). These registers, just like the previous ones, must be able to hold any and all data values presented to them and output them on their respective output. It must be able to write any data to the proper register when called upon (same restrictions as note).

#### ALU:

The ALU is a combination of different logic gates that can perform different tasks, arithmetic and logical. At the end, it needs to be able to select the correct output among the multiple outputs it will calculate. Below are all the possible operations we will need to test. There are also two flags that we will need to make sure they are set at proper times, they will be tested with the functions that will need to be able to manipulate these flags.

- Addition
  - Needs to be able to handle big additions that cause overflow like numbers out of the range of a 16 bit data type.
  - Needs to be able to handle adding positive and negative numbers, those that will and will not cause overflow. Basically, detect overflow.
- Subtraction
  - Be able to set an IsZero flag upon subtracting two values to get zero.
  - Needs to be able to handle subtracting positive and negative numbers from each other, those that will and will not cause overflow.
  - Needs to be able to set a Negative flag to represent the confirmation that first number in the argument is less than the second number in the argument.
- Bit AND any two numbers of similar bit size
- Bit OR any two numbers of similar bit size
- Bit NOR any two numbers of similar bit size
- Bit XOR any two numbers of similar bit size
- Bit NAND any two numbers of similar bit size

#### **Control Unit:**

The control unit must be able to take in an instructions opcode and proceed to handle the flow of cycles. This means that for each instruction, the transition of the datapath's

state must be checked at every stage to ensure correct control bits for the respective cycle.

This will likely need to be tested in Verilog with state transitions and simulations for each instruction.

#### **ALUControl**:

This will be tested to ensure that in can take in the function bits decoded from the IR register and hold them until the control finishes decides what it needs to do. It should then be able to either send the function code to the ALU if it needs to use it or send a different function code than what was originally sent into the control.

#### Zero/Sign Extenders:

Must be able to extend any immediate we give correctly.

#### **Shifters:**

- Shift Left 1:
  - Must be able to shift in a zero to the right of any immediate we give it, even a 16 bit immediate (the bit on the far left would be lost after the shift in this situation).
- Shift Left 8:
  - Must be able to shift in a zero to the right, like the Shift Left 1, just seven more times.

#### Integration plan and testing:

**General Note:** Assume all steps are independent of each other and only require listed components.

Step 0:

Goal: Increment PC

Components: PC, ALU (No Mux)

Testina:

#### General:

Input A: PC

• Input B: 1

Op: XXXX (Add)

Output: PC + 1

#### Edge Cases:

N/A

#### Step 1:

Goal: Read Memory

Components: PC, MemAddr Mux, Memory, MDR (Read-only)

Testing:

#### General:

NOTE: PCWrite is set to 1

- MemAddr Mux properly selects 1
- Correct address is returned from MemAddrMux
- With MemRead set to 1, value at PC's memory address is outputted
  - MDR value is now value at PC's memory address
- With MemRead set to 0, no value is outputted

MDR value is unchanged

#### Edge Cases:

- Memory address doesn't exist
- No data in PC
- No data at that memory address
- MemWrite set to 1, behavior is expected

#### Step 2:

Goal: Write Memory

Components: MemAddr Mux, Memory (Write only)

Testing:

#### General:

- MemAddr Mux properly selects 0
- Correct address is returned from MemAddrMux
- With MemWrite set to 1, value at address is set to write data
- With MemWrite set to 0, value at address is unchanged

#### Edge Cases:

- With MemRead set to 1, behavior is expected
- Memory address doesn't exist

#### Step 3:

Goal: Read Register File motivated by IR Components: IR, Register File (Read-only) Testing:

#### General:

- When IRWrite is set to 1, IR is overwritten
- When IRWrite is set to 0, IR is unchanged
- Bits 11-8 from the Instruction Register go to r1 in the register file
- Bits 7-4 from the Instruction Register go to r2 in the register file
- The value in r1 is correctly outputted from the register file
- The value in r2 is correctly outputted from the register file
- Value in \$cr is outputted

#### Edge Cases:

- Bits 11-8 do not refer to an existing register.
- Bits 7-4 do not refer to an existing register.
- The Instruction Register has no data.
- IRWrite is set to 0, and no data is in IR

#### Step 4:

Goal: Write to Register File

Components: WriteDest Mux, WriteData Mux, Register File (Write only) Testing:

#### General:

- WriteDest Mux can select between 2 \$ra, 1 \$cr and 0 another register and output correct value
- WriteData Mux can select between 5 sources and output correct value
- When RegWrite is set to 1 and WriteDest is set to 0, correct register stores correct value after write

- When RegWrite is set to 0 and WriteDest is set to 0, register destination is unchanged
- When CRWrite is set to 1, RegWrite is set to 1, and WriteDest is set to 1, \$cr contains
- When CRWrite or RegWrite is set to 0, and WriteDest is set to 1, \$cr is unchanged
- No other register should be written to

#### Edge Cases:

- WriteDest does not exist (input of >= 3)
- WriteData does not exist (input of >= 5)

#### Step 5:

Goal: ALU ops and outputs

Components: ALUSrcA Mux, ALUSrcB Mux, ALU, ALUControl

#### Testing:

- General:
  - ALUSrcA Mux can select between 2 sources and output correct value
  - ALUSrcB Mux can select between 4 sources and output correct value
  - ALUControl outputs proper value based on ALUop and func
  - When computation results in zero, isZero output is 1, otherwise output is 0
  - When computation results in overflow, overflow output is 1, otherwise 0

#### Edge Cases:

- Func code does not exist
- Input for logical operations are different in bitsize
- Overflow occurs
- ALUop requires function code as output

#### Step 6:

Goal: PCSrc and control signals

Components: PCSrc Mux, PCWrite, isBranch, isZero, PC

#### Testing:

#### General:

- PCSrc properly selects between 4 sources and outputs correct value
- PCWrite set to 1, PC is changed to PCSrc output
- PCWrite set to 0, isBranch and isZero set to 1, PC is changed to PCSrc output
- PCWrite set to 0, isBranch or isZero set to 0, PC is unchanged

#### Edge Cases:

#### Step 7:

Goal: Shifters and extenders Components: Shifters, Extenders

Testing:

General:

- Shift Left 1:
  - Ensure any sized input is shifted left one and outputted with original size + 1
- Shift Left 8:
  - Ensure any size input is shifted left eight and outputted with original size + 8
- Zero Extend:
  - o 8-bit input is outputted with zero extension
- Sign Extend:
  - 8-bit input is outputted with sign extension

#### Edge Cases:

Data of incorrect size is inputted

#### Final Step:

Combine pieces of processor together and proceed to system testing.

### System test plan:

- a. Individual instructions
- b. Small programs
  - i. Code Fragments
  - ii. Small loop
  - iii. Small programs
- a. Simple arithmetic and logic
- b. Simple jumps
- c. Large programs
  - i) relPrime (see pg. 13-20)

# **Deisgn Process Journal**

## Meeting 1: Tuesday, 10 January 2017

We began the meeting by discussing the features we most wanted to include in our processor. We hoped this would guide our design throughout the meeting.

We decided to build a processor that is primarily based on a combination of load-store and accumulator designs. One specific register will hold the value of the last arithmetic or logical computation. This register will be read only for users to copy and read the value of the last computation. We will also allow users a small set of registers to save values beyond a computation and save values over procedure calls.

Our processor will have 18 registers in total, 15 of which are available to the user in some capacity (read/write). There are 8 general purpose registers, and 8 special registers. We decided on a small, specific instruction set made up of one major type, an arithmetic/logical type that returns all results to the special computation register, as well as other instructions of varying sizes. We believe that varying sizes will help keep our programs small in size and more efficient.

For procedure calls, we thought it would be interesting to make arguments and return values memory addresses only. We acknowledge the inefficiencies of that design but felt that the value of having direct access to the memory address of the arguments and return values was a valuable asset to our design.

### Work log:

(majorly a group effort worked on during the 3 hour period): Design and description of registers, instruction type and format, procedure call conventions (Discussion) Trinity - Journal Notes

# Meeting 2: Wednesday, 11 January 2017

We are doing a lot of redesigning based on feedback and more direction with the project. We decided to standardize the size of instructions to 16 bits. We now have two types of instructions, a C-type for register to register computations and an I-type for other instructions that require a register and immediate values such as load/store, branch, jump. The I-type include all of our instructions with previously varying sizes. By establishing a standard size and design for instructions, we are able to greatly simplify our design and get a better direction on designing instructions.

We decided to scrap the memory address-only idea for arguments and return values as it would be a cumbersome design at our current state of progress.

By the end of the day, we've ended up with 20 registers, deciding to split our general purpose registers between saved and temporary registers. Our number of instructions has grown to include a number of pseudoinstructions as Shaun began coding the programs.

#### Work log:

Before Meeting:

Khaled and Shaun - Register Descriptions (write up)

Over 7 hour group time (1800-0100):

Logan - Assembly Syntax and Semantics, addressing modes, grammar and formatting

Trinity - Procedure Call Conventions (write up), Journal, Machine language instruction format type and semantics, rules for translating assembly to machine language

Khaled - Assembly fragments

Shaun (assisted by Trinity) - Euclid's algorithm and relPrime (Assembly) Group - moderate redesign of instruction format, registers, and instructions *After meeting (2 hours):* 

Shaun (assisted by Khaled) - Euclid's algo/relPrime (Machine Language)

# Meeting 3: Thursday, 12 January 2017

We decided to reformat the jal and j instructions to be their own type, L-type. This allowed for a larger jump block for us,

We plan on featuring a assembler, compile, linker, and exception handler at the very least in our processor. One of the registers dedicated to exception handling will be \$ex, the cause register. We also cut down our registers to make our register file size 16.

We created a few new instructions and pseudoinstructions and added these to the design documentation. Most important of these is probably the addition of *syscall* which will be our I/O instruction.

Other than these decisions, we reformatted and reworded our design document according to the feedback provided to us and attempted to make things more clear.

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting:

Logan – general editing over entire doc

Trinity – syscall, editing instruction descriptions

Shaun – fixed problems with Machine Language

Group – redued register file and redesigned jal/j instructions.

### Meeting 4: Friday, 13 January 2017

We polished off the work from yesterday including updating our common operations table, reviewing the changes made yesterday, and finishing any work left incomplete after the meeting.

Began going over Milestone 2 requirements and planning for the next few days.

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting:

Shaun – Machine code and common operations table

Trinity – finished syscall, journal

Group – Formatting and decisions about new instructions

### Meeting 5: Sunday, 15 January 2017

We decided to use multi-cycle control as we thought this would make our performance faster and simplify our RTL design. We found that we could group all C-type and L-type instructions into individual RTL, and grouped branches, load/store word, and load lower/upper immediate instructions as well.

Planned meeting times:

Sunday: 1400-1700 (may vary)

Mon-Fri: 2030-2130, class time and lab time when cancelled

Work log:

Over 3 hour meeting:

Shaun – RTL Table, Component test descriptions

Logan - RTL Table, Component list,

Trinity - RTL Table, journal, formatting, editing

Khaled - Control Descriptions, input/output/control signals

Group – Discussion on major decisions

## Meeting 6: Monday, 16 January 2017

No major decisions.

Worked on milestone 3.

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting:

Trinity - Journal

Khaled – worked on Milestone 2

Shaun – Reworked Machine Language translations to conform to changes Logan/Trinity (w/ assistance from Khaled & Shaun) – Datapath Design

### Meeting 7: Tuesday, 17 January 2017

No major decisions.

Polished milestone 2.

Work log:

Over 1.5 hour meeting:

Logan – Inputs and outputs, RTL modifications

Logan and Shaun – Test descriptions

Trinity – RTL/control description/input & output modifications, additional components, journal

Logan, Shaun, & Trinity – General editing, formatting and corrections Khaled – sick (not in attendance)

After meeting (~1 hour):

Trinity – Reviewed/modified RTL and Verilog to adhere to test descriptions and page numbers!!

### Meeting 8: Thursday, 19 January 2017

Worked on Lab 7 & 8

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting:

Shaun and Khaled – Lab 7

Logan and Trinity - Lab 8

### Meeting 9: Friday, 20 January 2017

Reviewed feedback for milestone 2 and made some major changes to RTL and organization of design document.

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting:

Khaled – broke up RTL tables, edited RTL, formatting (tables, color) Logan and Trinity – inputs/outputs table, table formatting, review of changes

Trinity – Condensed/reordered machine language and assembly sections, journal

Shaun - Reviewed tests, reviewed changes, small editing

### Meeting 10: Sunday, 22 January 2017

Worked on Lab 7 & 8

Work log:

Over 3 hour meeting: Shaun and Khaled – Lab 7 Logan and Trinity – Lab 8 Trinity - Journal

# Meeting 11: Monday, 23 January 2017

Worked on Lab 7 & 8

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting: Shaun and Khaled – Lab 7 and RTL review Logan and Trinity – Lab 8 Trinity - Journal

# Meeting 12: Tuesday, 24 January 2017

In our RTL for the instructions *load upper immediate*, *load lower immediate* and *load to register*, we decided to denote the immediate value by the bits in the 16-bit immediate itself rather than where they are in the instruction. We weren't sure how to access the correct parts of the instruction. In these instructions, we changed some logical code to simply shift the immediates left by 1.

We also decided to add a C register component that receives the value of \$cr no matter what input goes into the register file.

Work log:

Over 2 hour meeting:

Trinity and Logan (with assistance from Shaun and Khaled) – Revised and finished datapath

Trinity - Journal

Everyone – Revised RTL and divvied up assignment

After meeting:

Logan – Block diagram of datapath (estimated 1 hours) **Actual: expected**Implementation plan for each component (estimated 1 hour)

Actual: 20 minutes

Khaled – Implementation and tests of Mem, ZE, and SE (estimated 2

hours) Actual: Unknown/Incomplete

Shaun – Update unit tests (estimated 30 minutes) **Actual: 5 minutes** Integration plan and testing (estimated 1 hour) **Actual: 20** 

minutes

Trinity – Control signal descriptions (estimated 30 minutes) **Actual: expected** 

Specs for Control Unit in Component List (estimated 1 hour)

Actual: expected

Implementation and tests of ALU, SL1 (estimated 4 hours)

**Actual: incomplete** 

#### **DISCUSSION OF TEST STRATEGY**

Our testing strategy consisted mostly of trying to anticipate problems before they occurred. We think of what might go wrong with a component and write tests to catch those situations. After that, we write general cases for expected outputs with non-extreme or expected data. When these tests are finished, we run through all inputs that satisfy the tests and make sure the output is expected/acceptable.

#### HOW OUR ARCHITECTURE CHOICE AFFECTED OUR DATAPATH

Our choice in architecture – Load/Store with Accumulator, caused us to have a lot of extra muxes to choose the value from our special computation result register as well as a special register in the datapath that always stores the value of the computation result register. Al of this was caused by our accumulator computation register.

Because our design is also load/store, we found it necessary to have a memory unit as well as a register file to hold data values. Between these two components and our ALU, we found it necessary to have special temporary register such as IR, MDR, A/B/C to hold necessary values between stages in the datapath.

### Meeting 13: Thursday, 26 January 2017

Reviewed feedback and made changes to document.

Work log:

Over 1.5 hour meeting:

Trinity and Logan – Revised RTL, planning/revising datapath, integration plan

Trinity – Reorganized document based on feedback, journal

Shaun – Unit Tests, integration plan

Khaled – Table colors, reviewed milestone 4, minor edits and changes *After meeting:* 

Logan – Making implementation plan more robust (estimated: 30 minutes)

Datapath redesign (estimated: 1 hour)

Trinity - Integration plan (estimated: 1- 2 days) Actual: 1.5 hours

### Meeting 14: Friday, 27 January 2017

Reviewed feedback and made changes to document.

Work log:

Over 1.5 hour meeting:

Logan (with assistance from Trinity) – Rough draft of state transition diagram, went over datapath corrections

Trinity – Editting/formatting design document, journal

Shaun and Khaled – not in attendance

After meeting:

Logan – Making implementation plan more robust (estimated: 30 minutes)

Datapath redesign + control unit (estimated: 2 hour)

Trinity – Official finite state machine transition diagram (2 hours)

# Meeting 15: Monday, 30 January 2017

Changes to control and datapath to be in accordance with RTL (controls affected: WriteSrc and ALUSrcB).

Work log:

Before meeting:

Shaun – Shift left 8 (approx. 1 hour)

Khaled – Shift left 1 (approx. 1 hour)

Over 1 hour meeting (and after meeting):

Trinity – Register file and test bench (approx. 6 hours), control unit test descriptions (estimated 1 hour), journal

Khaled – Muxes (estimated 1 day)

Logan – datapath redesign (again – sorry, Logan) (approx. 1 hour),

ALUControl (estimated 2 hours)

Shaun - not in attendance

### Meeting 16: Tuesday, 31 January 2017

No major changes.

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting:

Trinity – Register File, journal

Logan – ALUControl (estimated 2 hours)

Shaun – test benches for unit tests

Khaled – not in attendance

After meeting:

Trinity – Register file and test bench (approx. 6 hours), control unit test descriptions (estimated 1 hour), start integration plan and testing

### Meeting 17: Wednesday, 1 February 2017

No major changes

Work log:

Before meeting:

Trinity – Register File changes, ALUControl and test bench (approx. 3 hours), journal

Khaled and Shaun – ALU16b & test bench (approx. 2 hours)

Over 1 hour meeting:

Trinity – Control unit (plus 30 min), journal

Khaled – ALU16b

Logan – began integration plans

Shaun - not in attendance

### Meeting 18: Thursday, 2 February 2017

No major changes.

Work log:

Before meeting:

Trinity – Control Unit (approx. 1 hour)

During 6<sup>th</sup> period:

Shaun – test benches, and modifying/correcting muxes

Trinity – Control unit test bench, journal

### Meeting 19: Friday, 3 February 2017

No major changes.

Divvied up integration plan.

Work log:

Over 1.5 hour meeting:

Logan - Incrementing PC (PC, ALU), Write/Read to/from memory

- Add revised datapath to design doc

Khaled - Write/Read to/from Register File w/ IR

Shaun – ALU and ALU Control

Trinity – PCSrc, shifters, extenders

- Rewrite Transition Diagram (approx. 1 hour)
- Control Unit implementation and test (approx. 2 hours)
- Journal

### Meeting 20: Sunday, 5 February 2017

No major changes

Work log:

Before meeting:

Logan - Incrementing PC (PC, ALU), Write/Read to/from memory (approx.

2 hours)

Over 1.75 hour meeting:

Khaled – Write/Read to Register File w/ IR

Shaun – ALU and ALUControl (approx. ½-1 hour after meeting)

Logan - Incrementing PC (PC, ALU), Write/Read to/from memory

-Schematic done, errors causing inability to test

Trinity – PCSrc (approx. 1.5 hours after meeting)

Journal

### Meeting 21: Monday, 6 February 2017

No major changes

Over 1 hour meeting:

Khaled – researched assemblers

Trinity – building datapath, journal

Logan – memory fixes

Shaun - not in attendance

After meeting:

Trinity – building datapath (approx. 3 hours)

# Meeting 22: Tuesday, 7 February 2017

PC + 2 changed to PC + 1.

Divvied up extra features:

\*Program tools – Khaled and Shaun

\*Exceptions and Interrupts – Logan

\*I/O — Trinity

Work log:

Over 2 hour meeting:

Khaled – Assembler research

Logan – memory fixes

Shaun – preparation for system tests

Trinity – building datapath, journal

After meeting:

Shaun – system tests (estimated: unknown)

### Meeting 23: Wednesday, 8 February 2017

No major changes

Work log:

Over 1.5 hour meeting:

Trinity and Shaun – system testing and reworking

Trinity - Journal

Khaled - Assembler

Logan – Exception Handler

After meeting:

Trinity – system analysis (approx. 3 hours)

### Meeting 24: Thursday, 9 February 2017

No major changes

Work log:

Over 1 hour meeting:

Logan – Exception handler

Khaled – Assembler

Shaun – robust system tests

Trinity – Modifications to data path to initialize \$st, journal

### Meeting 25: Friday, 10 February 2017

No major changes

Work log:

Over 2 hour meeting:

Logan – Exception handler (first hour)

Khaled – Assembler

Shaun - relPrime coe

Trinity – instructions coe, journal

After meeting:

Trinity – debugging processor (where it pertains to branches and jump intstructions)

### Meeting 26: Sunday, 12 February 2017

Fixing processor to handle PC + 1:

- Branch is directly translated -> PC = SE(BranchAddr)
- Jumps are directly translated -> PC = PC[15:12] | IMM12
- Stalls added to all branch and jump instructions

Work log:

Over 3 hour meeting:

Logan – Exception handler

Shaun – looked into compiler (not going to work on this), started IO

Khaled – Assembler

Trinity – debugging processor, journal

# Meeting 27: Monday, 13 February 2017

Major changes:

- Stalls for sw and lw
- STALL control bit: lorD = 1
- Separate controls for bieg/bneq
  - o isBIEQ added on ALU

Work log:

Before meeting:

Trinity – system analysis and fixing relPrime (approx. 3 hours)

Over 2 hour meeting:

Trinity – making relPrime work, journal

Logan, Khaled, Shaun - not in attendance

After meeting:

Trinity – worked on datapath to incorporate changes, redrawing state transition diagram (approx. 2 hours on 15 Feb 2017)

- reworking relPrime to actually function properly and changing assembly/machine code in design doc (approx. 4 hours on 15 Feb 2017)
- Worked on system to make it work added stall between lw1 and lw2 (approx. 2 hours on 16 Feb 2017)
- More fixing to actual working (approx. 3.5 hours on 17 Feb 2017)
- Began implementing I/O (approx. 1.5 hours 17 Feb 2017)
- Redrew state transition diagram (approx. .5 hours)

# Meeting 28: Sunday, 19 February 2017

Overhaul of memory and small changes to accommodate (using wrong type of block memory for the FPGA board).

Work log:

Over 2.5 hour meeting:

Trinity – Report, M6, fixing memory and other changes, journal

Shaun - IO

Logan – Presentation

Khaled - Assembler

Meeting 29: Tuesday, 21 February 2017

PC starts at 76

Work log:

Over 3 hour meeting:

Trinity – IO, performance data, journal

Logan – Final Report

# A3. Test Results

# **Test Results**

1a.	Total Bytes Required	200B		
	for Euclid's Algorithm			
1b.	Total Bytes Required	614B		
	for relPrime Algorithm			
2.	Total Instructions	36,360 instruction	ons	
	executed;			
2	relPrime, n = 0x13B0	40,000 avalas		
3.	Total Cycles; relPrime, n = 0x13B0	40,099 cycles		
4.	Average Cycles per	1.09 cycles/instruction		
	Instruction (based on 2	1.00 Cycles/matidetion		
	and 3)			
5.	Cycle Time for Design	17.114 ns =58.431 Mhz		
	(from Xilinx Synthesis			
	Report – Timing			
	Summary)	7.055.500	7.05550	
6.	Total Execution Time;	7,355,580ns = $7$	7.3558ms	
7.	relPrime, n = 0x13B0 Gate Count for Design			
8.	Device Utilization Report (from Xilinx Map Report)			
Number of errors:			0	
Number of warnings:			7	
Logic Utilization:				
Total Number Slice Registers:		ers:	441 out of 9,312 4%	
	Number used as Fli		405	
Number used as Latches:			36	
Number of 4 input LUTs:			788 out of 9,312 8%	
Logic Distribution:				
Number of occupied Slices:			575 out of 4,656 12%	
Number of Slices containing only related logic:			575 out of 575 100%	
Number of Slices containing			0 out of 575 0%	
unrelated logic:				
*See NOTES below for an explanation of the effects of unrelated logic.				
Total Number of 4 input LUTs:			835 out of 9,312 8%	
Number used as logic:			788	
Number used as a route-thru:			47	

#### A3. Test Results

The Slice Logic Distribution report is not meaningful if the design is over-mapped for a non-slice resource or if Placement fails.

	l				
Number of bonded IOBs:	91 out of 232 39%				
IOB Flip Flops:	16				
Number of RAMB16s:	1 out of 20 5%				
Number of BUFGMUXs:	1 out of 24 4%				
Average Fanout of Non-Clock Nets:	3.18				
Peak Memory Usage:	343MB				
Total REAL time to MAP completion:	6 secs				
Total CPU time to MAP completion:	3 secs				

#### NOTES:

Related logic is defined as being logic that shares connectivity - e.g. two LUTs are "related" if they share common inputs. When assembling slices, Map gives priority to combine logic that is related. Doing so results in the best timing performance.

Unrelated logic shares no connectivity. Map will only begin packing unrelated logic into a slice once 99% of the slices are occupied through related logic packing.

Note that once logic distribution reaches the 99% level through related logic packing, this does not mean the device is completely utilized. Unrelated logic packing will then begin, continuing until all usable LUTs and FFs are occupied. Depending on your timing budget, increased levels of unrelated logic packing may adversely affect the overall timing performance of your design.