Super Awesome Processor A (SAPA) v1.0

Load-Store/Accumulator

Team 1A - Khaled Alfayez, Shaun Davis, Trinity Merrell, Logan Smith

**CONTENTS:**

**Executive Summary ……………………………………………………………2**

**Introduction ……………………………………………………………………..3**

**Instruction Set …………………………………………………………………..4**

*-Design ……………………………….……………………………..4*

*-Implementation ……………………………………………………5*

**Xilinx Model ……………………………………………………………………...5**

**Testing Methodology …………………………………………………………..6**

**Final Performance Results ……………………………………………………7**

**Conclusion ………………………………………………………………………8**

**Appendices …………………………………………………………………….10**

*-A1 Design Documentation ……………………………………....11*

*-A2 Design Process Journal ……………………………….…….46*

*-A3 Test Results …………………………………………………..59*

**Executive Summary**

SAPA v1.0 processor is a new, state-of-the-art processor developed in Terre Haute, IN. This processor is primarily intended for non-robust coding projects, and offers options for easy-to-understand instructions, competitive program sizes, and lightning-efficient processing speeds. SAPA v1.0 has provided correct results for relPrime algorithms for one month and standing.

This report reviews SAPA’s 12 official assembly instructions as well as their machine language translations. Beyond instructions, this report outlines everything necessary to understand the SAPA processor from the inside out. This includes everything from implementing and testing individual units to integrating the processor entirely.

Our target audience includes programmers of all ages, though particularly those with a penchant for the software and hardware realms of computer architecture.They know the value in a powerful processor and have a solid idea of the kind of efficiency necessary to carry out computations of all sorts.

Our processor edges out competition in two areas: ease-of-use and performance. All of our instructions are sized at 16-bits, allowing for easy to understand machine language translations. We also offer users an assembler capable of translating a host of pseudoinstructions available for convenience. Performance-wise, our processor runs faster than the average processor in 1990 at about 60Mhz. This is easily on par with many competitors on the market today.

The long-term goal of the SAPA v1.0 is to provide programmers a simpler processor design that is not only easy to implement and adapt, but efficient and accurate. The hope is to change the industry to see processors as not only tools but machines that are beautiful and adapting with every day.

**Introduction**

Our processor, appropriately named the Super Awesome Processor A (SAPA) v1.0, is a 16-bit processor based off of MIPS 32-bit architecture. Most of the differences are attributed to the Load-Store/Accumulator design, accomadations for a multi-cycle datapath, and the reduced 16-bit size. Our design has three different types of instructions that allow us to compute arithmetic, jump to other instructions, and use immediate values. Additionally, multiple pseudo-instructoins are available to the user for convienience. There are sixteen registers implemented by our design, outlined in the Appendix.

To achieve a completed processor, out team had to make many design decisions, involving compromise and some sacrifices. We originally had twenty registers for use, but trimmed that down to better fit the 16-bit size of our processor, giving us less flexibility when writing code. Our choice in architecture – Load/Store with Accumulator, caused us to have many extra muxes to choose the value from our special computation result register as well as a special register in the datapath that always stores the value of the computation result register. All of this was caused by our accumulator computation register.

Because our design is also load/store, we found it necessary to have a memory unit as well as a register file to hold data values. Between these two components and our ALU, we found it necessary to have special temporary register such as IR, MDR, A/B/C to hold necessary values between stages in the datapath. Because our team decided to implement a computationregister, while it was convenient, we had to be careful when considering how to write our instructions.

Overall, we believe our processor has an intuitive desgn that takes advantage of both the load-store and accumulator arhcitectures.

**Instruction Set Design**

Our processor utilized three different types of instructions, all 16-bit. First, we have the C-type instruction which handle register to register computations for arithmetic and logic. Within this type, there are instructions such as *add, sub, and, or, etc.* These instructions take two registers as operands to their computations, share a single opcode, and are distinguishable by their func code. Their results are always stored in the specialized computation register.

The second instruction type, I-type instructions, are used for register to data and register to memory computations. These instructions handle storing data from registers into memory and loading data into registers from memory, immediate values, and the computation register. They also handle control flow such as branches and jumps that are necessary for loops and procedure calls.

Lastly, we have the L-type, or leap type, instructions which are used for jal and j instructions. Jump instructions use a 12-bit immediate with the top 4 bits of the PC concatenated to create a 16-bit address and allowing for a 2^11 size block of “jump space”. We made this instruction type instead of just using an I-type again because we wanted more range for jumps.

**Implementation**

According to our implementation of the SAPA v1.0 processaor, only one instruction can go through our instruction pipeline at a time. We have also utilized multi-cycle register transfer language, which means each instruction is executed in 4 or more steps.

In order to implement this multi-cycle processor, we needed to develop a control unit that handled both fetching new instructions from memory and moving through the appropriate cycles when a new instruction is sent into the processor.

For each cycle, different control bits were sent to each unit in the datapath such as write and read controls on registers and the memory unit, and the op code for the ALUControl unit **(see A1. Specficiation for Controls)**.

Due to our load-store/accumulator architecture type, the code density of our processor is relatively high. Since data is held in registers, the tradeoff for high density programs are programs of smaller sizes. Since each instruction is equal in size, programs are much easier to store.

**Xilinx Model**

For our different units, we made them using Verilog and schematic. It was pretty easy moving between both meathods for debugging purposes and made making the units easy for everyone.

For implementing the datapath however, we kept it in schematic since it is much easier to take all of our previous work, moving it over and connecting it all together rather than trying to retype in all of the things we have already done.

This processor can be made in either all schematic or all Verilog but we avoided doing this since it would limit our productiveness if the person working on the specific file wasn’t proficient in what was being used.

**Testing Methodology**

Our testing strategy consisted mostly of trying to anticipate problems before they occurred. We think of what might go wrong with a component and write tests to catch those situations. After that, we write general cases for expected outputs with non-extreme or expected data. When these tests are finished, we run through all inputs that satisfy the tests and make sure the output is expected/acceptable.

Testing individual units was fairly simple since all they needed to do was fulfill whatever function we designed them for and we are all pretty cabable at using Verilog so if anything went wrong, it was just a little debugging.

When we started combining units together to make parts of the processor, we decided that it would be a good idea to have people make parts of the datapath that they had no influence on the units that are used if possible. This is so that the unit actually works and it’s not just the person who designed it inserting magic numbers or using functions that the unit isn’t supposed to have. This is also a way for us to insure that we all understand the datapath we made since it should as easy as a very advanced connect the dots in which you just insert the appropriate units and connect them all together.

One problem we had while testing the parts was that sometimes what we wanted to test wouldn’t show the result until the cycle after execution. It was quickly realized and then solved by having the parts update on the negative clock edge rather than the positive edge.

We had this same problem while testing some of our functions for the datapath but we couldn’t use the same solution since we wanted it to all trigger on the same clock edge to reduce confusion. We figured out how to input stalls without messing with the datapath in order to check our functions.

**Final Performance Results**

**Space**

The relPrime algorithm detailed in **A1. relPrime (Machine Language)** is a total of **200B** for Euclid’s algorithm and **614B** for the relPrime algorithm in total. These figures were determined by the equation *n \* 2 + m \* 2 = totalBytes,* where n is the total number of instructions and m is the total number of independent memory instructions (ie instructions where memory accessed to be either store or loaded). We recognize this is is large compensation of space but, as mentioned previously, this is due to the architecture of the processor.

**Time**

In testing, the SAPA v1.0 clocked a cycle time of **58.431 Mhz** - faster than the average processor from the early 1990s, which was 50Mhz. After running the algorithm for relPrime detailed in **A1. relPrime (Assembly)**, with the previous condition condition n = 0x13B0 (where n = $a0 register), **36,360 instructions** are run over **40,099 cycles**.This produces **1.09 average cycles per instruction**. On the processor, the execution time is **7.35558ms**.Overall, these results are not the most inefficient and we feel our processor is on average, at the level of competitors in this area.

**Conclusion**

Overall, we believe that our processor has a solid and user friendly design. To reach a finishing point point for this project, our team faced many challenges and late nights. However, what we learned from this project was invaluable. We are prouid of how we implemented this processor to leave room for adaptability due to the wide range of instructions. Being more efficient from computers from the 90’s, while it is not up to today’s standards, it is very functional and a good working processor.

**Appendices**

**CONTENTS:**

**A1. Design Documentation ………………………………………………….11**

***1. Register Descriptions……………………………………………….11***

*-Table of Register Descriptions……………………………11*

***2. Instructions - Assembly and Machine Language...……………12***

*-Procedure Call Convention ………………………………12*

*-Syntax and Semantics ……………………………………12*

*-Table of Machine Language Translations for*

*Instructions ………………………………………………….20*

*-Table of Common Operations …………………………....21*

*-relPrime and Euclid’s Algorithm (Assembly) ……………22  
 -relPrime and Euclid’s Algorithm (Machine Language) …24*

***3. Register Transfer Language (RTL).....…………………………….31***

***4. Datapath Components .....………………………………………….35***

*-Table of Components and Signals ………………………35*

*-Block Diagram of Datapath ……………………………….36*

***5. Control Unit …………………………………………………………..37***

*-Table of Control Signals …………………………………..37*

*-Specification for Controls …………………………………38*

*-Finite State Machine Transition Diagram ………………39*

***6. Implementation and Testing ……………………………………….40***

*-Hardware Implementation Plan ………………………….40*

*-Unit Testing ………………………………………………..40*

*-Integration Plan …………………………………………...42*

*-System Test Plan …………………………………………45*

**A2. Design Process Journal …………………………………………………46**

**A3. Test results ………………………………………………………………59**

**Register Descriptions**

**Table of Register Descriptions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Register** | **Number** | **Availability** | **Description** |
| **$s*n (0-2)***  **<(^.^)>** | 0-2 | Read/write | General purpose registers. The intent is to store long term computation results and save values over functions calls |
| **$t*n (0-3)***  **<(-\_-,)>** | 3-6 | Read/write | General purpose registers, to be used like $s*n* registers. Will NOT be saved over function calls. |
| **$v**  **<(-\_-,)>** | 7 | Read/write | This register is for storing the return value from a function |
| **$cr**  **<(-\_-,)>** | 8 | Read only\* | Accumulator - stores most recently computed value |
| **$ra**  **<(^.^)>** | 9 | Read/write | Stores the return address of a function |
| **$a*n* (0-1)**  **<(-\_-,)>** | 10-11 | Read/write | These registers are used to store arguments for use in a called function |
| **$st**  **<(^.^)>** | 12 | Read/write | Reference “top” or lowest memory address of stack |
| **$i*n* (0-1)**  **:~/** | 13-14 | Not available | Used by assembler for pseudo instructions. |
| **$k**  **:~/** | 15 | Read/write  (while handling exceptions) | Exception registers; only accessible with permissions\* |

**Unsafe between procedure calls = <(-\_-,)> Safe between procedure calls = <(^\_^)>**

**\* Enforced by exception handler**

**Instructions - Assembly and Machine Language**

**Procedure Call Conventions:**

1. Registers $i*n*, $k*n* (where n is 0-1) are reserved for the assembler and operating system and should not be used by user programs or compilers.
2. Registers $a*n* (where n is 0-1) are used to pass arguments to procedures, any other arguments should go on the stack. Register $v is used to return a value from functions.
3. Registers $a*n*, $t*n*, $d, $cr, and $v are temporary and volatile. Expect them to contain different data after a procedure call.
4. $s*n* registers must be backed up on the stack at the beginning of a procedure and restored before returning from the procedure. This preserves values in these registers over procedure calls.
5. $st is the stack register. It points to the top memory location in the stack. If the stack is grown at any time in a procedure, it must be reduced before returning from that procedure.
   1. Memory is allocated to the stack by subtracting from the value in $st. Memory is deallocated from the stack by adding to the value in $st.
6. $ra is the return address of a procedure. Jal will overwrite $ra to be the next instruction, so $ra must ALWAYS be backed up on the stack before a procedure call and restored after returning from the procedure.
7. The instruction jr $ra will return the program to the address.

**Syntax and Semantics:**

**Basic Instruction Formats**

*C-type, Computation types (register to register)*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| opcode | r1 | r2 | func |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 15 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 0 |

C-type instructions are used for register to register computations. They handle arithmetic and logical computations such as add, sub, and, or, etc. These instructions share a single opcode, and are distinguishable by their func code.

*I-type, Immediate types (register to data, register to memory)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| opcode | r1 | immediate |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 15 | 11 | 7 | 0 |

I-type instructions are used for register to data and register to memory computations. These instructions handle storing data from registers into memory and loading data into registers from memory, immediate values, and the $cr register. They also handle control flow such as branches and jumps that are necessary for loops and procedure calls.

*L-type, Leap type*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| opcode | immediate |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 15 | 11 |  | 0 |

L-type instructions are used for jal and j instructions.

**Arithmetic and Logical Instructions**

*Arithmetic and logical instructions* are**C-type**instructions. These instructions take two registers as operands to their computations. Their results are always stored in the specialized $cr register.

Arithmetic and logical instructions are directly translated from their assembly to the machine language. For example, the following assembly would translate accordingly into binary:

*add $s2, $t3*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 0010 | 0111 | 0000 |

op r1 r2 func

Registers are directly translated from their respective numbers in the registry (see chart in Registers).

**List of Arithmetic and Logical Instructions:**

**Addition:**

*add   r1, r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0000 |

4   4     4       4

Stores the sum of r1 and r2 into register $cr

**Subtraction:**

*sub   r1, r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0001 |

4   4     4       4

Stores the difference between r1 and r2 into register $cr

**AND:**

*and r1, r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0010 |

4   4     4       4

Stores the logical AND of r1 and r2 into register $cr

**OR:**

*or r1, r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0011 |

4   4     4       4

Stores the logical OR of r1 and r2 into register $cr

**NOR:**

*nor r1 ,r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0100 |

4   4     4       4

Stores the logical NOR of r1 and r2 into register $cr

**NAND:**

*nand r1, r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0101 |

4   4     4       4

Stores the logical NAND of r1 and r2 into register $cr

**Exclusive OR:**

*xor r1, r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0110 |

4   4     4       4

Stores the logical Exclusive OR of r1 and r2 into register $cr

**Set Less Than:**

*slt   r1, r2*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0111 |

4   4     4       4

Stores either a 1(True) or a 0(False) in $cr depending on if r1 is less than r2

**Branch Instructions**

Branch instructions are **I-type** instructions, and compare the value in $cr to the value in r1. The branch instruction will then succeed or fail based on equivalence or inequality.

Branch instructions are PC-relative, meaning they use an 8-bit offset that allows a user to jump 27-1 instructions forward or 27 backward. A translation may appear as below (where “loop” is 3 instructions above bieq):

*bieq $s0, loop*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0001 | 0000 | 1101 |

op     r1       BranchAddr

**List of Branch Instructions:**

**Branch if equal:**

*bieq r1, location*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0001 | r1 | BranchAddr |

4 4 8

Conditional branch to address in immediate if r1 is equal to register $cr

**Branch not equal:**

*bneq r1, location*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0010 | r1 | BranchAddr |

4 4 8

Conditional branch to address in immediate if r1 does not equal register $cr

**Jump Instructions**

Jump instructions utilize two instructions formats: **L-type**and **I-type.**

Jump instructions use a 12-bit immediate with the top 4 bits of the PC concatenated to create a 16-bit address and allowing for a 211 size block of “jump space”. PC is set to this new address.

**List of Jump Instructions:**

**Jump:**

*j location*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0011 | JumpAddr |

4       12

Unconditional jump to the address in immediate

**Jump and link:**

*jal location*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0100 | JumpAddr |

4       12

Unconditional jump to the address in immediate, storing the address of subsequent instruction into register $ra

**Jump register:**

*jr r1*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0101 | r1 | unused |

4 4 8

Unconditional jump to the address in r1

**Load/Store Instructions**

Load/Store instructions are **I-type** and often require a value to be computed and stored in $cr prior to execution. Specific requirements are denoted for each instruction.

Load/store instructions are directly translated similar to arithmetic and logical instructions. The following assembly would translate accordingly:

*lw $t0*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1010 | 0101 | unused |

op r1 Imm

**List of Load/Store Instructions:**

**Load upper immediate:**

*lui r1, upper(big)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0110 | r1 | Immediate |

4 4 8

Load top half of 16-bit immediate into r1. Bottom bits are set to zero.

**Load lower immediate:**

*lli r1, lower(big)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0111 | r1 | Immediate |

4 4 8

Load lower half of 16-bit immediate into r1. Top bits are set to sign of immediate.

**Load to register:**

*ltr   r1, immediate*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | r1 | SignExtImm |

4 4 8

Take a sign-extended 8-bit immediate value and store in r1. If immediate is greater than 8-bits, utilizes load upper immediate and load lower immediate.

*ltr r1, big*

Translates to:

*lui   $i0, upper(big)*

*lli   $i1, lower(big)*

*or $i0, $i1*

*ctr r1*

**Copy to register:**

*ctr r1*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | r1 | unused |

4 4 8

Take a previously computed value from $cr and store in r1

**Load word:**

*lw   r1*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1010 | r1 | unused |

4 4 8

Access memory at the address stored in $cr and store the value in r1

**Store word:**

*sw r1*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1011 | r1 | unused |

4 4 8

Store value in r1 at the previously computed memory address in register $cr.

**Special Procedures**

**Interact with I/O**

*syscall*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1100 | unused |

4 12

How to use syscall:

Step 1. Load appropriate code into $v.

Step 2. Load argument values, if any, into $a0 or $a1 as specified.

Step 3. Issue the SYSCALL instruction.

Step 4. Retrieve return values, if any, from result registers as specified.

Example:

*ltr $v0, 1 # service 1 is print integer  
 ltr $a0, 0 # load desired value into argument register $a0*

*syscall*

**Table of Syscall Codes**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Function** | **Integer in $v** | **Argument or Return Value** |
| PRINT\_INT | 1 | $a0 = value |
| PRINT\_STRING | 2 | $a0 = address of string |
| READ\_INT | 3 | Result placed in $v |
| READ\_STRING | 4 | $a0 = address, $a1 = maximum length |
| EXIT | 5 | None |
| PRINT\_CHAR | 6 | $a0 low byte = character |
| READ\_CHAR | 7 | Character returned in low byte of $v |

**Pseudo Instructions**

These are instructions are not official instructions but sets of instructions that our processor will handle with smaller instructions. All pseudo instructions are **I-type**. It is important to note that the expansion of pseudo instructions often require **C-type** instructions. Therefore, users should generally assume that $cr will be overwritten when executing pseudo instructions and backup $cr if they wish to use it afterward.

**Set branch comparison:**

*sbc imm*

Sets register $cr to a sign-extended 8-bit immediate value in preparation for a branch instruction.

Translation:

*ltr $i0, 0*

*ltr $i1, imm*

*add $i0, $i1*

**Set jump register:**

*sjr JumpAddr*

Sets register $ra to a jump address specified by the user.

Translation:

*ltr $i1, JumpAddr*

*ltr $i0, 0*

*add $i0, $i1*

*ctr $ra*

**OR immediate:**

*ori r1, imm*

Stores the logical OR of r1 and immediate into register $cr. 8-bit numbers are zero-extended.

8-bit Translation:

*lli $i0, imm # Loads zero-extended lower 8 bits*

*or r1, $i0*

16-bit Translation:

*lui $i0, upper(imm) # Loads upper 8 bits, bottom bits filled with 0*

*lli $i1, lower(imm) # Loads zero-extended lower 8 bits*

*or $i0, $i0*

*or r1, $cr*

**ADD immediate:**

*addi r1, imm*

Stores the arithmetic result of r1 and immediate into register $cr. 8-bit numbers are zero-extended. For 16-bit numbers, the following translation occurs:

8-bit Translation:

*ltr $i0, imm*

*add r1, $i0*

16-bit Translation:

*lui $i0, upper(big)*

*lli $i1, lower(big)*

*or $i0, $i1*

*add r1, $cr*

**Load address:**

*la r1, address*

Stores the sign-extended address in immediate into r1.

Translation:

*lui $i0, upper(address)*

*lli   $i1, lower(address)*

*or $i0, $i1*

*ctr r1*

**Register to Register:**

*rtr r1, r2*

Moves the value in r2 to r1.

Translation:

*ltr $i0, 0*

*add r2, $i0*

*ctr r1*

**Table of Machine Language Translations for Instructions**

Key: R[8] = $cr, R[9]  = $ra

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction** | **Type** | **Code** | **Description of bits and rules** | | | |
| Add | C | R[8] = R[r1] + R[r2] | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0000 |
| Sub | C | R[8] = R[r1] - R[r2] | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0001 |
| AND | C | R[8] = R[r1] & R[r2] | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0010 |
| OR | C | R[8] = R[r1] | R[r2] | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0011 |
| NOR | C | R[8] = ~(R[r1] | R[r2]) | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0100 |
| NAND | C | R[8] = ~(R[r1] & R[r2]) | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0101 |
| XOR | C | R[8] = R[r1] ^ R[r2] | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0110 |
| Set Less Than | C | R[8] = (R[r1] < R[r2]) ? 1 : 0 | 0000 | r1 | r2 | 0111 |
| Branch Equal | I | If (R[8] = R[r1])     PC = PC + 1 + BranchAddr | 0001 | r1 | BranchAddr | |
| Branch Not Equal | I | If (R[8] != R[r1])     PC = PC + 1 + BranchAddr | 0010 | r1 | BranchAddr | |
| Jump | L | PC = JumpAddr | 0011 | JumpAddr | | |
| Jump and Link | L | R[9] = PC + 1  PC = JumpAddr | 0100 | JumpAddr | | |
| Jump Register | I | PC = R[r1] | 0101 | r1 | 8’b0 | |
| Load Upper Immediate | I | R[r1] = {imm, 8’b0} | 0110 | r1 | Immediate | |
| Load Lower Immediate | I | R[r1] = {8’b0, imm} | 0111 | r1 | Immediate | |
| Load to Register | I | R[r1] = SignExtImm | 1000 | r1 | Immediate | |
| Copy to Register | I | R[r1] = R[8] | 1001 | r1 | 8’b0 | |
| Load Word | I | R[r1] = M[R[8]] | 1010 | r1 | 8’b0 | |
| Store Word | I | M[R[8]] = R[r1] | 1011 | r1 | 8’b0 | |
| syscall | I | I/O | 1100 | 4’b0 | 8’b0 | |
| Set Branch Comparison | - | R[8] = SE(BranchComparison) | *Pseudo instruction* | | | |
| Set Jump Register | - | R[9] = JumpAddr | *Pseudo instruction* | | | |
| ORi | - | R[8] = r1 | ZeroExtImm | *Pseudo instruction* | | | |
| Load Address | - | R[r1] = SignExtImm | *Pseudo instruction* | | | |
| Register to Register | - | R[r1] = R[r2] | *Pseudo instruction* | | | |
| Addi | - | R[r1] = SignExtImm | *Pseudo instruction* | | | |

**Table of Common Operations:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **SAPA 1.0** | **Description** |
| **Load Address** | *la $s2, 0x4EF6* | Loading an address is a pseudo instruction.  Refer to *Pseudo instruction* for full details on how *la* works. |
| **Arithmetic / Logical** | *ltr $t1, 1*  *ltr $t2, 1*  *add $t1, $t2* | Load values into two registers and call add to store their sum in $cr.  Similar for other arithmetic and logical operations. |
| **Iterations** | *…*  *ltr $s1, 15*  *ltr $t0, 1*  *ltr $t1, 0*  *ltr $t2, 3*  *loop: slt $s1, $t1*  *bieq $t0, exit*  *add $t1, $t2*  *ctr $t1*  *j loop*  *exit: ...* | This *for-loop* keeps adding 3 to register $s3 (0) until $t1 becomes greater than $s1 (15), resulting with a 18 stored in $t1 after the loop has exited. |
| **Branches** | *See above* | The example above utilizes *slt* and *bieq* to create a branch on greater than, which isn’t an instruction itself but can be created using multiple instructions. |
| **Jumps** | *…*  *add2:*  *ltr $t0,  2*  *add $t0,  $a0*  *ctr $t1*  *sbc 20*  *bneq $t1, add2*  *sjr end*  *jr $ra*  *…*  *end:*  *…* | This example of code does recursive addition of adding two until the value of 20 is reached. However, it needs to move to end instead of moving to the next set of recursive code. Unfortunately, it is too far for the regular jump code to reach. The user can instead; however, set the value of the desired address to the $ra register and can now jump to it. |

**relPrime and Euclid’s Algorithm (Assembly):**

relPrimeSetup:

addi $st, 0 # $cr = $st

sw $ra       # store $ra on stack

addi $st, -4 # $cr = $st - 4

ctr $st       # $st = $st - 4

sw $s0       # store $s0 on stack

addi $st, -4 # $cr = $st - 4

ctr $st # $st = $st - 4

sw $s1 # store $s1 on stack

rtr $s0, $a0 # $s0 = n

ltr $t0, 2    # m = 2

rtr $a1, $t0    # $a1 = m

rtr $s1, $t0     # $s1 = m

relPrimeLoop:

jal gcd

sbc 1       # $cr = 1

bieq $v, cleanup # if gcd(n, m) == 1, branch to cleanup

addi $s1, 1 # $cr = m + 1

ctr $s1 # m = m + 1

rtr $a1, $s1 # $a1 = m

rtr $a0, $s0

j relPrimeLoop

gcd:

sbc 0 # $cr = 0

bneq $a0, gcdLoop # if a != 0, branch to gcdLoop

rtr $v, $a1 # $v = b

jr $ra # return to caller

gcdLoop:

sbc 0 # $cr = 0

bneq $a1, subOne # if b != 0, branch to subOne

rtr $v, $a0 # $v = a

jr $ra # return to caller

subOne:

slt $a1, $a0       # $cr = b < a

ltr $t0, 0

bieq $t0, subTwo # if !(a > b) go to subTwo

sub $a0, $a1 # $cr = a - b

ctr $a0 # a = a - b

j gcdLoop # return to gcdLoop

subTwo:

sub $a1, $a0 # $cr = b - a

ctr $a1 # b = b - a

j gcdLoop # return to gcdLoop

cleanup:

rtr $v, $s1 # $v = m

addi $st, 0 # $cr = $st

lw $s1 # restore $s1

addi $st, 4 # $cr = $st + 4

ctr $st # $st = $st + 4

lw $s0 # restore $s0

addi $st, 4 # $cr = $st + 4

ctr $st # $st = $st + 4

lw $ra # restore $ra

jr $ra

**relPrime and Euclid’s Algorithm (Machine Language):**

relPrimeSetup:

addi $st, 0 # $cr = $st

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1100 | 1101 | 0000 |

sw $ra # store $ra on stack

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1011 | 1001 | 00000000 |

addi $st, -4 # $cr = $st - 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 11111100 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1100 | 1101 | 0000 |

ctr $st # $st = $st - 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1100 | 00000000 |

sw $s0 # store $s0 on stack

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1011 | 0000 | 00000000 |

addi $st, -4 # $cr = $st - 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 11111100 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1100 | 1101 | 0000 |

ctr $st # $st = $st - 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1100 | 00000000 |

sw $s1 # store $s1 on stack

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1011 | 0001 | 00000000 |

rtr $s0, $a0 # $s0 = n

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1010 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 0000 | 00000000 |

ltr $t0, 2 # m = 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 0011 | 00000010 |

rtr $a1, $t0 # $a1 = m

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 0011 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1011 | 00000000 |

rtr $s0, $t0 # $s1 = m

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 0011 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 0001 | 00000000 |

relPrimeLoop:

jal gcd

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0100 | 000000101001 |

sbc 1 # $cr = 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1110 | 00000001 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1101 | 1110 | 0000 |

bieq $v, cleanup # if gcd(n, m) == 1 branch to cleanup

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0001 | 0111 | 00100011 |

addi $s1, 1 # $cr = m + 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000001 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 0001 | 1101 | 0000 |

ctr $s1 # m = m + 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 0001 | 00000000 |

rtr $a1, $s1 # $a1 = m

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 0001 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1011 | 00000000 |

rtr $a0, $s0 # $a0 = n

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 0000 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1010 | 00000000 |

j relPrimeLoop

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0011 | 000000011010 |

gcd:

sbc 0 # $cr =  0

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1110 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1101 | 1110 | 0000 |

bneq $a0, gcdLoop # if a != 0, go to subOne

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0010 | 1010 | 00000100 |

rtr $v, $a1 # $v = b

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1011 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 0111 | 00000000 |

jr $ra # return to caller

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0101 | 1001 | 00000000 |

gcdLoop:

sbc 0 # $cr = 0

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1110 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1101 | 1110 | 0000 |

bneq $a1, subOne # if b != 0, branch to subOne

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0010 | 1011 | 00000100 |

rtr $v, $a0 # $v = a

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1010 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 0111 | 00000000 |

jr $ra # return to caller

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0101 | 1001 | 00000000 |

subOne:

slt $a1, $a0 # $cr = b < a

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1011 | 1010 | 0111 |

ltr $t0, 0

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 0011 | 00000000 |

bieq $t0, subTwo # if !(a > b) go to subTwo

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0001 | 0011 | 00000011 |

sub $a0, $a1 # $cr = a - b

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1010 | 1011 | 0001 |

ctr $a0 # a = a - b

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1010 | 00000000 |

j gcdLoop # return to gcdLoop

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0011 | 000000110001 |

subTwo:

sub $a1, $a0 # $cr = b - a

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1011 | 1010 | 0001 |

ctr $a1 # b = b - a

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1011 | 00000000 |

j gcdLoop # return to gcdLoop

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0011 | 000000110001 |

cleanup:

rtr $v, $s1 # $v = m

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 00001 | 1101 | 0000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 0111 | 00000000 |

addi $st, 0 # $cr = $st

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000000 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1100 | 1101 | 0000 |

lw $s1 # restore $s1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1010 | 0001 | 00000000 |

addi $st, 4 # $cr = $st + 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000100 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1100 | 1101 | 0000 |

ctr $st # $st = $st + 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1100 | 00000000 |

lw $s0

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1010 | 0000 | 00000000 |

addi $st, 4 # $cr = $st + 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1000 | 1101 | 00000100 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0000 | 1100 | 1101 | 0000 |

ctr $st # $st = $st + 4

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1001 | 1100 | 00000000 |

lw $ra # restore $ra

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1010 | 1001 | 00000000 |

jr $ra

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 0101 | 1001 | 00000000 |

**Register Transfer Language (RTL)**

**Definitions:**

CR = Register[8] OP = IR[15-12]

RA = Register[9] IMM8 = IR[7-0]

I0 = Register[13] IMM12 = IR[11-0]

I1 = Register[14] IMM16 = 16-bit immediate

R1 = Register[IR[11-8]] IR = Instruction Register

R2 = Register[IR[7-4]] MDR = Memory Data Register

**Common RTL:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** |
| **0** | FETCH INSTR: | PC = PC + 1  IR = Mem[PC] |
| **1** | DECODE: | A = R1  B = R2  C = CR  ALUout = PC + SE(IMM8) |
| **Last** | DONE: | Goto FETCH INSTR |

**Unique RTL:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type**  **C** | **Op**  **0000** | **func**  **0000 - 0111** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | OPERATION: | ALUout = A op B | |
| **3** |  | CR = ALUout | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  Branch if equal / not equal | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **0001 / 0010** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | BIEQ: | if (A == C) then  PC = ALUout | |
| BNEQ: | if (A != C) then  PC = ALUout | |
| **3** | STALL |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  Load upper / lower Imm. | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **0110 / 0111** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | LUI: | R1 = IMM8[8-0] << 8 | |
| LLI: | R1 = ZE(IMM8[8-0]) | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  Load to register | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **1000** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | LTR16: | if IMM16 then  I0 = IMM16[15-8] << 8  I1 = ZE(IMM16[7-0]) | |
| LTR8: | if IMM8 then  R1 = SE(IMM8) | |
| **3** | LTR16: | ALUout = I0 | I1 | |
| **4** | LTR16: | R1 = ALUout | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  Copy to register | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **1001** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | CTR: | R1 = C | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  Load word | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **1010** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | LD FROM MEM: | MDR = Mem[C] | |
| **3** | LWSTALL |  | |
| **4** | LOAD TO REG: | R1 = MDR | |
| **5** | STALL |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  Store word | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **1011** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | SW: | Mem[C] = A | |
| **3** | STALL |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  syscall | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **1110** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | INCR PC: | PC = PC + 1 | |
| **?** | READ: | if FINISHED then goto RDONE | |
| WRITE: | if FINISHED then goto WDONE | |
| EXIT: | Close program | |
| **?** | RLOOP: | if FINISHED then goto RDONE else goto RLOOP | |
| WLOOP: | if FINISHED then goto WDONE else goto WLOOP | |
| **?** | RDONE: / WDONE: |  | |


|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  Jump Register | **Type**  **I** | **Op**  **0101** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | JR: | PC = A | |
| **3** | STALL |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instruction**  - | **Type**  **L** | **Op**  **0011 / 0100** | **func**  **-** |
| **Cycle** | **Label** | **RTL** | |
| **2** | J: | PC = (IMM12)  | PC[15-12] | |
| JAL: | RA = PC  PC = (IMM12) | PC[15-12] | |
| **3** | STALL |  | |

**Datapath Components**

**Table of Components and Signals**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Signals**  **Components** | **Inputs (size)** | **Outputs (size)** | **Description of Component** |
| **PC** | - newPC 16’b | - PC 16’b | - PC => Program counter |
| **Memory** | - Address 16’b  - Write Data 16’b | - MemData 16’b | - Access to memory |
| **IR** | - Instruction Data 16’b | - r1 4’b  - r2 4’b  - imm 8’b or 12’b | - IR => instruction register  - Stores instruction data |
| **MDR** | - Memory Data 16’b | - Memory Data 16’b | - MDR => Memory data register  - Stores memory data |
| **Register**  **File** | - r1 4’b  - r2 4’b  - WriteDest 4’b  - Write Data 16’b | - r1 data 16’b  - r2 data 16’b | - Registers that store data for reading/writing |
| **A/B Registers** | - A or B respectively 16’b | - A or B respectively 16’b | - These registers hold operands for the ALU |
| **ALU** | - A 16’b  - B 16’b | - isZero 1’b  - Overflow 1’b  - Operation Result 16’b | - Performs operation on two operands |
| **Shift Left**  **by 1** | - Imm 12’b | - Shifted imm size + 1 | - Shifts immediate by 1 |
| **Shift Left by 8** | - Imm 8’b | - Shifted imm size + 8 | - Shifts immediate by 8 |
| **Sign**  **Extender** | - Imm 8’b | - Sign Extended  imm 16’b | - Fill in top bits of immediate with sign of immediate |
| **Zero**  **Extender** | - Imm 8’b | - Zero extended imm 16’b | - Fill in top bits of immediate with 0s |

**Block Diagram of Datapath**

**Control Unit**

**Table of Control Signals**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Control Signal** | **Size** | **Component** | **Description** |
| **PCSrc** | 3’b | PC Source (Mux) | Controls the source of PC: incremented PC, jump address, or branch address. |
| **PCWrite** | 1’b | PC | Controls whether PC should be written to |
| **isZero** | 1’b | PC | ALU isZero result \*Branch condition |
| **isBranch** | 1’b | PC | Controls whether an instruction is a branch \*Branch condition |
| **isBIEQ** | 1’b | ALU | Determines whether an branch instructions is a bieq |
| **IorD** | 1’b | MemAddr (Mux) | Decides whether a memory address is coming from PC (instruction) or the C register (data) |
| **MemRead** | 1’b | Memory | Controls whether memory is being read |
| **MemWrite** | 1’b | Memory | Controls whether memory is being written to |
| **IRWrite** | 1’b | IR | Controls whether IR is being written to |
| **WriteDest** | 2’b | RegDest  (Mux) | Controls whether destination of a register write is $cr or r1 |
| **WriteSrc** | 3’b | WriteData (Mux) | Controls whether source of data to be written to a register (MDR, ALout, C, etc.) |
| **CRWrite** | 1’b | Register File | Controls whether the $cr register can be written to (ie disable $cr being set as r1 in **I-type** instructions) |
| **RegWrite** | 1’b | Register File | Controls whether a register is being written to |
| **ALUSrcA** | 1’b | ALUSrcA  (Mux) | Controls whether the source of ALU input A is PC or the A register |
| **ALUSrcB** | 2’b | ALUSrcB  (Mux) | Controls whether the source of ALU input B is one of three immediates or the B register |
| **ALUop** | 2’b | ALUControl | Controls the operation sent to the ALUControl component |

**Specification for Controls:**

**PCSrc**

000 - PC + 1

001 - ALUout

010 - Register A

011 - (PC[15-12] | IMM12)

100 - Addr. of Exception Handler

**PCWrite**

0 - turns off; 1 - turns on

**isBranch**

0 - not branch

1 - is branch

**isBIEQ**

0 - is bieq instruction

1 - is not bieq instruction

**IorD**

0 - C register (data)

1 - PC (instruction)

**MemRead**

0 - turns off; 1 - turns on

**MemWrite**

0 - turns off; 1 - turns on

**IRWrite**

0 - turns off; 1 - turns on

**WriteDest**

00 - r1

01 - $cr

10 - $ra

**WriteSrc**

000 - ALUout

001 - Register C

010 - MDR

011 - (IMM8 << 8)

100 - ZE(IMM8)

101 - PC

110 - SE(IMM8)

**CRWrite**

0 - turns off; 1 - turns on

**RegWrite**

0 - turns off; 1 - turns on

**ALUSrcA**

0 - Register A

1 - PC

**ALUSrcB**

00 - Register B

01 - 1

10 - SE(IMM8)

11 - Register C

**ALUop**

00 - Add (PC increment)

01 - Sub (Branch)

10 - function code (C-type)

11 - OR

**func**

4’b function determined by C-type **opcode**


Determined by instruction **current\_state/next\_state**

Keeps track of state in multi-cycle

**CLK**

Clock speed **Reset**

0 - Don’t Reset; 1 - Reset

**Finite State Machine Transition Diagram**  


**Implementation and Testing**

**Hardware Implementation Plan:**

Special Registers (PC, IR, MDR, A, B, C):

* Each register will be able to hold 16-bits and have one output with as much.
* They will have multiple inputs such as the clock signal, a reset signal, and an input for the data.
* These will be made with a Verilog module in Xilinx.

Memory:

* For each bit, use a flip flop.
* Use buses and muxes to combine the data.
* Built as a schematic using these parts in Xilinx.

Register File:

* The register file will be made of registers and parts for the logic required to choose the correct outputs.
* 4’b address input and value output
* The cr register will always be outputted to a special C register.
* The register file will be modified from the resource provided to us to handle 16 registers.

ALU:

* For a 16-bit ALU, we will add a unit for Arithmetic (adds and subs), a unit for Logic (OR, NOR, XOR, AND, NAND), and a unit for less than (slt).
* Each unit will have a 16-bit input and output with their outputs connected to a mux.
* The mux chooses which data to output of the ALU, based on the ALU control signal.
* The ALU can be built in Xilinx using schematics, a modified design from the 4-bit ALU built in Lab 6.

Sign Extender:

* A simple verilog module that takes the most significant bit of the input and extends it to the left, extending the size of the data to 16 bits.

Zero Extender:

* Another verilog module that fills top bits with zeros, extending the size of the data to 16 bits.

Shifters:

* Verilog module that will take input and shift the bits to certain amount.

Muxes (1’b, 2’b, 3’b, 4’b)

* Modify resources given to us

**Unit Testing:**

**General Testing:**

* Consider instruction description including inputs and outputs
* Draw out the path of data flow from one component to another
* Confirm desired data source input and output for each component
  + Upon error return to last step and debug
  + Repeat until problem is found
* Confirm desired result/action at the end of calculation

**Regular and Special Registers (A, B, C, IR, MDR):**

Overall, all of these registers have one thing that they need to accomplish. They need to be able to take in values, hold them and then output that same value on the output for that register.

**Memory:**

The memory needs to able to hold the instructions that we will be using for all operations and other values that the user might want to store into it after the processor starts doing operations. The memory should be able to output any instruction we have stored in it as well as any data when called upon.

**Register File:**

The register file needs to be able to select any registers located within it (Note: The only situation that it should not be able to select the proper register is if the user tries selecting a register that they are not allowed to manipulate themselves). These registers, just like the previous ones, must be able to hold any and all data values presented to them and output them on their respective output. It must be able to write any data to the proper register when called upon (same restrictions as note).

**ALU:**

The ALU is a combination of different logic gates that can perform different tasks, arithmetic and logical. At the end, it needs to be able to select the correct output among the multiple outputs it will calculate. Below are all the possible operations we will need to test. There are also two flags that we will need to make sure they are set at proper times, they will be tested with the functions that will need to be able to manipulate these flags.

* Addition
  + Needs to be able to handle big additions that cause overflow like numbers out of the range of a 16 bit data type.
  + Needs to be able to handle adding positive and negative numbers, those that will and will not cause overflow. Basically, detect overflow.
* Subtraction
  + Be able to set an IsZero flag upon subtracting two values to get zero.
  + Needs to be able to handle subtracting positive and negative numbers from each other, those that will and will not cause overflow.
  + Needs to be able to set a Negative flag to represent the confirmation that first number in the argument is less than the second number in the argument.
* Bit AND any two numbers of similar bit size
* Bit OR any two numbers of similar bit size
* Bit NOR any two numbers of similar bit size
* Bit XOR any two numbers of similar bit size
* Bit NAND any two numbers of similar bit size

**Control Unit:**

The control unit must be able to take in an instructions opcode and proceed to handle the flow of cycles. This means that for each instruction, the transition of the datapath’s state must be checked at every stage to ensure correct control bits for the respective cycle.

This will likely need to be tested in Verilog with state transitions and simulations for each instruction.

**ALUControl:**

This will be tested to ensure that in can take in the function bits decoded from the IR register and hold them until the control finishes decides what it needs to do. It should then be able to either send the function code to the ALU if it needs to use it or send a different function code than what was originally sent into the control.

**Zero/Sign Extenders:**

* Must be able to extend any immediate we give correctly.

**Shifters:**

* Shift Left 1:
  + Must be able to shift in a zero to the right of any immediate we give it, even a 16 bit immediate (the bit on the far left would be lost after the shift in this situation).
* Shift Left 8:
  + Must be able to shift in a zero to the right, like the Shift Left 1, just seven more times.

**Integration plan and testing:**

**General Note:** Assume all steps are independent of each other and only require listed components.

Step 0:

Goal: Increment PC

Components: PC, ALU (No Mux)

Testing:

General:

* Input A: PC
* Input B: 1
* Op: XXXX (Add)
* Output: PC + 1

Edge Cases:

* N/A

Step 1:

Goal: Read Memory

Components: PC, MemAddr Mux, Memory, MDR (Read-only)

Testing:

General:

NOTE: PCWrite is set to 1

* MemAddr Mux properly selects 1
* Correct address is returned from MemAddrMux
* With MemRead set to 1, value at PC’s memory address is outputted
  + MDR value is now value at PC’s memory address
* With MemRead set to 0, no value is outputted
  + MDR value is unchanged

Edge Cases:

* Memory address doesn’t exist
* No data in PC
* No data at that memory address
* MemWrite set to 1, behavior is expected

Step 2:

Goal: Write Memory

Components: MemAddr Mux, Memory (Write only)

Testing:

General:

* MemAddr Mux properly selects 0
* Correct address is returned from MemAddrMux
* With MemWrite set to 1, value at address is set to write data
* With MemWrite set to 0, value at address is unchanged

Edge Cases:

* With MemRead set to 1, behavior is expected
* Memory address doesn’t exist

Step 3:

Goal: Read Register File motivated by IR

Components: IR, Register File (Read-only)

Testing:

General:

* When IRWrite is set to 1, IR is overwritten
* When IRWrite is set to 0, IR is unchanged
* Bits 11-8 from the Instruction Register go to r1 in the register file
* Bits 7-4 from the Instruction Register go to r2 in the register file
* The value in r1 is correctly outputted from the register file
* The value in r2 is correctly outputted from the register file
* Value in $cr is outputted

Edge Cases:

* Bits 11-8 do not refer to an existing register.
* Bits 7-4 do not refer to an existing register.
* The Instruction Register has no data.
* IRWrite is set to 0, and no data is in IR

Step 4:

Goal: Write to Register File

Components: WriteDest Mux, WriteData Mux, Register File (Write only)

Testing:

General:

* WriteDest Mux can select between 2 - $ra, 1 - $cr and 0 - another register and output correct value
* WriteData Mux can select between 5 sources and output correct value
* When RegWrite is set to 1 and WriteDest is set to 0, correct register stores correct value after write
* When RegWrite is set to 0 and WriteDest is set to 0, register destination is unchanged
* When CRWrite is set to 1, RegWrite is set to 1, and WriteDest is set to 1, $cr contains
* When CRWrite or RegWrite is set to 0, and WriteDest is set to 1, $cr is unchanged
* No other register should be written to

Edge Cases:

* WriteDest does not exist (input of >= 3)
* WriteData does not exist (input of >= 5)

Step 5:

Goal: ALU ops and outputs

Components: ALUSrcA Mux, ALUSrcB Mux, ALU, ALUControl

Testing:

General:

* ALUSrcA Mux can select between 2 sources and output correct value
* ALUSrcB Mux can select between 4 sources and output correct value
* ALUControl outputs proper value based on ALUop and func
* When computation results in zero, isZero output is 1, otherwise output is 0
* When computation results in overflow, overflow output is 1, otherwise 0

Edge Cases:

* Func code does not exist
* Input for logical operations are different in bitsize
* Overflow occurs
* ALUop requires function code as output

Step 6:

Goal: PCSrc and control signals

Components: PCSrc Mux, PCWrite, isBranch, isZero, PC

Testing:

General:

* PCSrc properly selects between 4 sources and outputs correct value
* PCWrite set to 1, PC is changed to PCSrc output
* PCWrite set to 0, isBranch and isZero set to 1, PC is changed to PCSrc output
* PCWrite set to 0, isBranch or isZero set to 0, PC is unchanged

Edge Cases:

Step 7:

Goal: Shifters and extenders

Components: Shifters, Extenders

Testing:

General:

* Shift Left 1:
  + Ensure any sized input is shifted left one and outputted with original size + 1
* Shift Left 8:
  + Ensure any size input is shifted left eight and outputted with original size + 8
* Zero Extend:
  + 8-bit input is outputted with zero extension
* Sign Extend:
  + 8-bit input is outputted with sign extension

Edge Cases:

* Data of incorrect size is inputted

Final Step:

Combine pieces of processor together and proceed to system testing.

**System test plan:**

1. Individual instructions
2. Small programs
   1. Code Fragments
   2. Small loop
   3. Small programs
      * 1. Simple arithmetic and logic
        2. Simple jumps
3. Large programs
   * 1. relPrime (see pg. 13-20)

**Journal**

**Meeting 1: Tuesday, 10 January 2017**

We began the meeting by discussing the features we most wanted to include in our processor. We hoped this would guide our design throughout the meeting.

We decided to build a processor that is primarily based on a combination of load-store and accumulator designs. One specific register will hold the value of the last arithmetic or logical computation. This register will be read only for users to copy and read the value of the last computation. We will also allow users a small set of registers to save values beyond a computation and save values over procedure calls.

Our processor will have 18 registers in total, 15 of which are available to the user in some capacity (read/write). There are 8 general purpose registers, and 8 special registers. We decided on a small, specific instruction set made up of one major type, an arithmetic/logical type that returns all results to the special computation register, as well as other instructions of varying sizes. We believe that varying sizes will help keep our programs small in size and more efficient.

For procedure calls, we thought it would be interesting to make arguments and return values memory addresses only. We acknowledge the inefficiencies of that design but felt that the value of having direct access to the memory address of the arguments and return values was a valuable asset to our design.

Work log:

(majorly a group effort worked on during the 3 hour period):

Design and description of registers, instruction type and format, procedure call conventions (Discussion)

Trinity - Journal Notes

**Meeting 2: Wednesday, 11 January 2017**

We are doing a lot of redesigning based on feedback and more direction with the project. We decided to standardize the size of instructions to 16 bits. We now have two types of instructions, a C-type for register to register computations and an I-type for other instructions that require a register and immediate values such as load/store, branch, jump. The I-type include all of our instructions with previously varying sizes. By establishing a standard size and design for instructions, we are able to greatly simplify our design and get a better direction on designing instructions.

We decided to scrap the memory address-only idea for arguments and return values as it would be a cumbersome design at our current state of progress.

By the end of the day, we’ve ended up with 20 registers, deciding to split our general purpose registers between saved and temporary registers. Our number of instructions has grown to include a number of pseudoinstructions as Shaun began coding the programs.

Work log:

*Before Meeting:*

Khaled and Shaun - Register Descriptions (write up)

*Over 7 hour group time (1800-0100):*

Logan - Assembly Syntax and Semantics, addressing modes, grammar and formatting

Trinity - Procedure Call Conventions (write up), Journal, Machine language instruction format type and semantics, rules for translating assembly to machine language

Khaled - Assembly fragments

Shaun (assisted by Trinity) - Euclid’s algorithm and relPrime (Assembly)

Group - moderate redesign of instruction format, registers, and instructions

*After meeting (2 hours):*

Shaun (assisted by Khaled) - Euclid’s algo/relPrime (Machine Language)

**Meeting 3: Thursday, 12 January 2017**

We decided to reformat the jal and j instructions to be their own type, L-type. This allowed for a larger jump block for us,

We plan on featuring a assembler, compile, linker, and exception handler at the very least in our processor. One of the registers dedicated to exception handling will be $ex, the cause register. We also cut down our registers to make our register file size 16.

We created a few new instructions and pseudoinstructions and added these to the design documentation. Most important of these is probably the addition of *syscall* which will be our I/O instruction.

Other than these decisions, we reformatted and reworded our design document according to the feedback provided to us and attempted to make things more clear.

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Logan – general editing over entire doc

Trinity – syscall, editing instruction descriptions

Shaun – fixed problems with Machine Language

Group – redued register file and redesigned jal/j instructions.

**Meeting 4: Friday, 13 January 2017**

We polished off the work from yesterday including updating our common operations table, reviewing the changes made yesterday, and finishing any work left incomplete after the meeting.

Began going over Milestone 2 requirements and planning for the next few days.

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Shaun – Machine code and common operations table

Trinity – finished syscall, journal

Group – Formatting and decisions about new instructions

**Meeting 5: Sunday, 15 January 2017**

We decided to use multi-cycle control as we thought this would make our performance faster and simplify our RTL design. We found that we could group all C-type and L-type instructions into individual RTL, and grouped branches, load/store word, and load lower/upper immediate instructions as well.

Planned meeting times:

Sunday: 1400-1700 (may vary)

Mon-Fri: 2030-2130, class time and lab time when cancelled

Work log:

*Over 3 hour meeting:*

Shaun – RTL Table, Component test descriptions

Logan – RTL Table, Component list,

Trinity – RTL Table, journal, formatting, editing

Khaled – Control Descriptions, input/output/control signals

Group – Discussion on major decisions

**Meeting 6: Monday, 16 January 2017**

No major decisions.

Worked on milestone 3.

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Trinity - Journal

Khaled – worked on Milestone 2

Shaun – Reworked Machine Language translations to conform to changes

Logan/Trinity (w/ assistance from Khaled & Shaun) – Datapath Design

**Meeting 7: Tuesday, 17 January 2017**

No major decisions.

Polished milestone 2.

Work log:

*Over 1.5 hour meeting:*

Logan – Inputs and outputs, RTL modifications

Logan and Shaun – Test descriptions

Trinity – RTL/control description/input & output modifications, additional components, journal

Logan, Shaun, & Trinity – General editing, formatting and corrections

Khaled – sick (not in attendance)

*After meeting (~1 hour):*

Trinity – Reviewed/modified RTL and Verilog to adhere to test descriptions and page numbers!!

**Meeting 8: Thursday, 19 January 2017**

Worked on Lab 7 & 8

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Shaun and Khaled – Lab 7

Logan and Trinity – Lab 8

**Meeting 9: Friday, 20 January 2017**

Reviewed feedback for milestone 2 and made some major changes to RTL and organization of design document.

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Khaled – broke up RTL tables, edited RTL, formatting (tables, color)

Logan and Trinity – inputs/outputs table, table formatting, review of changes

Trinity – Condensed/reordered machine language and assembly sections, journal

Shaun – Reviewed tests, reviewed changes, small editing

**Meeting 10: Sunday, 22 January 2017**

Worked on Lab 7 & 8

Work log:

*Over 3 hour meeting:*

Shaun and Khaled – Lab 7

Logan and Trinity – Lab 8

Trinity - Journal

**Meeting 11: Monday, 23 January 2017**Worked on Lab 7 & 8

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Shaun and Khaled – Lab 7 and RTL review

Logan and Trinity – Lab 8

Trinity - Journal

**Meeting 12: Tuesday, 24 January 2017**

In our RTL for the instructions *load upper immediate, load lower immediate* and *load to register*, we decided to denote the immediate value by the bits in the 16-bit immediate itself rather than where they are in the instruction. We weren’t sure how to access the correct parts of the instruction. In these instructions, we changed some logical code to simply shift the immediates left by 1.

We also decided to add a C register component that receives the value of $cr no matter what input goes into the register file.

Work log:

*Over 2 hour meeting:*

Trinity and Logan (with assistance from Shaun and Khaled) – Revised and finished datapath

Trinity - Journal

Everyone – Revised RTL and divvied up assignment

*After meeting:*

Logan – Block diagram of datapath (estimated 1 hours) **Actual: expected**

Implementation plan for each component (estimated 1 hour) **Actual: 20 minutes**

Khaled – Implementation and tests of Mem, ZE, and SE (estimated 2 hours) **Actual: Unknown/Incomplete**

Shaun – Update unit tests (estimated 30 minutes) **Actual: 5 minutes**

Integration plan and testing (estimated 1 hour) **Actual: 20 minutes**

Trinity – Control signal descriptions (estimated 30 minutes) **Actual: expected**

Specs for Control Unit in Component List (estimated 1 hour) **Actual: expected**

Implementation and tests of ALU, SL1 (estimated 4 hours) **Actual: incomplete**

**DISCUSSION OF TEST STRATEGY**

Our testing strategy consisted mostly of trying to anticipate problems before they occurred. We think of what might go wrong with a component and write tests to catch those situations. After that, we write general cases for expected outputs with non-extreme or expected data. When these tests are finished, we run through all inputs that satisfy the tests and make sure the output is expected/acceptable.

**HOW OUR ARCHITECTURE CHOICE AFFECTED OUR DATAPATH**

Our choice in architecture – Load/Store with Accumulator, caused us to have a lot of extra muxes to choose the value from our special computation result register as well as a special register in the datapath that always stores the value of the computation result register. Al of this was caused by our accumulator computation register.

Because our design is also load/store, we found it necessary to have a memory unit as well as a register file to hold data values. Between these two components and our ALU, we found it necessary to have special temporary register such as IR, MDR, A/B/C to hold necessary values between stages in the datapath.

**Meeting 13: Thursday, 26 January 2017**

Reviewed feedback and made changes to document.

Work log:

*Over 1.5 hour meeting:*

Trinity and Logan – Revised RTL, planning/revising datapath, integration plan

Trinity – Reorganized document based on feedback, journal

Shaun – Unit Tests, integration plan

Khaled – Table colors, reviewed milestone 4, minor edits and changes

*After meeting:*

Logan – Making implementation plan more robust (estimated: 30 minutes)

Datapath redesign (estimated: 1 hour)

Trinity - Integration plan (estimated: 1- 2 days) **Actual: 1.5 hours**

**Meeting 14: Friday, 27 January 2017**

Reviewed feedback and made changes to document.

Work log:

*Over 1.5 hour meeting:*

Logan (with assistance from Trinity) – Rough draft of state transition diagram, went over datapath corrections

Trinity – Editting/formatting design document, journal

Shaun and Khaled – not in attendance

*After meeting:*

Logan – Making implementation plan more robust (estimated: 30 minutes)

Datapath redesign + control unit (estimated: 2 hour)

Trinity – Official finite state machine transition diagram (2 hours)

**Meeting 15: Monday, 30 January 2017**

Changes to control and datapath to be in accordance with RTL (controls affected: WriteSrc and ALUSrcB).

Work log:

*Before meeting:*

Shaun – Shift left 8 **(approx. 1 hour)**

Khaled – Shift left 1 **(approx. 1 hour)**

*Over 1 hour meeting (and after meeting):*

Trinity – Register file and test bench **(approx. 6 hours)**, control unit test descriptions **(estimated 1 hour)**, journal

Khaled – Muxes **(estimated 1 day)**

Logan – datapath redesign (again – sorry, Logan) **(approx. 1 hour)**, ALUControl **(estimated 2 hours)**

Shaun – not in attendance

**Meeting 16: Tuesday, 31 January 2017**

No major changes.

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Trinity – Register File, journal

Logan – ALUControl **(estimated 2 hours)**

Shaun – test benches for unit tests

Khaled – not in attendance

*After meeting:*

Trinity – Register file and test bench **(approx. 6 hours)**, control unit test descriptions **(estimated 1 hour)**, start integration plan and testing

**Meeting 17: Wednesday, 1 February 2017**

No major changes

Work log:

*Before meeting:*

Trinity – Register File changes, ALUControl and test bench **(approx. 3 hours)**, journal

Khaled and Shaun – ALU16b & test bench **(approx. 2 hours)**

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Trinity – Control unit **(plus 30 min)**, journal

Khaled – ALU16b

Logan – began integration plans

Shaun – not in attendance

**Meeting 18: Thursday, 2 February 2017**

No major changes.

Work log:

*Before meeting:*

Trinity – Control Unit **(approx. 1 hour)**

*During 6th period:*

Shaun – test benches, and modifying/correcting muxes

Trinity – Control unit test bench, journal

**Meeting 19: Friday, 3 February 2017**

No major changes.

Divvied up integration plan.

Work log:

*Over 1.5 hour meeting:*

Logan – Incrementing PC (PC, ALU), Write/Read to/from memory

- Add revised datapath to design doc

Khaled – Write/Read to/from Register File w/ IR

Shaun – ALU and ALU Control

Trinity – PCSrc, shifters, extenders

- Rewrite Transition Diagram **(approx. 1 hour)**

- Control Unit implementation and test **(approx. 2 hours)**

- Journal

**Meeting 20: Sunday, 5 February 2017**

No major changes

Work log:

*Before meeting:*

Logan - Incrementing PC (PC, ALU), Write/Read to/from memory **(approx. 2 hours)**

*Over 1.75 hour meeting:*

Khaled – Write/Read to Register File w/ IR

Shaun – ALU and ALUControl **(approx. ½-1 hour after meeting)**

Logan - Incrementing PC (PC, ALU), Write/Read to/from memory

-Schematic done, errors causing inability to test

Trinity – PCSrc **(approx. 1.5 hours after meeting)**

* Journal

**Meeting 21: Monday, 6 February 2017**

No major changes

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Khaled – researched assemblers

Trinity – building datapath, journal

Logan – memory fixes

Shaun – not in attendance

*After meeting:*

Trinity – building datapath **(approx. 3 hours)**

**Meeting 22: Tuesday, 7 February 2017**

PC + 2 changed to PC + 1.

Divvied up extra features:

\*Program tools – Khaled and Shaun

\*Exceptions and Interrupts – Logan

\*I/O – Trinity

Work log:

*Over 2 hour meeting:*

Khaled – Assembler research

Logan – memory fixes

Shaun – preparation for system tests

Trinity – building datapath, journal

*After meeting:*

Shaun – system tests **(estimated: unknown)**

**Meeting 23: Wednesday, 8 February 2017**

No major changes

Work log:

*Over 1.5 hour meeting:*

Trinity and Shaun – system testing and reworking

Trinity - Journal

Khaled – Assembler

Logan – Exception Handler

*After meeting:*

Trinity – system analysis **(approx. 3 hours)**

**Meeting 24: Thursday, 9 February 2017**

No major changes

Work log:

*Over 1 hour meeting:*

Logan – Exception handler

Khaled – Assembler

Shaun – robust system tests

Trinity – Modifications to data path to initialize $st, journal

**Meeting 25: Friday, 10 February 2017**

No major changes

Work log:

*Over 2 hour meeting:*

Logan – Exception handler (first hour)

Khaled – Assembler

Shaun – relPrime coe

Trinity – instructions coe, journal

*After meeting:*

Trinity – debugging processor (where it pertains to branches and jump intstructions)

**Meeting 26: Sunday, 12 February 2017**

Fixing processor to handle PC + 1:

* Branch is directly translated -> PC = SE(BranchAddr)
* Jumps are directly translated -> PC = PC[15:12] | IMM12
* Stalls added to all branch and jump instructions

Work log:

*Over 3 hour meeting:*

Logan – Exception handler

Shaun – looked into compiler (not going to work on this), started IO

Khaled – Assembler

Trinity – debugging processor, journal

**Meeting 27: Monday, 13 February 2017**

Major changes:

* Stalls for sw and lw
* STALL control bit: IorD = 1
* Separate controls for bieq/bneq
  + isBIEQ added on ALU

Work log:

*Before meeting:*

Trinity – system analysis and fixing relPrime **(approx. 3 hours)**

*Over 2 hour meeting:*

Trinity – making relPrime work, journal

Logan, Khaled, Shaun – not in attendance

*After meeting:*

Trinity – worked on datapath to incorporate changes, redrawing state transition diagram **(approx. 2 hours on 15 Feb 2017)**

* reworking relPrime to actually function properly and changing assembly/machine code in design doc **(approx. 4 hours on 15 Feb 2017)**
* Worked on system to make it work - added stall between lw1 and lw2 **(approx. 2 hours on 16 Feb 2017)**
* More fixing to actual working **(approx. 3.5 hours on 17 Feb 2017)**
* Began implementing I/O **(approx. 1.5 hours 17 Feb 2017)**
* Redrew state transition diagram **(approx. .5 hours)**

**Meeting 28: Sunday, 19 February 2017**

Overhaul of memory and small changes to accomodate (using wrong type of block memory for the FPGA board).

Work log:

*Over 2.5 hour meeting:*

Trinity – Report, M6, fixing memory and other changes, journal

Shaun – IO

Logan – Presentation

Khaled - Assembler

**Meeting 29: Tuesday, 21 February 2017**

PC starts at 76

Work log:

*Over 3 hour meeting:*

Trinity – IO, performance data, journal

Logan – Final Report

**Test Results**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1a.** | **Total Bytes Required for Euclid’s Algorithm** | 200B | |
| **1b.** | **Total Bytes Required for relPrime Algorithm** | 614B | |
| **2.** | **Total Instructions executed;**  ***relPrime, n = 0x13B0*** | 36,360 instructions | |
| **3.** | **Total Cycles;**  ***relPrime, n = 0x13B0*** | 40,099 cycles | |
| **4.** | **Average Cycles per Instruction** (based on 2 and 3) | 1.09 cycles/instruction | |
| **5.** | **Cycle Time for Design** (from Xilinx Synthesis Report – Timing Summary) | 17.114 ns =58.431 Mhz | |
| **6.** | **Total Execution Time;**  ***relPrime, n = 0x13B0*** | 7,355,580ns = 7.35558ms | |
| **7.** | **Gate Count for Design** | - | |
| **8.** | **Device Utilization Report** (from Xilinx Map Report) | | |
| Number of errors: | | | 0 |
| Number of warnings: | | | 7 |
| *Logic Utilization:* | | |  |
| Total Number Slice Registers: | | | 441 out of 9,312 4% |
| Number used as Flip Flops: | | | 405 |
| Number used as Latches: | | | 36 |
| Number of 4 input LUTs: | | | 788 out of 9,312 8% |
| *Logic Distribution:* | | |  |
| Number of occupied Slices: | | | 575 out of 4,656 12% |
| Number of Slices containing only related logic: | | | 575 out of 575 100% |
| Number of Slices containing unrelated logic: | | | 0 out of 575 0% |
| *\*See NOTES below for an explanation of the effects of unrelated logic.* | | | |
| Total Number of 4 input LUTs: | | | 835 out of 9,312 8% |
| Number used as logic: | | | 788 |
| Number used as a route-thru: | | | 47 |
| *The Slice Logic Distribution report is not meaningful if the design is over-mapped for a non-slice resource or if Placement fails.* | | | |
| Number of bonded IOBs: | | | 91 out of 232 39% |
| IOB Flip Flops: | | | 16 |
| Number of RAMB16s: | | | 1 out of 20 5% |
| Number of BUFGMUXs: | | | 1 out of 24 4% |
| Average Fanout of Non-Clock Nets: | | | 3.18 |
| Peak Memory Usage: | | | 343MB |
| Total REAL time to MAP completion: | | | 6 secs |
| Total CPU time to MAP completion: | | | 3 secs |
| NOTES:  Related logic is defined as being logic that shares connectivity - e.g. two  LUTs are "related" if they share common inputs. When assembling slices,  Map gives priority to combine logic that is related. Doing so results in  the best timing performance.  Unrelated logic shares no connectivity. Map will only begin packing  unrelated logic into a slice once 99% of the slices are occupied through  related logic packing.  Note that once logic distribution reaches the 99% level through related  logic packing, this does not mean the device is completely utilized.  Unrelated logic packing will then begin, continuing until all usable LUTs  and FFs are occupied. Depending on your timing budget, increased levels of  unrelated logic packing may adversely affect the overall timing performance  of your design. | | | |