# Math 100B: Homework 4

# Merrick Qiu

#### Problem 1

We can reproduce Euclids proof that  $\mathbb{Z}$  has infinitely many primes to show that F[x] has infinitely many monic irreducible polynomials. Suppose that there were only a finite number of monic irreducible polynomials in F[x], say  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ . Then consider the polynomial  $p_{n+1} = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + 1$  which is also a monic polynomial. Since  $p_{n+1}$  is not divisible by any of the  $p_i$ , it must be irreducible.

Therefore the monic irreducible polynomials are not given by  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$  which is a contradiction. Therefore there must be a infinite number of monic irreducible polynomials. Since the maximal ideals of F[x] are the principal ideals generated by the monic irreducible polynomials, there are also an infinite number of maximal ideals.

- (a) This is simply proving Fermats Little theorem, which we can do by looking at the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ . For any element  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ , the order give by k must divide p-1 by Lagrange's theorem. If p-1=kn for some n then  $a^{p-1}-1=a^{kn}-1=(a^k)^n-1=1-1=0$ . Therefore all elements in  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$  are roots of f(x).
- (b) Since every nonzero element of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is a root of f(x), we can write  $f(x) = (x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-(p-1))g(x)$  for some polynomial g(x). However in order for the leading coefficients and degrees of the left and right hand side to match, g(x) = 1 so we can simply write  $f(x) = (x-1)(x-2)\dots(x-(p-1))$ .
- (c) The constant term of f(x) is -1 and the constant term of the right hand side is the product (p-1)! modulo p so it must be that  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \mod p$ .

- 1. Let  $f(x) \in R$  with leading coefficient a and g(x) has leading coefficient b. If we set  $f(x) \frac{a}{b}g(x) = r(x)$  then  $\deg r < \deg g$  and  $f(x) = r(x) + \frac{a}{b}g(x)$ . This representation is unique since if  $f(x) = r(x) + \frac{a}{b}g(x) = s(x) + cg(x)$  with  $\deg s < \deg g$  and  $c \neq \frac{a}{b}$ , then that would imply that  $r(x) s(x) = (c \frac{a}{b})g(x)$ , but this is a contradiction since the degrees of the left and right hand side do not match.
- 2. Each element of R corresponds to a coset r(x) + (g(x)) where r(x) has degree n-1. Since r(x) has n different coefficients and each of these coefficients can take on p different values, there are in total  $p^n$  different cosets in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(g(x))$ .

- 1. By the previous problem we have that E has a total of  $3^2 = 9$  elements. It is a field because it is the quotient of a polynomial ring by an irreducible polynomial.
- 2.  $E^{\times}$  is cyclic since x+1 generates it. $(x+1)^2=2x$ ,  $(x+1)^4=2$ , and  $(x+1)^8=1$ .
- 3.  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/(x^3+1)$  is a field with 27 elements.

- 1. The units of R are the constants  $a_0 \in F$  with degree 0. If  $x^2$  are reducible then it can be written as the product of two degree 1 polynomials, but since R contains no degree 1 polynomials  $x^2$  must be irreducible. Likewise  $x^3$  must be written as the product of a degree 1 polynomial and a degree 2 polynomial, but R contains no degree 1 polynomials.
- 2. We can factor  $x^6 = x^2 \cdot x^2 \cdot x^2 = x^3 \cdot x^3$  in two ways into irreducible elements, so R is not a unique factorization domain.

1.  $RS^{-1}$  is closed under subtraction since for  $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2} \in RS^{-1}$ 

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} - \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2} \in RS^{-1}$$

since  $r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1 \in R$  and  $s_1 s_2 = S^{-1}$ .

 $RS^{-1}$  is closed under subtraction since for  $\frac{r_1}{s_1}, \frac{r_2}{s_2} \in RS^{-1}$ 

$$\frac{r_1}{s_1} \cdot \frac{r_2}{s_2} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2} \in RS^{-1}$$

since  $r_1r_2 \in R$  and  $s_1s_2 = S^{-1}$ . Since  $\frac{1}{1} \in RS^{-1}$  as well,  $RS^{-1}$  is a subring of F.

2. Define  $\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)=\phi(r)\phi(s)^{-1}$ . This is well defined since  $\phi(s)$  is a unit and it sends two equivalent fractions to the same element. If  $\frac{r}{s}=\frac{a}{b}$  then rb=as which can be written as  $rs^{-1}=ab^{-1}$  and

$$\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) = \phi(r)\phi(s)^{-1} = \phi(rs^{-1}) = \phi(ab^{-1}) = \phi(a)\phi(b)^{-1} = \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right).$$

It is a homomorphism since

$$\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) = 1$$

$$\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{r}{s} + \frac{a}{b}\right) = \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{rb + as}{sb}\right)$$

$$= \phi(rb + as)\phi(sb)^{-1}$$

$$= \phi((rb + as)(sb)^{-1})$$

$$= \phi(rs^{-1} + ab^{-1})$$

$$= \phi(r)\phi(s)^{-1} + \phi(a)\phi(b)^{-1}$$

$$= \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right) + \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$$

$$\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{a}{b}\right) = \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{ra}{sb}\right)$$

$$= \phi(ra)\phi(sb)^{-1}$$

$$= \phi(r)\phi(s)^{-1}\phi(a)\phi(b)^{-1}$$

$$= \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)\hat{\phi}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$$

It is unique since another homomorphism with these properties  $\varphi$  would have

$$\varphi(\frac{r}{s})\varphi(s) = \varphi(\frac{r}{s}\frac{s}{1})$$
$$= \varphi(\frac{r}{1})$$
$$= \phi(r)$$

Also 
$$\varphi(s) = \phi(s)$$
 so

$$\varphi(\frac{r}{s}) = \phi(r)\phi(s)^{-1} = \hat{\phi}(\frac{r}{s})$$

Since  $\phi(\frac{a}{p^k}) = \phi(a)\phi(p)^{-k}$  for  $\frac{a}{p^k} \in RS^{-1}$ , each homomorphism is uniquely determined by where it sends a and p. Since a is an integer and  $\phi(1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(a) = \phi(1 + \ldots + 1) = \phi(1) + \ldots + \phi(1) = a$ . Since p must be sent to a unit of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , and there are  $\varphi(n)$  units in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , there are  $\varphi(n)$  different homomorphisms, where  $\varphi(n)$  is eulers totient function.