## Math 140A: Homework 2

# Merrick Qiu

#### $\mathbf{A}$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and x > 0 be real numbers. If  $x \le \epsilon$ , we can choose a such that 0 < a < x from property (2). If  $x > \epsilon$ , we need to choose some  $x - \epsilon < a < x$  for  $0 < x - a < \epsilon$  to hold.

Choose  $b \in A$  such that  $0 < b < \epsilon$  using property (2). By property (1), we can repeatedly add b to itself to get another element in A, so  $nb \in A$  for all positive integers n.

By the archimedean principle we know that there exists some  $nb > x - \epsilon$ , and if we choose the smallest such n we know that  $x - \epsilon < nb < x$  since  $b < \epsilon$ . Therefore for every x there exists  $a \in A$  where  $0 < x - a < \epsilon$ .

#### $\mathbf{B}$

- 1. We can use the bijection  $f:(a,b)\to(c,d), f(x)=c+(x-a)\cdot\frac{d-c}{b-a}$ .
- 2. We can use the bijection  $g:[a,b]\to [c,d], g(x)=c+(x-a)\cdot \frac{d-c}{b-a}$ .
- 3. Let  $g:[a,b]\to [0,1]$  from part (2) and  $f(x):(0,1)\to (c,d)$  from part (1). Let  $h:[0,1]\to (0,1)$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & x = 0\\ \frac{1}{n+2} & x = \frac{1}{n}\\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can use the bijection  $k:[a,b]\to(c,d), k(x)=f(h(g(x))).$ 

4. Let  $k:[a,b]\to (-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2})$  from (3). We can use  $l:[a,b]\to \mathbb{R}, l(x)=\tan(h(x)).$ 

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

7

1. For the base case n=1,  $b^1-1\geq 1(b-1).$  Assume that  $b^k-1\geq k(b-1).$  This implies that  $b^{k+1}-1\geq (k+1)(b-1)$  since

$$\begin{split} b^{k+1} - 1 &= b \cdot b^k - 1 \\ &= b(b^k - 1) + (b - 1) \\ &\geq b(k(b - 1)) + (b - 1) \\ &= (b - 1)(bk + 1) \\ &\geq (b - 1)(k + 1). \end{split}$$

Thus,  $b^n - 1 \ge n(b-1)$  for all positive integers n.

- 2. Substituting in  $b^{\frac{1}{n}} \to b$  into the previous step implies  $b-1 \ge n(b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1)$  for all positive integers n.
- 3.  $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$  implies n(t-1) > b-1. Since  $b-1 \ge n(b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1)$ , we have that  $n(t-1) > b-1 \ge n(b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1)$ . This then implies  $n(t-1) > n(b^{\frac{1}{n}}-1)$  which implies  $t > b^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .
- 4. Applying part (c) with  $t = yb^{-w}$  yields  $b^{\frac{1}{n}} < yb^{-w}$ . This then implies that  $b^{w+\frac{1}{n}} < y$  when the conditions in part (c) are met.  $b^w < y$  implies that t > 1, but since there needs to be  $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$ , this statement is only true for sufficiently large n.
- 5. If in (d) we used  $t = y^{-1}b^w$ , we would find that  $b^{w-\frac{1}{n}} > y$ .
- 6. Suppose that  $b^x \neq y$ . Then either  $b^x < y$  or  $b^x > y$ . If  $b^x < y$  then we can pick  $x + \frac{1}{n}$  for a sufficiently large n such that  $b^{w + \frac{1}{n}} < y$  by part (d). This would lead to a contradiction since it would imply that  $x \neq \sup A$  since x is not an upper bound.

Likewise if  $b^x>y$  then we can pick  $x-\frac{1}{n}$  for a sufficiently large n such that  $b^{w-\frac{1}{n}}>y$  by part (e). This would lead to a contradiction since it would also imply that  $x\neq\sup A$  since x is not the best upper bound. Therefore  $b^x=y$ .

7. Since the supremum is unique, x is unique.

13

In the case where  $|x| - |y| \ge 0$  then we need to prove that  $|x| - |y| \le |x - y|$ .

$$|x| = |x - y + y| \le |x - y| + |y| \implies |x| - |y| \le |x - y|.$$

In the case where |x|-|y|<0 then we need to prove that  $|y|-|x|\leq |x-y|.$ 

$$|y| = |y - x + x| \le |y - x| + |x| \implies |y| - |x| \le |x - y|.$$

**14** 

Let z = a + bi.  $z\bar{z} = 1$  implies  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ .

$$|1+z|^2 + |1-z|^2 = (1+a)^2 + b^2 + (1-a)^2 + b^2$$

$$= (1+2a+a^2) + (1-2a+a^2) + 2b^2$$

$$= 2 + (2a^2 + 2b^2)$$

$$= 4$$

**17** 

$$|x+y|^2 + |x-y|^2 = (x+y) \cdot (x+y) + (x-y) \cdot (x-y)$$
$$= |x|^2 + 2x \cdot y + |y|^2 + |x|^2 - 2x \cdot y + |y|^2$$
$$= 2|x|^2 + 2|y|^2$$

The sum of the areas of all squares drawn on the sides of a parallelograms is equal to the squares formed from the diagonals of the parallelogram.

### Extra Practice Problem

1.

$$\begin{split} \lambda \sum z_j \bar{w}_j &= \lambda(z, w) \\ &= \sum \lambda z_j \bar{w}_j = (\lambda z, w) \\ &= \sum z_j \overline{\overline{\lambda} w}_j = (z, \overline{\lambda} w) \end{split}$$

2.  $z_j\overline{z_j}$  is always nonnegative so the sum is as well. Forward direction can be proved by contradiction. Backwards is trivial.

3.

$$(z, w) = \sum z_j \overline{w}_j$$

$$= \sum \overline{w_j \overline{z}_j}$$

$$= \sum w_j \overline{z}_j$$

$$= \overline{(w, z)}$$

4. Use pythagorean theorem since u and w are orthogonal.