## Math 100B: Homework 8

# Merrick Qiu

#### Problem 1

Let S be the set of all subsets  $X' \subseteq V$  such that  $X \subseteq X'$  and X' is linearly independent over F. Let  $(S, \leq)$  be a poset where  $X_1 \leq X_2$  iff  $X_1 \subseteq X_2$ . Note that S is nonempty since  $X \in S$ . Suppose T is a chain in S. Let  $Y = \bigcup_{X_i \in T} X_i$  and we want to show that Y is an upper bound for T.

It's clear that if  $X_i \in T$  then  $X_i \leq Y$  so all we need to show is that  $Y \in S$ . First we have that  $X \subseteq Y$  since  $X \subseteq X_i$  for every  $X_i \in T$ . Now we need to show that  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in Y$  are linearly independent. Then  $v_i \in X_i$  for some  $X_i \in T$ . Then  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in X_m$  where  $X_m$  is the largest among  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . Then since  $X_m$  consistents of linearly independent vectors, Y consists of linearly independent vectors and  $Y \in S$ .

Since each chain has a maximal element, S has a maximal element X' by Zorns lemma, which is a basis and contains X, which completes our proof. If X' was not a basis, then it would have a span smaller than V, which implies that X' is not maximal(since we can add a vector not in the span to X' while keeping it linearly independent) which is a contradiction.

(a) If A is invertible then we can choose P = A since

$$A^{-1}ABA = BA$$

If B is invertible then we can choose  $P = B^{-1}$  since

$$(B^{-1})^{-1}ABB^{-1} = BA$$

(b) In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we can choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

However AB and BA are not similar since

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Let  $v \in V$  be arbitrary and let  $v' \in \operatorname{im} \phi$ . such that  $\phi(v) = v'$ . Let w = v v' so we can write  $\phi(v') + \phi(w) = v'$ . Sine  $v' \in \operatorname{im} \phi$  and  $\phi^2 = \phi$  it must be that  $\phi(v') = v'$ . This implies that  $\phi(w) = 0$  and  $w \in \ker \phi$ . Therefore v = v' + w where  $v' \in \operatorname{im} \phi$  and  $w \in \ker \phi$ , so  $V = \operatorname{im} \phi \oplus \ker \phi$ .
- (b) Since the image and kernel are subspaces, they each have a basis. Their intersection only contains zero If the intersection had a nonzero vector, it would map to 0 since it was in the kernel but it would also need to map to itself since  $\phi^2 = \phi$  which is a contradiction. Therefore the basis for the image and kernel are independent from each other and we can combine them to form a basis for the entire space V (since the dimension of V is the sum of the dimensions of the image and kernel by the rank-nullity theorem).

If the image has dimension m and V has dimension n, then  $M_{\mathcal{B}}(\phi)$  would be a  $n \times n$  matrix with zeros everywhere except for the first m entires of the diagonal.

- (a) Since  $\phi^2$  is the identity map,  $\phi^2(v) = v$  and  $\phi(v \phi(v)) = \phi(v) v$ . If  $\phi(v) v$  is zero then  $v \phi(v)$  has eigenvalue 0 and if  $\phi(v) v$  is not zero, then it has eigenvalue -1.
- (b) Every vector  $v \in V$  can be written as the sum  $\frac{1}{2}(v + \phi(v)) + \frac{1}{2}(v \phi(v))$ . This is the sum of a vector in  $V_1$  and a vector in  $V_{-1}$  since

$$\phi(\frac{1}{2}(v+\phi(v))) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(v)+\phi(\phi(v))) = \frac{1}{2}(v+\phi(v))$$

$$\phi(\frac{1}{2}(v - \phi(v))) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(v) - \phi(\phi(v))) = -\frac{1}{2}(v - \phi(v)).$$

(c) Since  $V = V_1 \oplus V_{-1}$  and  $V_1$  and  $V_{-1}$  both have eigenbasis, V also has an eigenbasis and so it is diagonal.

Over standard coordinates, the matrix

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not diagonalizable because if  $v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2$  is an eigenvector, then  $a_1 = a_2$ , but there is only one such nonzero vector that satisfies this property(namely  $v_1 + v_2$ ). Therefore there does not exist an eigenbasis for  $\phi$  and so it is not diagonalizable.