### 0. Traditional compilers in the modern world

Since the middle of 20th century researchers and the industry have done a great work in compilers development focusing on the main goal of classic compilation problem: producing fast and effective object code for execution on a virtual machine or a microprocessor.

However, the other compilers’ capability as developer’s code inspection instruments able of providing comprehensive information of code semantics remains uncommon and rarely well-developed in the modern compilers of popular programming languages.  
  
The most common illustration of this problem can be found in an average Java developer’s set of instruments:

Java code is usually compiled with Sun Java Compiler, which, “being a monolithic program, constructed as a ‘black box’”[1], can only accept the input code and produce optimized JVM byte code.   
 Yet a modern development environment includes a set of tools for programming assistance and requires advanced language syntax and semantics inspection, which is not possible without building a Semantic Representation[1]. To build it one needs to reimplement core functionality of a compiler.

It’s easy to understand the very reason of the issue looking back to the past: traditionally programs have been considered as mere text objects to be converted into an executable code. According to this assumption, compilers were designed in a very logical way: they haven’t maintained any semantic representation of source code, only some low-level internal IR.

These compilers’ IRs have very limited set of use cases[1,2], moreover they are good for the only task: object code generation for several microprocessor architectures. Also compiler’s IR is not stable and tends to change very actively during compiler development[8]. Hence the internal compiler’s IR can’t really be used to build good and reliable development tools.

The situation is even more frustrating with C++ tooling: the language syntax and semantics is a lot more complicated than Java’s, so building a custom compiler is a very complex task.  
 As a result, there is a notable lack of instruments for C++[2], and existing ones are pretty sophisticated: JetBrains CLion IDE implements its own parser and semantic analyzer to build auto-completion, refactoring and static analysis tools upon their own C++ SR. Being a complex software product, CLion’s parser tends to have its own misfeatures and a few month implementational lag to fully adapt for new standards.

Microsoft Visual Studio “suffers” from this too: VC++ generates IR that is useful only for code generation: it is fragmented and very low-level.

The C&C++ IntelliSense tooling in Microsoft Visual Studio IDE is implemented as a solution separate from VC++ compiler.

**1.** Modern compilers and SR: a new hope

In spite of the fact that traditional compilers are widely used today, their lack of IDE integration capabilities were realized long ago and currently a lot of new languages are aiming to implement a Semantic Representation as a stable IR shared with external tools.

Unlike a traditional compiler IR, Semantic Representation contains a full knowledge of a program, including the aspects that are implicit in the source code.[2] This trait enables some pretty powerful opportunities based on semantics analysis[1,2]:

- Code generation  
- Distributed (or recursive) Validation[2]

- Human understandable visualization

- Static analysis

- Programs interpretation

- Semantic Search: the very powerful technique of querying code semantic objects (“find all derivatives of class C that do not override the virtual function f”)4

There are two main ways to represent an SR and share it with SR clients: to provide an access API operating on an SR proprietary binary format[6,8], relational database representing a software structure[4], or to output SR as an open textual format[7].

“Generally speaking, API is a universal way to implement any required functionality, however with changing requirements it’s impossible to predict a spectrum of clients’ needs”[1]

And open SP format can be a solution to potential problems: “open formats usually have a lot of access means: from simple APIs to high level specialized products. Besides, it’s possible to implement one’s own interfaces to process SR represented with open format”[1]

A particular format may be something self-designed[7] or a standardized solution such as XML[3] or a JSON.

**2.** LSP and distributed approach to building development environment

Concluding the things discussed above, nowadays we have a solid basis to provide a good tooling based on semantic analysis: methods to represent software source code’s Semantic Representation and evaluate it accordingly to clients’ needs.

Modern IDEs apply those methods to deliver a decent service, but still there is a problem: those software products use their own implementations of compilers, usually proprietary and unrelated to the original language’s dev team.

It implies a set of problems noted in the section 0.

Having a good modern compiler capable of generating an SR makes things a bit less complicated but still doesn’t solve the language-specific IDE implementation time and cost problem.

Obviously, these problems are not unique for the IDE class of products, but for any big monolithic architecture, and the solution may be pretty straightforward: if we can lower the bonding of the system and represent a development environment as a set of tools instead of one integrated solution, we can distribute the IDE implementation to have a set of disjointed modules:

- An editor

- Compiler to SR

- SR clients (described in section 1)

- Protocol between an editor and the language-specific part

The last one haven’t been introduced yet: the protocol to connect any third-party editor to the language infrastructure, achieving a decent IDE-like functionality without its maintenance and development costs;

Language Server and Language Server Protocol introduced by Microsoft in 2016 represent a development environment as two disjoint parties:

- Language Servers to implement all the SR analysis things

- Clients as editors or other devtools using the LSP to communicate with Language Servers [9]

This approach gives language developers a great opportunity to make use of an existing development infrastructure, providing their Language Server for a giant set of devtools, as well as a way to fearlessly experiment with new and existing analysis techniques, e.g. a Software Knowledge Base[5], described by Bertrand Meyer, may be implemented as a language server module, as an alternative approach to the one selected by the original author in 1985: integration of analysis tool into an editor was not possible back then, but this is the exact thing that LSP is good for now.

Concluding, the Language Server may be considered to be the most feasible solution to rapidly bootstrap rich development infrastructure for aspiring new languages, with a broad path to evolve further.
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