We assure you that we are doing our best to evaluate your tasks in the fairest way. Since almost each WEP different assistant evaluates your issues, and the grading is something instinctual a bit, it is not possible for us to give definite rules for the grading. Although it is hard to classify your tasks by giving a credit between 0 and 4, the following categorization will be followed during the semester:

coding activity

- 4: (if a difficult or a long algorithm was implemented [or something equivalent])
- 3 : (if an ordinary algorithm that requires a considerable amount of time was implemented [or something equivalent])
- 2 : (some copy-paste (or familiarized) code is used and it is adapted to the current needs by easy modifications [or something equivalent])
- 1 : (a very easy coding was done like changing values, variables, or versions [or something equivalent])

workload

- 4 : (if a <totally new / nontrivial / very long time consuming / big component of a work package> is done [or something equivalent])
- 3: (if a new yet ordinary (trivial) amount of work is done [or something equivalent])
- 2 : (if a valuable (considerable) yet easily doable or short-time-handlable work is done [or something equivalent])
- 1 : (if a very easy or very little amount of work requiring roughly 1-hour is done [or something equivalent])

Of course deciding on the level of a task is not something exactly describable, but still we try to guess it from our own experiences, your learnings upto now and mostly from your issues. The details you give in your issues are very important for us to get an opinion about the level of your job/coding.

You can evaluate it as:

- 4: really good
- 3 : sufficient yet could be improved/increased
- 2 : okay yet you should do much more things , the project needs your real effort
- 1 : definitely insufficient, you should know that the project does not go with such little touches

We hope that it is more clear for you now.

- Ceng49x Staff