# **Traning a Regression Model in R**

# Merve Topdemir

## 10 03 2021

# **CONTENTS**

PACKAGES IMPORTING	1
TRANING A REGRESSION MODEL IN R	
Splitting PhDPublications Data	
Structure of PhDPublications Data	
Creating a Linear Regression Model	
Model Performance on Train Set	
Predicting for train set	
Calculating of MSE, RMSE and MAE on train set	4
Model Performance on Test Set	
Predicting for test set	
Calculating of MSE, RMSE and MAE on test set	
CONCLUSION	

# **PACKAGES IMPORTING**

```
#install.packages("AER") #to install the data package
library(AER)

data("PhDPublications")

#install.packages("dplyr") #For the glimpse function
library(dplyr)
```

#### TRANING A REGRESSION MODEL IN R

### **Splitting PhDPublications Data**

The following code splits 80% of the data selected randomly into training set and the remaining 20% sample into test set.

Training set is implemented to build up a model, while a test set is to validate the model built.

```
set.seed(123)
index <- sample(nrow(PhDPublications), nrow(PhDPublications) * 0.8)
train <- PhDPublications[index,]
test <- PhDPublications[-index,]
nrow(train)
## [1] 732
nrow(test)
## [1] 183</pre>
```

#### **Structure of PhDPublications Data**

There are 915 observations and 6 variables: article, gender, married, child, prestige, mentor.

```
glimpse(PhDPublications)
## Rows: 915
## Columns: 6
, 0, 0~
           <fct> male, female, male, female, female, female, mal
## $ gender
e, mal~
## $ married <fct> yes, no, yes, no, yes, no, yes, no, yes, no, yes
s, yes~
## $ kids
           <int> 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0
, 0, 0~
## $ prestige <dbl> 2.520, 2.050, 3.750, 1.180, 3.750, 3.590, 3.190, 2.960,
4.620~
## $ mentor
           <int> 7, 6, 6, 3, 26, 2, 3, 4, 6, 0, 14, 13, 3, 4, 0, 1, 7, 1
3, 7, ~
```

## **Creating a Linear Regression Model**

**Dependent (target) variable: Articles** 

Independent (feature) variables: Gender, Married, Kids, Prestige, Mentor

#### Model:

Articles =  $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ Gender +  $\beta_2$ Married +  $\beta_3$ Kids +  $\beta_4$ Prestige +  $\beta_5$ Mentor

### Hypothesis test in linear regression:

$$H_0 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$$
  
 $H_1 = \beta_j \neq 0$  for at least one  $j \neq 0$   
( F statistic is used to test  $H_0$  )

## Hypothesis tests on individual regression coefficients:

```
H_0=\beta_j=0 H_1=\beta_j\neq 0 ( t statistic is used to test H_0 )
```

#### P-value:

If the p-value is 0.05 or lower, the result is significant, but if it is higher than 0.05, the result is not significant.

P value is  $1.752e^{-15}$  for significant of model. The model is significant because the p value is less than 0.05. The hypothesis is rejected because the model is significant.

According to the p values intercept, gender, married, children and mentor variables are significant, but the prestige variable is not significant.

## $R^2$ :

R-squared value is small and closer to zero. ( $R^2 = 0.1023$ )

A low R-squared value indicates that your independent variable is not explaining much in the variation of your dependent variable.

```
model <- lm(articles ~ factor(gender) + factor(married) + kids + prestige +</pre>
mentor, data = train)
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = articles ~ factor(gender) + factor(married) + kids +
       prestige + mentor, data = train)
##
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                 3Q
                                        Max
## -4.8241 -1.2370 -0.3954 0.7405 14.9766
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
                         Estimate Std. Error t value <a href="Pr(>|t|)">Pr(>|t|)</a>
                                    0.271405 4.370 1.42e-05 ***
## (Intercept)
                         1.185994
## factor(gender)female -0.292774
                                    0.142614 -2.053 0.04044 *
                         0.297746
## factor(married)yes
                                    0.161199
                                               1.847 0.06514 .
                                    0.104722 -2.727 0.00655 **
## kids
                        -0.285540
                                    0.072610 0.254 0.79929
## prestige
                         0.018469
## mentor
                         0.059649
                                    0.007592
                                               7.856 1.42e-14 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.817 on 726 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.1023, Adjusted R-squared: 0.09607
## F-statistic: 16.54 on 5 and 726 DF, p-value: 1.752e-15
```

#### **Model Performance on Train Set**

#### **Predicting for train set**

```
predicted train <- predict(model, train)</pre>
modelEvaluation <- data.frame(train$articles,predicted_train)</pre>
colnames(modelEvaluation) <- c('Actual','Predicted_train')</pre>
head(modelEvaluation, 10)
##
         Actual
                  Predicted train
## 415
            1
                    0.9397615
## 463
            1
                     1.2934630
## 179
            0
                    1.0616298
## 526
            2
                    1.2812197
## 195
            0
                    1.7508940
## 818
           4
                    1,5358844
## 118
           0
                    0.9525216
## 299
            1
                    1.4441727
## 229
            0
                     1.5857992
## 244
            0
                    1.6666874
```

# Calculating of MSE, RMSE and MAE on train set

MSE: Mean Squared Error represents the average of the squared difference between the original and predicted values in the data set.

**RMSE:** Root Mean Squared Error is the square root of Mean Squared Error.

MAE: The Mean absolute error represents the average of the absolute difference between the actual and predicted values in the dataset.

```
mse_train <- mean((modelEvaluation$Actual - modelEvaluation$Predicted_train
) ^ 2)
mse_train</pre>
```

```
## [1] 3.272876

rmse_train <- sqrt(mse_train)
rmse_train

## [1] 1.809109

mae_train <- mean(abs(modelEvaluation$Actual - modelEvaluation$Predicted_train))
mae_train
## [1] 1.305884</pre>
```

#### **Model Performance on Test Set**

### **Predicting for test set**

```
predicted_test <- predict(model, test)</pre>
modelEvaluation2 <- data.frame(test$articles, predicted_test)</pre>
colnames(modelEvaluation2) <- c('Actual', 'Predicted_test')</pre>
head(modelEvaluation2, 10)
##
        Actual
                 Predicted_test
## 1
           0
                  1.9478260
## 3
           0
                  1.3203734
                  1.1310832
## 7
           0
## 12
          0
                  1.6826042
## 22
         0
                  0.8607355
## 27
         0
                  1.4479995
## 28
         0
                  1.4563788
                  2.0401649
## 32
         0
## 35
         0
                  1.2262727
## 43
           0
                  1.5566377
```

# Calculating of MSE, RMSE and MAE on test set

```
mse_test <- mean((modelEvaluation2$Actual - modelEvaluation2$Predicted_test
) ^ 2)
mse_test
## [1] 3.405654</pre>
```

```
rmse_test <- sqrt(mse_test)
rmse_test
## [1] 1.845441

mae_test <- mean(abs(modelEvaluation2$Actual - modelEvaluation2$Predicted_t est))
mae_test
## [1] 1.316018</pre>
```

# **CONCLUSION**

```
df<- data.frame("MSE"=c(mse_train,mse_test), "RMSE"=c(rmse_train,rmse_test)
, "MAE"=c(mae_train,mae_test),row.names=c("Train","Test"))
df<-round(df,2)
df

## MSE RMSE MAE

## Train 3.27 1.81 1.31

## Test 3.41 1.85 1.32</pre>
```

The results came out very close to each other. Overfitting may have occurred but it is not certain.