

Are Large Software Companies Bound to Adopt the Free Software Model?

Merwan Achibet

Université du Havre

Table of Contents

- 1 Context
 - Definition
 - History
- 2 Is free software viable?
 - Advantages and disadvantages
 - Different business models
- 3 Present state
- 4 Conclusion

Table of Contents

- 1 Context
 - Definition
 - History
- 2 Is free software viable?
 - Advantages and disadvantages
 - Different business models
- 3 Present state
- 4 Conclusion

What is free software?

- Distributed with its source code

What is free software?

- Distributed with its source code
- Modifiable

What is free software?

- Distributed with its source code
- Modifiable
- Redistributable

What is free software?

- Distributed with its source code
- Modifiable
- Redistributable

Free software \neq open source

What is free software?

- Distributed with its source code
- Modifiable
- Redistributable

Free software \neq open source

Free software \neq free of charge

Historically speaking...

1950s

Softwares are academic, sharing is the norm.

Historically speaking...

1950s

Softwares are academic, sharing is the norm.



1970s - Today

Softwares are commercial products.

Historically speaking...

1950s

Softwares are academic, sharing is the norm.



1970s - Today

Softwares are commercial products.



Near future

?

Table of Contents

- 1 Context
 - Definition
 - History
- 2 Is free software viable?
 - Advantages and disadvantages
 - Different business models
- 3 Present state
- 4 Conclusion

Advantages



Advantages

- Reputation



Advantages



- Reputation
- Trust

Advantages



- Reputation
- Trust
- Less patenting fees

Advantages



- Reputation
- Trust
- Less patenting fees
- Less legal fees

Advantages



- Reputation
- Trust
- Less patenting fees
- Less legal fees
- User contribution

Disadvantages

—

Disadvantages

- Intimidating to the user

—

Disadvantages

- Intimidating to the user
- “Potentially free = not as good”

—

Disadvantages

—

- Intimidating to the user
- “Potentially free = not as good”
- No secret

Free software seems to be a solid option

Many advantages

- Less costs
- User contribution
- Good image

Free software seems to be a solid option

Many advantages

- Less costs
- User contribution
- Good image

But...

Is it economically viable?

Canonical - Ubuntu

Their product

A Linux-based operating system

- \approx 20M users
- \approx 400 employees



Canonical - Ubuntu

Their product

A Linux-based operating system

- \approx 20M users
- \approx 400 employees



They sell support:

- Deployment
- Maintenance
- Training

Mozilla - Firefox

Their product

An internet browser

- \approx 300M users
- \approx 600 employees



Mozilla - Firefox

Their product

An internet browser

- \approx 300M users
- \approx 600 employees



- Donations

Mozilla - Firefox

Their product

An internet browser

- $\approx 300\text{M}$ users
- ≈ 600 employees



- Donations
- Google partnership ($\approx 84\%$)

Google - Chromium

Their product

An internet browser

- \approx 200M users
- Reputed for its speed



Google - Chromium

Their product

An internet browser

- \approx 200M users
- Reputed for its speed



- Zero revenue

Google - Chromium

Their product

An internet browser

- \approx 200M users
- Reputed for its speed



- Zero revenue
- The real product is advertising

Google - Chromium

Their product

An internet browser

- \approx 200M users
- Reputed for its speed



- Zero revenue
- The real product is advertising
- Chromium lets people access Google more easily

Table of Contents

- 1 Context
 - Definition
 - History
- 2 Is free software viable?
 - Advantages and disadvantages
 - Different business models
- 3 **Present state**
- 4 Conclusion

Present state

In theory

- Many advantages
- Economically viable

In practice

Present state

In theory

- Many advantages
- Economically viable

In practice

- Every company publicly endorses FS

Present state

In theory

- Many advantages
- Economically viable

In practice

- Every company publicly endorses FS
- But definitions differ...

The reluctant ones

Who?

Microsoft

- Proprietary with closed licences
- At best, partial openness for interoperability
- Do not share the innovation

The conflicted ones

Who?

Apple, Oracle

- Mostly based on FSs
- Actively participate to FS projects
- Aggressively protect their core products

The adopters

Who?

Red Hat, Canonical, Google, Intel

- Sell or offer free software
- Sell support
- Offer free tools related to their main products

Table of Contents

- Context
 - Definition
 - History
- Is free software viable?
 - Advantages and disadvantages
 - Different business models
- Present state
- Conclusion

Conclusion

Are large software companies bound to adopt the free software model?

- FS will never totally overthrow PS
- More and more open-source initiatives, some free
- Globally, growing user implication