# Time Series Stationarity & Unit Root Tests Concepts, Tests, and Applications

Dr Merwan Roudane

April 23, 2025

## Outline

- Stationarity in Time Series
- Time Series Processes
- Unit Root Tests
- Order of Integration
- Making Series Stationary
- 6 Lag Selection
- Empirical Considerations
- Advanced Topics

# Stationarity: Definition

#### Strict Stationarity

A time series  $\{Y_t\}$  is strictly stationary if the joint distribution of  $(Y_{t_1}, Y_{t_2}, \dots, Y_{t_k})$  is identical to the joint distribution of  $(Y_{t_1+h}, Y_{t_2+h}, \dots, Y_{t_k+h})$  for all  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  and for all h.

## Weak (Covariance) Stationarity

A time series  $\{Y_t\}$  is weakly stationary if:

- $E[Y_t] = \mu$  for all t (constant mean)
- $Var[Y_t] = \sigma^2 < \infty$  for all t (constant variance)
- $Cov[Y_t, Y_{t+h}] = \gamma_h$  depends only on h (autocovariance depends only on lag)

# Importance of Stationarity

- Statistical properties remain constant over time
- Predictable behavior allows for reliable forecasting
- Required for many statistical techniques and tests
- Simplifies theoretical analysis
- Ensures meaningful sample statistics (mean, variance, correlations)

## Key Point

Most statistical forecasting methods are based on the assumption that the time series can be rendered approximately stationary through transformations.

# Non-Stationary Series: Characteristics

# Common Non-Stationary Patterns:

- Trend (deterministic or stochastic)
- Seasonality
- Cyclical patterns
- Structural breaks
- Changing variance (heteroskedasticity)

## Consequences:

- Spurious regressions
- Inconsistent estimators
- Non-standard distributions
- Unreliable forecasts
- Misleading test statistics

## TS vs. DS Processes

## Trend Stationary (TS) Processes

A process that is stationary around a deterministic trend:

$$Y_t = f(t) + \varepsilon_t \tag{1}$$

where f(t) is a deterministic function (often linear:  $\alpha + \beta t$ ) and  $\varepsilon_t$  is a stationary process.

## Difference Stationary (DS) Processes

A process that becomes stationary after differencing:

$$\Delta Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1} = \mu + \varepsilon_t \tag{2}$$

where  $\varepsilon_t$  is a stationary process. Example: Random walk with drift.

# Distinguishing TS vs. DS

#### Importance of Correct Identification

- Different detrending methods are required
- Misspecification leads to inefficient forecasts
- Statistical tests have different powers against each

#### Comparison

Feature	TS Process	DS Process
Shocks	Temporary effect	Permanent effect
Variance	Bounded	Increases with time
Detrending	Subtract trend	Take differences
Forecasting	Returns to trend	No mean reversion



# Unit Root Concept

#### **Autoregressive Process**

Consider an AR(1) process:

$$Y_t = \phi Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \tag{3}$$

#### Unit Root

A unit root exists when  $\phi = 1$ , making the process non-stationary:

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad Y_t = Y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \varepsilon_i$$
 (4)

#### Stationarity Condition

For stationarity:  $|\phi| < 1$  (all roots of the characteristic equation lie outside the unit circle)

# Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test

#### Basic Principle

The ADF test examines the null hypothesis that a time series has a unit root (is non-stationary) against the alternative that it is stationary.

#### General Equation

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \beta t + \gamma Y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_i \Delta Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t$$
 (5)

#### where:

- $\alpha$  is a constant (drift)
- $\beta t$  is a time trend
- $\gamma = \phi 1$  (we test  $H_0: \gamma = 0$  vs  $H_1: \gamma < 0$ )
- p lags of  $\Delta Y_t$  to control for autocorrelation



# ADF Test: Different Specifications

Case 1: No Constant, No Trend

$$\Delta Y_t = \gamma Y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^p \delta_i \Delta Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \tag{6}$$

Use when: series clearly has zero mean with no trend

Case 2: With Constant, No Trend

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \gamma Y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_i \Delta Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \tag{7}$$

Use when: series has non-zero mean but no visible trend

Case 3: With Constant and Trend

$$\Delta Y_{t} = \alpha + \beta t + \gamma Y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_{i} \Delta Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
 (8)

# ADF Test: Implementation

#### Test Statistic

$$ADF = \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{\mathsf{SE}(\hat{\gamma})} \tag{9}$$

#### Decision Rule

- $H_0$ :  $\gamma = 0$  (unit root exists, series is non-stationary)
- $H_1: \gamma < 0$  (no unit root, series is stationary)
- Reject  $H_0$  if ADF statistic j critical value

#### Critical Values

Critical values are non-standard and depend on:

- Sample size
- Whether constant and/or trend are included
- Significance level (typically 1%, 5%, 10%)

## Other Unit Root Tests

## Phillips-Perron (PP) Test

- Non-parametric adjustment to control for autocorrelation
- More robust to heteroskedasticity and serial correlation
- Same null and alternative hypotheses as ADF

## KPSS Test (Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin)

- Reverse null hypothesis:  $H_0$ : Series is stationary
- H<sub>1</sub>: Series has a unit root
- Useful for confirmatory analysis alongside ADF or PP tests

#### **Others**

- Elliott-Rothenberg-Stock Test (DF-GLS)
- Ng-Perron Test
- Breakpoint Unit Root Tests

# Integrated Series

#### Definition

A time series  $Y_t$  is said to be integrated of order d, denoted as  $Y_t \sim I(d)$ , if it becomes stationary after differencing d times.

#### Common Orders of Integration

- I(0): Series is already stationary (no differencing needed)
- *I*(1): First differences are stationary (most common)
- *I*(2): Second differences are stationary (less common)

# **Examples of Different Orders**

#### I(0) Process

White noise, stationary AR, MA, ARMA processes

$$Y_t = \mu + \varepsilon_t$$
 or  $Y_t = \phi Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$  where  $|\phi| < 1$  (10)

## I(1) Process

Random walk, random walk with drift

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$
 or  $Y_t = \mu + Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$  (11)

## I(2) Process

Double integrated series, common in some economic data

$$\Delta^2 Y_t = \varepsilon_t \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta^2 Y_t = \Delta(\Delta Y_t) = Y_t - 2Y_{t-1} + Y_{t-2}$$
 (12)

# Practical Implications of Integration Order

- I(0) series: Standard OLS, ARMA modeling applies directly
- I(1) series: Risk of spurious regressions, need differencing or cointegration analysis
- *I*(2) series: Require more complex transformations, often indicate structural issues in data

#### **Determining Integration Order**

- Test original series for stationarity (ADF, PP, KPSS)
- If non-stationary, difference once and test again
- Repeat until achieving stationarity
- The number of differences needed = order of integration

# Methods to Achieve Stationarity

#### For Deterministic Trends:

- Detrending (linear, polynomial)
- Seasonal adjustment
- Dummy variables for structural breaks

#### For Stochastic Trends:

- First differencing  $\Delta Y_t = Y_t Y_{t-1}$
- Second differencing  $\Delta^2 Y_t$
- Seasonal differencing  $\Delta_s Y_t = Y_t Y_{t-s}$

## For Variance Instability:

- Logarithmic transformation:  $ln(Y_t)$
- Power transformations:  $Y_t^{\lambda}$  (Box-Cox)
- Square root:  $\sqrt{Y_t}$

## Transformation Decision Process

## Step-by-Step Approach

- Stabilize variance first (if needed)
- Test for unit roots to determine order of integration
- **Solution** For I(d) series, apply d-th order differencing
- For trend-stationary series, remove deterministic components
- Verify stationarity of transformed series

#### Important Considerations

- Each transformation changes the interpretation of the series
- Over-differencing introduces unnecessary complexity (MA component)
- Some properties might be lost during transformation
- Consider domain knowledge in selecting transformations

# **Optimal Lag Selection**

## Importance of Lag Selection

- Too few lags: residual autocorrelation remains
- Too many lags: reduced power and efficiency
- Impacts test results and model performance

## Information Criteria Approach

Common information criteria for selecting optimal lag length:

$$AIC = -2\ln(L) + 2k \tag{13}$$

$$BIC = -2\ln(L) + k\ln(n) \tag{14}$$

$$HQIC = -2\ln(L) + 2k\ln(\ln(n))$$
(15)

where L is the likelihood, k is the number of parameters, and n is the sample size.

## Information Criteria: Comparison

#### Characteristics

Criterion	Penalty	Tendency
AIC	2 <i>k</i>	Often selects larger models
BIC	$k \ln(n)$	More parsimonious models
HQIC	$2k\ln(\ln(n))$	Intermediate between AIC and BIC

#### Selection Procedure

- Estimate models with different lag lengths (from 0 to a maximum)
- Calculate information criteria for each model
- Select the model with the lowest criterion value
- Oifferent criteria may suggest different lag lengths



# **User-Defined Lag Selection**

#### Alternative Approaches

- Fixed lag based on data frequency (e.g., 4 for quarterly, 12 for monthly)
- Sequential testing procedure (t-tests on highest lag)
- Rule of thumb:  $Int(T^{1/4})$  where T is sample size
- Cross-validation for forecasting models

#### Residual Diagnostics

Always check residuals for:

- Autocorrelation (Ljung-Box, Breusch-Godfrey tests)
- Normality (Jarque-Bera test)
- Heteroskedasticity (ARCH effects)



# Testing Strategy Workflow

- Visualize the data (time plots, ACF, PACF)
- Identify potential patterns (trend, seasonality, breaks)
- Select appropriate ADF equation based on visual patterns
- Determine optimal lag length using information criteria
- Conduct unit root test (ADF, PP, KPSS for confirmation)
- If non-stationary, transform and repeat testing
- Determine order of integration
- Proceed with appropriate modeling approach

## Common Pitfalls and Considerations

- Low power of unit root tests in small samples
- Structural breaks can bias unit root tests toward non-rejection
- Different tests may give conflicting results
- Seasonal unit roots require specialized tests
- Integration order may differ across frequencies
- Economic theory should guide transformation choices
- Consider both statistical and practical significance

# Cointegration

#### Definition

If  $X_t \sim I(d)$  and  $Y_t \sim I(d)$ , they are cointegrated if there exists a linear combination  $Z_t = X_t - \beta Y_t$  such that  $Z_t \sim I(d-b)$  where b > 0.

#### **Implications**

- Long-run equilibrium relationship exists
- Error Correction Models (ECM) can be used
- Preserves long-run information lost in differencing
- Avoids spurious regression problems

#### Structural Breaks and Unit Roots

#### **Problem**

Standard unit root tests have low power when structural breaks are present

#### Solutions

- Perron's modified test with known break date
- Zivot-Andrews test with endogenous break detection
- Clemente-Montañés-Reyes test for multiple breaks
- Bai-Perron test for multiple breaks

## Fractional Integration

#### **ARFIMA Models**

- Allow for non-integer orders of integration (d)
- Long memory processes: 0 < d < 0.5 (stationary with long memory)
- Intermediate between I(0) and I(1) processes
- More flexible modeling of persistence

#### Estimation Methods

- Maximum likelihood
- Semi-parametric approaches
- Wavelet-based estimators



#### Conclusion

- Stationarity is fundamental for time series analysis
- Unit root tests help determine stationarity properties
- Integration order guides appropriate transformations
- TS vs. DS distinction influences modeling strategy
- Appropriate lag selection is critical for reliable results
- Cointegration extends analysis to non-stationary systems
- Advanced techniques handle complex dynamics in real data

## References

- [1] Dickey, D.A. and Fuller, W.A. (1979). Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 74, 427-431.
- [2] Phillips, P.C.B. and Perron, P. (1988). Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression. Biometrika, 75, 335-346.
- [3] Kwiatkowski, D., Phillips, P.C.B., Schmidt, P. and Shin, Y. (1992). Testing the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity against the Alternative of a Unit Root. Journal of Econometrics, 54, 159-178.
- [4] Johansen, S. (1988). Statistical Analysis of Cointegration Vectors. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 12, 231-254.
- [5] Engle, R.F. and Granger, C.W.J. (1987). Co-integration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation, and Testing. Econometrica, 55, 251-276.