



# Modelling Software-based Systems Lecture 4 System Engineering using Refinement-based Methodology

Master Informatique

Dominique Méry Telecom Nancy, Université de Lorraine

> 1<sup>er</sup> octobre 2025 dominique.mery@loria.fr

#### General Summary

Refinement of models

- 2 Summary on Event-B
- 3 The Access Control

**4** Conclusion

## Current Summary

- 1 Refinement of models
- 2 Summary on Event-B
- 3 The Access Control
- **4** Conclusion

### Summing up...

- Refinement relates Event-B models
- Problem for starting a refinement-based development
- Problem for finding the best abstract model
- Problem for discharging unproved proof obligations generated for each refinement step
- The Access Control Problem

#### **Current Summary**

- Refinement of models
- 2 Summary on Event-B
- 3 The Access Control
- **4** Conclusion

#### Simple Form of an Event

- An event of the simple form is denoted by :

```
 < event.name > \widehat{=} \\ WHEN \\ < condition > \\ THEN \\ < action > \\ END
```

#### where

- $< event\_name >$ is an identifier
- < condition > is the firing condition of the event
- < action > is a generalized substitution (parallel "assignment")

#### Non-deterministic Form of an Event

- An event of the non-deterministic form is denoted by :

```
 < event\_name > \stackrel{\frown}{=} \\ \text{ANY} < variable > \text{WHERE} \\ < condition > \\ \text{THEN} \\ < action > \\ \text{END}
```

#### where

- $< event\_name >$  is an identifier
- < variable > is a (list of) variable(s)
- < condition > is the firing condition of the event
- < action > is a generalized substitution (parallel "assignment")

#### Shape of a Generalized Substitution

```
A generalized substitution can be
```

```
- Simple assignment : x := E
- Generalized assignment : x : P(x, x')
- Set assignment : x :\in S
T
- Parallel composition : \cdots
```

### Invariant Preservation Verification (0)

INVARIANT ∧ GUARD ⇒

ACTION establishes INVARIANT

## Invariant Preservation Verification (1)

- Given an event of the simple form :

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{EVENT e} \; \cong \\ \text{WHEN} \\ G(x) \\ \text{THEN} \\ x := E(x) \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

$$| I(x) \wedge G(x) \implies I(E(x))$$

## Invariant Preservation Verification (2)

- Given an event of the simple form :

```
EVENT e \widehat{=}
WHEN
G(x)
THEN
x:|P(x,x')
END
```

$$I(x) \wedge G(x) \wedge P(x,x') \implies I(x')$$

# Invariant Preservation Verification (3)

- Given an event of the simple form :

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{EVENT e} \; \triangleq \\ \text{WHEN} \\ G(x) \\ \text{THEN} \\ x :\in S(x) \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

$$I(x) \wedge G(x) \wedge x' \in S(x) \implies I(x')$$

## Invariant Preservation Verification (4)

- Given an event of the non-deterministic form :

```
EVENT e \stackrel{\triangle}{=}
ANY v WHERE
G(x, v)
THEN
x := E(x, v)
END
```

$$I(x) \wedge G(x,v) \implies I(E(x,v))$$

#### Refinement Technique (1)

- Abstract models works with variables  $\boldsymbol{x}$ , and concrete one with  $\boldsymbol{y}$
- A gluing invariant J(x,y) links both sets of vrbls
- Each abstract event is refined by concrete one (see below)

#### Refinement Technique (2)

- Some new events may appear : they refine "skip"
- Concrete events must not block more often than the abstract ones
- The set of new event alone must always block eventually

#### Correct Refinement Verification (1)

- Given an abstract and a corresponding concrete event

```
EVENT ae \cong WHEN G(x) THEN x := E(x) END
```

```
EVENT ce \stackrel{	o}{=} WHEN H(y) THEN y := F(y) END
```

and invariants I(x) and J(x,y), the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \wedge J(x,y) \wedge H(y) \implies G(x) \wedge J(E(x),F(y))$$

### Correct Refinement Verification (2)

- Given an abstract and a corresponding concrete event

```
EVENT ae \widehat{=}
ANY v WHERE
G(x, v)
THEN
x := E(x, v)
END
```

EVENT ce 
$$\triangleq$$
ANY  $w$  WHERE
 $H(y, w)$ 
THEN
 $y := F(y, w)$ 
END

$$\begin{array}{cccc} I(x) & \wedge & J(x,y) & \wedge & H(y,w) \\ \Longrightarrow & \\ \exists v \cdot (G(x,v) & \wedge & J(E(x,v),F(y,w))) \end{array}$$

## Correct Refinement Verification (3)

- Given a NEW event

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{EVENT ce} & \cong \\ \text{WHEN} \\ H(y) \\ \text{THEN} \\ y := F(y) \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

and invariants I(x) and J(x,y), the statement to prove is :

$$I(x) \wedge J(x,y) \wedge H(y) \implies J(x,F(y))$$

## Current Summary

- Refinement of models
- 2 Summary on Event-B
- 3 The Access Control
- 4 Conclusion

#### A Case Study by J.-R. Abrial

- To control accesses into locations.
- People are assigned certain authorizations
- Each person is given a magnetic card
- Doors are "one way" turnstyles
- Each turnstyle is equipped with :
  - a card reader
  - two lights (one green, the other red)



#### Access Protocol (after introducing card in reader)

```
    If access permitted { - green light turned on - turnstyle unblocked for 30 sec
    Passing, or 30 sec elapsed { - green light turned off - turnstyle blocked again
    If access refused { - red light turned on for 2 sec - turnstyle stays blocked
```

#### Goal of System Study

- Sharing between Control and Equipment
- For this : constructing a closed model
- Defining the physical environment
- Possible generalization of problem
- Studying safety questions
- Studying synchronisation questions
- Studying marginal behaviour

#### **Basic System Properties**

- P1 : The model concerns people and locations
- P2 : A person is authorized to be in some locations
- P3 : A person can only be in one location at a time
- D1 : Outside is a location where everybody can be
- P4: A person is always in some location
- P5 : A person is always authorized to be in his location

### Example

#### Sets

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{persons} & = & \{ \, \text{p1}, \, \text{p2}, \, \text{p3} \, \} \\ \text{locations} & = & \{ \, \text{l1}, \, \text{l2}, \, \text{l3}, \, \text{l4} \, \} \end{array}$$

#### Authorizations

p1	12, 14
p2	I1, I3, I4
р3	12, 13, 14

#### Correct scenario

p1	14		p1	12		p1	12		p1	14		p1	14
p2	14	$\rightarrow$	p2	14	$\rightarrow$	p2	l1	$\rightarrow$	p2	l1	$\rightarrow$	p2	1
р3	14		р3	14		рЗ	14		рЗ	14		рЗ	13

# Model (1)

Basic sets: persons P and locations B (prop. P1) Constant: authorizations A (prop. P2) A is a binary relation between P and B

 $A \in P \leftrightarrow B$ 

# Model (2)

Constant : outside is a location where everybody is authorized to be (decision D1)

$$outside \in B$$

$$P \times \{outside\} \subseteq A$$

# Model (3)

Variable: situations c (prop. P3 and P4) c is a total function between P and B A total function is a special case of a binary relation

$$c \in P \to B$$

Invariant : situations compatible with auth. (prop. P5) The function  ${\rm C}$  is included in the relation  ${\rm A}$ 

$$\mathbf{C}\subseteq\mathbf{A}$$

#### A magic event which can be observed

```
- GUARD :  \begin{cases} \text{- Given some person } p \text{ and location } l \\ \text{- } p \text{ is authorized to be in } l: p, l \in \mathbf{A} \\ \text{- } p \text{ is not currently in } l: \mathbf{C}(p) \neq l \end{cases}
```

- ACTION : - p jumps into l

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT observation1} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } p, l \text{ WHERE} \\ p \in P & \wedge \\ l \in B & \wedge \\ p \mapsto l \in A & \wedge \\ \text{c}(p) \neq l \\ \text{THEN} \\ \text{c}(p) := l \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

#### Relation overriding

Given two relations a and bOverriding a by b yields a new relation  $a \lessdot b$ 

$$a \mathrel{\vartriangleleft} b \quad \widehat{=} \quad (\mathsf{dom}\,(b) \mathrel{\vartriangleleft} a) \ \cup \ b$$

#### Abbreviation

$$f(x) := y \quad \widehat{=} \quad f := f \mathrel{\vartriangleleft} \{x \mapsto y\}$$

#### **Invariant Preservation Proof**

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C} &\subseteq \mathbf{A} \quad \wedge \\ p \in \mathbf{P} \quad \wedge \\ l \in \mathbf{B} \quad \wedge \\ p \mapsto l \in \mathbf{A} \\ &\Longrightarrow \\ (\{p\} \lhd \mathbf{C}) \cup \{p \mapsto l\} \subseteq \mathbf{A} \end{split}$$

#### First Refinement : Introducing Geometry

P6: The geometry define how locations communicate

P7: A location does not communicate with itself

P8: Persons move between communicating locations

#### Refined Model

Constant: communication STRUCTURE (prop. P6 and P7) STRUCTURE is a binary relation between B The intersection of STRUCTURE with the identity relation on B is empty

STRUCTURE 
$$\in B \leftrightarrow B$$

STRUCTURE 
$$\cap$$
 id(B) =  $\emptyset$ 

#### Correct Refinement Verification (reminder)

Concrete events do not block more often than abstract ones

$$I(x) \wedge J(x,y) \wedge$$
 disjunction of abstract guards  $\Longrightarrow$  disjunction of concrete guards

New events block eventually (decreasing the same quantity V(y))

$$I(x) \wedge J(x,y) \wedge H(y) \wedge V(y) = n \implies V(F(y)) < n$$

#### Refined Event

Event (prop. P8) The guard is strengthened The current location of p and the new location l must communicate

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \text{EVENT observation1} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } p, l \text{ WHERE} \\ p \in P & \wedge \\ l \in B & \wedge \\ p \mapsto l \in A & \wedge \\ \text{C}(p) \neq l \\ \text{THEN} \\ \text{C}(p) := l \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

#### **Proofs**

Invariant preservation : Success Guard strengthening : Success

$$\exists (p,l) \cdot (p \mapsto l \in A \land C(p) \mapsto l \in STRUCTURE) \Rightarrow \\ \exists (p,l) \cdot (p \mapsto l \in A \land C(p) \neq l)$$

Deadlockfreeness: Failure

$$\exists (p, l) \cdot (p \mapsto l \in A \land C(p) \neq l)$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$\exists (p, l) \cdot (p \mapsto l \in A \land C(p) \mapsto l \in STRUCTURE)$$

# Safety Problem

P9: No person must remain blocked in a location.

#### Solution

P10 : Any person authorized to be in a location must also be authorized to go in another location which communicates with the first one.

$$A \subseteq A ; STRUCTURE^{-1}$$

$$p \mapsto l \in A \implies \exists m \cdot (p \mapsto m \in A \land l \mapsto m \in STRUCTURE)$$

# Example

p1	12	p2	4
p1	14	р3	12
p2	11	рЗ	13
p2	I3	р3	14

11	13	
l1	14	
13	12	
14	l1	
14	12	
14	13	

11	14
12	13
12	14
13	11
13	14
14	1

p1	1	p
p1	I3	p
p1	14	p
p2	11	p

A; STRUCT

Δ

STRUCTURE STRUCTURE<sup>-1</sup>

- Opening a door between I2 and I4
- Authorizing p2 to go to l2



### Solution

p1	12	p2	14
p1	14	рЗ	12
p2	11	рЗ	13
p2	12	рЗ	14
p2	13		

Α

l1	13	
11	14	
12	14	
13	12	
14	l1	
14	12	
14	13	

11	14
12	13
12	14
13	l1
13	14
14	11
14	12

p1	1	p2
p1	12	p2
p1	l3	р3
p1	14	р3
p2	1	р3
p2	12	р3

STRUCTURE S

STRUCTURE<sup>-1</sup>

A; STRUCTURE

#### Decision

 $\mathsf{D2}$ : The system that we are going to construct does not guarantee that people can move "outside".

## A better solution (1)

Constante : exit is a function, included in com, with no cycle

$$exit \in B - \{outside\} \to B$$

$$exit \subseteq com$$

$$\forall s \cdot (s \subseteq B \implies (s \subseteq exit^{-1}[s] \implies s = \emptyset))$$

$$\forall x \cdot (x \in s \implies \exists y \cdot (y \in s \land (x, y) \in exit))$$

$$\Longrightarrow$$

$$s = \emptyset$$

exit is a tree spanning the graph represented by com

## A better solution (2)

P10': Every person authorized to be in a location (which is not "outside") must also be authorized to be in another location communicating with the former and leading towards the exit.

$$A \Rightarrow \{outside\} \subseteq A ; exit^{-1}$$

$$p \mapsto l \in A \land$$

$$l \neq outside$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

$$p \mapsto exit(l) \in A$$

### For the experts

Show that no cycle implies the possibility to prove property by induction and vice-versa

$$\forall s \cdot (s \subseteq B \land s \subseteq exit^{-1}[s] \implies s = \emptyset)$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall t \cdot (t \subseteq B \land outside \in t \land exit^{-1}[t] \subseteq t \implies t = B)$$

$$t \subseteq \mathbf{B}$$

$$outside \in t$$

$$\forall (x, y) \cdot ((x \mapsto y) \in exit \land y \in t \implies x \in t)$$

$$\Longrightarrow$$

$$t = \mathbf{B}$$

### Second Refinement : Introducing Doors

- P11 : Locations communicate via one-way doors.
- P12: A person get through a door only if accepted.
- P13: A door is acceptable by at most one person at a time.
- P14: A person is accepted for at most one door only.
- P15 : A person is accepted if at the origin of the door.
- P16 : A person is accepted if authorized at destination.

## Extending the Model (1)

Set : the set  ${\tt DOORS}$  of doors Constants : The origin  ${\tt ORG}$  and destination  ${\tt DST}$  of a door (prop. P11)

$$\begin{split} & \text{ORG} \in \text{doors} \to B \\ & \text{DST} \in \text{doors} \to B \\ & \text{structure} = (\text{ORG}^{-1} \;; \text{DST}) \end{split}$$

# Extending the Model (2)

Variable: the rel. DAP between persons and doors (prop. P12 to P16)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{DAP} \in P \rightarrowtail \text{DOORS} \\ (\text{DAP} \ ; \text{ORG}) \subseteq C \\ (\text{DAP} \ ; \text{DST}) \subseteq A \end{array}$$

### Second Refinement : More Properties

P17: Green light of a door is lit when access is accepted. P18: When a person has got through, the door blocks. P19: After 30 seconds, the door blocks automatically. P20: Red light is lit for 2 sec.when access is refused. P21: Red and green lights are not lit simultaneously.

# Extending the Model (3)

Definition: GREEN is exactly the range of DAP (prop. P17 to P19)

GREEN 
$$\hat{=}$$
 ran (DAP)

# Extending the Model (4)

Variable: The set red of red doors (prop. P20)

$$red \subseteq doors$$

Invariant: GREEN and red are incompatible (prop. P21)

GREEN 
$$\cap red = \emptyset$$

### Condition for Admission

- $\mathsf{P22}:\mathsf{Person}\ p$  is accepted through door d if
  - p is situated within the origin of d
  - p is authorized to move to the dest. of d
  - p is not engaged with another door

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{admitted}\ (p,d) \ \ \widehat{=} \\ \mathrm{ORG}(d) = \mathrm{C}(p) \ \ \land \\ p \mapsto \mathrm{DST}(d) \in \mathrm{A} \ \ \land \\ p \not \in \mathsf{dom}\ (dap) \end{array}
```

# A New Event (1)

```
EVENT accept \stackrel{\frown}{=}
ANY p, d WHERE
p \in P \land d \in \text{DOORS} \land d \notin \text{GREEN} \cup \textit{red} \land \text{admitted}(p, d)
THEN
\text{DAP}(p) := d
END
```

# A New Event (2)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT refuse} & \cong \\ \text{ANY } p, d \text{ WHERE} \\ p \in P \land \\ d \in \text{DOORS} \land \\ d \notin \text{GREEN} \cup \textcolor{red}{red} \land \\ \neg \text{ admitted} \ (p, d) \\ \text{THEN} \\ \textcolor{blue}{red} := \textcolor{blue}{red} \cup \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Refining Event OBSERVATION2

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT observation2} \\ \text{ANY } p, l \text{ WHERE} \\ p \in P \\ l \in B \\ p, l \in A \\ c(p) \mapsto l \in \text{STRUCTURE} \\ \text{THEN} \\ c(p) := l \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

```
EVENT observation3 \widehat{=}
REFINES observation2
ANY d WHERE
d \in GREEN
THEN
C(DAP^{-1}(d)) := DST(d)
DAP := DAP \triangleright \{d\}
END
```


### New Event (3)

### Turning lights off

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off.grn} \ \ \cong \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in \text{GREEN} \\ \text{THEN} \\ \text{DAP} := \text{DAP} \bowtie \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off\_red} \;\; \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } d \, \text{WHERE} \\ d \in red \\ \text{THEN} \\ red := red - \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

# Synchronization



### **Proofs**

- Event observation is a correct refinement : OK
- Other events refine skip : OK
- Event observation does not deadlock more: OK
- New events do not take control indefinitely : FAILURE

### Permanent Obstruction of Card Readers

#### **DANGER**

- People without the required authorizations try indefinitely to enter some locations.
- Other people with the authorization always change mind at the last moment.

#### **SOLUTIONS**

- Make such practice impossible???
- Card Readers can "swallow" a card

### **Final Decision**

- D3 : The system we are going to construct will not prevent people from blocking doors indefinitely :
  - either by trying indefinitely to enter places into which they are not authorized to enter,
  - or by indefinitely abandoning "on the way" their intention to enter the places in which they are in fact authorized to enter.

## Third Refinement : Introducing Card Readers

#### A decision

D4 : Each card reader is supposed to stay blocked between :

- the sending of a card to the system
- the reception of an acknowledgement.

### Third Refinement: Model Extension

The set BLR of blocked Card Readers The set mCard of messages sent by Card Readers The set mAckn of acknowledgment messages

$$BLR \subseteq DOORS$$
  
 $mCard \in DOORS \leftrightarrow P$   
 $mAckn \subseteq DOORS$ 

### Third Refinement: Invariant

dom(mCard), GREEN, red, mAckn partition BLR

 $\operatorname{dom}(mCard) \cup \operatorname{GREEN} \cup \operatorname{red} \cup \operatorname{mAckn} = \operatorname{BLR}$  $\operatorname{dom}(mCard) \cap (\operatorname{GREEN} \cup \operatorname{red} \cup \operatorname{mAckn}) = \varnothing$  $\operatorname{mAckn} \cap (\operatorname{GREEN} \cup \operatorname{red}) = \varnothing$ 

# Events (1)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT CARD} & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \\ \text{ANY } p, d \\ \text{WHERE} \\ p \in P \\ d \in \text{DOORS} - BLR \\ \text{THEN} \\ BLR := BLR \cup \{d\} \\ mCard := mCard \cup \{d \mapsto p\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

## Events (2)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT accept3} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } p, d \\ \text{WHERE} \\ p \in P \\ d \in \text{DOORS} \\ d \notin \text{GREEN} \cup \textit{red} \\ \text{admitted} (p, d) \\ \text{THEN} \\ \text{DAP}(p) := d \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT accept4} \;\; \widehat{=} \\ \text{REFINES} \;\; \text{accept3} \\ \text{ANY} \; p, d \\ \text{WHERE} \\ d \mapsto p \in mCard \\ \text{admitted} \; (p, d) \\ \text{THEN} \\ \text{DAP}(p) \; := \; d \\ mCard \; := \; mCard - \{d \mapsto p\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

# Events (3)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT refuse4} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{REFINES} & \text{refuse3} \\ \text{ANY } p, d \\ \text{WHERE} & d \mapsto p \in mCard \\ \neg \text{ admitted } (p, d) \\ \text{THEN} & red := red \cup \{d\} \\ mCard := mCard - \{d \mapsto p\} \\ \text{END} & \end{array}
```

## Events (4)

```
EVENT observation4 \widehat{=}
REFINES observation3
ANY d
WHERE
d \in \text{GREEN}
THEN
C(\text{DAP}^{-1}(d)) := \text{DST}(d)
\text{DAP} := \text{DAP} \triangleright \{d\}
mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\}
END
```

# Events (5)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off\_grn} & \cong \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in \text{GREEN} \\ \text{THEN} \\ \text{DAP} := \text{DAP} \bowtie \{d\} \\ mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off.red} & \cong \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in red \\ \text{THEN} \\ red := red - \{d\} \\ mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

# Events (6)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT ACKN} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in mAckn \\ \text{THEN} \\ BLR := BLR - \{d\} \\ mAckn := mAckn - \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

# Synchronization



### Fourth Refinement : Physical Doors and Lights

#### **Decisions**

D5 : When a door has been cleared, it blocks itself automatically

without any intervention from the control system.

D6: Each door incorporates a local clock for

- the extinction of the green light after 30 sec.
- the extinction of the red light after 2 sec.

## Extending the Model : the Green Chain (1)

The set mAccept of acceptance messages (to doors) The set GRN of physical green doors The set mPass of passing messages (from doors) The set  $mOff\_grn$  of messages (from doors)

$$mAccept \subseteq doors$$
  $GRN \subseteq doors$   $mPass \subseteq doors$   $mOff\_grn \subseteq doors$ 

## Extending the Model: the Green Chain (2)

mAccept, GRN, mPass, mOff\_grn partition grn

$$mAccept \cup GRN \cup mPass \cup mOff\_grn = grn$$
  
 $mAccept \cap (GRN \cup mPass \cup mOff\_grn) = \varnothing$   
 $GRN \cap (mPass \cup mOff\_grn) = \varnothing$   
 $mPass \cap mOff\_grn = \varnothing$ 

## Extending the Model: the Red Chain (1)

The set mRefuse of messages (to doors) The set RED of phyical red doors The set  $mOff\_red$  of messages (from doors)

 $mRefuse \subseteq doors$   $RED \subseteq doors$   $mOff\_red \subseteq doors$ 

### Extending the Model: the Red Chain (2)

 $mRefuse, RED, mOff\_red$  partition red

$$mRefuse \cup RED \cup mOff\_red = red$$
 $mRefuse \cap (RED \cup mOff\_red) = \varnothing$ 
 $RED \cap mOff\_red = \varnothing$ 

### Events (1)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT accept} & \widehat{=} \\ & \text{ANY } p, d \text{ WHERE} \\ & d, p \in mCard \ \land \\ & \text{admitted } (p, d) \\ & \text{THEN} \\ & \text{DAP}(p) := d \\ & mCard := mCard - \{d \mapsto p\} \\ & mAccept := mAccept \cup \{d\} \\ & \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Events (2)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT ACCEPT} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in mAccept \\ \text{THEN} \\ GRN := GRN \cup \{d\} \\ mAccept := mAccept - \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

## Events (3)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT PASS} & \cong \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in GRN \\ \text{THEN} \\ GRN := GRN - \{d\} \\ mPass := mPass \cup \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Events (4)

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \text{EVENT observation5} & \cong \\ \text{REFINES observation4} & \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in mPass \\ \hline \text{THEN} \\ & \text{C}(\text{DAP}^{-1}(d)) := \text{DST}(d) \\ & \text{DAP} := \text{DAP} \Rightarrow \{d\} \\ & mAckn := mAckn \ \cup \ \{d\} \\ & mPass := mPass - \{d\} \\ \hline \text{END} \\ \end{array}
```

### Events (5)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT OFF\_GRN} & \cong \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in GRN \\ \text{THEN} \\ GRN := GRN - \{d\} \\ mOff\_grn := mOff\_grn \cup \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Events (6)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off\_grn} & \cong \\ & \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ & d \in mOff\_grn \\ & \text{THEN} \\ & \text{DAP} := \text{DAP} \rhd \{d\} \\ & mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\} \\ & mOff\_grn := mOff\_grn - \{d\} \\ & \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Events (7)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT refuse} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{ANY } p, d \text{ WHERE} \\ d, p \in mCard \quad \land \\ \neg \text{ admitted} \left(p, d\right) \\ \text{THEN} \\ red := red \cup \left\{d\right\} \\ mCard := mCard - \left\{d \mapsto p\right\} \\ mRefuse := mRefuse \cup \left\{d\right\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Events (8)

```
EVENT REFUSE \triangleq
ANY d WHERE
d \in mRefuse
THEN
RED := RED \cup \{d\}
mRefuse := mRefuse - \{d\}
END
```


### Events (9)

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \text{EVENT OFF\_RED} & \cong \\ & \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ & d \in RED \\ & \text{THEN} \\ & RED := RED - \{d\} \\ & \textit{mOff\_red} := \textit{mOff\_red} \cup \{d\} \\ & \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Events (10)

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off\_red} & \cong \\ \text{ANY } d \text{ WHERE} \\ d \in mOff\_red \\ \text{THEN} \\ red := red - \{d\} \\ mAckn := mAckn \cup \{d\} \\ mOff\_red := mOff\_red - \{d\} \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

### Synchronization



### Communications

Hardware		Network		Software
CARD	$\rightarrow$	mCard	$\rightarrow$	accept (1) refuse (2)
ACCEPT	<b>←</b>	mAccept	$\leftarrow$	(1)
PASS	$\rightarrow$	mPass	$\rightarrow$	observation (3)
OFF_GRN	$\rightarrow$	$mOff\_grn$	$\rightarrow$	off_grn (3)
REFUSE	<b>←</b>	mRefuse	$\leftarrow$	(2)
OFF_RED	$\rightarrow$	$mOff\_red$	$\rightarrow$	off_red (3)
ACKN	<b>←</b>	mAckn	$\leftarrow$	(3)

### Decomposition (1)

#### Software Data

 $aut \in P \leftrightarrow B$   $ORG \in doors \rightarrow B$   $DST \in doors \rightarrow B$   $A \subseteq A; DST^{-1}; ORG$   $C \in P \rightarrow B$ 

## Decomposition (2)

#### Network data

$$mCard \in doors \Rightarrow P$$
 $mAckn \subseteq doors$ 
 $mAccept \subseteq doors$ 
 $mPass \subseteq doors$ 
 $mOff\_grn \subseteq doors$ 
 $mRefuse \subseteq doors$ 
 $mOff\_red \subseteq doors$ 

# Decomposition (3)

"Physical" Data

 $BLR \subseteq DOORS$ 

 $GRN \subseteq DOORS$ 

 $RED \subseteq DOORS$ 

### Software Operations

EVENT test\_soft(p, d)

 ${
m EVENT}$  accept\_soft(p,d)

 ${
m EVENT}$  refuse\_soft(d)

 $EVENT pass\_soft(d)$ 

 $EVENT off\_grn\_soft(d)$ 

EVENT off\_red\_soft(d)

### Physical Operations

 $(p,d) \longleftarrow \mathsf{CARD}_\mathsf{-}\mathsf{HARD}$ 

 $\mathsf{ACCEPT\_HARD}(d)$ 

 $\mathsf{REFUSE\_HARD}(d)$ 

 $d \longleftarrow \mathsf{PASS\_HARD}$ 

 $d \longleftarrow \mathsf{OFF\_GRN\_HARD}$ 

 $d \longleftarrow \mathsf{OFF\_RED\_HARD}$ 

 $ACKN_HARD(d)$ 

### **Network Software Operations**

 $(p,d) \longleftarrow \mathsf{read\_card}$  $write\_accept(d)$  $write\_refuse(d)$  $d \leftarrow \mathsf{read\_pass}$  $d \leftarrow \mathsf{read\_off\_grn}$  $d \leftarrow \text{read\_off\_red}$  $write\_ackn(d)$ 

### **Network Physical Operations**

 $SEND\_CARD(p, d)$ 

 $\mathsf{d} \longleftarrow \mathsf{RCV}\_\mathsf{ACCEPT}$ 

 $SEND_PASS(d)$ 

 $SEND\_OFF\_GRN(d)$ 

 $SEND\_OFF\_RED(d)$ 

 $d \leftarrow RCV\_ACKN$ 

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT CARD} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } p, d \text{ IN} \\ (p, d) \longleftarrow \text{READ\_HARD}; \\ \text{SEND\_CARD}(p, d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT ACCEPT} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ d \longleftarrow \text{RCV\_ACCEPT}; \\ \text{ACCEPT\_HARD}(d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{EVENT REFUSE} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ \text{d} & \longleftarrow \text{RCV\_REFUSE}; \\ \text{REFUSE\_HARD}(q) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT PASS} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ d \longleftarrow \text{PASS\_HARD}; \\ \text{SEND\_PASS}(d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT OFF\_GRN} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ d \longleftarrow \text{OFF\_GRN\_HARD}; \\ \text{SEND\_OFF\_GRN}(d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT OFF\_RED} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ d \longleftarrow \text{OFF\_RED\_HARD}; \\ \text{SEND\_OFF\_RED}(d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \text{EVENT observation } \widehat{=} \\ & \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ & d \leftarrow \text{read\_pass;} \\ & \text{EVENT pass\_soft}(d); \\ & \text{write\_ackn}(d) \\ & \text{END} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{EVENT off\_grn} & \widehat{=} \\ \textbf{VAR} \ d \ \textbf{IN} \\ d \leftarrow \text{read\_off\_grn}; \\ \text{EVENT off\_grn\_soft}(d); \\ \text{write\_ackn}(d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm EVENT~off.red} & \widehat{=} \\ {\rm VAR}~d~{\rm IN} \\ d & \longleftarrow {\rm read.off.red}; \\ {\rm EVENT~off.red.soft}(d); \\ {\rm write.ackn}(d) \\ {\rm END} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{EVENT ACKN} & \widehat{=} \\ \text{VAR } d \text{ IN} \\ \text{d} & \longleftarrow \text{RCV\_ACKN}; \\ \text{ACKN\_HARD}(d) \\ \text{END} \end{array}$ 

### Conclusion

# 22 Properties et 6 "System" Decisions - One Problem Generalization

- Access between locations
- One Negative Choice :
- Possible Card Readers Obstructions
- Three Physical Decisions
- Automatic Blocking of Doors
- Automatic Blocking of Card Readers
- Setting up of Clocks on Doors
- The overall development required 183 proofs
- 147 automatic (80%)
- 36 interactive

### Current Summary

- Refinement of models
- 2 Summary on Event-B
- 3 The Access Control
- **4** Conclusion

### Conclusion

- Identify an abstract model
- Identify constants and states
- Identify components
- Plan the refinement
- Start as long as the model is not well defined!