

Cours MALG & MOVEX

Modélisation, spécification et vérification (I)

Dominique Méry

Telecom Nancy, Université de Lorraine

Année universitaire 2025-2026 (15 janvier 2026 7:52 A.M.)

- ① Programming by Contract
- ② Summary of the Tryptich
- ③ Transition Systems
 - Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool
 - Expression of transition systems
 - Main concepts of discrete transition system
- ④ Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺
 - Example 1 ADD
 - Example 2 Simple Access Control
 - Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺
- ⑤ The TLA⁺ Toolbox
- ⑥ PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

① Programming by Contract

② Summary of the Tryptich

③ Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

④ Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺


5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

- ⑥ PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion



① Programming by Contract

② Summary of the Tryptich

③ Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

Method for verifying program properties

A program P satisfies a (pre,post) contract :

- ▶ P transforms a variable x from initial values x_0 and produces a final value $x_f : x_0 \xrightarrow{P} x_f$
- ▶ x_0 satisfies pre : $\text{pre}(x_0)$ and x_f satisfies post : $\text{post}(x_0, x_f)$
- ▶ $\text{pre}(x_0) \wedge x_0 \xrightarrow{P} x_f \Rightarrow \text{post}(x_0, x_f)$
- ▶ \mathbb{D} est le domaine RTE de X

requires $\text{pre}(x_0)$

ensures $\text{post}(x_0, x_f)$

variables X

begin

$0 : P_0(x_0, x)$

instruction₀

...

$i : P_i(x_0, x)$

...

instruction _{$f-1$}

$f : P_f(x_0, x)$

end

▶ $\text{pre}(x_0) \wedge x = x_0 \Rightarrow P_0(x_0, x)$

▶ $\text{pre}(x_0) \wedge P_f(x_0, x) \Rightarrow \text{post}(x_0, x)$

▶ For any pair of labels ℓ, ℓ'
such that $\ell \longrightarrow \ell'$, one verifies that,
pour any values $x, x' \in \text{MEMORY}$
$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{pre}(x_0) \wedge P_\ell(x_0, x) \\ \wedge \text{cond}_{\ell, \ell'}(x) \wedge x' = f_{\ell, \ell'}(x) \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow P_{\ell'}(x_0, x')$$

▶ For any pair of labels m, n
such that $m \longrightarrow n$, one verifies that,
 $\forall x, x' \in \text{MEMORY} : \text{pre}(x_0) \wedge$
 $P_m(x_0, x) \Rightarrow \text{DOM}(m, n)(x)$

Example of an annotation

```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1$ ;  
OD;
```

Example of an annotation

VARIABLES X
REQUIRES ...
ENSURES ...
WHILE $0 < X$ **DO**
 $X := X - 1$;
OD;



Example of an annotation


```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1$ ;  
OD;
```

→ →

```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1$ ;  
OD;
```

→ →

```
CONTRACT  $EX$   
VARIABLES  $X(int)$   
REQUIRES  $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$   
ENSURES  $x_f = 0$   
   $\ell_0 : \{ x = x_0 \wedge x_0 \in \mathbb{N} \}$   
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $\ell_1 : \{ 0 < x \leq x_0 \wedge x_0 \in \mathbb{N} \}$   
   $X := X - 1$ ;  
   $\ell_2 : \{ 0 \leq x \leq x_0 \wedge x_0 \in \mathbb{N} \}$   
OD;  
   $\ell_3 : \{ x = 0 \}$ 
```



1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

- ▶ \mathcal{R} : system requirements.

- ▶ \mathcal{R} : system requirements.
- ▶ \mathcal{D} : problem domain.

- ▶ \mathcal{R} : system requirements.
- ▶ \mathcal{D} : problem domain.
- ▶ \mathcal{S} : system specification.

- ▶ \mathcal{R} : system requirements.
- ▶ \mathcal{D} : problem domain.
- ▶ \mathcal{S} : system specification.

\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S} SATISFIES \mathcal{R}

- ▶ \mathcal{R} : system requirements.
- ▶ \mathcal{D} : problem domain.
- ▶ \mathcal{S} : system specification.

\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S} SATISFIES \mathcal{R}

- ▶ \mathcal{R} : pre/post.
- ▶ \mathcal{D} : integers, reals, ...
- ▶ \mathcal{S} : code, procedure, program, ...

A program P satisfies a (pre,post) contract :

- ▶ P transforms a variable v from initial values v_0 and produces a final value $v_f : v_0 \xrightarrow{P} v_f$
- ▶ v_0 satisfies pre : $\text{pre}(v_0)$ and v_f satisfies post : $\text{post}(v_0, v_f)$
- ▶ $\text{pre}(v_0) \wedge v_0 \xrightarrow{P} v_f \Rightarrow \text{post}(v_0, v_f)$
- ▶ \mathbb{D} est le domaine RTE de V

requires $\text{pre}(v_0)$

ensures $\text{post}(v_0, v_f)$

variables X

begin

$0 : P_0(v_0, v)$

instruction₀

...

$i : P_i(v_0, v)$

...

instruction _{$f-1$}

$f : P_f(v_0, v)$

end

▶ $\text{pre}(v_0) \wedge v = v_0 \Rightarrow P_0(v_0, v)$

▶ $\text{pre}(v_0) \wedge P_f(v_0, v) \Rightarrow \text{post}(v_0, v)$

▶ For any pair of labels ℓ, ℓ' such that $\ell \rightarrow \ell'$, one verifies that, pour any values $v, v' \in \text{MEMORY}$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{pre}(v_0) \wedge P_\ell(v_0, v) \\ \wedge \text{cond}_{\ell, \ell'}(v) \wedge v' = f_{\ell, \ell'}(v) \\ \Rightarrow P_{\ell'}(v_0, v') \end{array} \right),$$

▶ For any pair of labels m, n such that $m \rightarrow n$, one verifies that, $\forall v, v' \in \text{MEMORY} :$


$\text{pre}(v_0) \wedge P_m(v_0, v) \Rightarrow \text{DOM}(m, n)(v)$

Example of an annotation

```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1$ ;  
OD;
```

Example of an annotation

```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1;$   
OD;
```



Example of an annotation

```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1;$   
OD;
```

→ →

Example of an annotation

```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1;$   
OD;
```

→ → →

Example of an annotation

```
VARIABLES  $X$   
REQUIRES ...  
ENSURES ...  
WHILE  $0 < X$  DO  
   $X := X - 1;$   
OD;
```

→ → → →

Example of an annotation

VARIABLES X
REQUIRES ...
ENSURES ...
WHILE $0 < X$ **DO**
 $X := X - 1;$
OD;

→ → → →

CONTRACT EX
VARIABLES $X(int)$
REQUIRES $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$
ENSURES $x_f = 0$
 $\ell_0 : \{ x = x_0 \wedge x_0 \in \mathbb{N} \}$
WHILE $0 < X$ **DO**
 $\ell_1 : \{ 0 < x \leq x_0 \wedge x_0 \in \mathbb{N} \}$
 $X := X - 1;$
 $\ell_2 : \{ 0 \leq x \leq x_0 \wedge x_0 \in \mathbb{N} \}$
OD;
 $\ell_3 : \{ x = 0 \}$

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

① Programming by Contract

② Summary of the Tryptich

③ Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

Transition system

A transition system \mathcal{ST} is given by a set of states Σ , a set of initial states $Init$ and a binary relation \mathcal{R} on Σ .

- ▶ The set of terminal states $Term$ defines specific states, identifying particular states associated with a termination state and this set can be empty, in which case the transition system does not terminate.

event

A transformation is caused by an event that updates a temperature from a sensor, or a computer updating a computer variable, or an actuator sending a signal to a controlled entity.

An observation of a system S is based on the following points :

- ▶ a state $s \in \Sigma$ allows you to observe elements and reports on these elements, such as the number of people in the meeting room or the capacity of the room : $s(np)$ and $s(cap)$ are two positive integers.
- ▶ a relationship between two states s and s' observes a transformation of the state s into a state s' and we will note $s \xrightarrow{R} s'$ which expresses the observation of a relationship R :
 $R = s(np) \in 0..s(cap)-1 \wedge s'(np) = s(np)+1 \wedge s'(cap) = s(cap)$ is an expression of R observing that one more person has entered the room.
- ▶ a trace $s_0 \xrightarrow{R_0} s_1 \xrightarrow{R_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{R_2} s_3 \xrightarrow{R} \dots \xrightarrow{R_{i-1}} s_i \xrightarrow{R_i} \dots$ is a trace generated by the different observations $R_0, \dots R_p, \dots$

- ▶ observing changes of state that correspond either to physical or biological phenomena or to artefactual structures such as a program, a service or a platform.
- ▶ An observation generally leads to the identification of a few possible transformations of the observed state, and the closed-model hypothesis follows naturally.
- ▶ One consequence is that there are visible transformations and invisible transformations.
- ▶ These invisible transformations of the state are expressed by an identity relation called event skip (or stuttering [?]).
- ▶ A modelling produces a closed model with a skip event modelling what is not visible in the observed state.

- ▶ a language of assertions \mathcal{L} (or a language of formulae) is supposed to be given : $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ (the set of parts of Σ)
- ▶ $\varphi(s)$ (or $s \in \hat{\varphi}$) means that φ is true in s .
- ▶ Properties of a system S which interest us are the state properties expressing that *nothing bad can happen*.
- ▶ Examples : *the number of people in the meeting room is always smaller than the maximum allowed by law* or *the computer variable storing the number of wheel revolutions is sufficient and no overflow will happen*.
- ▶ Safety properties : the partial correctness (PC) of an algorithm A with respect to its pre/post specifications (PC), the absence of errors at runtime (RTE) ...
- ▶ Properties are expressed in the language \mathcal{L} whose elements are combined by logical connectors or by instantiations of variable values in the computer sense called flexible.

- ▶ hypothesis : a system S is modelled by a set of states Σ , and $\Sigma \stackrel{def}{=} \text{Var} \longrightarrow D$ where Var is the variable (or list of variables) of the system S and D is the domain of possible values of variables.
- ▶ The interpretation of a formula P in a state $s \in \Sigma$ is denoted $\llbracket P \rrbracket(s)$ or sometimes $s \in \hat{P}$.
- ▶ A distinction is made between flexible variable symbols x and logical variable symbols v , and constant symbols c are used.

- ① $\llbracket x \rrbracket(s) = s(x) = x : x$ is the value of the variable x in s .
- ② $\llbracket x \rrbracket(s') = s'(x) = x' : x'$ is the value of the variable x in s' .
- ③ $\llbracket c \rrbracket(s)$ is the value of c in s , in other words the value of the constant c in s .
- ④ $\llbracket \varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x) \rrbracket(s) = \llbracket \varphi(x) \rrbracket(s)$ et $\llbracket \psi(x) \rrbracket(s)$ where *and* is the classical interpretation of symbol \wedge according to the truth table.
- ⑤ $\llbracket x = 6 \wedge y = x+8 \rrbracket(s) \stackrel{def}{=} \llbracket x \rrbracket(s) = \llbracket 6 \rrbracket(s)$ **and** $\llbracket y \rrbracket(s) = \llbracket x \rrbracket(s) + \llbracket 8 \rrbracket(s) = (x = 6$ **and** $y = x+8$ where y is a logical variable distinct of x and where $\llbracket x \rrbracket(s) = s(x) = x$.

- ▶ $\llbracket x \rrbracket(s)$ is the value of x in s and its value will be distinguished by the font used : x is the `tt` font of \LaTeX and x is the math font of \LaTeX .
- ▶ Using the name of the variable x as its current value, i.e. x and $\llbracket x \rrbracket(s')$ is the value of x in s' and will be noted x' .
- ▶ The transition relation as a relation linking the state of the variables in s and the state of the variables in s' using the prime notation as defined by L. Lamport for TLA.
- ▶ Types of variable depending on whether we are talking about the computer variable, its value or whether we are defining constants such as np , the number of processes, or π , which designates the constant π .
- ▶ a current observation refers to a current state for both enduring and perdurant information data in the sense of the Dines Bjørner.

flexible variable

A flexible variable x is a name related to a perdurant information according to a state of the (current observed) system :

- ▶ x is the current value of x in other words the value at the observation time of x .
- ▶ x' is the next value of x in other words the value at the next observation time of x .
- ▶ x_0 is the initial value of x in other words the value at the initial observation time of x .

A logical variable x is a name related to an endurant entity designated by this name.

state property of a system

Let be a system S whose flexible variables x are the elements of $\mathcal{Var}(S)$. A property $P(x)$ of S is a logical expression involving ,freely the flexible variables x and whose interpretation is the set of values of the domain of x : $P(x)$ is true in x , if the value x satisfies $P(x)$.

For each property $P(x)$, we can associate a subset of D denoted \hat{P} and, in this case, $P(x)$ is true in x . is equivalent to $x \in \hat{P}$.

Examples of property

- ▶ $P_1(x) \stackrel{def}{=} x \in 18..22 : x$ is a value between 18 and 22 and $\hat{P}_1 = \{18, 19, 20, 21, 22\}$.
- ▶ $P_2(p) \stackrel{def}{=} p \subset PEOPLE \wedge card(p) \leq n : p$ is a set of persons and that set has at most n elements and $\hat{P}_2 = \{p_1 \dots p_n\}$. In this example, we use a logical variable n and a name for a constant $PEOPLE$.

basic set of a system S

The list of symbols s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p corresponds to the list of basic set symbols in the D domain of S and $s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_p \subseteq D$.

constants of system S

The list of symbols c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q corresponds to the list of symbols for the constants of S.

Examples of constant and set

- ▶ *fred* is a constant and is linked to the set *PEOPLE* using the expression $fred \in PEOPLE$ which means that *fred* is a person from *PEOPLE*.
- ▶ *aut* is a constant which is used to express the table of authorisations associated with the use of vehicles. the expression $aut \subseteq PEOPLE \times CARS$ where *CARS* denotes a set of cars.

axiom of system S

An axiom $ax(s,c)$ of S is a logical expression describing a constant or constants of S and can be defined as an expression depending on symbols of constants expressing a set-theoretical expression using symbols of sets and symbols of constants already defined.

Examples of axiom

- ▶ $ax1(fred \in PEOPLE) : fred \text{ is a person from the set } PEOPLE$
- ▶ $ax2(suc \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \wedge (!i.i \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow suc(i) = i+1)) : The \text{ function } suc \text{ is the total function which associates any natural } i \text{ with its successor. successor}$
- ▶ $ax3(\forall A.A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \wedge 0 \in \mathbb{N} \wedge suc[A] \subseteq A \Rightarrow \mathbb{N} \subseteq A) : This \text{ axiom states the induction property for natural numbers. It is an instantiation of the fixed-point theorem.}$
- ▶ $ax4(\forall x.x = 2 \Rightarrow x+2 = 1) : This \text{ axiom poses an obvious problem of consistency and care should be taken not to use this kind of statement as axiom.}$

.....

⊠ Definition(axiomatics for S)

The list of axioms of S is called the axiomatics of S and is denoted $AX(S, s, c)$ where s denotes the basic sets and c denotes the constants of S.

.....

.....

⊠ Definition(theorem for S)

A property $P(s, c)$ is a theorem for S, if $AX(S, s, c) \vdash P(s, c)$ is a valid sequent.

Theorems for S are denoted by $TH(S, s, c)$.

.....

Let s, s' be two states of S ($s, s' \in \mathcal{Var}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbf{VALS}$). $s \xrightarrow{R} s'$ will be written as a relation $R(x, x')$ where x and x' designate values of \mathbf{x} before and after the observation of R.

.....

⊠ Definition(event)

Let $\mathcal{Var}(S)$ be the set of flexible variables of S. Let s be the basis sets and c the constants of S. An event e for S is a relational expression of the form $R(s, c, x, x')$ denoted $RA(e)(s, c, x, x')$

.....

⊠ Definition(event-based model of a system)

Let $\mathcal{Var}(S)$ be the set of flexible variables of S denoted x . Let s be the list of basis sets of the system S . Let c be the list of constants of the system S . Let D be a domain containing sets s . An event-based model for a system S is defined by

$$(AX(s, c), x, \text{VALS}, \text{Init}(x), \{e_0, \dots, e_n\})$$

where

- ▶ $AX(s, c)$ is an axiomatic theory defining the sets, constants and static properties of these elements.
- ▶ $\text{Init}(x)$ defines the possible initial values of x .
- ▶ $\{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$ is a finite set of events of S and e_0 is a particular event present in each event-based model defined by $BA(e_0)(x, x') = (x' = x)$.

The event-based model is denoted

$$EM(s, c, x, \text{VALS}, \text{Init}(x)\{e_0, \dots, e_n\}) = (AX(s, c), x, \text{VALS}, \text{Init}(x), \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}).$$

- ▶ $Next(x, x')$ or
 $Next(s, c, x, x') \stackrel{def}{=} BA(e_0)(s, c, x, x') \vee \dots \vee BA(e_n)(s, c, x, x')$.
 - ▶ the transitive reflexive closure of the relation $Next^*(s, c, x_0, x) \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{cases} \vee x = x_0 \\ \vee Next(s, c, x_0, x) \\ \vee \exists xi \in VALS. Next^*(s, c, x_0, xi) \wedge Next(s, c, xi, x) \end{cases}$
-

☒ Definition(safety property)

A property $P(x)$ is a safety property for the system S , if

$$\forall x_0, x \in VALS. Init(x_0) \wedge Next^*(s, c, x_0, x) \Rightarrow P(x).$$

.....

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺


5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal


Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion




- ▶ there is an implicit control variable $pc \in \{\mathbf{start}, \mathbf{ready}, \mathbf{working}, \mathbf{failure}\}$ expressing the current visited state.

```
ℓ0[Q := 0];  
ℓ1[R := X];  
IF ℓ5[Y > 0]  
    WHILE ℓ2[R ≥ Y]  
        ℓ3[Q := Q + 1];  
        ℓ4[R := R - Y]  
    ENDWHILE  
ELSE  
    ℓ6[skip]  
ENDIF
```




A small system as an automaton


$x \leq 5, x := x+1$



A small system as an automaton


$$x \leq 5, x := x+1$$


► safety1 : $0 \leq x \leq 5$

$$x \leq 5, x := x+1$$


► safety1 : $0 \leq x \leq 5$ et ...


A small system as an automaton

$$x \leq 5, x := x+1$$


► safety1 : $0 \leq x \leq 5$ et ... safety2 : $0 \leq y \leq 56$


A small system as an automaton

$x \leq 5, x := x+1$




A small system as an automaton

$x \leq 5, x := x+1$




- ▶ $\text{safety1} : 0 \leq x \leq 5$ et $\text{safety2} : 0 \leq y \leq 56$
- ▶ $\text{skip} = x := x, y := y$
- ▶ $\text{skip} = \text{TRUE}, x := x, y := y = \text{TRUE}, \text{skip}$

Transition between two control states




Transition between two control states





► assignment $x := e(x, c, s)$

Transition between two control states




► assignment $x := e(x, c, s)$






- assignment $x := e(x, c, s)$




- ▶ if statement $\text{if}(B(x), S1, S2)$


Transition between two control states




- assignment $x := e(x, c, s)$




- if statement $if(B(x), S1, S2)$




Transition between two control states




- assignment $x := e(x, c, s)$




- if statement $\text{if}(B(x), S1, S2)$



Transition between two control states




- assignment $x := e(x, c, s)$




```
l1 :  
x := e(x, c, s);  
l2 :
```

- if statement $if(B(x), S1, S2)$




```
l0 :  
if B(x) then  
  l1 :  
  S1  
else  
  l2 :  
  S2
```


Transition between two control states



Transition between two control states



Transition between two predicates



1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

Un modèle relationnel \mathcal{MS} pour un système \mathcal{S} est une structure

$$(Th(s, c), X, VALS, INIT(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$$

où

- ▶ $Th(s, c)$ est une théorie définissant les ensembles, les constantes et les propriétés statiques de ces éléments.
- ▶ X est une liste de variables flexibles.
- ▶ $VALS$ est un ensemble de valeurs possibles pour X .
- ▶ $\{r_0, \dots, r_n\}$ est un ensemble fini de relations reliant les valeurs avant x et les valeurs après x' .
- ▶ $INIT(x)$ définit l'ensemble des valeurs initiales de X .
- ▶ la relation r_0 est la relation $Id[VALS]$, identité sur $VALS$.

.....

☒ Definition

Soit $(Th(s, c), X, VALS, INIT(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ un modèle relationnel d'un système \mathcal{S} . La relation NEXT associée à ce modèle est définie par la disjonction des relations r_i :

$$NEXT \stackrel{def}{=} r_0 \vee \dots \vee r_n$$

.....

pour une variable x , nous définissons les valeurs suivantes :

- ▶ x est la valeur courante de la variable X.
- ▶ x' est la valeur suivante de la variable X.
- ▶ x_0 ou \underline{x} sont la valeur initiale de la variable X.
- ▶ \bar{x} ou x_f est la valeur finale de la variable X, quand cette notion a du sens.

.....

⊠ Definition(assertion)

Soit $(Th(s, c), X, VALS, INIT(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ un modèle relationnel M d'un système \mathcal{S} . Une propriété A est une propriété assertionnelle de sûreté pour le système \mathcal{S} , si

$$\forall x_0, x \in VALS. Init(x_0) \wedge NEXT^*(x_0, x) \Rightarrow A(x).$$

.....

.....

⊠ Definition(relation)

Soit $(Th(s, c), X, VALS, INIT(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ un modèle relationnel M d'un système \mathcal{S} . Une propriété R est une propriété relationnelle de sûreté pour le système \mathcal{S} , si

$$\forall x_0, x \in VALS. Init(x_0) \wedge NEXT^*(x_0, x) \Rightarrow R(x_0, x).$$

.....

- ▶ P. et R. Cousot développent une étude complète des propriétés d'invariance et de sûreté en mettant en évidence correspondances entre les différentes méthodes ou systèmes proposées par Turing, Floyd, Hoare, Wegbreit, Manna ... et reformulent les principes d'induction utilisés pour définir ces méthodes de preuve (voir les deux cubes des 16 principes).

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

Listing 1 – cours0.tla

```

===== MODULE cours0 =====
EXTENDS Integers, Naturals, TLC
CONSTANTS x0, y0
VARIABLES x, y

=====
ASSUME x0 \in Nat /\ y0 \in Nat
Init == x=x0 /\ y=y0

=====
(* actions *)
finger ==
    /\ y # 0
    /\ x' = x + 1
    ---- /\ -y' = y - 1
over == y = 0 /\ x' = x - /\ -y' = y

=====
Next == finger \/ over

=====
(* Safety properties to be verified *)
TypeOK ==
    /\ x \in Int
    /\ y \in Int
Inv ==
    /\ x \geq x0 /\ x <= x0 + y0
    /\ 0 <= y /\ y <= y0
    /\ x + y = x0 + y0
P1 == [] Inv
P2 == [] (0 <= y /\ y <= y0)
=====

```

Listing 2 – cours0.tla

```

===== MODULE cours0 =====
EXTENDS Integers, Naturals, TLC
CONSTANTS x0, y0
VARIABLES x, y

=====
ASSUME x0 \in Nat /\ y0 \in Nat
Init == x=x0 /\ y=y0

=====
(* actions *)
finger ==
  /\ y # 0
  /\ x'=x+1
  ---- /\ y'=y-1
over == y=0 /\ x'=x- /\ y'=y

=====
Next == finger \/ over

=====
(* Safety properties to be verified *)
TypeOK ==
  /\ x \in Int
  /\ y \in Int
Inv ==
  /\ x \geq x0 /\ x <= x0+y0
  /\ 0 <= y /\ y <= y0
  /\ x+y = x0+y0
P1 == [] Inv
P2 == [] (0 <= y /\ y <= y0)
=====

```

The balls y are moved towards the balls x, as long as there are any left.

MODULE *ex1*

modules de base importables

EXTENDS *Naturals*, *TLC*

un système contrôle l'accès à une salle dont la capacité est de 19 personnes ; écrire
un modèle de ce système en vérifiant la propriété de sûreté

VARIABLES *np*

Première tentative

$$\text{entrer} \triangleq np' = np + 1$$
$$sortir \triangleq np' = np - 1$$
$$next \triangleq entrer \vee sortir$$
$$init \triangleq np = 0$$

Seconde tentative

$$\text{entrer}_2 \triangleq np < 19 \wedge np' = np + 1$$
$$\text{next}_2 \triangleq \text{entrer}_2 \vee \text{sortir}$$

Troisième tentative

$$\text{sortir}_2 \triangleq np > 0 \wedge np' = np - 1$$

$$\text{next}_3 \triangleq \text{entrer}_2 \vee \text{sortir}_2$$

$$\text{safety}_1 \triangleq np \leq 19$$

$$\text{question}_1 \triangleq np \neq 6$$

Module for a simple access control

```
----- MODULE ex1-----
(* modules de base importables *)
EXTENDS Naturals,TLC
-----
(* un syst\`eme contr\`ole l'acc\`es \`a une salle dont la capacit\`e est de 19 personnes *)
VARIABLES np
-----
(* Premi\`ere tentative *)
entrer == np 'np +1
sortir == np'np-1
next == entrer \/ sortir
init == np=0\fora
-----
(* Seconde tentative *)
entrer2 == np<19 /\ np'=np+1
next2 == entrer2 \/ sortir
-----
(* Troisi\`eme tentative *)
sortir2 == np>0 /\ np'=np-1
next3 == entrer2 \/ sortir2
-----
safety1 == np \leq 19
question1 == np # 6
=====
```

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

Let $(Th(s, c), x, \text{VALS}, \text{INIT}(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ be a relational model M of a system \mathcal{S} . A property A is a safety property for the system \mathcal{S} if $\forall x_0, x \in \text{VALS}. \text{Init}(x_0) \wedge \text{NEXT}^*(x_0, x) \Rightarrow A(x)$.

Let $(Th(s, c), x, \text{VALS}, \text{INIT}(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ be a relational model M of a system \mathcal{S} . A property A is a safety property for the system \mathcal{S} if $\forall x_0, x \in \text{VALS}. \text{Init}(x_0) \wedge \text{NEXT}^*(x_0, x) \Rightarrow A(x)$.

- ▶ x is a variable or a list of variables : VARIABLES x

Let $(Th(s, c), x, \text{VALS}, \text{INIT}(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ be a relational model M of a system \mathcal{S} . A property A is a safety property for the system \mathcal{S} if $\forall x_0, x \in \text{VALS}. \text{Init}(x_0) \wedge \text{NEXT}^*(x_0, x) \Rightarrow A(x)$.

- ▶ x is a variable or a list of variables : `VARIABLES x`
- ▶ $\text{Init}(x)$ is a variable or a list of variables : `init == Init(x)`

Let $(Th(s, c), x, \text{VALS}, \text{INIT}(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ be a relational model M of a system S . A property A is a safety property for the system S if $\forall x_0, x \in \text{VALS}. \text{Init}(x_0) \wedge \text{NEXT}^*(x_0, x) \Rightarrow A(x)$.

- ▶ x is a variable or a list of variables : `VARIABLES x`
- ▶ $\text{Init}(x)$ is a variable or a list of variables : `init == Init(x)`
- ▶ $\text{NEXT}^*(x_0, x)$ is the definition of the relation defining what the system does : `Next == a1 \/\ a2 \/\ \/\ an`

Let $(Th(s, c), x, \text{VALS}, \text{INIT}(x), \{r_0, \dots, r_n\})$ be a relational model M of a system S . A property A is a safety property for the system S if $\forall x_0, x \in \text{VALS}. \text{Init}(x_0) \wedge \text{NEXT}^*(x_0, x) \Rightarrow A(x)$.

- ▶ x is a variable or a list of variables : `VARIABLES x`
- ▶ $\text{Init}(x)$ is a variable or a list of variables : `init == Init(x)`
- ▶ $\text{NEXT}^*(x_0, x)$ is the definition of the relation defining what the system does : `Next == a1 \wedge a2 \wedge \wedge an`
- ▶ $A(x)$ is a logical expression defining a safety property to be verified on all configurations of the model : `Safety == A(x)`

- ▶ TLA (Temporal Logic of Actions) is used to express formulas in temporal logic : $\Box P$ or *always P*
- ▶ TLA⁺ is a language that allows the declaration of constants, variables and definitions :
 - `<def> == <expression>` : a definition `<def>` is the specification of an expression `<expression>` that uses elements defined before or in modules that *extend* this module.
 - A variable `x` is either in the form `x` or in the form `x'` : `x'` is the value of `x` after.
 - A module has a name and collects definitions, and it can be an extension of other modules.
 - `[f EXCEPT! [i]=e]` is the function `f` where only the value of `i` has changed and is equal to `e`.
- ▶ A configuration must be defined in order to evaluate a specification.

- ▶ Limitation of actions :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nom} &\triangleq \\ &\wedge \text{cond}(v, w) \\ &\wedge v' = e(v, w) \\ &\wedge w' = w \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $e(v, w)$ must be codable in Java. Standard modules : Naturals, Integers, TLC, etc.

- ▶ Download the application from the Microsoft website for your computer.
- ▶ Write models and test them !
- ▶ Limitations by variable domains.



Enable symbolic reasoning regardless of the set of states

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

<https://github.com/tlaplus/>

The TLA⁺ tools require Java 11+ to run and the tla2tools.jar file contains multiple TLA⁺ tools.

You add tla2tools.jar to your CLASSPATH environment variable.

Running `java -jar tla2tools.jar` is aliased to `java -cp tla2tools.jar tlc2.TLC`.

- ▶ `java -cp tla2tools.jar tla2sany.SANY -help`: The TLA⁺ parser.
- ▶ `java -cp tla2tools.jar tlc2.TLC -help`: The TLA⁺ model checker
- ▶ `java -cp tla2tools.jar pcal.trans -help`: The PlusCal-to-TLA⁺ translator
- ▶ `java -cp tla2tools.jar tla2tex.TLA -help`: The TLA⁺-to-LaTeX translator

Listing 3 – cours0.tla

```
MODULE cours0
EXTENDS Integers, Naturals, TLC
CONSTANTS x0, y0
VARIABLES x, y

ASSUME x0 \in Nat /\ y0 \in Nat
Init == x=x0 /\ y=y0

(* actions *)
finger ==
  /\ y # 0
  /\ x'=x+1
  ---- /\ -y'=y-1
over == y=0 /\ x'=x- /\ -y'=y

Next == finger \/ over

(* Safety properties to be verified *)
TypeOK ==
  /\ x \in Int
  /\ y \in Int
Inv ==
  /\ x \geq x0 /\ x <= x0+y0
  /\ 0 <= y /\ y <= y0
  /\ x+y = x0+y0
P1 == [] Inv
P2 == [] (0 <= y /\ y <= y0)
```

Listing 4 – cours0.tla

```
MODULE cours0
EXTENDS Integers, Naturals, TLC
CONSTANTS x0, y0
VARIABLES x, y

ASSUME x0 \in Nat /\ y0 \in Nat
Init == x=x0 /\ y=y0

(* actions *)
finger ==
  /\ y # 0
  /\ x'=x+1
  ---- /\ y'=y-1
over == y=0 /\ x'=x /\ y'=y

Next == finger \/ over

(* Safety properties to be verified *)
TypeOK ==
  /\ x \in Int
  /\ y \in Int
Inv ==
  /\ x \geq x0 /\ x <= x0+y0
  /\ 0 <= y /\ y <= y0
  /\ x+y = x0+y0
P1 == [] Inv
P2 == [] (0 <= y /\ y <= y0)
```

The balls y are moved towards the balls x, as long as there are any left.

Listing 5 – cours0.cfg

```
\* SPECIFICATION
\* Uncomment the previous line and provide the specification name if it's-declared
\* in the specification file .-Comment-INIT-/-NEXT-parameters if you use SPECIFICATION.

CONSTANTS
----greeting== "Hello"
----x0==5
----y0==9

INIT-Init
NEXT-Next

PROPERTY
\*-Uncomment-the-previous-line-and-add-property-names
P1
P2
INVARIANT
\*-Uncomment-the-previous-line-and-add-invariant-names
TypeOK
Inv
```


1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

Listing 7 – courspluscal0.tla

```
----- MODULE courspluscal0 -----
EXTENDS Naturals, Integers, TLC
CONSTANTS x0, y0, z0

(* precondition *)
ASSUME x0 = y0 + 3*z0

(*
algorithm ex {
  variables x=x0,
           y = y0,
           z=z0;

{
l0: assert x = y + 3*z /\ y=y0 /\ z=z0 ;
     x := y+3*z;
l1: assert x = y0+3*z0 /\ y=y0 /\ z=z0 ;
}
}
*)
-----
```

Listing 8 – coursupluscal0.tla

```
----- MODULE coursupluscal0 -----  
EXTENDS Naturals, Integers, TLC  
CONSTANTS x0, y0, z0  
  
(* precondition *)  
ASSUME x0 = y0 + 3*z0  
  
(*  
  algorithm ex {  
    variables x=x0,  
              y = y0,  
              z=z0;  
  
    {  
      I0: assert x = y + 3*z /\ y=y0 /\ z=z0 ;  
           x := y+3*z;  
      I1: assert x = y0+3*z0 /\ y=y0 /\ z=z0 ;  
    }  
  }  
*)  
-----
```

An algorithm is defined in a comment and a translator produces a list of TLA⁺ definitions that can be used to analyse the annotations.

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

General form for processes

```
—— MODULE module_name ——  
\* TLA+ code  
  
(* —algorithm algorithm_name  
variables global_variables  
  
process p_name = ident  
variables local_variables  
begin  
  \* pluscal code  
end process  
  
process p_group \in set  
variables local_variables  
begin  
  \* pluscal code  
end process  
  
end algorithm; *)
```


Example 1

```
process pro = "test"  
begin  
  print<<" test">>;  
end process
```

- ▶ A multiprocess algorithm contains one or more processes.
- ▶ A process begins in one of two ways :
 - defining a set of processes : `process (ProcName \in IdSet)`
 - defining one process with an identifier `process (ProcName = Id)`
- ▶ `self` designates the current process

A process S sends a message to a process R

```
—algorithm ex_process {  
  variables  
    input = <<>>, output = <<>>,  
    msgChan = <<>>, ackChan = <<>>,  
    newChan = <<>>;  
  /* defining macros  
  process (Sender = "S")  
  {  
  
    }; /* end Sender process block  
  process (Receiver = "R")  
  {  
  
    }; /* end Receiver process block  
  
} /* end algorithm
```

```
—algorithm ex_process {  
  variables  
    input = <<>>, output = <<>>,  
    msgChan = <<>>, ackChan = <<>>,  
    newChan = <<>>;  
  macro Send(m, chan) {  
    chan := Append(chan, m);  
  }  
  macro Recv(v, chan) {  
    await chan # <<>>;  
    v := Head(chan);  
    chan := Tail(chan);  
  }  
}
```

* Processes S and R

```
} \* end algorithm
```

```
—algorithm ex_process {
  variables
    input = <<>>, output = <<>>,
    msgChan = <<>>, ackChan = <<>>,
    newChan = <<>>;
  /* defining macros
    process (Sender = "S")
      variables msg;
      {
        sending:  Send("Hello", msgChan);
        printing:  print <<"Sender", input>>;
      }; /* end Sender process block
    process (Receiver = "R")
      {
        waiting:  Recv(msg, msgChan);
        adding:   output := Append(output, msg);
        printing:  print <<"Receiver", output>>;
      }; /* end Receiver process block
  } /* end algorithm
```

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

```
macro Name(var1, ...)  
begin  
  \* something to write  
end macro;
```

```
procedure Name(arg1, ...)  
variables var1 = ... \* not \in, only =  
begin  
  Label:  
  \* something  
  return;  
end procedure;
```

1 Programming by Contract

2 Summary of the Tryptich

3 Transition Systems

Overview of Transition Systems as Modelling Tool

Expression of transition systems

Main concepts of discrete transition system

4 Transition system in action with TLA/TLA⁺

Example 1 ADD

Example 2 Simple Access Control

Transition system using TLA/TLA⁺

5 The TLA⁺ Toolbox

6 PlusCal an algorithmic notation inside TLA⁺

Defining processes in PlusCal

Macros and Procedures

7 Conclusion

- ▶ The TLA/TLA⁺ toolbox provides a framework for analysing algorithms, programs and computational protocols.
- ▶ The method is restricted to finite models of transition systems.
- ▶ The general methodology requires to use an induction-based process.