

# NNLSQ

---

## Grid in Cartesian coordinates

Two ways to generate noise/remove bias: coordinate-wise, or for each grid point (more expensive)

Construct velocity moment matrix and solve

## Grid in spherical coordinates

Equal volume/equal radius?

## TODO

---

- ☒ NTC collisions
- ☒ I/O of particle properties (basic)
- ☒ Particle generation (equal weights)
- ☒ Properties computation
  - ☒ basic ones
  - ☒ moments
- ☒ I/O of output
- ☒ Maxwellian test case (equal weights)
- ☒ Two species relaxation (equal weights)
- ☒ BKW test case (equal weights)
- ☒ Particle generation (variable weight)
- ☒ Grid merging
- ☐ Octree merging
  - ☐ Final tests for bin splitting
  - ☒ Basic compute (ndens, nparticles) for refinement
    - ☒ Tests
  - ☐ Bin property computation
    - ☐ Tests
  - ☐ New particle computes
    - ☐ Tests

- ☐ mixing rule VHS creator
- ☐ The science begins

## TODO: features

---

- ☐ Add time to output (since we can change dt on the fly)
- ☐ Compute  $\sigma_{g\_vhs}$  directly (to avoid additional multiplication)
- ☐ Avoid duplicate computation of particle indices in grid-based merging? Avoid second loop? Use Welford's algorithm + initial estimate of mean as middle of box? Or just shift data?
- ☐ Logging struct? (Union of nothing/actual logging struct, write out stuff like "increased octree merging buffer", "increase particle array size")

## TODO: tests

---

- ☐ energy / momentum conservation in scattering
- ☒ correct indexing
- ☒ BKW var weight reference solution
- ☐ 1D - no merging, particles don't switch cells during variable weight collisions!!!

## Misc thoughts

---

Best to do octree merging in reverse order? So that less shifting around of particle indices? Loop of copying instead of deepcopy of slice in octree merging - would that allocate less?

## Comparisons

---

- ☐ Merging grid-based: pass slice/without species? is that any different?
- ☐ Make more structs immutable - is that better?