

Shifts Proof

September 25, 2025

We consider two main cases, namely $shifts(ms, r) = []$ and $shifts(ms, r) \neq []$.

1 Case 1: $shifts(ms, r) = []$

We want to prove

$$Strips(L(r^n))(set\ ms) = \emptyset.$$

From the induction hypothesis

$$set(shifts(ms, r)) = Strips(L(r))(set\ ms).$$

By assumption

$$set(shifts(ms, r)) = \emptyset,$$

so

$$Strips(L(r))(set\ ms) = \emptyset.$$

1.1 sub case $nullable(r)$

unfolding the shifts definition,

$$\begin{aligned} set(shifts(ms, r^n)) &= set(shifts(ms, r)) \cup set(shifts(shifts(ms, r), r^{n-1})), \\ &= Strips(L(r))(set\ ms) \cup Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(Strips(L(r))(set\ ms)), \\ &= \emptyset \cup Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(\emptyset) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

1.2 sub case $\neg nullable(r)$

again, unfolding the shifts definition,

$$\begin{aligned} set(shifts(ms, r^n)) &= set(shifts(shifts(ms, r), r^{n-1})), \\ &= Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(set(shifts(ms, r))), \\ &= Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(\emptyset) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Strips(L(r^n))(set\ ms) = \emptyset.$$

2 Case 2: $shifts(ms, r) \neq \square$

We want to prove

$$set(shifts(ms, r^n)) = Strips(L(r^n))(set\ ms).$$

2.1 sub case *nullable*(r)

unfolding the shifts definition,

$$set(shifts(ms, r^n)) = a \cup b,$$

$$a := set(shifts(ms, r)), \quad b := set(shifts(shifts(ms, r), r^{n-1})).$$

by IH on $P(ms, r)$,

$$a = Strips(L(r))(set\ ms).$$

since $shifts(ms, r)$ is smaller than ms and r^{n-1} is smaller than r^n , we can use IH on $P(shifts(ms, r), r^{n-1})$,

$$b = Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(set(shifts(ms, r))) = Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(Strips(L(r))(set\ ms)).$$

therefore

$$set(shifts(ms, r^n)) = a \cup b = Strips(L(r))(set\ ms) \cup Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(Strips(L(r))(set\ ms)).$$

by Strips properties: with nullable A, and B

$$Strips(A @ B)C = (Strips\ B\ (Strips\ A\ C)) \cup Strips\ A\ C \cup Strips\ B\ C$$

$$A = L(r) \text{ and } B = L(r^{n-1})$$

$$Lr^n = L(r) @ L(r^{n-1})$$

we have $Strips\ B\ (Strips\ A\ C) = Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(Strips(L(r))(set\ ms))$ and $Strips\ A\ C = Strips(L(r))(set\ ms)$

but missing the third $Strips\ B\ C = Strips(L(r^{n-1}))(set\ ms)$

2.2 sub case $\neg \text{nullable}(r)$

unfolding the shifts definition,

$$\text{set}(\text{shifts}(ms, r^n)) = b,$$

$$b := \text{set}(\text{shifts}(\text{shifts}(ms, r), r^{n-1})).$$

since $\text{shifts}(ms, r)$ is smaller than ms and r^{n-1} is smaller than r^n , we can use IH on $P(\text{shifts}(ms, r), r^{n-1})$,

$$b = \text{Strips}(L(r^{n-1}))(\text{set}(\text{shifts}(ms, r))) = \text{Strips}(L(r^{n-1}))(\text{Strips}(L(r))(\text{set } ms)).$$

by Strips properties: with not nullable A, and B

$$\text{Strips}(A @ B)C = \text{Strips } B (\text{Strips } A C)$$

$$A = L(r) \text{ and } B = L(r^{n-1})$$

$$Lr^n = L(r) @ L(r^{n-1})$$

therefore

$$\text{set}(\text{shifts}(ms, r^n)) = \text{Strips}(L(r^n))(\text{set } ms)$$

3 notes

3.1 case 1

i thought i would start with two cases to consider, namely $shifts(ms, r) = []$ and $shifts(ms, r) \neq []$. similar to the urlues.

i started with first one. so first, i assumed that $shifts(ms, r) = []$ and need to prove $Strips(r^n)(setms) = \emptyset$.

since r is smaller, we can use $p(ms, r^n)$ so

$set(shifts(msr)) = Strips(Lr)(setms)$.

by assumption, lhs is \emptyset so now we have $Strips(Lr)(setms) = \emptyset$.

now we need to prove $Strips(Lr^n)(setms) = \emptyset$. again two cases to consider, 1- nullable r .

unfolding shifts definition.

$$set(shiftsr^n ms) = set(shiftsrms) \cup set(shifts(shiftsmsr)r^n - 1)$$

that equals to $Strip(Lr)(setms) \cup Strips(Lr^n - 1)(Strips(Lr)(setms))$.

the lhs of union = \emptyset

and rhs = $Strips(Lr^n - 1)\emptyset(setms) = \emptyset$.

in the second case where r is not nullable:

unfolding shifts again:

$$set(shiftsr^n ms) = set(shiftsr^{n-1}(shiftsrms)) = Strip(r^{n-1})(Strip(Lrms))$$

and since $Strip(Lrms) = \emptyset$ so it becomes $Strip(r^n - 1)\emptyset$ and so = \emptyset .

3.2 case 2

NTIMES: for the second case, i assume $shifts(ms, r) \neq []$. i need to prove $set(shifts(ms, r^n)) = Strips(Lr^n)(setms)$. again two cases to consider, 1- nullable r .

unfolding shifts definition, $set(shifts(ms, r^n)) = a \cup b$ where $a = set(shifts(ms, r))$
 $b = set(shifts(shifts(ms, r), r^{n-1}))$

for a , use IH $P(ms, r)$ to get $a = Strips(Lr)(setms)$

for b , we can use IH $P(shifts(ms, r), r^{n-1})$ since (i) $shifts(ms, r)$ is smaller than ms and (ii) r^{n-1} is smaller than r^n . hence $b = Strips(Lr^{n-1})(set(shifts(ms, r))) = Strips(Lr^{n-1})(Strips(Lr)(setms))$

therefore $set(shifts(ms, r^n)) = a \cup b = Strips(Lr)(set\ ms) \cup Strips(Lr^{n-1})(Strips(Lr)(set\ ms))$
 in the second case where r is not nullable:
 unfolding shifts again, $set(shifts(ms, r^n)) = b$ where $b = set(shifts(shifts(ms, r), r^{n-1})) =$
 $Strips(Lr^{n-1})(set(shifts(ms, r))) = Strips(Lr^{n-1})(Strips(Lr)(set\ ms))$
 and since $L(r^n) = L(r) @ L(r^{n-1})$ we have $b = Strips(Lr @ Lr^{n-1})(set\ ms) =$
 $Strips(Lr^n)(set\ ms)$