

# **ASSIGNMENT- 1**

## 1. Participating entities [acc. to my ERD]

- Shopper
- Administrator
- Products
- Order
- Images
- Category
- Shipping Address
- Item details


## 2. Relations

- Has
- Belongs to
- Buy
- Is
- Add
- Places
- Contains

## 3. Key Attributes

- admin\_id
- p\_id
- Image\_id
- Category\_id
- S\_id
- order\_id

4.



## **ASSIGNMENT-2**

Normalization is a process of organizing the data in database to avoid data redundancy, insertion anomaly, update anomaly & deletion anomaly.

### **First normal form (1NF)**

As per the rule of first normal form, an attribute (column) of a table cannot hold multiple values. It should hold only atomic values.

**Example:** Suppose a company wants to store the names and contact details of its employees. It creates a table that looks like this:

EMP_ID	EMP_NAME	EMP_PHONE	EMP_STATE
14	John	7272826385, 9064738238	UP
20	Harry	8574783832	Bihar
12	Sam	7390372389, 8589830302	Punjab

This table is **not in 1NF** as the rule says “each attribute of a table must have atomic (single) values”, the emp\_mobile values for employees Jon & Lester violates that rule.

To make the table complies with 1NF we should have the data like this:

EMP_ID	EMP_NAME	EMP_PHONE	EMP_STATE
14	John	7272826385	UP
14	John	9064738238	UP
20	Harry	8574783832	Bihar
12	Sam	7390372389	Punjab
12	Sam	8589830302	Punjab

## Second normal form (2NF)

- In the 2NF, relational must be in 1NF.
- In the second normal form, all non-key attributes are fully functional dependent on the primary key

**Example:** Let's assume, a school can store the data of teachers and the subjects they teach. In a school, a teacher can teach more than one subject.

**TEACHER table**

TEACHER_ID	SUBJECT	TEACHER_AGE
25	Chemistry	30
25	Biology	30
47	English	35
83	Math	38
83	Computer	38

In the given table, non-prime attribute TEACHER\_AGE is dependent on TEACHER\_ID which is a proper subset of a candidate key. That's why it violates the rule for 2NF.

To convert the given table into 2NF, we decompose it into two tables:

**TEACHER\_DETAIL table:**

TEACHER_ID	TEACHER_AGE
25	30
47	35

83	38
----	----

**TEACHER\_SUBJECT table:**

TEACHER_ID	SUBJECT
25	Chemistry
25	Biology
47	English
83	Math
83	Computer

## Third normal form (3NF)

- A relation will be in 3NF if it is in 2NF and not contain any transitive partial dependency.
- 3NF is used to reduce the data duplication. It is also used to achieve the data integrity.
- If there is no transitive dependency for non-prime attributes, then the relation must be in third normal form.

A relation is in third normal form if it holds atleast one of the following conditions for every non-trivial functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

1. X is a super key.
2. Y is a prime attribute, i.e., each element of Y is part of some candidate key.

**Example:**

**EMPLOYEE\_DETAIL table:**

EMP_ID	EMP_NAME	EMP_ZIP	EMP_STATE	EMP_CITY
222	Harry	201010	UP	Noida
333	Stephan	02228	US	Boston
444	Lan	60007	US	Chicago
555	Katharine	06389	UK	Norwich
666	John	462007	MP	Bhopal

**Super key in the table above:**{EMP\_ID}, {EMP\_ID, EMP\_NAME}, {EMP\_ID, EMP\_NAME, EMP\_ZIP}....so on

**Candidate key:** {EMP\_ID}

**Non-prime attributes:** In the given table, all attributes except EMP\_ID are non-prime.

Here, EMP\_STATE & EMP\_CITY dependent on EMP\_ZIP and EMP\_ZIP dependent on EMP\_ID. The non-prime attributes (EMP\_STATE, EMP\_CITY) transitively dependent on super key(EMP\_ID). It violates the rule of third normal form.

That's why we need to move the EMP\_CITY and EMP\_STATE to the new <EMPLOYEE\_ZIP> table, with EMP\_ZIP as a Primary key.

**EMPLOYEE table:**

EMP_ID	EMP_NAME	EMP_ZIP
222	Harry	201010
333	Stephan	02228
444	Lan	60007

555	Katharine	06389
666	John	462007

**EMPLOYEE\_ZIP table:**

EMP_ZIP	EMP_STATE	EMP_CITY
201010	UP	Noida
02228	US	Boston
60007	US	Chicago
06389	UK	Norwich
462007	MP	Bhopal

## Boyce Codd form (BCNF)

- BCNF is the advance version of 3NF. It is stricter than 3NF.
- A table is in BCNF if every functional dependency  $X \rightarrow Y$ , X is the super key of the table.
- For BCNF, the table should be in 3NF, and for every FD, LHS is super key.

**Example:** Let's assume there is a company where employees work in more than one department.

**EMPLOYEE table:**

EMP_ID	EMP_COUNTRY	EMP_DEPT	DEPT_TYPE	EMP_DEPT_NO
264	India	Designing	D394	283
264	India	Testing	D394	300
364	UK	Stores	D283	232
364	UK	Developing	D283	549

**In the above table Functional dependencies are as follows:**

1.  $EMP\_ID \rightarrow EMP\_COUNTRY$
2.  $EMP\_DEPT \rightarrow \{DEPT\_TYPE, EMP\_DEPT\_NO\}$

**Candidate key: {EMP-ID, EMP-DEPT}**

The table is not in BCNF because neither EMP\_DEPT nor EMP\_ID alone are keys.



To convert the given table into BCNF, we decompose it into three tables:

**EMP\_COUNTRY table:**

EMP_ID	EMP_COUNTRY
264	India
264	India

**EMP\_DEPT table:**

EMP_DEPT	DEPT_TYPE	EMP_DEPT_NO
Designing	D394	283
Testing	D394	300
Stores	D283	232
Developing	D283	549

**EMP\_DEPT\_MAPPING table:**

EMP_ID	EMP_DEPT
D394	283
D394	300
D283	232

D283	549
------	-----

**Functional dependencies:**

1. EMP\_ID → EMP\_COUNTRY
2. EMP\_DEPT → {DEPT\_TYPE, EMP\_DEPT\_NO}

**Candidate keys:**

**For the first table:** EMP\_ID

**For the second table:** EMP\_DEPT

**For the third table:** {EMP\_ID, EMP\_DEPT}

Now, this is in BCNF because left side part of both the functional dependencies is a key.