

## Philosophy 57 — Day 23

- Quiz #5 to Returned Today
  - “Curve”: 92–100 (A); 75–91 (B); 62–74 (C); 50–61 (D); < 50 (F)
- Quiz #6 Next Tuesday (On §6.1 of Text: Translation & Syntax of PL)
- Extra-Credit Problems to be Posted Soon on Website
  - Five questions from chapter 6
  - Will be due on (or soon after) the final exam date
- Back to Chapter 6 — Remaining Material
  - Review on the translation of conditionals
  - Truth-Functions and Truth Conditions for PL Statements
  - Truth-Tables for Arbitrary PL Sentences (§6.3)
  - Truth-Tables for Arbitrary PL Arguments (§6.4)



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic Translations (Conditionals)

- The following are eight ways of asserting the same conditional statement (in quasi-English). All of these get translated into PL as “ $p \supset q$ ”.

Quasi-English	PL
If $p$ , then $q$ .	$p \supset q$
$q$ if $p$ .	$p \supset q$
$p$ only if $q$ .	$p \supset q$
$q$ provided that $p$ .	$p \supset q$
$q$ on condition that $p$ .	$p \supset q$
$p$ implies that $q$ .	$p \supset q$
$p$ is a sufficient condition for $q$ .	$p \supset q$
$q$ is a necessary condition for $p$ .	$p \supset q$



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic: Truth Functions I

- Propositional Logic is **truth-functional** because the truth value of a compound statement is a function of the truth values of its atomic components.
- We use lower-case letters “ $p$ ”, “ $q$ ”, “ $r$ ”, ... to denote **statement variables**, which can stand for any statement in propositional logic.
- A **statement form** is an expression (*not* a statement of PL!) constructed out of statement variables and PL connectives which becomes a statement of PL if (simple) statements of PL are substituted for all statement variables.
  - e.g.,  $p \bullet (q \vee r)$  is a statement form, since  $A \bullet (B \vee C)$  is a statement.
  - Note:  $(A \vee B) \bullet ((C \equiv D) \vee (E \supset \sim F))$  is *also* of the form  $p \bullet (q \vee r)$ . Why?
- With this basic terminology out of the way, we’re ready to give a precise account of the truth conditions (*i.e.*, the meaning) of PL statements.
- All statement forms are defined by **truth tables**, which tell us how to determine the truth value of molecular statements from the truth values of their atoms.



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic: Truth Functions II

- We begin with negations, which have the simplest truth functions. The truth table for negation is as follows (we use T and F for true and false):

$p$	$\sim p$
T	F
F	T

- In words, this table says that if  $p$  is true then  $\sim p$  is false, and if  $p$  is false, then  $\sim p$  is true. This is quite intuitive, and corresponds well to the English meaning of “not”. So, truth-functional (PL) negation is like English negation.
- Examples:
  - It is not the case that Wagner wrote operas. ( $\sim W$ )
  - It is not the case that Picasso wrote operas. ( $\sim P$ )
- “ $\sim W$ ” is false, since “ $W$ ” is true, and “ $\sim P$ ” is true, since “ $P$ ” is false (like English).



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic: Truth Functions III

$p$	$q$	$p \bullet q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

- Notice how we have four (4) rows in our truth table this time (not 2). This is because there are four possible ways of assigning truth values to  $p$  and  $q$ .
- The truth-functional definition of  $\bullet$  is very close to the English “and”. A PL conjunction is true if *both* conjuncts are true; and, it is false otherwise.
  - Monet and van Gogh were painters. ( $M \bullet V$ )
  - Monet and Beethoven were painters. ( $M \bullet B$ )
  - Beethoven and Einstein were painters. ( $B \bullet E$ )
- “ $M \bullet V$ ” is true, since both “ $M$ ” and “ $V$ ” are true. “ $M \bullet B$ ” is false, since “ $B$ ” is false. And, “ $B \bullet E$ ” is false, since “ $B$ ” and “ $E$ ” are both false (like English).



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic: Truth Functions IV

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

- The truth-functional definition of  $\vee$  is not as close to the English “or”. A PL disjunction is true if *at least one* disjunct is true; and, it is false otherwise.
- In English, “ $A$  or  $B$ ” often implies that “ $A$ ” and “ $B$ ” are *not both true*. That is called *exclusive* or. In PL, “ $A \vee B$ ” is *not* exclusive; it is *inclusive* (it is true if both disjuncts are true). But, we *can* express exclusive or in PL. How?
  - Either Jane austen or René Descartes was novelist. ( $J \vee R$ )
  - Either Jane Austen or Charlotte Bronte was a novelist. ( $J \vee C$ )
  - Either René Descartes or David Hume was a novelist. ( $R \vee D$ )
- The first two disjunctions are true because at least one their disjuncts is true, but the third disjunction is false, since both of its disjuncts are false.



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic: Truth Functions V

$p$	$q$	$p \supset q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

- The truth-functional definition of  $\supset$  is farther from the English “only if”. A PL conditional is false iff its antecedent is true and its consequent is false.
- Consider the following English conditionals. [Let  $M$  = the moon is made of green cheese,  $O$  = life exists on other planets, and  $E$  = life exists on Earth]
  - If the moon is made of green cheese, then life exists on other planets.
  - If life exists on other planets, then life exists on earth.
- The PL translations of these sentences are both true.  $M \supset O$  is true because its antecedent  $M$  is false.  $O \supset E$  is true because its consequent  $E$  is true. This does *not* capture the English “if”. We’ll see later that  $p \supset q \approx \sim p \vee q$ .



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic: Truth Functions VI

$p$	$q$	$p \equiv q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

- The truth-functional definition of  $\equiv$  is far from the English “if and only if”. A PL biconditional is true iff both of its components have the same truth value.
- Consider these two biconditionals. [ $M$  = the moon is made of green cheese,  $U$  = there are unicorns,  $E$  = life exists on Earth, and  $S$  = the sky is blue]
  - The moon is made of green cheese if and only if there are unicorns.
  - Life exists on earth if and only if the sky is blue.
- The PL translations of these sentences are both true.  $M \equiv U$  is true because  $M$  and  $U$  are false.  $E \equiv S$  is true because  $E$  and  $S$  are true. This does *not* capture the English “if and only if”. We’ll see that  $p \equiv q \approx (p \bullet q) \vee (\sim p \bullet \sim q)$ .



## Chapter 6 — Propositional Logic: Truth Functions VII

- With the truth-table definitions of the five connectives in hand, we can now construct truth tables for arbitrary compound PL statements.
- The procedure for constructing the truth-table of  $p$  is as follows:
  1. Determine the number of rows in the truth-table. This is  $2^n$ , where  $n$  is the number of atomic sentences in the compound statement  $p$ .
  2. The table will have  $n + 1$  main columns:  $n$  columns for the atomic sentences in  $p$ , and one for the truth-values of  $p$  itself.
  3. The table will also have some “quasi-columns” — one for each PL statement occurring in the compound  $p$  — which needn’t be drawn explicitly, but which will go into the determination of the truth values of  $p$ .
  4. Place the atomic symbols in the left most columns, going in alphabetical order from left to right. And place  $p$  in the right most column.
  5. Write in all possible combinations of truth-values for the atomic statements. There will be  $2^n$  of these — one for each row of the table.



6. The convention here is to start on the  $n$ th column (farthest down the alphabet) with the pattern TFTF ... repeated until the column is filled. Then, go TTFF ... in the  $n - 1$ st column. And, TTTTFFFF ... in the  $n - 2$ nd column, etc. ..., until the very first column has been completed.
7. Next, we need to compute the truth-values of  $p$  in each row of the table. Here, we start from the inside-out. We first copy the truth-values of the atoms, then we compute the negations, conjunctions, etc. which compose  $p$ . Finally, we will be in a position to compute the value of the main connective of  $p$ , at which point we will be done with  $p$ ’s truth table.

- Example: Step-By-Step Truth-Table Construction of “ $A \equiv (B \bullet A)$ .”

$A$	$B$	$A \equiv (B \bullet A)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

