

Homework #3 Solutions

March 15, 2016

Page 57, I: 1, 5 & 7

1. Truth-Table for ' $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \& B))$ ' (main connective in **red**):

A	B	$A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \& B))$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

$\therefore 'A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (A \& B))'$ is *tautological* (it is true on all interpretations).

5. Truth-Table for ' $((F \& G) \rightarrow H) \rightarrow ((F \vee G) \rightarrow H)$ ' (main connective in **red**):

F	G	H	$((F \& G) \rightarrow H) \rightarrow ((F \vee G) \rightarrow H)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

$\therefore '((F \& G) \rightarrow H) \rightarrow ((F \vee G) \rightarrow H)'$ is *contingent* (it is true on some interpretations, false on others).

7. Truth-Table for ' $(A \leftrightarrow B) \& ((C \rightarrow \sim A) \& (B \rightarrow C))$ ' (main connective in **red**):

A	B	C	$(A \leftrightarrow B) \& ((C \rightarrow \sim A) \& (B \rightarrow C))$
T	T	T	F
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F

$\therefore '(A \leftrightarrow B) \& ((C \rightarrow \sim A) \& (B \rightarrow C))'$ is *contingent* (it is true on some interpretations, false on others).

Page 58, II

II. Truth-Tables for the sentences in question (main connectives in **red**):

(1)

A	B	$A \vee B$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

(2)

A	B	$A \rightarrow B$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

(3)

A	B	$\sim (A \& \sim B)$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

A	B	\sim	$(\sim A \& \sim B)$
T	T	T	F
T	F	T	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F

A	B	$\sim A \vee B$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

A	$\sim A \vee A$
T	T
F	T

A	$(A \rightarrow (A \& \sim A)) \rightarrow \sim A$
T	F
F	T

Therefore, we have the following equivalences:

- (6) and (7) are equivalent.
- (1) and (4) are equivalent.
- (2), (3), and (5) are equivalent.

Page 58, III

III. No, if p is not a tautology, it does *not* follow that ' $\sim p$ ' is a tautology. I proved this in lecture (it's the metatheoretic question: If $\models p$, then does it follow that $\models \sim p$?). There are LSL sentences such that *both* $\models p$ and $\models \sim p$. Any atomic wff (e.g., ' A ') will do. More generally, any *contingent* sentence p will, by definition, be such that $\models p$ and $\models \sim p$.