PHIL 424: Practice Mid-Term Solutions

October 18, 2014

The actual mid-term eaxm will have the same structure as this practice mid-term. You will have the full class period on Tuesday (10/21) to complete the actual exam. You may use a calculator.

1 Proving A Probability Theorem Algebraically

Prove the following theorem, by (a) translating it into algebra (using the stochastic truthtable below), and then (b) showing that the resulting algebraic inequality must be true (assuming, as always, that a, b, c, d are each on [0, 1] and that they sum to one).

Theorem 1.
$$cr(X \supset Y) \ge cr(Y \mid X)$$
.

Please use the following stochastic truth-table to prove **Theorem 1**.

X	Y	cr(·)
T	T	а
T	F	b
F	T	С
F	F	d

Solution. Suppose **Theorem 1** is *false*. That is, suppose $cr(X \supset Y) < cr(Y \mid X)$. We can then reason to an absurdity/contradiction, as follows.

$$\operatorname{cr}(X \supset Y) = 1 - b < \frac{a}{a+b} = \operatorname{cr}(Y \mid X)$$

 $(a+b)(1-b) < a$
 $a - ab + b - b^2 < a$
 $ab + b - b^2 < 0$
 $b(a+(1-b)) < 0$

Because b, a, and 1-b are all *probabilities*, they must all be *non-negative*. Hence, b(a+(1-b)) must be *non-negative* (*i.e.*, we must have $b(a+(1-b)) \ge 0$). So, our assumption that **Theorem 1** is *false* has led to a contradiction. Therefore, **Theorem 1** must be true.

2 Finding a Probability Distribution

Find a probability distribution (*i.e.*, an assignment of numbers to a, b, c, d, which are each on [0,1] and which sum to one — as in the above stochastic truth-table) which satisfies the following three constraints. Explain how you found the solution, and why it is correct.

- 1. $\operatorname{cr}(X \supset Y) = \operatorname{cr}(Y \mid X)$.
- 2. cr(X) = 1/2.
- 3. $cr(Y) = \frac{5}{8}$.

Solution. There is a *unique* solution to these three equations. This solution can be found by reasoning as follows. First, from (1), we know that:

$$\operatorname{cr}(X\supset Y)=1-b=\frac{a}{a+b}=\operatorname{cr}(Y\mid X)$$

Expanding and simplifying (1) yields:

$$(a+b)(1-b) = a$$
$$a - ab + b - b^2 = a$$
$$b(a + (1-b)) = 0$$

From (2), we know that a+b=1/2. Hence, b must be between zero and 1/2. So, if b>0, then 1-b>0 and b(a+(1-b))>0. As a result, it follows from (1) that b must be equal to zero. Therefore, a=1/2. Finally, from (3), we know that a+c=5/8. Therefore, c=1/8. This yields the following *unique* probability distribution (since d=1-(a+b+c)).

X	Y	cr(·)
T	T	1/2
T	F	0
F	T	1/8
F	F	3/8

3 Verifying Properties of a Probability Distribtion

Here is a (stochastic truth-table representation of a) probability distribution over the algebra generated by the three atomic sentences H, E, K.

Н	$\mid E \mid$	K	cr(⋅)
T	T	T	a := 49/256
T	T	F	b := 1/16
T	F	T	c := 31/256
T	F	F	d := 1/8
F	T	T	$e := \frac{31}{256}$
F	T	F	f := 1/8
F	F	T	g := 17/256
F	F	F	$h := \frac{3}{16}$

Use this table to verify the following three claims about this distribution.¹

- 1. cr(H | E) > cr(H).
- 2. $cr(H \mid E \& K) < cr(H \mid K)$.
- 3. $cr(H \mid E \& \sim K) < cr(H \mid \sim K)$.

Solution. This is a straightforward *plug-and-chug* problem. So, I will just do the first one.

$$\operatorname{cr}(H \mid E) = \frac{a+b}{a+b+e+f} = \frac{\frac{49}{256} + \frac{1}{16}}{\frac{49}{256} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{31}{256} + \frac{1}{8}}$$

$$= \frac{65}{128}$$

$$> \frac{64}{128} = \frac{49}{256} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{31}{256} + \frac{1}{8} = a+b+c+d = \operatorname{cr}(H)$$

4 Proving Another Probability Theorem Algebraically

Prove the following theorem, by (a) translating it into algebra (using the stochastic truthtable below), and then (b) showing that the resulting algebraic statement must be true (assuming, as always, that a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h are each on [0,1] and that they sum to one).

Theorem 2. If
$$cr(X \mid Y \& Z) = 1$$
, then $cr(X \mid Y) \ge cr(Z \mid Y)$.

Please use the following stochastic truth-table to prove **Theorem 2**.

X	Y	Z	cr(⋅)
T	T	T	а
T	T	F	b
T	F	T	С
T	F	F	d
F	T	T	е
F	T	F	f
F	F	T	\mathcal{G}
F	F	F	h

Solution. This one looks complicated, but it's actually very simple. First, we translate **Theorem 2** into algebra.

Theorem 2 (algebraically). If
$$\frac{a}{a+e} = 1$$
, then $\frac{a+b}{a+b+e+f} \ge \frac{a+e}{a+b+e+f}$.

¹This is a case in which (1) E is positiviely relevant to H, unconditionally; but, (2) E is negatively relevant to H, $conditional\ upon\ \sim K$. What is this kind of case called? Hint: it's got "paradox" in the name. **Solution**: This is an instance of $Simpson's\ Paradox$.

Proof. If $\frac{a}{a+e} = 1$, then a+e=a, which implies that e=0. And, if e=0, then

$$\frac{a+b}{a+b+e+f} = \frac{a+b}{a+b+f} \text{ and } \frac{a+e}{a+b+e+f} = \frac{a}{a+b+f}$$

Finally, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{a+b}{a+b+f} \ge \frac{a}{a+b+f}$$

because $a + b \ge a$. And, this establishes the algebraic rendition of **Theorem 2**.