Author(s) and Year	Not invited Invited Control		Not invited Invited Older		vited	Study Bias Assessment	Odds Ratio [95% CI]
Carlsson & Eriksson (2019).	142	9	1477	118	<b>⊢</b>	Moderate	1.26 [0.63, 2.54]
Farber et al. (2019).1	1321	139	1246	122	HIIH	Moderate	0.93 [0.72, 1.20]
Neumark et al. (2016).1	10896	2348	3460	655	•	High	0.88 [0.80, 0.97]
RE Model for Age 40–49					•		0.89 [0.81, 0.97]
Carlsson & Eriksson (2019).2	2 142	9	1621	57	-	Moderate	0.55 [0.27, 1.14]
Farber et al. (2019).2	1321	139	1324	115	HIIH	Moderate	0.83 [0.64, 1.07]
Neumark et al. (2016).2	10896	2348	7310	1170	•	High	0.74 [0.69, 0.80]
RE Model for Age 50–59					•		0.75 [0.69, 0.80]
Carlsson & Eriksson (2019).3	3 142	9	979	17	<del></del>	Moderate	0.27 [0.12, 0.63]
Farber et al. (2019).3	1321	139	1244	101	H≣H	Moderate	0.77 [0.59, 1.01]
Neumark et al. (2016).3	10896	2348	8565	1038		High	0.56 [0.52, 0.61]
Neumark et al. (2019).1	5288	1789	3768	827	•	Moderate	0.65 [0.59, 0.71]
RE Model for Age 60-65					•		0.62 [0.53, 0.72]
Carlsson & Eriksson (2019).4	142	9	801	10	<b></b>	Moderate	0.20 [0.08, 0.49]
Neumark et al. (2016).4	10896	2348	3866	561		High	0.67 [0.61, 0.74]
Neumark et al. (2019).2	5288	1789	2102	398	•	Moderate	0.56 [0.50, 0.63]
RE Model for Age 66+					•		0.50 [0.29, 0.85]
	r in						
	0.07 1						

Odds Ratio