1. (DF2.2.4) For each of  $S_3$ ,  $D_8$ , and  $Q_8$ , compute the centralizers of each element and find the center of each group. Does Lagrange's Theorem simplify your work?

Recall that the centralizer of an element in a group G and the center of a group G are both subgroups of G. This means that by Lagrange's theorem, the order of these subgroups divides the order of the group.

So because  $|S_3| = 6 = 2 \cdot 3$ ,  $|D_8| = 8 = 2^3$ , and  $Q_8 = 8 = 2^3$ , our work is simplified because we only need to find subgroups whose order divides these orders (of course, a group may not have a subgroup whose order is a particular divisor of the group's order).

Then for each group,

$$S_3 = \{1, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}$$

$$D_8 = \{1, r, r^2, r^3, s, sr, sr^2, sr^3\}$$

$$Q_8 = \{1, -1, i, -i, j, -j, k, -k\},$$

we compute the centralizers of each element. So for every element  $g \in G$ , we compute  $C_G(g)$  by inspection (trying out elements in the group which commute until we reach a suitable number of elements). In these groups,

$$C_{S_3}(1) = S_3 \qquad C_{D_8}(1) = D_8 \qquad C_{Q_8}(1) = Q_8$$

$$C_{S_3}((1\,2)) = \{1, (1\,2)\} \qquad C_{D_8}(r) = \{1, r, r^2, r^3\} \qquad C_{Q_8}(-1) = Q_8$$

$$C_{S_3}((1\,3)) = \{1, (1\,3)\} \qquad C_{D_8}(r^2) = D_8 \qquad C_{Q_8}(i) = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$$

$$C_{S_3}((2\,3)) = \{1, (2\,3)\} \qquad C_{D_8}(r^3) = \{1, r, r^2, r^3\} \qquad C_{Q_8}(-i) = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$$

$$C_{S_3}((1\,2\,3)) = \{1, (1\,3\,2)\} \qquad C_{D_8}(s) = \{1, r^2, s, sr^2\} \qquad C_{Q_8}(j) = \{1, -1, j, -j\}$$

$$C_{S_3}((1\,3\,2)) = \{1, (1\,2\,3)\} \qquad C_{D_8}(sr) = \{1, r^2, sr, sr^3\} \qquad C_{Q_8}(-j) = \{1, -1, j, -j\}$$

$$C_{D_8}(sr^2) = \{1, r^2, sr^2, s\} \qquad C_{Q_8}(k) = \{1, -1, k, -k\}$$

$$C_{D_8}(sr^3) = \{1, r^2, sr^3, sr\} \qquad C_{Q_8}(-k) = \{1, -1, k, -k\}$$

It is clear from enumerating all of these centralizers that  $Z(S_3) = \{1\}$ ,  $Z(D_8) = \{1, r^2\}$ , and  $Z(Q_8) = \{1, -1\}$ .

2. (DF2.3.26) Let  $Z_n$  be a cyclic group of order n and for each integer a let

$$\sigma_a \colon Z_n \to Z_n$$
 by  $\sigma_a(x) = x^a$  for all  $x \in Z_n$ .

(a) Prove that  $\sigma_a$  is an automorphism of  $Z_n$  if and only if a and n are relatively prime.

*Proof.* Let  $Z_n$  be a cyclic group of order n and  $\sigma_a : Z_n \to Z_n$  be as given and suppose that a is coprime to n. Then there exist  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that 1 = as + nt.

We show  $\sigma_a$  is surjective. For any  $y \in Z_n$ , we have  $y = y^1 = y^{as+nt} = (y^s)^a \cdot (y^n)^t = (y^s)^a \cdot 1^t = (y^s)^a$ , where the fact that  $|y| \mid n$  was used in the fourth equality. Then for any  $y \in Z_n$ , we have that  $y^s \in Z_n$  and so  $\sigma_a(y^s) = y$ .

We show  $\sigma_a$  is injective by showing its kernel is the trivial subgroup of  $Z_n$ . Suppose there exists  $x \in Z_n$  where  $x \neq 1$ , such that  $\sigma_a(x) = x^a = 1$ . Observe that because  $\gcd(a,n) = 1$ , there exist integers s,t such that 1 = as + nt, so that  $x = x^1 = x^{as+nt} = (x^a)^s = 1^s = 1$ . But  $x \neq 1$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\ker \sigma_a = \{1\}$ , and  $\sigma_a$  is injective.

This map preserves the group operation as well. For  $x, y \in Z_n$ , because cyclic groups are abelian,  $\sigma_a(xy) = (xy)^a = x^a y^a = \sigma_a(x)\sigma_a(y)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\sigma_a$  is an automorphism. When n=1, the cyclic group  $Z_n=\{1\}$ , and so for every integer a,  $\gcd(a,1)=1$ . So without loss of generality, consider cyclic groups  $Z_n$  where n>1. Then by contradiction, suppose that  $\gcd(a,n)>1$ . Then the map  $\sigma_a$  cannot be injective as assumed, because  $s=\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)}\in\mathbb{Z}$  and  $t=\frac{a}{\gcd(a,n)}\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $Z_n$  is a cyclic group, it is generated by some element  $z\neq 1$  (since n>1), so that  $Z_n=\langle z\rangle$ , and |z|=n. But s< n, since  $\gcd(a,n)>1$ . Hence  $z^s\neq 1$ , and so

$$\sigma_a(z^s) = (z^s)^a = \left(z^{\frac{n}{\gcd a, n}}\right)^{t \cdot \gcd(a, n)} = z^{tn} = (z^n)^t = 1^t = 1.$$

So ker  $\sigma_a$  is not a trivial subgroup (contains a nontrivial element) of  $Z_n$ , and so  $\sigma_a$  cannot be injective, which is in contradiction with the assumption that  $\sigma_a$  is an automorphism. Hence  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ .

Therefore  $\sigma_a$  is an automorphism of  $Z_n$  if and only if a and n are relatively prime.

(b) Prove that  $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

Proof. Let  $\sigma_a$  be as given. Suppose  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , so that there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that b = a + kn. Then for any element  $x \in Z_n$ ,  $\sigma_b(x) = x^b = x^{a+kn} = x^a(x^n)^k = x^a \cdot 1^k = x^a = \sigma_a(x)$ . Since x was arbitrary, the action of  $\sigma_a$  and  $\sigma_b$  agree on  $Z_n$  and so the maps are equivalent as mappings from  $Z_n$  to  $Z_n$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$ , so that for any  $x \in Z_n$ ,  $\sigma_a(x) = x^a = x^b = \sigma_b(x)$ . Then  $1 = x^b x^{-a} = x^{b-a}$ , which implies that  $n \mid b - a$ , which by definition is equivalent to  $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ , since there exists an integer k such that  $b - a = nk \iff b = a + nk$ .

(c) Prove that every automorphism of  $Z_n$  is equal to  $\sigma_a$  for some integer a.

Proof. Let  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary automorphism of  $Z_n$ . Let  $Z_n = \langle z \rangle$ , so that |z| = n and since z generates  $Z_n$ , we may write any element in  $Z_n$  as a power of z. Because  $\sigma$  is a bijection from  $Z_n$  to  $Z_n$ , there exists an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sigma(z) = z^a$ . Then for any element  $x \in Z_n$ , there exists an integer k such that  $x = z^k$ . Then by properties of automorphisms,

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(z^k) = (\sigma(z))^k = (z^a)^k = (z^k)^a = x^a.$$

Hence  $\sigma = \sigma_a$ , since x was any element in  $Z_n$ . Since  $\sigma$  was arbitrary, it follows that any automorphism of  $Z_n$  is equivalent to  $\sigma_a$  for some integer a.

(d) Prove that  $\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b = \sigma_{ab}$ . Deduce that the map  $\overline{a} \mapsto \sigma_a$  is an isomorphism of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  onto the automorphism group of  $Z_n$  (so Aut $(Z_n)$  is an abelian group of order  $\varphi(n)$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_i$  be an automorphism of  $Z_n$  as given. Then for any element  $x \in Z_n$ ,

$$(\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b)(x) = \sigma_a(\sigma(b)(x)) = \sigma_a(x^b) = (x^b)^a = x^{ab} = \sigma_{ab}(x).$$

Note that  $\sigma_{ab}$  is an automorphism if and only if ab is coprime to n, which can be guaranteed if both a and b were already coprime to n. When a and b are coprime to n,  $\sigma_a$ ,  $\sigma_b$ , and  $\sigma_{ab}$  are automorphisms of  $Z_n$ . Hence  $\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b = \sigma_{ab}$ . This is enough to see that the map  $\overline{a} \mapsto \sigma_a$  preserves the group operation; for  $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ ,

$$\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} = \overline{ab} \mapsto \sigma_{ab} = \sigma_a \circ \sigma_b.$$

Observe that the mapping is injective because in (b) we showed that  $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , which by definition of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  is also equivalent to  $\overline{a} = \overline{b}$ .

Furthermore, the mapping is surjective because every automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $Z_n$  is equal to  $\sigma_a$  for some integer a, so we can combine this with  $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$  if and only if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ , where b can be chosen to be the remainder of dividing a by n. Since  $\bar{b}$  is a residue class of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ , we have that the preimage of  $\sigma$  under this mapping is  $\bar{b}$ , so all automorphisms of  $Z_n$  have a preimage in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  under this mapping. Hence the mapping  $\bar{a} \mapsto \sigma_a$  is an isomorphism from  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  onto  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_n)$ , so the order of these groups are equal  $(|(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}| = |\operatorname{Aut}(Z_n)| = \varphi(n))$ , and both groups are cyclic. Hence  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_n)$  is an abelian group of order  $\varphi(n)$ .

- 3. (DF2.4.14) A group H is called *finitely generated* if there is a finite set A such that  $H = \langle A \rangle$ .
  - (a) Prove that every finite group is finitely generated.

*Proof.* Suppose G is a group of finite order. Then it is clear that  $G = \langle G \rangle$ , since G has finitely many elements and any finite product of elements and their inverses in G is also in G, because G is a group  $(\langle G \rangle \subseteq G)$ . Furthermore, every element of G can be seen as the product of one element, itself, of G  $(G \subseteq \langle G \rangle)$ . Hence  $G = \langle G \rangle$  is finitely generated.

(b) Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is finitely generated.

*Proof.* Observe that the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\langle 1 \rangle$  (or  $\langle -1 \rangle$ ), since any integer multiple of 1 (or -1) is also an integer ( $\langle \pm 1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ ), and we may express every integer n as  $n \cdot 1$  (or  $(-n) \cdot (-1)$ ) ( $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \langle \pm 1 \rangle$ ). Hence  $\mathbb{Z} = \langle \pm 1 \rangle$  is finitely generated.

(c) Prove that every finitely generated subgroup of the additive group  $\mathbb{Q}$  is cyclic. [If H is a finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , show that  $H \leq \langle 1/k \rangle$ , where k is the product of all the denominators which appear in a set of generators for H.]

*Proof.* Let H be any finitely generated subgroup of the additive group  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let H be generated by the set of rational numbers  $\left\{\frac{a_1}{k_1}, \frac{a_2}{k_2}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{k_n}\right\}$ , where n is some positive integer (H may be generated by the empty set, and in this case  $H = \{1\}$  would already be cyclic).

Then let  $k = \prod_{i=1}^{n} k_i$ , so that we may consider the cyclic subgroup  $\langle 1/k \rangle = \{\ldots, \frac{-2}{k}, \frac{-1}{k}, 1, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \ldots\}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We can show that  $H \leq \langle 1/k \rangle$ .

Clearly H contains the same identity as  $\langle 1/k \rangle$  (by taking the empty product of the generators). Every element in H is of the form  $\sum$  so H is a nonempty subset of  $\langle 1/k \rangle$ . Then because H is abelian (since addition commutes we can collect like terms), we may write every element in H as  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{a_i}{k_i}$ , for some integers  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then rewrite the sum where all terms have a common denominator k, say the sum is written in the form  $\frac{C}{k}$ , where  $C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i c_i \left(\prod_{j \neq i} k_j\right)$ . Since  $C \in \mathbb{Z}$ , any element in H is an element in  $\langle 1/k \rangle$ , so that H is a nonempty subset of  $\langle 1/k \rangle$ .

The group H is closed under addition and taking inverses (subtraction). For any two elements  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \frac{a_i}{k_i}$ ,  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \frac{a_i}{k_i}$  of H, where  $c_i$ ,  $d_i$  are some integers,  $x + y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_i + d_i) \frac{a_i}{k_i}$  and  $-x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -c_i \frac{a_i}{k_i}$ . Both are clearly elements of H.

Hence  $H \leq \langle 1/k \rangle$ , and we know that subgroups of cyclic groups are cyclic, so H is cyclic. Since H was any finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it follows that any finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is cyclic.  $\square$ 

(d) Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not finitely generated.

Proof. Suppose via contradiction that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is finitely generated, say by the set of rational numbers  $\left\{\frac{a_1}{k_1}, \frac{a_2}{k_2}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{k_n}\right\}$ , where n is a positive integer (clearly n is not 0). Then let  $k = \prod_{i=1}^n k_i$ . Observe that there is no way to form with a finite sum of these rational numbers the rational number  $\frac{C}{k+1}$ , since  $k \nmid k+1$  (since  $k \nmid k+1$ ) for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This is in contradiction with the assumption that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is finitely generated (we should be able to generate every rational number with a finite sum of rational numbers). Hence  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not finitely generated.

- 4. (DF2.4.16) A subgroup M of a group G is called a maximal subgroup if  $M \neq G$  and the only subgroups of G which contain M are M and G.
  - (a) Prove that if H is a proper subgroup of the finite group G then there is a maximal subgroup of G containing H.

*Proof.* Let H be a proper subgroup of G as given. Then we may extend this subgroup into a larger subgroup of G by generating the subgroup  $H_1 = \langle H, \{m\} \rangle$ , for some  $m \in G$  not in H. This new subgroup  $H_1$  is either G itself or it is a subgroup of G containing H. If  $H_1 = G$ , then H is a maximal subgroup of G containing H. Otherwise, we will have to extend  $H_1$  into a larger subgroup of G and see if this next subgroup is equal to G or not.

So we can form a recursive algorithm for generating even larger and larger subgroups of G which contain H. Let  $H_{i+1} = \langle H_i, \{m_i\} \rangle$ , for  $0 \leq i$ , where  $m_i$  is an element of G not in  $H_i$ . Let  $H_0 = H$ . This algorithm terminates at the j-th step when there are no more elements  $m_j$  not in  $H_j$  such that the next subgroup containing H,  $H_{j+1}$  is not equal to G; that is to say, if we extended  $H_j$  any more we would form G. It follows that  $H_j$  a maximal subgroup of G containing H.

This algorithm will terminate because G is finite; furthermore G is finitely generated. For instance, we could always take  $m_i$  from a finite set that generates G, and so this algorithm is guaranteed to end in a number of steps less than or equal to the cardinality of this set.

So in however many finite steps it takes to keep extending H into larger and larger subgroups of G (but not so large that the resulting subgroup is equal to G), we will reach a point where the resulting subgroup is indeed a maximal subgroup of G containing H.

(b) Show that the subgroup of all rotations in a dihedral group is a maximal subgroup.

*Proof.* The subgroup of all rotations in a dihedral group  $D_{2n}$  has order n. By Lagrange's theorem, the order of subgroups of  $D_{2n}$  must divide 2n.

Observe that there are no factors of 2n strictly larger than n aside from 2n. Furthermore, any other group of order n in  $D_{2n}$  distinct from the subgroup of all rotations will not contain all n rotations, so these other subgroups of order n will not contain the subgroup of all rotations.

Hence the subgroup of all rotations in a dihedral group is a maximal subgroup.

(c) Show that if  $G = \langle x \rangle$  is a cyclic group of order  $n \geq 1$  then a subgroup H is maximal if and only if  $H = \langle x^p \rangle$  for some prime p dividing n.

*Proof.* Let  $G = \langle x \rangle$  be a cyclic group of order  $n \geq 1$  as given. Then suppose that  $H = \langle x^p \rangle$  for some prime p dividing n. Then suppose by way of contradiction that there is a proper subgroup H' of G containing H, so that H is a proper subgroup of H'. Then it follows that there is an element  $y = x^m$  in H' not in H, where m is coprime to p. If m was not coprime to p, then it follows that m is a multiple of p and so this element would really an element of H.

Because m and p are coprime, there exist integers s, t such that sm + pt = 1. Since H' is a group (which contains  $H = \langle x^p \rangle$ ), we may take the product  $(x^m)^s(x^p)^t = x^{ms+pt} = x$ . Then we may take any power of x and so it follows that H' = G, which is in contradiction to the assumption that H' was a proper subgroup of G.

Hence  $H = \langle x^p \rangle$  is a maximal subgroup of G.

Conversely, suppose H is a maximal subgroup of G. Because all subgroups of cyclic groups are cyclic,  $H = \langle x^m \rangle$  for some integer m. Without loss of generality, let m be a positive integer strictly greater than 1 (as m = 1 makes H = G). Then by way of contradiction, suppose m is composite, so that there exist integers a, b such that m = ab. Then it follows that  $H = \langle x^m \rangle = \langle x^{ab} \rangle \leq \langle x^b \rangle$ , since all elements of H are in the form  $x^{nab} = (x^b)^{na}$ , which are elements of  $\langle x^b \rangle$ . Hence H is not a maximal subgroup of G as assumed, so K is not composite as assumed.

Hence k is a prime number p. Furthermore, p divides n because otherwise  $\gcd p, n = 1$  (this happens when primes are either larger than n or if p is not a divisor of n). If  $\gcd(p,n) = 1$ , then the order of  $H = \langle x^p \rangle$  is  $n/\gcd(p,n) = n/1 = n$ , which makes H = G, but H is a maximal subgroup of G, so H cannot equal G.

Hence  $H = \langle x^p \rangle$  for some prime p which divides n.

Therefore, H is a maximal subgroup of G if and only if  $H = \langle x^p \rangle$  for some prime p dividing n.