

ACVP KAS SSC FFC Specification

Russell Hammett
HII Technical Solutions Division
302 Sentinel Drive, Suite #300, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

June 26, 2020

Abstract

This document defines the JSON schema for testing KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 implementations with the ACVP specification.

Keywords

The following are keywords to be used by search engines and document catalogues.

ACVP; cryptography

Foreword

The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the Nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related information in federal information systems. The Special Publication 800-series reports on ITL's research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in information system security, and its collaborative activities with industry, government, and academic organizations.

Audience

This document is intended for the users and developers of ACVP.

Conventions

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “NOT RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 of [\[RFC 2119\]](#) and [\[RFC 8174\]](#) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Acknowledgements

This document is produced by the Security Testing, Validation and Measurement group under the Automated Cryptographic Validation Testing (ACVT) program.

Executive Summary

The Automated Crypto Validation Protocol (ACVP) defines a mechanism to automatically verify the cryptographic implementation of a software or hardware crypto module. The ACVP specification defines how a crypto module communicates with an ACVP server, including crypto

capabilities negotiation, session management, authentication, vector processing and more. The ACVP specification does not define algorithm specific JSON constructs for performing the crypto validation. A series of ACVP sub-specifications define the constructs for testing individual crypto algorithms. Each sub-specification addresses a specific class of crypto algorithms. This sub-specification defines the JSON constructs for testing KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 implementations using ACVP.

Disclaimer

Any mention of commercial products or reference to commercial organizations is for information only; it does not imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor does it imply that the products mentioned are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Additional Information

For additional information on NIST's Cybersecurity programs, projects and publications, visit the [Computer Security Resource Center](#). Information on other efforts at [NIST](#) and in the [Information Technology Laboratory](#) (ITL) is also available.

Feedback

Feedback on this publication is welcome, and can be sent to: code-signing@nist.gov.

1. Introduction

The Automated Crypto Validation Protocol (ACVP) defines a mechanism to automatically verify the cryptographic implementation of a software or hardware crypto module. The ACVP specification defines how a crypto module communicates with an ACVP server, including crypto capabilities negotiation, session management, authentication, vector processing and more. The ACVP specification does not define algorithm specific JSON constructs for performing the crypto validation. A series of ACVP sub-specifications define the constructs for testing individual crypto algorithms. Each sub-specification addresses a specific class of crypto algorithms. This sub-specification defines the JSON constructs for testing KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 implementations using ACVP.

2. Supported KAS SSC FFC

The following KAS algorithms **MAY** be advertised by this ACVP compliant crypto module:

- KAS-FFC-SSC / null / Sp800-56Ar3

Other KAS algorithms **MAY** be advertised by the ACVP module elsewhere.

3. Test Types and Test Coverage

This section describes the design of the tests used to validate KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 implementations.

3.1. Test Types

- “AFT” — Algorithm Function Test. In the AFT test mode, the IUT SHALL act as a party in the Key Agreement with the ACVP server. The server SHALL generate and provide all necessary information for the IUT to compute a shared secret z ; both the server and IUT MAY act as party U/V.
- “VAL” — Validation test. In the VAL test mode, The ACVP server MUST generate a complete (from both party U and party V’s perspectives) shared secret z , and expects the IUT to be able to determine if that shared secret is valid. Various types of conditions/errors MUST be introduced in varying portions of the key agreement process (changed Z , Z with leading zero nibble, etc), that the IUT MUST be able to detect and report on.

3.2. Test Coverage

The tests described in this document have the intention of ensuring an implementation is conformant to SP800-56Ar3 KAS Shared Secret Computation (SSC).

3.2.1. Requirements Covered

- SP 800-56Ar3 — 4.1 Key Establishment Preparations. The ACVP server is responsible for generating domain parameters as per the IUT’s capability registration.
- SP 800-56Ar3 — 4.2 Key-Agreement Process. Both the ACVP server and IUT participate in the shared secret computation. The server and IUT can both take the roles of party U/V, and as such the “performer” of steps depicted in “Figure 2: Key Agreement process” can vary.
- SP 800-56Ar3 — 5.6 Domain Parameters. Domain Parameter Generation SHALL be performed solely from the ACVP server, with constraints from the IUTs capabilities registration. The same set of domain parameters SHALL generate all keypairs (party U/V, static/ephemeral) for a single test case.
- SP 800-56Ar3 — 5.6 Key-Pair Generation. While Key-Pairs are used in each KAS scheme, the generation of said key-pairs is out of scope for KAS testing.
- SP 800-56Ar3 — 4.3 DLC-based Key-Transport Process / 5.7 DLC Primitives. Depending on the scheme used, either Diffie Hellman or MQV SHALL be used to negotiate a shared secret of z . Testing and validation of such key exchanges is covered under their respective schemes.
- SP 800-56Ar3 — 6 Key Agreement Schemes. All schemes specified in referenced document are supported for validation with the ACVP server.

3.2.2. Requirements Not Covered

- KAS SSC testing only covers testing of SP800-56Ar3 through the computation of a shared secret z . Additional functions of KAS as a whole such as KDF, KC, etc. MAY be covered within the scope of the full KAS testing; please see that document for further details.

4. Capabilities Registration

ACVP requires crypto modules to register their capabilities. This allows the crypto module to advertise support for specific algorithms, notifying the ACVP server which algorithms need test vectors generated for the validation process. This section describes the constructs for advertising support of KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 algorithms to the ACVP server.

The algorithm capabilities **MUST** be advertised as JSON objects within the ‘algorithms’ value of the ACVP registration message. The ‘algorithms’ value is an array, where each array element is an individual JSON object defined in this section. The ‘algorithms’ value is part of the ‘capability_exchange’ element of the ACVP JSON registration message. See the ACVP specification [\[ACVP\]](#) for more details on the registration message.

4.1. Prerequisites

Each algorithm implementation **MAY** rely on other cryptographic primitives. For example, RSA Signature algorithms depend on an underlying hash function. Each of these underlying algorithm primitives must be validated, either separately or as part of the same submission. ACVP provides a mechanism for specifying the required prerequisites:

Prerequisites, if applicable, **MUST** be submitted in the registration as the `prereqVals` JSON property array inside each element of the `algorithms` array. Each element in the `prereqVals` array **MUST** contain the following properties

Table 1 — Prerequisite Properties

| JSON Property | Description | JSON Type |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| <code>algorithm</code> | a prerequisite algorithm | string |
| <code>valValue</code> | algorithm validation number | string |

A “valValue” of “same” **SHALL** be used to indicate that the prerequisite is being met by a different algorithm in the capability exchange in the same registration.

An example description of prerequisites within a single algorithm capability exchange looks like this

```
"prereqVals":
[
  {
    "algorithm": "Alg1",
    "valValue": "Val-1234"
  },
  {
    "algorithm": "Alg2",
    "valValue": "same"
  }
]
```


]

Figure 1

4.2. Prerequisite Algorithms for KAS FFC Validations

Some algorithm implementations rely on other cryptographic primitives. For example, IKEv2 uses an underlying SHA algorithm. Each of these underlying algorithm primitives must be validated, either separately or as part of the same submission. ACVP provides a mechanism for specifying the required prerequisites:

Table 2 — Prerequisite Algorithms JSON Values

| JSON Value | Description | JSON type | Valid Values | Optional |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------|
| algorithm | a prerequisite algorithm | value | DRBG, DSA, SafePrimes, SHA | No |
| valValue | algorithm validation number | value | actual number or “same” | No |
| prereqAlgVal | prerequisite algorithm validation | object with algorithm and valValue properties | see above | Yes |

KAS has conditional prerequisite algorithms, depending on the capabilities registered:

Table 3 — Prerequisite requirement conditions

| Prerequisite Algorithm | Condition |
|------------------------|---|
| DRBG | Always REQUIRED |
| SHA | Always REQUIRED |
| DSA | DSA KeyGen validation REQUIRED when IUT makes use of the “FB” or “FB” (legacy) domain parameters for the generation/validation of keys within the module boundary. |
| SafePrimes | SafePrimes KeyGen/KeyVer validation REQUIRED when IUT makes use of the safe-prime groups for the generation/validation of keys within the module boundary. |

4.3. Property Registration

The KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 mode capabilities are advertised as JSON objects within a root “algorithm” object.

A registration **SHALL** use these properties:

Table 4 — Registration Properties

| JSON Property | Description | JSON Type | Valid Values |
|----------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| algorithm | Name of the algorithm to be validated | string | “KAS-FFC-SSC” |
| revision | ACVP Test version | string | “Sp800-56Ar3” |
| prereqVals | Prerequisites of the algorithm | object | See Section 4.1 |
| scheme | Array of schemes supported | array of objects | See Section 4.3.1 |
| domainParameterGenerationMethods | Array of strings representing the supported means of generating domain parameters | array of strings | See Section 4.3.2 |
| scheme | Array of schemes supported | dictionary | See Section 4.3.1 |
| hashFunctionZ | Optional hash function to apply to the shared secret Z in instances where the IUT is unable to return the Z in the clear. | string | See Section 4.3.3 |

4.3.1. Schemes

Schemes **MUST** be registered as a dictionary (key/value pairs) where the key is a valid [Section 4.3.1.1](#), and the value is a [Section 4.3.1.2](#).

4.3.1.1. Scheme Identifier

- dhEphem
- mqv1
- dhHybrid1
- mqv2
- dhHybridOneFlow

- dhOneFlow
- dhStatic

4.3.1.2. Scheme Object

The scheme object is made up of the following properties:

Table 5 — Scheme Properties

| JSON Property | Description | JSON Type | Valid Values |
|---------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------|
| kasRole | The roles the IUT can support for the scheme | array of string | “initiator”, “responder” |

4.3.2. Domain Parameter Generation Methods

- MODP-2048
- MODP-3072
- MODP-4096
- MODP-6144
- MODP-8192
- ffdhe2048
- ffdhe3072
- ffdhe4096
- ffdhe6144
- ffdhe8192
- FB
- FC

4.3.3. Hash Function Z

An optional hash function that should be applied to z from both the ACVP server and IUT for comparison purposes. The provided `hashFunctionZ`’s security strength **MUST** be at least as strong as the greatest security strength domain parameter selected from [Section 4.3.2](#)

The following hash functions **MAY** be advertised by an ACVP compliant server:

- SHA2-224
- SHA2-256
- SHA2-384
- SHA2-512
- SHA2-512/224
- SHA2-512/256

- SHA3-224
- SHA3-256
- SHA3-384
- SHA3-512

4.4. Registration Example

```
{
  "algorithm": "KAS-FFC-SSC",
  "revision": "Sp800-56Ar3",
  "scheme": {
    "dhEphem": {
      "kasRole": [
        "initiator",
        "responder"
      ]
    },
    "mqv1": {
      "kasRole": [
        "initiator"
      ]
    }
  },
  "domainParameterGenerationMethods": [
    "ffdhe2048",
    "FB"
  ],
  "hashFunctionZ": "SHA3-512"
}
```

Figure 2 — Registration JSON Example

5. Test Vectors

The ACVP server provides test vectors to the ACVP client, which are then processed and returned to the ACVP server for validation. A typical ACVP validation test session would require multiple test vector sets to be downloaded and processed by the ACVP client. Each test vector set represents an individual algorithm defined during the capability exchange. This section describes the JSON schema for a test vector set used with KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 algorithms.

The test vector set JSON schema is a multi-level hierarchy that contains meta data for the entire vector set as well as individual test vectors to be processed by the ACVP client. The following table describes the JSON elements at the top level of the hierarchy.

Table 6 — Top Level Test Vector JSON Elements

| JSON Values | Description | JSON Type |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| acvVersion | Protocol version identifier | string |
| vsId | Unique numeric vector set identifier | integer |
| algorithm | Algorithm defined in the capability exchange | string |
| mode | Mode defined in the capability exchange | string |
| revision | Protocol test revision selected | string |
| testGroups | Array of test groups containing test data, see Section 5.1 | array |

An example of this would look like this

```
{
  "acvVersion": "version",
  "vsId": 1,
  "algorithm": "Alg1",
  "mode": "Model",
  "revision": "Revision1.0",
  "testGroups": [ ... ]
}
```

Figure 3

5.1. Test Groups

The testGroups element at the top level in the test vector JSON object is an array of test groups. Test vectors are grouped into similar test cases to reduce the amount of data transmitted in the vector set. For instance, all test vectors that use the same key size would be grouped together. The Test Group JSON object contains meta data that applies to all test vectors within the group. The following table describes the KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 JSON elements of the Test Group JSON object

Table 7 — Test Group Properties

| JSON Values | Description | JSON Type |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| tgId | Test group identifier | integer |
| testType | Describes the operation the client should perform on the tests data | string |
| domainParameterGenerationMode | The curve in use for key generation for the test group | string |
| p | The P value for use in DSA domain parameters. Only provided for “FB”/”FC” `domainParameterGenerationMode`s | hex |
| q | The Q value for use in DSA domain parameters. Only provided for “FB”/”FC” `domainParameterGenerationMode`s | hex |
| g | The G value for use in DSA domain parameters. Only provided for “FB”/”FC” `domainParameterGenerationMode`s | hex |
| scheme | KAS scheme under test | string |
| kasRole | The IUT role under test | string |
| hashFunctionZ | The hash function applied to z (optional) | string |
| tests | Array of individual test cases | See Section 5.2 |

The ‘tgId’, ‘testType’ and ‘tests’ objects **MUST** appear in every test group element communicated from the server to the client as a part of a prompt. Other properties are dependent on which ‘testType’ the group is addressing.

5.2. Test Cases

Each test group contains an array of one or more test cases. Each test case is a JSON object that represents a single test vector to be processed by the ACVP client. The following table describes the JSON elements for each KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3 test vector.

Table 8 — Test Case Properties

| JSON Values | Description | JSON Type |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|
| tcId | Test case identifier | integer |
| staticPublicServer | The server static public key X. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |
| ephemeralPublicServer | The server ephemeral public key X. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |

| JSON Values | Description | JSON Type |
|---------------------|---|-----------|
| staticPrivateIut | The IUT static private key. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |
| staticPublicIut | The IUT static public key X. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |
| ephemeralPrivateIut | The IUT ephemeral private key. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |
| ephemeralPublicIut | The IUT ephemeral public key X. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |
| z | The computed shared secret. Included for VAL tests when registered without a <code>hashFunctionZ</code> | hex |
| hashZ | The hashed computed shared secret. Included for VAL tests when registered with a <code>hashFunctionZ</code> | hex |

Here is an abbreviated yet fully constructed example of the prompt

```
{
  "vsId": 1,
  "algorithm": "KAS-FFC-SSC",
  "revision": "Sp800-56Ar3",
  "testGroups": [
    {
      "p":
"A80011B59FFE93166DBA4BDA5C0E8C7C1087F101FA80CB3F8A76B018AEB56CD43D7EF9AA292F106567F8AA3A
      "q": "F36D179E1A717CA3CC6DCF504FD1C5ACAEEC65BA49E6DBD188D318D3",
      "g":
"7F7FB55DF940391E5E4E52645674126242F63F38BE1F608E3D5C9C2A9ADE5397ECA485374695D10952B9E5B7
      "tgId": 1,
      "testType": "AFT",
      "tests": [
        {
          "ephemeralPublicServer":
"890654F1CA3707EDB11EC9BE2B5917A3194C113171C0EF17D326A39E0F7B64A4E4CC8E8B423B821036883D7D
          "tcId": 1
        },
        {
```

```

        "ephemeralPublicServer":
"2BA97B4E33E3984F85FBAAEED77487DD6AB82BC4D36E8A60095AFDDDBF7F46F0AAB810E30DB56FB559FC8A71
        "tcId": 2
    }
],
    "domainParameterGenerationMode": "FB",
    "scheme": "dhEphem",
    "kasRole": "initiator"
},
{
    "p":
"D503E21979B35BE248A73FE8EF0696DB93F51B84E00170054A9816B4C983BD9FB9E2B855E0BE1A0FD79D2B89
    "q": "9EA4AC00472C3D84984317A6629BFB80D0F359CFDFC772F740E98A47",
    "g":
"0A61782F2567CE9AD0230D5250B775C425977977A05426D584DC8D2D9F2BDEC6262C2CA7C9F0136BBE1B168A
    "tgId": 2,
    "testType": "VAL",
    "tests": [
        {
            "ephemeralPublicServer":
"8663EABE8ACAC1B376BBFA04FF4FD2080A24869584ECA51861B98B98107414411BB7CAC4E3699428E35E09EF
            "ephemeralPrivateIut":
"7FB798FD7AEED6AABA760C7C35C659D1B7B98B7480F7C4828332AA4A",
            "ephemeralPublicIut":
"42A933E40FC6E5A8BB891DAFB96A7E552147E73EBA5AF852FD5817D6F457402DDA5E524B452773EE78646504
            "tcId": 6,
            "z":
"5A226C056D2AC6F3168CB8C58FBD5924D1984E2D6FD89D63F91AFC52F6B641A70582CF1A9D9C07317E00DDAE
        },
        {
            "ephemeralPublicServer":
"2CB40CA3E239BAA9EF96EE11421018368D8D5E0EF20936795E8E423883F2160C510345D12935018FC8A316BF
            "ephemeralPrivateIut":
"5FAD24D04FE47D4050488B7714B2C8134708F828848500D131CC7254",
            "ephemeralPublicIut":
"C5D66DEBE4BAA0B88D46D20042D7B01B0E8E1C6C93D3B53799655752203182EEBDD3C66BE97E9AA1E87A0EE5
            "tcId": 7,
            "z":
"7B439FAE2BCBDCF656806252B530A0AB9FA5B37EB2924E2E88DA4B771B1E7F22273E28DA737C83B0ACD2CA9B
        }
    ],
    "domainParameterGenerationMode": "FB",
    "scheme": "dhEphem",
    "kasRole": "initiator"
},
{

```



```

    "tgId": 3,
    "testType": "AFT",
    "tests": [
      {
        "ephemeralPublicServer":
"489972968DA01B3357DB63F9C43F5256163546EC92F00F1EBC9E264EF8BF62B8C737104E7EEFBBD2451CAD5
        "tcId": 11
      },
      {
        "ephemeralPublicServer":
"9741EF069DD1CAAFDE2FC7CD33CBA6C6A7667F152BE3E79DB4A3CEE64C0574E123415B62C72FAB437091371
        "tcId": 12
      }
    ],
    "domainParameterGenerationMode": "ffdhe2048",
    "scheme": "dhEphem",
    "kasRole": "initiator"
  },
  {
    "tgId": 4,
    "testType": "VAL",
    "tests": [
      {
        "ephemeralPublicServer":
"C64322705A778017EFB7BE65B1FD5CAB5CEEE0901416C8FF04A5B9D84260AB791DA6C709051F63691140514D
        "ephemeralPrivateIut":
"16B8615FDAF01C806270398C14395C1D35573B59C1D643CE7E181071584624C798421740A1E7D0DC0D0BD25F
        "ephemeralPublicIut":
"1F8A9865DCE44C1588BF8E9205A678B7BD712D1B79585F8E142704A93B5E582E994CF629BE3B6D234E99E4A5
        "tcId": 16,
        "z":
"401776D0793F06C8EBA44DCBBB756CE8D0B3255B146ADDAD21512B0FBE50274BF664432F4E4CCF646F4FC9F
      },
      {
        "ephemeralPublicServer":
"78E94C32451BF295FA7BF02969D1915DCA629605C5255268495E063A3C179F22D35FBD3E6446F9823FCFA092
        "ephemeralPrivateIut":
"3A4740F7BC9A5A1B58BBA22EB967F9D9D9095181AF13F924AC2331552A42C05884F3B70F9BD86CEBD9BF877F
        "ephemeralPublicIut":
"2E810954FB5F3FB50943A0F1702908F5B6939E5F4A7E050D1B8A968B56E7A4603A75D449CE2885F4D7C0389E
        "tcId": 17,
        "z":
"0D2B88ED318E1FC4991D362E1ABFD6EBC4A842D722606CB6AA8294A037F820C645F1A3670DC4AB33FE5A675F
      }
    ],
    "domainParameterGenerationMode": "ffdhe2048",

```

```
    "scheme": "dhEphem",  
    "kasRole": "initiator"  
  }  
]  
}
```

Figure 4 — Vector Set JSON Example

6. Responses

After the ACVP client downloads and processes a vector set, it must send the response vectors back to the ACVP server. The following table describes the JSON object that represents a vector set response.

Table 9 — Vector Set Response Properties

| JSON Property | Description | JSON Type |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| acvVersion | The version of the protocol | string |
| vsId | The vector set identifier | integer |
| testGroups | The test group data | array |

The testGroups section is used to organize the ACVP client response in a similar manner to how it receives vectors. Several algorithms **SHALL** require the client to send back group level properties in their response. This structure helps accommodate that.

Table 10 — Test Group Response Properties

| JSON Property | Description | JSON Type |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| tgId | The test group identifier | integer |
| tests | The test case data | array |

The following table describes the JSON object that represents a test case response for a KAS-SSC-FFC SP800-56Ar3.

Table 11 — Test Case Response Properties

| JSON Property | Description | JSON Type |
|--------------------|--|-----------|
| tcId | The test case identifier | integer |
| testPassed | Was the provided z or hashZ valid? Only valid for the “VAL” test type. | boolean |
| staticPublicIut | The IUT static public key X. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |
| ephemeralPublicIut | The IUT ephemeral public key X. Optional depending on scheme and test type. | hex |
| z | The shared secret z . Only included for “AFT” test type when a hashFunctionZ | hex |

| JSON Property | Description | JSON Type |
|---------------|--|-----------|
| | is not in use. | |
| hashZ | The shared secret z run through a hash function. Only included for “AFT” test type when a hashFunctionZ is in use. | hex |

Here is an abbreviated example of the response

```
{
  "vsId": 1,
  "algorithm": "KAS-FFC-SSC",
  "revision": "Sp800-56Ar3",
  "testGroups": [
    {
      "tgId": 1,
      "tests": [
        {
          "ephemeralPublicIut":
"94624EBEC7345E8F73D22DD3C37A721F4AEA81CFA51D35BC127C11FB3235D92E9F6F16DA88A6AE3491C08E01
          "tcId": 1,
          "z":
"45FAB5A6CF1A356034C0EA15435A9A01B7EBD2DBF3B1BC98A290D97EECB57D8C5B88FD9ECB39727DC781B4A3
        },
        {
          "ephemeralPublicIut":
"15DC1F66E6F2DF18AA44E73F45374D9452BDE4B272AD6C8D8C7D7737D3CC69DAEAADFFD26F401CD55B855AEA
          "tcId": 2,
          "z":
"A7981A88C61A87FE3BF8CC540AE4D1457B0CFB5DED0CBAB473C26B57BA8C903015294317E4B35620B1625A81
        }
      ]
    },
    {
      "tgId": 2,
      "tests": [
        {
          "tcId": 6,
          "testPassed": true
        },
        {

```

```

        "tcId": 7,
        "testPassed": true
    }
]
},
{
    "tgId": 3,
    "tests": [
        {
            "ephemeralPublicIut":
"53DC079D7082909E715064A90A3A5D054C323F327B40D363FE4238BD3C4C293B81CB6E68C2A6AF65511FE0B3
            "tcId": 11,
            "z":
"1F6D92321078DEBA865431274DBF2A320CF51B92CCC630C0684830D43D6107F0477191C65D4A57D3BD9B86C2
        },
        {
            "ephemeralPublicIut":
"8E3B2EE3E566AEF03517E1925886BA3FA956EC5E8309FAD7CE302374801FB6A994620F5756AFE678F2E2DC80
            "tcId": 12,
            "z":
"F46F8D095883CE9567162469FEF1440F31CB349C90B2A0FCC57B92BBD46E377B86F3C92647A8FDB03385B8D7
        }
    ]
},
{
    "tgId": 4,
    "tests": [
        {
            "tcId": 16,
            "testPassed": false
        },
        {
            "tcId": 17,
            "testPassed": true
        }
    ]
}
]
}

```

Figure 5 — Example Response JSON

7. Security Considerations

There are no additional security considerations outside of those outlined in the ACVP document.

Appendix A — Terminology

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

A.1.

Prompt

JSON sent from the server to the client describing the tests the client performs

Registration

The initial request from the client to the server describing the capabilities of one or several algorithm, mode and revision combinations

Response

JSON sent from the client to the server in response to the prompt

Test Case

An individual unit of work within a prompt or response

Test Group

A collection of test cases that share similar properties within a prompt or response

Test Vector Set

A collection of test groups under a specific algorithm, mode, and revision

Validation

JSON sent from the server to the client that specifies the correctness of the response

Appendix B — Abbreviations and Acronyms

| | |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| ACVP | Automated Crypto Validation Protocol |
| JSON | Javascript Object Notation |

Appendix C — Revision History**Table C-1**

| Version | Release Date | Updates |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 2020-06-26 | Initial Release |

Appendix D — References

S. Bradner (March 1997) *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels* (Internet Engineering Task Force), BCP 14, March 1997. RFC 2119. DOI 10.17487/RFC2119. <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

T. Kivinen, M. Kojo (May 2003) *More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman groups for Internet Key Exchange (IKE)* (Internet Engineering Task Force), RFC 3526, May 2003. RFC 3526. DOI 10.17487/RFC3526. <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3526>.

D. Gillmor (August 2016) *Negotiated Finite Field Diffie-Hellman Ephemeral Parameters for Transport Layer Security (TLS)* (Internet Engineering Task Force), RFC 7919, August 2016. RFC 7919. DOI 10.17487/RFC7919. <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7919>.

P. Hoffman (December 2016) *The “xml2rfc” Version 3 Vocabulary* (Internet Engineering Task Force), RFC 7991, December 2016. RFC 7991. DOI 10.17487/RFC7991. <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7991>.

B. Leiba (May 2017) *Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words* (Internet Engineering Task Force), BCP 14, May 2017. RFC 8174. DOI 10.17487/RFC8174. <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

National Institute of Standards and Technology (July 2013) *Digital Signature Standard (DSS)* (Gaithersburg, MD), July 2013. FIPS 186-4. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-4>.

Elaine B. Barker, Lily Chen, Allen Roginsky, Apostol Vassilev, Richard Davis (April 2018) *Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key-Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography* (Gaithersburg, MD), April 2018. SP 800-56A Rev. 3. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-56Ar3>.

Fussell B, Vassilev A, Booth H, Celi C, Hammett R (July 01, 2019) *Automatic Cryptographic Validation Protocol* (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD), July 01, 2019.