

# Beam Characterization for Low-energy X-rays New Reference Qualities at 1 m

2020







#### Copyright statement

This document is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## Outline of the project

The project was carried out in the context of a three-month secondment to the BIPM by Anna Villevalde from the D.I. Mendeleev All-Russian Institute for Metrology (VNIIM, Russia) during the period March to June 2019. The aim of the project was to re-measure the air-attenuation coefficient ( $\mu_{\text{air}}$ ) and aluminium half-value layer (HVL) for the existing radiation qualities used for comparisons of national primary standards and calibrations of national secondary standards for low-energy x-rays including mammography (Kessler and Burns 2018, see p. 28), then to measure  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for new reference qualities at 1 m to complement the existing qualities at 0.5 m. The goal of this work is to expand the services and options available to the national metrology institutes and reduce the uncertainty of international comparisons.

The specific objectives of the project, as stated before commencement, were to:

- review the existing methods and results for the determination of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL;
- assemble the air-attenuation tube (and if time permits, verify the internal air-path length when at ambient air pressure and at reduced pressure);
- re-measure  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for a selection of the 10 kV to 50 kV W/Al and W/Mo qualities at 0.5 m, to confirm the previous determinations;
- as above for the 25 kV to 35 kV Mo/Mo qualities at 0.6 m;
- measure  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for the 10 kV to 50 kV W/Al and W/Mo qualities at 1 m and compare the results (for HVL) with those derived using the ‘single-attenuator’ measurements.
- write a report on the methods and results;
- time permitting, calibrate the BIPM reference chambers at selected radiation qualities;
- time permitting, prepare an updated summary of the aluminium filters available, including optimized thickness determinations from previous work.

As well as achieving these objectives, the following additional work was undertaken:

- verification of the polarity correction for the FAC-L-01 and FAC-L-02 primary standard ionization chambers;
- study of the dependence of the air-kerma rate on the parasite current.

# Beam Characterization for Low-energy X-rays and New Reference Qualities at 1 m

## Contents

1. Definitions and review of existing methods for the determination of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL	7
2. Assembly of air-attenuation tube and verification of internal air-path length	10
3. Re-measurement of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL for a selection of W/AI and W/Mo qualities at 0.5 m	12
4. Re-measurement of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL for a selection of Mo/Mo qualities at 0.6 m	14
5. Measurement of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL for the new W/AI and W/Mo qualities at 1 m and comparison of HVLs with results of 'single-attenuator' determinations	16
6. Documentation and organization of aluminium filters, including optimized thickness determinations from previous work	21
7. Calibration of BIPM reference chambers at selected radiation qualities	22
8. Measurement of the polarity correction for the FAC-L-01 and FAC-L-02 primary-standard ionization chambers	24
9. Study of the parasite current correction	26
10. Acknowledgement	27
References	28

# 1. Definitions and review of existing methods for the determination of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL

*Air-attenuation coefficient  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and free-air chamber attenuation correction  $k_a$*

The attenuation coefficient of a material is a measure of the quantity of radiation attenuated by a given thickness of the material. The linear attenuation coefficient is the fractional change in the x-ray intensity per thickness of the attenuating material because of interactions in the material. The linear attenuation coefficient varies with photon energy, type of material and the physical density of the material.

The attenuation of photons of energy  $E$  is expressed mathematically by the formula

$$I = I_0 e^{-\mu(E)x}. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $I_0$  is the incident beam intensity,  $I$  is the beam intensity transmitted through the material of thickness  $x$ , and  $\mu(E)$  is the linear attenuation coefficient for photons of energy  $E$ . This equation may be used to calculate the attenuation coefficient for any material when the incident and transmitted photon intensities are measured.

A polychromatic beam contains a spectrum of photon energies. For x-ray beams, the maximum photon energy is determined by the peak voltage used to generate the beam. Because of the spectrum of photon energies, transmission of the polychromatic beam through the material does not follow the simple Equation (1). When the polychromatic beam passes through the material, photons of low energy are attenuated in greater number than higher energy photons; therefore, both the number of transmitted photons and the spectrum changes with increasing thickness of material. The increase in the mean or effective energy that occurs with increasing thickness of attenuating material is known as *beam hardening*.

Determination of the air-kerma rate using a primary standard free-air ionization chamber includes a number of correction factors. The most significant, especially for low-energy x-rays, is the air-attenuation correction factor. It takes into account the fact that over the air-path length between the defining plane of the diaphragm and the centre of the collecting region of the free-air chamber there is attenuation of the primary photon fluence. The attenuation correction for the attenuation length  $A$  is evaluated as:

$$k_a = e^{\mu_{\text{air}} A}, \quad (2)$$

where the mean linear air-attenuation coefficient  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  is determined by experiment for a particular radiation quality (Burns and Büermann 2009, see p. 28).

*Half-Value Layer (HVL)*

The penetrating ability or ‘quality’ of a given x-ray beam is usually described by stating its Half-Value Layer (HVL) for a chosen standard material. The HVL is defined as the thickness of the standard material that reduces the beam intensity to one half. The HVL of the x-ray beam is obtained by measuring the air-kerma rate for a series of attenuators (layers of the standard material of different thickness) placed in the beam. The attenuators should have constant composition and should not contain impurities (the purity should be at least 99.9 %).

The conditions under which HVL measurements should be made are referred to as narrow-beam conditions; when using a free-air ionization chamber to measure the HVL, the chamber aperture ensures a narrow beam. Aluminium attenuators are usually used for HVL measurements in diagnostic radiology.

The term *transmission* is defined here as the ratio of the air-kerma rate behind a given attenuator to the air-kerma rate for the unattenuated beam. To obtain the HVL for a particular beam the transmission is plotted as a function of the thickness of attenuator. The HVL value is derived by interpolation as the thickness of attenuator that corresponds to a transmission equal to 0.5. For this interpolation, linear or quadratic fits can be used, or an exponential fit based on Equation (1) constrained to a transmission of unity for zero thickness. For attenuators with thickness chosen to be very close to the expected HVL, the three methods were shown to be in close agreement.

*Method used at the BIPM for  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL determinations*

The method used at the BIPM for the determination of the linear air-attenuation coefficient  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and the HVL for low-energy x-ray radiation qualities involves measurements with a variable-pressure tube shown in Figure 1 (Boutillon et al. 1969, see p. 28). The existing tube with well-known internal length (268.87 mm) is placed between the x-ray tube and the free-air ionization chamber. At each end of the variable-pressure tube is a beryllium window, the two windows totaling 0.42 mm in thickness. Under normal conditions for measurements using the W-anode x-ray tube these windows are considered part of the ‘inherent’ filtration and are used at all times for the reference radiation qualities (this is not the case for the Mo-anode x-ray tube qualities). It was experimentally verified that the presence of the tube itself, without its Be windows, does not measurably change the ionization current in the chamber.



**Figure 1 — The BIPM variable-pressure tube positioned in the beam.**

Inside the variable-pressure tube, the air pressure is reduced to compensate for air attenuation within the free-air ionization chamber. The air-attenuation correction is essentially eliminated by withdrawing the amount of air equal to that between the defining plane of the diaphragm and the centre of the collecting region of the free-air chamber (that is, over the attenuation



length A). The consequent increase in the ionization current, for a given radiation quality, is a measure of the mean air-attenuation coefficient for that quality.

At the BIPM the air-kerma rate for low-energy x-rays is determined at the reference distance (normally 500 mm) from the exit window of the x-ray tube at 20 °C and 100 kPa<sup>(1)</sup> (air density 1.1888 mgcm<sup>-3</sup>). The free-air chamber attenuation length is 100 mm and the variable-pressure tube length is approximately 300 mm. It follows that to remove the amount of air corresponding to the attenuation length, the variable-pressure tube is operated at approximately 60 kPa pressure. The precise value is calculated by the data acquisition program taking into account the ambient conditions at the time of measurement.

To determine the HVL for a given set of conditions (generating voltage, filtration, distance) measurements for at least three different attenuator thicknesses should be made. Each attenuator is composed using a combination of filters containing high-purity aluminium foils of well-known thicknesses. The total thickness for each attenuator is chosen to be very close to the expected HVL for the particular radiation quality. For most of the radiation qualities three attenuators were used, including at least one with thickness higher and at least one with thickness lower than the HVL.

For each attenuator, the full set of ionization current measurements with the free-air chamber involves five pairs of measurement series. The first pair are made at ambient pressure (without then with the attenuator) followed by a pair with reduced pressure in the variable-pressure tube (with then without the attenuator). These two pairs are repeated and followed by a final pair at ambient pressure (without then with the attenuator), making a total of ten series of measurements of ionization current. Each of these measurement series comprises typically 7 measurements of the ionization current with an integration time of 30 s (for ionization currents lower than 10 pA the integration time is typically increased to 60 s). For each set of ten current measurements, the transmission is calculated as follows.

For the five series of measurements without the attenuator, that is, for the reference beam under test, the air-attenuation coefficient  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  is calculated from the results under ambient conditions and with reduced pressure using an iteration algorithm. The value of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  is found such that the standard deviation of the corrected ionization currents is minimized. This is the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  value for the reference beam. The same procedure is used to find  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  for the five series of measurements with the attenuator; this value of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  for the attenuated beam is required to obtain the transmission.

The above procedure results in five consecutive estimates of the transmission, one for each pair of measurement series. The transmission that corresponds to the attenuator of a given thickness is calculated as the mean of the five estimates. The final transmission value used for the HVL determination is corrected for the change in the correction factor for scattered photons,  $k_{\text{sc}}$ , that arises due to the beam hardening by the attenuator, using data for  $k_{\text{sc}}$  as a function of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  based on earlier work by M. Boutillon. This correction is typically only 2 or 3 parts in 10<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> The use of 100 kPa in the specification of the reference air-path length and for the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  value used in the data acquisition software is historical. It is independent of the reference conditions for ionization current measurements, which specify normalization to the reference air pressure of 101.325 kPa.

## 2. Assembly of air-attenuation tube and verification of internal air-path length

The air-attenuation tube is not maintained as a fixed assembly because its windows are included in the filtration for the reference W/Al qualities. It is also used for attenuation measurements in medium-energy x-rays, where thicker windows are required because the air pressure is reduced to vacuum levels. It was therefore necessary to assemble the tube prior to the measurements. The process is presented in the following photographs (see Figure 2, see p. 11).

The internal length of the variable-pressure tube at a reduced pressure of 60 kPa was previously determined to be 268.87 mm with an estimated uncertainty of 0.02 mm. The internal length was calculated as the total length of the tube at ambient air pressure (269.33 mm) less the thickness of the beryllium windows of 0.42 mm and the reduced pressure effect estimated as 0.04 mm.

This length was verified during the present measurements. The newly-determined value for the internal length is 269.16 mm, which differs from the previous value by 0.3 mm. It was calculated in the same way as previously as the total length of 269.62 mm (at ambient air pressure) subtracting the thickness of the beryllium windows and the reduced pressure effect (which was not re-measured). The influence on the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values of a difference of 0.3 mm in the tube length is negligible (less than 1 part in  $10^4$  at 10 kV).



**Figure 2 — Air-attenuation tube assembly.**

Each window assembly involves a rubber vacuum joint, which will be more or less compressed depending on the degree of tightening of the mounting screws. The effect of tightening was investigated by measuring the thickness of the support (at the end of the tube that does not have the vacuum connection). The difference in the thickness of the support between complete tightening and incomplete but sufficient tightening is around 0.1 mm. Although this does directly affect the positioning of each Be window, it might explain some but probably not all of the observed change of 0.3 mm.

### 3. Re-measurement of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL for a selection of W/Al and W/Mo qualities at 0.5 m

At the BIPM the air-kerma rate for the low-energy radiation qualities produced using the tungsten x-ray tube and either aluminium (W/Al) or molybdenum (W/Mo) filters is normally determined at the reference distance of 0.5 m from the exit window of the x-ray tube. The reference air-kerma rate for each radiation quality is 1 mGys<sup>-1</sup>.

The air-attenuation coefficients  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and the HVLs for the W/Al qualities used at present were mostly measured in 2001 (Burns and Roger 2001, see p. 28). For the W/Mo radiation qualities for mammography the corresponding measurements were made in 2002. For the present project, new measurements were performed for several radiation qualities to make sure that the values in use are still valid and to perform an additional stability check.

A re-measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL was carried out for the following W/Al radiation qualities: 10 kV, 30 kV, 50 kVb and 50 kVa. The new measurements included repeats of the previous determinations using the same attenuators. Revised thickness values were used for certain filters making up the attenuators, although these revisions were shown to have no significant influence on the results. For the 30 kV and 50 kVa qualities two attenuators were used. For 50 kVb measurements with three attenuators were performed and an additional check of the effect of the lead collimator was made. To derive the HVL values for 30 kV, 50 kVb and 50 kVa linear interpolation was used. At 10 kV measurements with five attenuators were performed and the HVL was calculated using a quadratic fit to the results.

The results are given in Table 1 alongside the values presently in use. The  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values are given at 293.15 K and 100 kPa (as used in the data acquisition software), and for an air-path length of 100 mm. The free-air chamber attenuation correction factors  $k_a$  for 293.15 K and 101.325 kPa (as presented in comparison reports) are also given in the table.

There was no robust determination of the uncertainty of the measured HVL values as this does not enter directly in the air-kerma determination. However it can be seen from the table that the new HVL values agree with the values presently in use at the level of around 1 part in 10<sup>3</sup>. For the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values, their influence on the air-kerma rate is expressed in terms of the attenuation correction  $k_a$ . The relative combined standard uncertainty that is presently used for the  $k_a$  values is 2 parts in 10<sup>4</sup>. The new  $k_a$  values are in agreement with the previous at the level of this combined uncertainty except for 10 kV. For 10 kV the relative difference in the  $k_a$  values is around 1 part in 10<sup>3</sup>.

**Table 1.** Results of the re-measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for selected W/Al radiation qualities at the 0.5 m reference distance

Radiation quality		10 kV	30 kV	50 kVb	50 kVa
Generating potential / kV		10	30	50	50
Al filtration / mm		0	0.2082	1.0082	3.989
Reference distance / m		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Al HVL / mm	value in use	0.0368	0.1694	1.0168	2.2623
	measured 2019	0.0368	0.1695	1.0155	2.2612
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	value in use	1.7630	0.4353	0.0896	0.0450
	measured 2019	1.7724	0.4338	0.0897	0.0468

$k_a$	value in use	1.1956	1.0451	1.0091	1.0046
	measured 2019	1.1967	1.0449	1.0091	1.0048

A change at 10 kV is not unexpected. It is commonly observed that the air-kerma rate at 10 kV decreases with usage of the x-ray tube. Two common explanations for this observation are tungsten vapour from the anode forming a thin coating on the inner surface of the exit window of the x-ray tube, and roughening of the anode surface due to small cracks. As well as attenuating the x-ray fluence, each of these effects is expected to lead to a hardening of the beam (higher HVL) and a consequent decrease in  $\mu_{\text{air}}$ . However the results obtained for  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL are not consistent with this explanation; there is no significant change in the HVL and the new value for  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  is 0.5% *higher* than that measured previously.

For mammography x-rays, re-measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL was performed for the 23 kV and 35 kV W/Mo radiation qualities. The new measurements were made with the same attenuators as used previously. For both radiation qualities two attenuators were used. The results are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2. Results of the re-measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for selected W/Mo radiation qualities at the 0.5 m reference distance**

Radiation quality		23 kV	35 kV
Generating potential / kV		23	35
Mo filtration / $\mu\text{m}$		60	60
Reference distance / m		0.5	0.5
Al HVL / mm	value in use	0.3315	0.3883
	measured 2019	0.3313	0.3877
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	value in use	0.2127	0.1903
	measured 2019	0.2108	0.1902
$k_a$	value in use	1.0218	1.0195
	measured 2019	1.0216	1.0195

The difference between the values in use and the newly-measured HVL values is around 1 part in  $10^3$ , and the new results for  $k_a$  are in agreement with the previous at the level of the stated combined standard uncertainty of 2 parts in  $10^4$ .

#### 4. Re-measurement of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL for a selection of Mo/Mo qualities at 0.6 m

The mammography radiation qualities using the molybdenum-anode x-ray tube and a molybdenum filter (Mo/Mo) are established at the BIPM for the reference distance of 0.6 m from the exit window of the tube. The reference air-kerma rate for each radiation quality is 2 mGys<sup>-1</sup>. The air-attenuation coefficients  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and the HVLs for the qualities used at present were measured in 2009. New measurements were performed for the 25 kV and 35 kV radiation qualities.

The results are given in Table 3 alongside the values presently in use. The  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values are given at 293.15 K and 100 kPa and for an air-path length of 100 mm; the  $k_a$  values are given for 293.15 K and 101.325 kPa.

**Table 3.** Results of the re-measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for selected Mo/Mo radiation qualities at the 0.6 m reference distance

Radiation quality		25 kV	35 kV
Generating potential / kV		25	35
Mo filtration / $\mu\text{m}$		30	30
Reference distance / m		0.6	0.6
Al HVL / mm	value in use	0.2774	0.3650
	measured 2019	0.2778	0.3651
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	value in use	0.2613	0.2066
	measured 2019	0.2611	0.2078
$k_a$	value in use	1.0268	1.0212
	measured 2019	1.0268	1.0213

Again, the new HVL values agree with the values presently in use at the level of around 1 part in  $10^3$ , and there is no significant difference between the results for  $k_a$ .

The values for  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVLs are determined using the variable-pressure tube with the beryllium windows of total thickness 0.42 mm. However these Be windows are not included in the permanent filtration of the Mo/Mo beams. Additional measurements were performed at the 25 kV radiation quality to ensure that the effect of the Be windows on the air-kerma rate determination is within the stated uncertainty for  $k_a$  of 2 parts in  $10^4$ .

The measurements of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL at 25 kV described above were made with the variable-pressure tube using two aluminium attenuators. The measurements were repeated using an additional Be attenuator of 0.418 mm thickness in the beam (positioned between the Al attenuators and the variable-pressure tube). These two sets of measurements gave the results for  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL with Be windows in the beam and with ‘double’ Be windows in the beam. From these results the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  value for the reference beam (that is, without any Be other than the x-ray tube window) was deduced using a linear extrapolation to zero thickness of Be. A measurement of the HVL was then performed using the same two Al attenuators but without the variable-pressure tube in the beam, making use of the ‘extrapolated’  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values obtained in the previous step for zero thickness of Be.

The results obtained for the 25 kV Mo/Mo radiation quality without Be windows are presented in Table 4 in comparison with the values presently in use (measured with Be windows). Although the two HVLs differ by 2.3  $\mu\text{m}$ , which is significantly greater than the estimated uncertainty of around 1 part in  $10^3$  and indicates a measurable change in beam quality, the relative difference in the  $k_a$  values is consistent with the stated combined standard uncertainty of 2 parts in  $10^4$ .

**Table 4.** Results for  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL obtained with and without Be windows in the beam for the 25 kV Mo/Mo radiation quality at the 0.6 m reference distance

Measured	with Be windows (normal)	without Be windows
Al HVL / mm	0.2774	0.2751
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	0.2613	0.2636
$k_a$	1.0268	1.0271

## 5. Measurement of $\mu_{\text{air}}$ and HVL for the new W/Al and W/Mo qualities at 1 m and comparison of HVLs with results of 'single-attenuator' determinations

The linear attenuation coefficient  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and the HVL for low-energy x-ray beams depend significantly on the reference distance. An increase of air thickness between the x-ray tube and the free-air chamber leads to attenuation and hardening of the beam. To establish the reference radiation qualities at 1 m it is necessary to know the corresponding values for  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL. Measurements of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL were made for the W/Al and W/Mo qualities recently established at 1 m.

The thicknesses for attenuators used during the measurements were selected to be as close as possible to the expected HVL values. Some of the attenuators previously used for the reference qualities at 0.5 m were also used to determine the change in transmission for a given attenuator measured at 0.5 m and at 1 m. As noted previously, in determining the transmission for each attenuator the ratio of the correction factors for scattered photons,  $k_{\text{sc}}$ , was derived by interpolation from the values produced by M. Boutillon.

The results for the W/Al radiation qualities are presented in Table 5. The  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values are given at 293.15 K and 100 kPa and for an air path length of 100 mm. The correction factor  $k_a$  is given for 293.15 K and 101.325 kPa.

**Table 5. Results of the measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for the W/Al radiation qualities at the 1 m reference distance**

Radiation quality	10 kV	30 kV	25 kV	50 kVb	50 kVa
Generating potential / kV	10	30	25	50	50
Al filtration / mm	0	0.2082	0.3723	1.0082	3.989
Reference distance / m	1	1	1	1	1
Al HVL / mm	0.0445	0.1951	0.2654	1.0414	2.2737
$\mu_{\text{air}}/\text{stem}:[\text{m}^{-1}]$	1.4329	0.3903	0.2863	0.0874	0.0474
$k_a$	1.1563	1.0403	1.0294	1.0089	1.0048

For the 30 kV quality the measurements were performed with five attenuators (initial measurements with the three attenuators used previously at 0.5 m, followed by measurements with two additional attenuators to get closer to the expected HVL value). For each of the 25 kV, 50 kVb and 50 kVa qualities three attenuators were used. Measurements at 10 kV were made with six attenuators. Linear interpolation was used to obtain the HVL for all qualities except 10 kV, for which a quadratic fit was used.

The uncertainty of the HVL for each radiation quality was estimated from the uncertainty of the linear least-squares regression (Excel program by D. Burns). This uncertainty was typically less than 1  $\mu\text{m}$  (0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  at 10 kV). For  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  the uncertainty was evaluated from the results with no attenuator for a given radiation quality. It was typically less than 1 part in  $10^3$ . The corresponding estimate for the uncertainty of the attenuation correction  $k_a$  is less than 1 part in  $10^4$ .



In Table 6 the new HVL values are compared with the approximate values derived from ‘single-attenuator’ measurements combined with calculations using SpekCalc (Poludniowski et al. 2009, see p. 28), work carried out in 2018 to obtain provisional values for the HVLs. The new  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values are compared with those measured during the BIPM.RI(I)-K2 comparison with the NRC in 2018 (Burns et al. 2019, see p. 28).

**Table 6. New  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL values in comparison with those used in 2018 for the W/Al radiation qualities at the 1 m reference distance**

Radiation quality		10 kV	30 kV	25 kV	50 kVb	50 kVa
Generating potential / kV		10	30	25	50	50
Al filtration / mm		0	0.2082	0.3723	1.0082	3.989
Reference distance / m		1	1	1	1	1
Al HVL / mm	estimated 2018	0.045	0.191	0.262	1.04	2.28
	measured 2019	0.0445	0.1951	0.2654	1.0414	2.2737
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	estimated 2018	1.431	0.3890	0.2841	0.0867	0.0459
	measured 2019	1.4329	0.3903	0.2863	0.0874	0.0474
$k_a$	estimated 2018	1.1560	1.0402	1.0292	1.0088	1.0047
	measured 2019	1.1563	1.0403	1.0294	1.0089	1.0048

The typical difference in the HVL values is about  $3 \mu\text{m}$  ( $< 1 \mu\text{m}$  at 10 kV), which is considered a good result in view of the approximate nature of the ‘single-attenuator’ values derived provisionally in 2018. The  $k_a$  correction factors derived from the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values used for the comparison with the NRC in 2018 are in agreement with the results obtained in 2019 at the level of the stated combined standard uncertainty of 2 parts in  $10^4$ .

The results of the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL measurements for the W/Mo radiation qualities at 1 m reference distance are presented in Table 7. Three attenuators were used for all except the 30 kV and 40 kV qualities, for which four were used. The HVL values were obtained by linear interpolation for all qualities.

The uncertainties for the HVL and  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values were derived in the same way as for W/Al radiation qualities at 1 m. The typical uncertainty of the HVL is less than  $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ . The statistical standard uncertainty of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  is typically 1 part in  $10^3$ . The estimated uncertainty of the attenuation correction  $k_a$  derived from this statistical uncertainty of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  is less than 2 parts in  $10^4$ .


**Table 7. Results of the measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for the W/Mo radiation qualities at the 1 m reference distance**

Radiation quality	23 kV	25 kV	28 kV	30 kV	35 kV	40 kV	50 kV
Generating potential / kV	23	25	28	30	35	40	50
Mo filtration / $\mu\text{m}$	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Reference distance / m	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Al HVL / mm	0.3429	0.3539	0.3675	0.3765	0.4031	0.4350	0.5127
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	0.2073	0.2017	0.1932	0.1913	0.1835	0.1748	0.1600

$k_a$	1.0212	1.0206	1.0198	1.0196	1.0188	1.0179	1.0163
-------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------


To illustrate the difference between the results of measurements of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVLs at 0.5 m and 1 m, two graphs are given in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

Figure 3 shows the difference between the HVL values at the 0.5 m and 1 m reference distances for the W/AI 50 kVb radiation quality. The horizontal line (red, dashed) denotes the value 0.5 for the transmission and the vertical lines the corresponding HVL values (1.0155 mm at 0.5 m and 1.0414 mm at 1 m). It is evident from the results that at 1 m the beam becomes harder as the mean energy of the beam increases, and so the HVL increases.



**Figure 3 — HVL determination for the 50 kVb radiation quality at the 0.5 m and 1 m reference distances.**

In Figure 4 the  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values measured at the 0.5 m and 1 m reference distances for the low-energy W/AI radiation qualities are plotted against the corresponding HVLs on a logarithmical scale. It can be seen from the graph that for the 10 kV, 30 kV and 25 kV radiation qualities (with mean energies below 20 keV) there is a notable change in the HVL with distance and the corresponding  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values fall essentially on the same line. This effect decreases with increasing energy, such that for the 50 kVb and 50 kVa qualities there is no significant difference in the HVL and  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  values measured at 0.5 m and 1 m.



**Figure 4 — Air-attenuation coefficients  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  at the 0.5 m and 1 m reference distances for the low-energy W/Al radiation qualities as a function of HVL (log-log scale).**

During the measurements the decision was taken to implement higher values for the reference air-kerma rates for the W/Al and W/Mo radiation qualities at 1 m, to increase the signal-to-noise ratio for chambers under calibration. The new rates are presented in Table 8 and Table 9 along with the corresponding values of the anode current and the generator software parameter Isend.

**Table 8. New air-kerma rates for the W/Al radiation qualities at the reference distance of 1 m**

Radiation quality	10 kV	30 kV	25 kV	50 kVb	50 kVa
Al filtration / mm	0	0.2082	0.3723	1.0082	3.989
Reference distance / m	1	1	1	1	1
Al HVL / mm	0.04450	0.1951	0.2654	1.0414	2.2737
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	1.4329	0.3903	0.2863	0.0874	0.0474
Anode current / mA	17.578	6.657	14.865	12.710	17.270
Isend / $\mu\text{A}$	17528	6830	14962	12980	17510
New air-kerma rate / $\text{mGys}^{-1}$	0.3	1	0.8	1	0.3

**Table 9. New air-kerma rates for the W/Mo radiation qualities at the reference distance of 1 m**

Radiation quality	23 kV	25 kV	28 kV	30 kV	35 kV	40 kV	50 kV
Mo filtration / mm	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06
Reference distance / m	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Al HVL / mm	0.3429	0.3539	0.3675	0.3765	0.4031	0.4350	0.5127
$\mu_{\text{air}} / \text{m}^{-1}$	0.2073	0.2017	0.1932	0.1913	0.1835	0.1748	0.1600
Anode current / mA	17.900	17.650	16.398	16.540	15.955	15.544	13.144
Isend / $\mu\text{A}$	17955	17720	16495	16650	16103	15729	13413

**20** • Measurement of  $\mu_{\text{air}}$  and HVL for the new W/AI and W/Mo qualities at 1 m and comparison of HVLs with results of 'single-attenuator' determinations

---

New air-kerma rate / mGys <sup>-1</sup>	0.25	0.3	0.35	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
---	------	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	-----

---

## **6. Documentation and organization of aluminium filters, including optimized thickness determinations from previous work**

An Excel file was prepared with an updated summary of the aluminium filters available, including optimized thickness determinations from previous work. Four series of aluminium filters (those with numbers only and the M, US and C series filters) are described in different spreadsheets. It is possible to search filters by name and by the stated thickness.

A new labelled box for the US and C series filters was organized. As some of the US filters are combined in the same holder in non-sequential order, numerical labelling is not appropriate. A system of coloured labels on the holders, in the box and in the spreadsheet was implemented to make it easier to locate and replace filters. Labels were also included in the box for the filter series with numbers only.

## 7. Calibration of BIPM reference chambers at selected radiation qualities

To check the stability of the primary standard and at the same time gather information on the long-term behavior of commercial ionization chambers, three chambers of the BIPM – Shonka serial number 1, PTW23344 serial number 683 and Radcal RC6M serial number 9112 – were calibrated at selected W/AI x-ray radiation qualities at 0.5 m distance.

The new results for the air-kerma calibration coefficients  $N_K$ , expressed in  $\text{Gy}\mu\text{C}^{-1}$ , and for the polarity correction  $k_{\text{pol}}$  are presented in Table 10 to Table 12 alongside the values determined previously and corrected for the changes to the primary standard made in 2009 and 2019.

**Table 10. Calibration coefficients and polarity correction for the Shonka serial no. 1 ionization chamber**

Radiation quality	20 kV		30 kV		50 kVb	
Al HVL / mm	0.0729		0.1694		1.0168	
	$N_K / \text{Gy } \mu\text{C}^{-1}$	$k_{\text{pol}}$	$N_K / \text{Gy } \mu\text{C}^{-1}$	$k_{\text{pol}}$	$N_K / \text{Gy } \mu\text{C}^{-1}$	$k_{\text{pol}}$
2001 <sup>(a)</sup>	93.10	1.0061	27.93	1.0073	10.903	1.0089
2002	92.89	1.0063	27.92	1.0076	10.905	1.0090
2004	93.12	1.0062	27.97	1.0075	10.906	1.0089
2007	93.37	1.0063	27.98	1.0076	10.909	1.0088
2019	93.47	1.0061	28.00	1.0076	10.913	1.0089
Mean value	93.19	1.0062	27.96	1.0075	10.907	1.0089
Standard deviation / %	0.11	0.005	0.05	0.005	0.02	0.003

(a) The average of the results obtained in 2001.

For the Shonka chamber the measurements were performed at both polarities, for the other two chambers only one polarity was used. It should be also noted that the PTW 23344 chamber was not quite stable during the measurements (still drifting after several hours of irradiation) and that it does not respond well to a change in polarity, resulting in a significant drift in response over several hours.

**Table 11. Calibration coefficients (in  $\text{Gy}\mu\text{C}^{-1}$ ) for the PTW23344 serial no. 683 ionization chamber**

Radiation quality	30 kV	50 kVb
Al HVL / mm	0.1694	1.0168
2002	69.90	67.35
2004	69.95	67.15
2006	69.97	67.31
2019	69.91	67.38
Mean value	69.93	67.30
Standard deviation / %	0.02	0.08


**Table 12.** Calibration coefficients (in  $\text{Gy}\mu\text{C}^{-1}$ ) for the Radcal RC6M serial no. 9112 ionization chamber

Radiation quality	10 kV	30 kV	25 kV	50 kVb
Al HVL / mm	0.0368	0.1694	0.2425	1.0168
2004	4.783	4.731	4.720	4.768
2005	4.791	4.732	4.719	4.769
2006 <sup>(a)</sup>	4.790	4.736	4.723	4.774
2007	4.790	4.735	4.723	4.768
2019	4.792	4.746	4.735	4.786
Mean value	4.789	4.736	4.724	4.773
Standard deviation / %	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.07

(a) The average of the results obtained in 2006.

In Figure 5 the calibration coefficients for the three ionization chambers at the 30 kV and 50 kVb radiation qualities, normalized to the first measurement for each, are presented as a function of the year of calibration.

It can be noted that for the Radcal RC6M chamber and for the Shonka chamber at the 30 kV quality there is an increase in the calibration coefficient of around 0.3 % over the period from 2001 to 2019. On the other hand, the results for the PTW 23344 chamber and for the Shonka at the 50 kVb quality set an upper limit on potential changes in the primary standard over the same period of not more than 0.1 %, indicating that the larger variations seen for the 30 kV quality arise from instabilities in the chambers themselves.




**Figure 5 — The calibration coefficients NK for the Shonka, PTW23344 and Radcal RC6M ionization chambers at the 30 kV and 50 kVb radiation qualities, normalized to the first measurement for each.**

## 8. Measurement of the polarity correction for the FAC-L-01 and FAC-L-02 primary-standard ionization chambers

The effect of changing the polarity of the high voltage and the polarity correction were checked for the FAC-L-01 and FAC-L-02 primary-standard ionization chambers used for measurements with the W-anode and Mo-anode tubes, respectively.


For the FAC-L-01 chamber the polarity correction for the use of the chamber at +1500 V was previously determined in 2001–2002 to be 1.00046 with the standard uncertainty of 1 part in  $10^4$  and it was found to be independent of the radiation quality. The present measurements were performed at the W/AI 50 kVb radiation quality (at 0.5 m distance) with a wait of 5 minutes between the sets of measurements after a change of polarity (an initial test was performed to ensure that there is no change in the air-kerma rate after 5 minutes). The graph of the absolute ionization current measured at positive and negative polarities, normalized to the average ionization current, is presented in Figure 6. The polarity correction is determined as 1.00042 and is in agreement with the previous value at the level of the standard uncertainty.

For the FAC-L-02 chamber the measurements were previously performed in 2007 and the polarity effect was determined to be negligible for all Mo/Mo radiation qualities. The present measurements were performed at the 35 kV and 25 kV radiation qualities. At 35 kV there was a 0.1 % drift in the air-kerma rate during the measurements. At 25 kV there was almost the same drift over the first 4 hours of measurements, after which the air-kerma rate became more stable (see Figure 7, see p. 25). To obtain the final result at least 4 series of measurements (each lasting approximately 8 minutes) were performed at each polarity. The polarity correction of 1.00008 for the use of the chamber at +1500 V was obtained at the 25 kV radiation quality. This value agrees with the value in use (1.0000) at the level of the stated uncertainty of 1 part in  $10^4$ .



**Figure 6 — The absolute ionization current measured in the FAC-L-01 primary-standard chamber at positive and negative polarities, normalized to the average ionization current.**






**Figure 7 — The absolute ionization current measured in the FAC-L-02 primary-standard chamber at positive and negative polarities, normalized to the average ionization current.**

## 9. Study of the parasite current correction

The generating voltage for the BIPM low-energy x-ray facility is stabilized by introducing a small anode voltage that compensates in real time for drift in the generator output. However, the introduction of an anode voltage introduces a current leakage path through the distilled water used for the tube cooling and the voltmeter that measures the anode voltage. Consequently, there is a difference between the measured anode current and the actual tube current, an effect that is minimized by maintaining the anode voltage at a low value (typically 10 V). A correction for this current leakage, referred to as a parasite current, is derived from the impedance of the leakage path, estimated each day before switching-on the generator voltage by applying 60 V to the anode.

The present measurements were performed at the W/Al 30 kV radiation quality, for which the reference anode current is the lowest (1.3654 mA) and therefore the parasite current has the greatest relative effect. During the measurements the anode voltage was varied in steps from 10 V to 50 V, which has the effect of changing the parasite current from 2  $\mu$ A and 10  $\mu$ A. Four sets of measurements were made. Figure 8 shows the dependence of the ionization current measured in the primary standard (normalized in the usual way to the reference anode current) on the parasite current. The results for each set are normalized to the first measurement in the set, which corresponds to the usual measurement condition (a parasite current of 2  $\mu$ A). For the worst case of 10  $\mu$ A (which is about 1 % of the anode current and would never be used for an air-kerma determination) the air-kerma rate is underestimated by around 0.1 %. Under the normal condition of a parasite current of typically 2  $\mu$ A the air-kerma rate (normalized in the usual way) is underestimated by around 0.02 %<sup>(1)</sup>. Note, however, that this has no effect on comparisons and calibrations since all measured ionization currents are normalized to a reference anode current in the same way.

<sup>(1)</sup> Following these measurements, it was shown that the impedance of the leakage path decreases systematically each day for the first two or three hours after switching on the generator, a variation that was not taken into account in the usual measurement procedure. With a revised procedure that takes this variation into account, the residual effect on the air-kerma rate determination was shown to be only 0.02 % for parasite current of 13  $\mu$ A, and consequently entirely negligible for the usual parasite current of 2  $\mu$ A.



**Figure 8 — The ionization current as a function of the parasite current, each measurement set normalized to the first value in the set.**

## 10. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Philippe Roger for his help in setting up the measurement equipment, in particular the assembly and length measurement of the air-attenuation tube, and for breakdown assistance when things didn't go to plan.

## References

- [1] M Boutillon, W H Henry, P J Lamperti 1969 Comparison of exposure standards in the 10–50 kV x-ray region *Metrologia* **5** No 1
- [2] D T Burns, P Roger 2001 Characterization of the BIPM low-energy x-ray facility following a change of x-ray tube and high-voltage generator *CCRI(I)/01-07*
- [3] D T Burns and L Büermann 2009 Free-air ionization chambers *Metrologia* **46** S9
- [4] D T Burns, C Kessler, E Mainegra-Hing, H Shen and M R McEwen 2019 Key comparison BIPM.RI(I)-K2 of the air-kerma standards of the NRC, Canada, and the BIPM in low-energy x-rays *Metrologia* **56** No 1A
- [5] Kessler C Kessler and D Burns 2018 Measuring conditions and uncertainties for the comparison and calibration of national dosimetric standards at the BIPM *Rapport BIPM-2018/06*
- [6] Poludniowski G Poludniowski, G Landry, F DeBlois, P M Evans and F Verhaegen 2009 SpekCalc: a program to calculate photon spectra from tungsten anode x-ray tubes *Phys. Med. Biol.* **54** N433

## Document Control

Authors: Anna Villevalde (VNIIM), D T Burns, and C Kessler





