

In this homework, I implemented the one-versus-all support vector classification algorithm

Part 2)

Read Data from

hw06_images.csv

hw06_labels.csv

Part 3)

Data is divided into train and test set. first 1000 images to the training set and the remaining 4000 images to the test set.

Part 4)

I used lab08 code to implement one-versus-all support vector classification algorithm. First took Gaussian Kernel Function from lab08.

```
# define Gaussian kernel function
def gaussian_kernel(X1, X2, s):
    D = dt.cdist(X1, X2)
    K = np.exp(-D**2 / (2 * s**2))
    return(K)
```

Then calculated Gaussian Kernels for train and Test data.

```
# calculate Gaussian kernel
s = 10
K_train = gaussian_kernel(X_train, X_train, s)
K_test = gaussian_kernel(X_test, X_train, s)
# set learning parameters
epsilon = 1e-3
```

I defined OVA(c, s=10) function to find predictions for training and test sets. This code works similarly with lab08 code. However, to make it one-vs-all I iterated K times. At each step I set one label to 1, and others as -1. Then trained one- versus-all support vector classification algorithm to calculate train and test labels.

```
for i in range(1, K+1):
    y_trainNew = np.copy(y_train)
    y_trainNew[y_trainNew != i] = -1.0
    y_trainNew[y_trainNew == i] = 1.0
```

get label scores using given formula in the class. Which is used also in lab08.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z) &= w^T \cdot z + w_0 \\
 &= w^T \cdot \Phi(x) + w_0 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i \underbrace{\Phi(x_i)^T \cdot \Phi(x)}_{k(x_i, x)} + w_0
 \end{aligned}$$

```

# calculate predictions on training samples
f_predicted = np.matmul(K_train, y_trainNew[:,None] * alpha[:,None]) + w0
f_predicteds.append(f_predicted)

# calculate predictions on training samples
f_predictedTest = np.matmul(K_test, y_trainNew[:,None] * alpha[:,None]) + w0
f_predictedsTest.append(f_predictedTest)

```

Part 5-6)

After getting predict labels scores as train=(5,1000) test=(5,4000) array. Get predicted label using argmax. Finally printed confusion matrix using pd.crosstab.

```

Y_predicted=(np.reshape(np.stack(np.transpose(f_predicteds), axis=-1), (1000, 5)))
y_predicted = np.argmax(Y_predicted, axis = 1) + 1
confusion_matrix = pd.crosstab(np.reshape(y_predicted, N_train), y_train, rownames = ['y_predicted'], co
print(confusion_matrix)

```

y_train y_predicted	1	2	3	4	5
1	207	1	0	9	0
2	2	199	1	1	0
3	0	1	204	6	0
4	0	1	4	185	1
5	0	0	0	0	178

```

Y_predictedTest=(np.reshape(np.stack(np.transpose(f_predictedsTest), axis=-1), (4000, 5)))
y_predictedTest = np.argmax(Y_predictedTest, axis = 1) + 1
confusion_matrix2 = pd.crosstab(np.reshape(y_predictedTest, N_test), y_test, rownames = ['y_predicted'],
print(confusion_matrix2)

```

y_test y_predicted	1	2	3	4	5
1	641	23	3	137	9
2	43	714	27	40	4
3	4	39	666	90	10
4	100	32	69	541	16
5	12	2	6	15	757

Part 7)

I this part, I draw the classification accuracy for training and set data points as a function of $C(0.1, 1, 10, 100 \text{ and } 1000)$ with the kernel width parameter s to 10. For each parameter I run Ova function then calculated accuracy errors from these predictions for train and test sets.

```

Cs=[10**(-1), 10**(0), 10**(1), 10**(2), 10**(3)]
accuracyTrains=[]
accuracyTests=[]
for C in Cs:
    f_predicted, f_predictedTest=OVA(C)
    Y_predicted=(np.reshape(np.stack(np.transpose(f_predicted), axis=-1), (1000, 5)))
    y_predicted = np.argmax(Y_predicted, axis = 1) + 1
    Y_predictedTest=(np.reshape(np.stack(np.transpose(f_predictedTest), axis=-1), (4000, 5)))
    y_predictedTest = np.argmax(Y_predictedTest, axis = 1) + 1

    accuracyTrain=0
    for i in range(len(y_predicted)):
        if y_predicted[i]==y_train[i]:
            accuracyTrain=accuracyTrain+1
    accuracyTrain = accuracyTrain/N_train
    accuracyTrains.append(accuracyTrain)

    accuracyTest=0
    for i in range(len(y_predictedTest)):
        if y_predictedTest[i]==y_test[i]:
            accuracyTest=accuracyTest+1
    accuracyTest = accuracyTest/N_test
    accuracyTests.append(accuracyTest)

```

Then plotted accuracy values of train and test sets for different Regularization C parameters. Increasing regularization parameter(C), gives better results in terms of accuracy for train set. Increasing C, we increase penalization of mispredicted labels. That's why it is going to be overfitting. That causes decrease in test set after parameter C = 10.

