Chosen Ciphertext Attacks:

Revisit Padding Oracle attacks

If server that accepts c* and leaks whether CBC-Dec(k, c*) has valid padding unknown

If $C_0 c_1 \cdots c_l = CBC-Enc(k, m_1, ..., m_l)$ then $CBC-Dec(k, (c_{i-1} \theta \times , c_i)) = m_i \theta \times$

then Adv can choose x, i learn whether $m: \oplus x$ ends in 01, 0002, 000003, etc...

b use this ability to decrypt all of m (just like web demo)

Problem:

Adversary got some partial information not captured for adversarially chosen c) in CPA definition

Dec : CHAL (m_l, m_R): C = Enc(k, m_L) ret c

Let Key Gen

CHAL (m_l, m_R): C = Enc(k, m_R)

ret c

need a stronger sec. définition!

Want: Ctxt leak nothing about ptxt (CPA) even when Adv can get decryption of chosen ciphertexts hard to anticipate which partial info might exist he Key Gen he Key Gen $\frac{\text{CHAL}(m_{L}, m_{R}):}{\text{C} = \text{Enc}(k, m_{L})} \approx \frac{\text{CHAL}(m_{L}, m_{R}):}{\text{C} = \text{Enc}(k, m_{R})}$ $\text{ret c} \approx \frac{\text{GETDEC}(c):}{\text{ret Dec}(k, c)}$ ret Dec(k, c)But you can always distinguish these two (so it gives an impossible security def) pick ml, mp arbitrainy C = CHAL (ml, mp) return ml = GETDEC(c) fix: don't allow Adv to decrypt a generated by CHAL sub-routine

Def: CCA (chosen ciphertext attack) security

LeteyGen

S = Ø

CHAL (ML, MR):

C = ENC(k, ML)

add c to S

ret c

GETDEC (c):

if ces return null

ret Dec(k, c)

Let Key Gen

S = Ø

CHAL (ml, me):

C = Enc(k, me)

add a to S

ret c

GETDEC (c):

if ces return null

ret Dec(k, c)

ctxt leak nothing about ptxt
... even when Adv can get
decryption of chosen ciphertexts
ANY DTHER