Merkle-Dangard, Length Extension

Application of hash functions:

- MAC is a MAC scheme for {0,1}"
- Define new MAC schene for $\{0,1\}^*$ thash-then-MAC $MAC^*(k, m \in \{0,1\}^*) = MAC(k, H(m))$

Claim! If underlying MAC is secure, and H is collision-resistant, then MAC* is secure

Idea: Adv (attacking MAC*) sees

Suppose Adv produces forgery: (m*, t*)

where m* \$ {m,,..., mg}

Case 1: $H(m^4) = H(m_i)$ for some m_i

=> Adv found collision in H

Case 2: H(m*) & { H(m,),..., H(mg)}

 \Rightarrow Adv knows $MA((k, H(m^*)))$ forgery after seeing MA((k, X)) of underlying for many $x \neq H(m^*)$ MAC

Common Pitfall:

Construct MAC(k,m) = H(k||m)(secure if H = SHA-3, insecure if H = MD5, SHA-1)

Merkle-Dangard Construction: Design a "compression function" h: 30,13n+(t) > 50,13n (not "compression" like ZIP, gzip, etc) Extend h to a full-fledged thash function: each t bits H(x):

x₁ x₂ x₃ x₄ x₅ pad w/ zeroes

| len(x) = length of original x in 6 many

bits

| h(x) = 0 | h(x) | h(x) | h(x) Security: If h is collision-resistant, then H is too Idea: If H(x) = H(x') then within these 2 computations, a collision under h is guaranteed to exist EX: Suppose MAC(k, m) = H(k|| m), where H is Merkle-Damgård n = 16 bytes t = 2 bytes (4 bytes) hey h = e93a3527 m = 7d6 (12 bits) (en (kllm) = 44 bitz = "2c" in hex H(kllm): or him him H(k||m)

Øx dead becf 24...

Q: How is H(k||m) related to H(k||m||ØØØ2c)? H(k | m | ØØØ2c): len(...) = 64 bits = "40" hex e93a | 3527 | 716\$ | 8\$2c | \$\$4\$ on home home Same Q: Do you need to know k to predict H(k||m|| ogoza) given H(klim)? A: No, $H(k||m|| \varnothing \varnothing z_c) = h(\varnothing \varnothing Y \varnothing || H(k||m))$ length extension attack! Ash for MAC of m predict MAC of m | ODDZc | anything