

# Sumer AUDITING REPORT

v1.6

Aug 2024

Prepared for

Sumer.money

Prepared by

Ancilia, Inc.

### Index

Executive Summary	4
Disclaimer	4
Contracts overview	5
The findings	5
Results	5
Details	7
Sumer-A-01 [Critical] Arbitrary borrow and collateral for any account	7
Sumer-A-04 [critical] Unprotected privileged function	8
Sumer-A-15 [Critical] consumeValue() allowed to consume any CToken amount	9
Sumer-A-16 [Critical] createAgreement() can drain the pool	9
Sumer-A-17 [Critical] Oracle has Valid price for any arbitrary token contracts	10
Sumer-A-19 [Critical] The getGroupSummary() may miscalculate the borrowing de	bt10
Sumer-A-27 [Critical] Initialized state could be reset after upgrade	11
Sumer-A-21 [Critical] Inconsistency Account Liquidity Check	11
Sumer-A-02 [High] The assetGroup index starts from 0	12
Sumer-A-05 [High] SortedBorrower on arbitrary token and borrower	13
Sumer-A-12 [High] utilizationRate() may not be safe in WhitePaperInterestRateMode	el 13
Sumer-A-08 [Medium] Not following Checks-effects-interactions best practice	14
Sumer-A-03 [Medium] force to sync balance may lead to donation attack	15
Sumer-A-06 [Medium]incorrect msg.sender after an external call	15
Sumer-A-07 [Medium] Native token address is inconsistent	16
Sumer-A-09 [Medium] High gas used when updating the borrower	16
Sumer-A-10 [Medium] Multiple Agreement Indexes might not work as expected	17
Sumer-A-26 [Medium] maxClose calculation logic flow	17
Sumer-A-11 [Low] Timelock admin functions parameter checks	18
Sumer-A-13 [Low] sendValue() does not have gas limits	18
Sumer-A-14 [Low] New redeemFaceValue() function needs to verify deadline and	
chain ID	19
Sumer-A-18 [Low] High Gas consumption for Pendle Oracle	20
Sumer-A-22 [Low] GroupId could be set to invalid	20
Sumer-A-24 [Low] Ambitious event when update an asset group setting	21
Sumer-A-25 [Low] SupplyCap check logic flaw	21
Sumer-A-20 [Info] Token Decimals normalization concern	22



	3
Sumer-A-23 [Info] Arbitrary largestGroupId setting could cut-off Groups	22
Summary	22



### **Version History**

Version	Description	Date
v1.6	Minor update	08/29/2024
v1.5	Compact complogic and improved Oracle	08/22/2024
v1.4	Add Oracle audit findings	07/15/2024
v1.3	Reviewed one more branch	06/21/2024
v1.2	Final report	06/18/2024
<b>∨</b> 1.1	Add new findings	06/03/2024
√1.0	Initial report	05/20/2024



### **Executive Summary**

The Sumer.money team shared their smart contract source code via github. We have listed tags of smart contracts to ensure the entirety of the audit can be tied to a given contract version. The Ancilia team has worked with the Sumer.money team on all potential findings and issues. The audit scope includes checking for smart contracts with attack vulnerabilities such as re-entry attacks, logic flaws, authentication bypasses, DoS attacks, etc. Our researchers primarily focused on CToken, Oracle, Comptroller and interest models. The deployment scripts, staking and underlying token contracts are not in the scope of this audit.

The original Sumer-project is forked from <u>Compound protocol</u>. Any logic within their original code is not in the scope of this audit.

### Disclaimer

Note that security audit services do not guarantee to find all possible security issues in the given smart contracts. A repeating code audit or incremental code audit is encouraged. Multiple audits with several auditors are recommended. Product owners are still required to have their own test cases and regular code review process. A threat intelligence system may help to discover or prevent a potential attack which can further reduce risk. Additionally, a bug bounty program for the community will help improve the security of products. Last but not least, Security is complicated! A strong smart contract does not guarantee your product is safe from all cybersecurity attacks.



### **Contracts overview**

The contracts repository was shared through github, the tag names and commit revisions are attached below. The last tag we have audit is "refs/tags/audit-final".

Repo	Tags	Revision
sumer-project	refs/tags/audit	32cd5d87079c64e68efc8a2faf4f427137db3e62
	refs/tags/audit-fix1	0319c31c2703548484886492d8612f2325cdd541
	refs/tags/audit-fix2	bf952f2e726dfe151b3f65aa86b0e0ec96cd8993
	refs/tags/audit-fix3	f59a83e0cebd69c8130d16dbe7691ebe4d2d46e8
	refs/tags/audit2	dd885c4d607da0ea1d7b3d1fac4332752ef64c78
	refs/tags/audit2-fix1	1280aebcc45033eb7e8825d15c282d53fc3cf6c5
	refs/tags/audit2-fix2	9492ad9131fddf4fcec585cf286ab1d930e85287
	refs/tags/audit2-fix3	370d151c12287babc6fca82f8d37a3e9724ca1ab
	refs/tags/audit2-fix4	7216cc4329d8d0e837ded83c8df7e9c8e9325b72
	refs/tags/audit2-fix5	08a0e01502b5549a77bea13ff6ae7be1bee967d4
	refs/tags/audit2-fix6	f20ad32f2341f7a571fdaaa01c65812417fc73d5
	refs/tags/audit2-fix7	c268541360b81a0fe361f623054a75b104f67a64
	refs/tags/audit2-final	f950102bbf860a1eab4b9bac4e710db4f8dd3716
	refs/tags/audit2-final2	c3597c6a1f6749da06e69487a0d9f2734e561bb3
	refs/tags/v2-deployed	5c48ba95cdc3f5a1f2bd9eb512e03a8aabb91167
	refs/tags/audit-final	7f7754f238a13f79c7bacd3cc993d159454c394a
	refs/tags/audit-final-dev1	c7c86a37317e79cba09c3e0e9114c1e4528a22c9
	refs/tags/audit-intermint-fix2	eaabd8571dedae6d5f2641adedd76a1ac32d240e
	refs/tags/audit-by-ancilia	2c279836fef88a1a6e82401eb80b6a11fcd146c2
sumer-oracle	master	be22c2bd4f565e6db0b506ac4c3e49a5c75ab73f

### The findings

### **Results**

ID	Description	Severity	Product	Status
			Impact	



Sumer-A-01	Arbitrary borrow and collateral for any account	Critical	Critical	Fixed
Sumer-A-02	The assetGroup index starts from 0	Medium	High	Fixed
Sumer-A-03	force to sync balance may lead to donation attack	Medium	Medium	Acked
Sumer-A-04	Unprotected privilege function	Critical	Critical	Fixed
Sumer-A-05	SortedBorrower on arbitrary token and borrower	High	High	Deprecated
Sumer-A-06	incorrect msg.sender after an external call	Medium	Medium	Fixed
Sumer-A-07	Native token address is inconsistent	Medium	Medium	Fixed
Sumer-A-08	Not following Checks-effects-interactions best practices	Medium	Medium	Fixed
Sumer-A-09	High gas used when updating the borrower	Medium	Medium	Deprecated
Sumer-A-10	Multiple Agreement Indexes might not work as expected	Medium	Medium	Fixed
Sumer-A-11	Timelock admin functions parameter checks	Low	Low	Fixed
Sumer-A-12	utilizationRate() may not be safe in WhitePaperInterestRateModel	High	Low	Fixed
Sumer-A-13	sendValue() does not have gas limits	Low	Low	Fixed
Sumer-A-14	New redeemFaceValue() function needs to verify deadline and chain ID	Low	Low	Fixed
Sumer-A-15	consumeValue() allowed to consume any CToken amount	Critical	Critical	Fixed
Sumer-A-16	createAgreement() can drain the pool	Critical	Critical	Fixed
Sumer-A-17	Oracle has Valid price for any arbitrary token contracts	Critical	Critical	Fixed
Sumer-A-18	High Gas consumption for Pendle Oracle	Low	Low	Improved



Sumer-A-19	The getGroupSummary() may miscalculate the borrowing debt	Critical	Critical	Fixed
Sumer-A-20	Token Decimals normalization concern	Info	Info	Testing Tool
Sumer-A-21	Inconsistency account liquidity check	Critical	Critical	Fixed
Sumer-A-22	GroupId could be set to invalid	Low	Low	Fixed
Sumer-A-23	Arbitrary largestGroupId setting could cut-off groups	Info	Info	Off chain
Sumer-A-24	Ambitious event when update an asset group setting	Low	Low	Fixed
Sumer-A-25	SupplyCap check logic flaw	Low	Low	Fixed
Sumer-A-26	maxClose calculation logic flow	Medium	Medium	Fixed
Sumer-A-27	Initialized state could be reset after upgrade	Critical	Critical	Fixed

### **Details**

### Sumer-A-01 [Critical] Arbitrary borrow and collateral for any account

In the contract CToken.sol, the "isCToken()" check in the function borrowAndDepositBack() can be bypassed. This allows anyone to add borrow/collateral on behalf of any account.



```
daddress borrower↑, uint256 borrowAmount↑) external nonReentrant returns (uint256) {
          // only allowed to be called from su token
         if (CToken(msg.sender).isCToken()) {
    revert NotSuToken();
1401
1402
1403
          // only cToken has this function
 404 ~
          if (!isCToken) {
1405
          return borrowAndDepositBackInternal(payable(borrower1), borrowAmount1);
1410 ∨ /**
1411
1412
1414
       function borrowAndDepositBackInternal(address payable borrower1, uint256 borrowAmount1) internal returns (uint256)
accrueInterest();
borrowFresh(borrower1, borrowAmount1, false);
1416
1417
          mintFresh(borrower1, borrowAmount1, false);
```

**Suggestion:** Only allow suToken to call this function.

**Update:** Fixed

### Sumer-A-04 [critical] Unprotected privileged function

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the privileged function cleanAssetGroup() does not have any protection which allows anyone to reset(delete) all assetGroups. The missing assetGroup could impact the user's liquidity check.

```
function cleanAssetGroup() external {
593 ~
594 ~
         for (uint8 i = 0; i < _eqAssetGroups.length; i++) {</pre>
595
           uint8 groupId = _eqAssetGroups[i].groupId;
596
           delete assetGroupIdToIndex[groupId];
597
598
599
         uint8 len = uint8(_eqAssetGroups.length);
         for (uint8 i = 0; i < len; i++) {
600 ~
           _eqAssetGroups.pop();
601
602
603
```

**Suggestion:** Allows only admins to call this function.

**Update:** Only allows calls from DEFAULT\_ADMIN\_ROLE role.



# Sumer-A-15 [Critical] consumeValue() allowed to consume any CToken amount

In the contract Timelock.sol, the onlyListedCToken() modifier for the function consumeValue() ensures the cToken parameter is a valid token. However, there is no permission check on who can call this function. It allows anyone to consume any amount of underlying tokens on any valid cToken.

Suggestion: Check msg.sender to ensure it is authorized.

**Update:** Only allows msg.sender is a valid CToken.

#### Sumer-A-16 [Critical] createAgreement() can drain the pool

In the contract Timelock.sol, the onlyListedCToken() modifier for the function createAgreement() ensures the cToken parameter is a valid token. However, there is no permission check on who could call this function. It allows anybody to create a timelock agreement for any amount of underlying tokens on any valid cToken.

```
136 ∨ function
        TimeLockActionType actionType ↑,
        address cToken 1,
        uint256 underlyAmount 1,
        address beneficiary1
        external onlyListedCToken(cToken↑) returns (uint256) {
        require(beneficiary 1 != address(0), 'Beneficiary cant be zero address');
        uint256 underlyBalance;
        address underlying = ICToken(cToken1).underlying();
        if (underlying == address(0)) {
145 ~
         underlyBalance = address(this).balance;
147 ~
          underlyBalance = IERC20(underlying).balanceOf(address(this));
         require(underlyBalance >= balances[underlying] + underlyAmount1, 'balance error');
         balances[underlying] = underlyBalance;
        uint256 agreementId = agreementCount++;
        uint48 timestamp = uint48(block.timestamp);
```

**Suggestion:** Check msg.sender to ensure it is authorized.

**Update:** Only allows msg.sender is a valid CToken.



# Sumer-A-17 [Critical] Oracle has Valid price for any arbitrary token contracts

In the contract ResilientOracle, the function \_getUnderlyingAsset() does not check if the CToken address is listed or not. Any contracts who implemented isCEther() and underlying() will have a valid price for the Oracle. It creates the opportunity that the Compound protocol may get a fake CToken price and causes uncertainty issues.

**Suggestion:** Check cToken if it is listed in Comptroller before calling oracle.getUnderlyingPrice().

**Update:** Fixed

# Sumer-A-19 [Critical] The getGroupSummary() may miscalculate the borrowing debt

In the contract AccountLiquidity, the function getGroupSummary() does not add up the borrowing token amount which could lead to an arbitrary borrow amount on any tokens.



**Suggestion:** Check if the asset token is the borrowing token.

**Update:** Fixed

#### Sumer-A-27 [Critical] Initialized state could be reset after upgrade

In the contract CToken.sol, there are two new variables that have been introduced with new changes. However, the new variables will occupy the storage slot used by the old implementation contract, the two important variables are "initialized" and "initializing". It means the contract will no longer be initialized after contract upgrade. It potentially cause project to be compromised.

```
84    uint256    public underlyingBalance;
85
86    uint256    public constant percentScale = 1e14;
87
88    uint256    public totalSecures;
89

90    ··uint256    public override accrualBlockTimestamp;
91 }
92
```

**Suggestion:** re-initialize the contract after upgrade.

**Update:** Fixed

### Sumer-A-21 [Critical] Inconsistency Account Liquidity Check

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the new function getHypotheticalAccountLiquidity() which is moved from old contract AccountLiquidity.sol(function getGroupSummary) has an inconsistency liquidity calculation issue. In certain situations the user who has SU token may be forced to liquidate.



```
if (g.groupId == targetGroupId) {
    targetGroup = g;
}
else {
    if (targetIsSuToken) {
        sumLiquidity += (g.interMintRate * g.cDepositVal) / expScale;
} else {
        sumLiquidity += (g.interCRate * g.cDepositVal) / expScale;
}
sumLiquidity += (g.interCRate * g.cDepositVal) / expScale;
}
sumLiquidity += (g.interSuRate * g.suDepositVal) / expScale;
sumBorrowPlusEffects = sumBorrowPlusEffects + g.cBorrowVal + g.suBorrowVal;
}
```

The inconsistency about the interCRate and interMintRate will cause the inconsistency liquidity calculation.

**Suggestion:** User liquidity check algorithm should be consistent.

**Update:** Fixed.

#### Sumer-A-02 [High] The assetGroup index starts from 0

In the contract Comptroller.sol, an index(assetGroupIdToIndex) is used to manage the asset group. However, the valid index starts from '0', which means an non-existent groupId will be valid. For example, an arbitrary groupId in the function removeAssetGroup() will cause the group index 0 to be removed.

```
ftrace|funcSig
function removeAssetGroup(uint8 groupId 1) external onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) returns (uint256) {

section removeAssetGroups.length);
uint8 length = uint8(_eqAssetGroups.length);
uint8 lastGroupId = _eqAssetGroups[length - 1].groupId;
uint8 index = assetGroupIdToIndex[groupId 1];

seqAssetGroups[index] = _eqAssetGroups[length - 1];
assetGroupIdToIndex[lastGroupId] = index;
_eqAssetGroups.pop();
delete assetGroupIdToIndex[groupId 1];

semit RemoveAssetGroup(groupId 1, length);
return uint256(0);
}
```

**Suggestion:** Start the group index from 1, use 0 to differentiate an unexistant groupld.

**Update:** Fixed



### Sumer-A-05 [High] SortedBorrower on arbitrary token and borrower

In the contract Comptroller.sol, a couple of functions are intended to be protected by the "onlyCToken()" modifier to prevent public calls. However, the check is not robust enough. It allows anyone to call redemptionManager.updateSortedBorrows() on an arbitrary Token and borrower address, which could corrupt the sortedBorrows storage. Thus, could be used to target redemption.

```
modifier onlyCToken() {
 660 ~
          require(isContract(msg.sender), 'only ctoken');
 661
          ICToken(msg.sender).isCToken();
 662
 663
 664
 665
        function borrowVerify(address cToken ↑, address borrower ↑, uint256
1282 ~
          borrowAmount ↑) external onlyCToken {
1283
          // Shh - currently unused
1284
          cToken ↑;
1285
          borrower1;
1286
          borrowAmount 1;
1287
          redemptionManager.updateSortedBorrows(cToken1, borrower1);
1288
```

**Suggestion:** Update onlyCToken modifier to ensure it has a strong and robust check.

**Update:** Took out the code and used pass in providers for redemption.

# Sumer-A-12 [High] utilizationRate() may not be safe in WhitePaperInterestRateModel

In the contract WhitePaperInterestRateModel.sol, the function utilizationRate() may not be safe if the cash is less than the reserves. This contract does not appear to be used anywhere, but please be aware of the potential vulnerability.



```
function utilizationRate(
55 🗸
          uint256 cash 1,
57
          uint256 borrows ↑,
          uint256 reserves 1
59 ~
        ) public pure returns (uint256) {
60
          // Utilization rate is 0 when there are no borrows
          if (borrows ↑ == 0) {
61 ~
62
           return 0;
63
64
          return borrows \(\bar{\text{.mul}}(1e18).\div(\text{cash}\(\bar{\text{.add}}(\text{borrows}\(\bar{\text{.}}).\sub(\text{reserves}\(\bar{\text{.}}));\)
```

**Suggestion:** Don't use this code without updating interest rate model **Update:** Fixed

# Sumer-A-08 [Medium] Not following Checks-effects-interactions best practice

To prevent potential re-entrance issues, developers must follow the <u>Checks-effects-interactions</u> pattern. There are several places in which the state will change after an external call to the user managed address.

```
function doTransferOut(address payable to 1, uint256 amount 1) internal override {
   /* Send the Ether, with minimal gas and revert on failure */
   // to.transfer(amount);
   (bool success, ) = to 1.call{gas: 5300, value: amount 1}('');
   require(success, 'unable to send value, recipient may have reverted');
   underlyingBalance -= amount 1;
}
```



```
function claim(uint256[] calldata agreementIndexes↑) external nonReentrant {
         uint256[] memory sorted = sort_array(agreementIndexes1);
158
         require(!frozen, 'timeLock frozen');
160 ~
         for (uint256 i = 0; i < agreementIndexes ↑.length; i++) {
           Agreement memory agreement = _validateAndDeleteAgreement(msg.sender, sorted[i]);
162 ~
           if (agreement.underlying == address(1)) {
163
             // payable(agreement.beneficiary).transfer(agreement.amount);
             Address.sendValue(payable(msg.sender), agreement.amount);
<sup>1</sup>165 ~
           } else {
166
             IERC20(agreement.underlying).safeTransfer(msg.sender, agreement.amount);
           underlyingDetail [agreement.underlying].totalBalance -= agreement.amount;
170
261 🗸
       function doTransferOut(address payable to↑, uint256 amount↑) internal virtual overr
262
         ICToken token = ICToken(underlying);
         token.transfer(to↑, amount↑);
         underlyingBalance -= amount1;
```

**Suggestion:** Update state before making the call.

**Update:** Fixed.

### Sumer-A-03 [Medium] force to sync balance may lead to donation attack

In the CToken.sol, the function \_syncUnderlyingBalance() will force updating the cash(underlyingBalance) with the existing balance in the token contract. Depending on when you call this function, it may lead to a donation attack which is a known existing issue for compound protocols.

Suggestion: Please be cautious when using this call.

**Update:** "Yes, totally understand. We called it only to fix the bug we had previously that cEther did not track the underlying balance properly"

### Sumer-A-06 [Medium]incorrect msg.sender after an external call

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the function redeemFaceValueWithPermit() calls this.redeemFaceValue(). Because of the external call, the msg.sender in



function redeemFaceValue() will be changed to the address of Comptroller. This is no longer the original EOA address which calls redeemFaceValueWithPermit().

```
function redeemFaceValueWithPermit(
address suToken1,
uint256 amount1,
uint256 deadline1,
bytes memory signature1

900 external {
address underlying = ICToken(suToken1).underlying();
IEIP712(underlying).permit(msg.sender, suToken1, amount1, deadline1, signature1)
return this.redeemFaceValue(suToken1, amount1);

903 }
```

**Suggestion:** Update function redeemFaceValue() to 'public' and remove the external call by using 'this.'

**Update:** Fixed

#### Sumer-A-07 [Medium] Native token address is inconsistent

In the CEther contract, createAgreement() will use the current underlying address which is address(0). But in the timelock contract, the claim() function uses address(1) as the native token. This inconsistency may cause unexpected side effects.

**Suggestion:** Use the same address for the CEther underlying address.

**Update:** Fixed

### Sumer-A-09 [Medium] High gas used when updating the borrower

The operation on the SortedBorrower storage is quite expensive. For example, the function updateSortedBorrows() will loop the list and find the closest address, then update prevId and nextId. It will load the storage from 'cold' and the gas cost is 2,100. For example, if there are 500 borrowers and need to insert to the end, the minimum gas cost would be 500 \* 2 \* 2100 = 2,100,000.

**Suggestion:** Please review the business logic to determine if this cost is necessary.

**Update:** Deprecated



# Sumer-A-10 [Medium] Multiple Agreement Indexes might not work as expected

In the contract Timelock.sol, the function claim() takes a list of indexes for the agreement claim. But the agreement positioning might be changed during the function \_validateAndDeleteAgreement() as the last position gets moved to the claimed one. If the index list contains an index which is the last one claimed then this no longer works as expected.

```
function _validateAndDeleteAgreement(
    address beneficiary1,
    uint256 agreementIndex1
) internal returns (Agreement memory) {
    uint256 length = uint256(userAgreements[beneficiary1].length);
    require(agreementIndex1 < length, 'agreement index out of bound');
    Agreement memory agreement = userAgreements[beneficiary1][agreementIndex1];
    require(block.timestamp >= agreement.releaseTime, 'release time not reached');
    require(!agreement.isFrozen, 'agreement frozen');

// Move the last element to the deleted spot.
    // Remove the last element.
    delete userAgreements[beneficiary1][agreementIndex1];

userAgreements[beneficiary1][agreementIndex1];

userAgreements[beneficiary1].pop();

emit AgreementClaimed(beneficiary1, agreementIndex1, agreement.underlying, agreement.actionType, agreement.amount);

return agreement;
}
```

**Suggestion:** Don't support multiple agreements or combine together by using the address.

**Update:** Fixed

### Sumer-A-26 [Medium] maxClose calculation logic flow

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the function liquidateBorrowAllowed() will ensure the repayAmount is in a range by comparing with maxClose. However the calculation of maxClose has a logic error. The variable percentScale(which is 1e14) has been multiplied twice.



```
uint256 priceMantissa = getUnderlyingPriceNormalized(cTokenBorrowed 1);
/* The liquidator may not repay more than what is allowed by the closeFactor */
uint256 maxClose = (uint256(globalConfig.closeFactorPercent) * percentScale * percentScale * borrowBalance) /
expScale;
uint256 maxCloseValue = (priceMantissa * maxClose) / expScale / expScale;
if (maxCloseValue < globalConfig.minCloseValue) {
    if (repayAmount > borrowBalance) {
        revert TooMuchRepay();
    }
} else {
    if (repayAmount > maxClose) {
        revert TooMuchRepay();
}
revert TooMuchRepay();
}
```

Suggestion: Please double check if it needs multiple two times..

**Update:** Fixed

### Sumer-A-11 [Low] Timelock admin functions parameter checks

In the contract Timelock.sol, there are multiple privilege functions(onlyAdmin) that do not have parameter value checks. Adding a value check will prevent future damage by incidental calls. For example, the lockDuration can be set to 0 in the setLockDuration function, if this is never intended to be set to said value, it should be checked.

```
function setLockDuration(address underlying 1, uint48 lockDuration 1) external onlyAdmin {
    underlyingDetail[underlying 1].lockDuration = lockDuration 1;
}
```

Suggestion: Please double check the value range for important functions.

**Update:** Use a new function is Agreement Mature () to check the lock time.

### Sumer-A-13 [Low] sendValue() does not have gas limits

In the contract Timelock.sol, the function claim() will call the Address.sendValue() function to send native tokens. There are no gas limits which can introduce the potential re-entry vulnerabilities.



```
ftrace | funcSig
251 ~
       function claim(uint256[] calldata agreementIds↑) external nonReentrant {
252
         require(!frozen, 'TimeLock is frozen');
253
254 ~
         for (uint256 index = 0; index < agreementIds ↑.length; index++) {</pre>
255
           Agreement memory agreement = _validateAndDeleteAgreement(agreementIds f [index]);
           address underlying = ICToken(agreement.cToken).underlying();
           if (underlying == address(0)) {
258
             // payable(agreement.beneficiary).transfer(agreement.amount);
259
             Address.sendValue(payable(agreement.beneficiary), agreement.underlyAmount);
260 ~
           } else {
             IERC20(underlying).safeTransfer(agreement.beneficiary, agreement.underlyAmount);
261
262
263
           balances[underlying] -= agreement.underlyAmount;
264
```

Suggestion: Add gas limits, similar to other places in the code base.

**Update:** Fixed

# Sumer-A-14 [Low] New redeemFaceValue() function needs to verify deadline and chain ID

In the contract RedemptionManager.sol, the new function redeemFaceValue() will verify the pass in providers list. However, it does not check if the deadline parameter is expired or not. Furthermore, the chain ID should be part of signature verification data so that users cannot send to signing providers across chains.

```
ftrace | funcSig
333 🗸
      function redeemFaceValue(
334
        address csuToken 1,
335
        uint256 amount ♠,
336
        address[] memory providers 1,
337
         uint256 deadline 1,
338
        bytes memory signature 1
339 🗸
       ) public {
340 🗸
        if (ICToken(csuToken1).isCToken() || !comptroller.isListed(csuToken1)) {
341
         revert InvalidSuToken();
342
343
344 ~
         if (signature 1.length != 65) {
345
           revert InvalidSignatureLength();
346
347
        bytes32 hash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(deadline1, providers1));
```



**Suggestion:** Check deadline and add chain ID into hash function.

**Update:** Fixed

#### Sumer-A-18 [Low] High Gas consumption for Pendle Oracle

In the contract PendleOracle, the function getPrice() will call PtOracle.getPtToSyRate() and ResilientOracle.getPrice() which has so many underlying calls. The gas consumption is pretty high(consumed about 368k gas for one price query).

```
function getPrice(address asset1) public view virtual returns (uint256) {
    TokenConfig memory tokenConfig = tokenConfigs[asset1];
    if (tokenConfig.asset!= asset1) revert("unknown token");
    uint256 rate = underlyingPtOracle.getPtToSyRate(tokenConfig.market, tokenConfig.twapDuration);

    (IStandardizedYield sy, , ) = IPMarket(tokenConfig.market).readTokens();
    return (intermediateOracle.getPrice(sy.yieldToken()) * rate) / EXP_SCALE;
}
```

Suggestion: Save unnecessary calls

**Update:** Improved. Down from 368k to 305k.

### Sumer-A-22 [Low] GroupId could be set to invalid

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the new function \_changeGroupIdForAsset() does not check if the newGroupId if is 0. If newGroupId has been set to 0, then the market group id will be 0 and it means a invalid group.

```
function _changeGroupIdForAsset(
    address cToken 1,
    uint8 newGroupId 1
) external onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) onlyListedCToken(cToken 1) {
    CompactAssetGroup memory g = assetGroup[newGroupId 1];
    if (g.groupId != newGroupId 1) {
        revert InvalidGroupId();
    }
    markets[cToken 1].assetGroupId = newGroupId 1;
}
```

Suggestion: Check if newGroupId if is 0.

**Update:** Fixed



#### Sumer-A-24 [Low] Ambitious event when update an asset group setting

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the new function setAssetGroup() allows an asset group config to be updated. But it still emits a "NewAssetGroup" event no matter what the action is.

```
uint8 groupId1,
        uint16 intraCRatePercent1, // ctoken collateral rate for intra group ctoken liability
        uint16 intraMintRatePercent↑, // ctoken collateral rate for intra group sutoken liability
        uint16 intraSuRatePercent1, // sutoken collateral rate for intra group ctoken liability
        uint16 interCRatePercent1, // ctoken collateral rate for inter group ctoken/sutoken liability
        uint16 interSuRatePercent↑ // sutoken collateral rate for inter group ctoken/sutoken liability
419 ✓ ) external onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) {
420 ~
        assetGroup[groupId 1] = CompactAssetGroup(
          groupId↑,
          intraCRatePercent 1,
          intraMintRatePercent1,
          intraSuRatePercent 1,
          interCRatePercent 1,
          interSuRatePercent 1
          groupId↑,
          intraCRatePercent ↑,
          intraMintRatePercent 1,
          intraSuRatePercent 🕇 🖊
          interCRatePercent 1,
          interSuRatePercent 🕇
436 ~
        if (groupId<sup>↑</sup> > globalConfig.largestGroupId) {
          globalConfig.largestGroupId = groupId1;
```

**Suggestion:** Better to differentiate the 'update' and 'new' actions.

**Update:** Fixed

### Sumer-A-25 [Low] SupplyCap check logic flaw

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the function mintAllowed() will ensure the totalSupply won't over the supplyCap, however the calculation is incorrect.

```
530
531 vint256 exchangeRateMantissa = ICToken(cToken†).exchangeRateStored();
532
533 v ) {
    revert SupplyCapReached();
535 }
536 }

1 vint256 exchangeRateMantissa = ICToken(cToken†).exchangeRateMantissa) / expScale > marketConfig[cToken†].supplyCap
533 v ) {
    revert SupplyCapReached();
535 }
536 }
```

**Suggestion:** mintAmount does not need to be converted by exchangeRate.

**Update:** Fixed



### Sumer-A-20 [Info] Token Decimals normalization concern

When the token price has been normalized, it expects the Oracle returns token decimals 18. But not every Oracle returns the price in the 18 decimals. A necessary automation testing before adding assets to the market is required. Any decimal confusion will have a huge impact on the project. **Suggestion:** Adding test script for every oracle and assets to ensure the no decimal issues.

**Update:** 

#### Sumer-A-23 [Info] Arbitrary largestGroupId setting could cut-off Groups

In the contract Comptroller.sol, the new function \_setLargestGroupId() will reset the largestGroupId to an arbitrary number which might cut off the group numbers in account liquidity function.

```
function _setLargestGroupId(uint8 largestGroupId1) external onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) {

globalConfig.largestGroupId = largestGroupId1;

365 }
```

**Suggestion:** Check if the new largestGroupId value must not be less than any existing group id.

**Update:** Will check if off-chain.

### Summary

Ancilia team has performed both an automated and manual code audit on the Sumer smart contracts mentioned above. All issues have been shared with the Sumer.money team through a telegram channel before this report. Overall, 8 critical, 3 high, 7 medium, 7 low and 2 informational impact issues have been discovered through this audit.

Sumer.money team reacted pretty quickly and fixed all the issues. Ancilia team verified and confirmed the fixes are in the github.

