P L D 2024 High Court (AJ&K) 13 Before Syed Shahid Bahar, J Kh. ZAHID MIR---Petitioner Versus

JUDGE FAMILY COURT, KOTLI and another---Respondents Writ Petition No. 165 of 2019, decided on 23rd January, 2024.

(a) Guardians and Wards Act (VIII of 1890)---

JUDGMENT

SYED SHAHID BAHAR, J.---The instant writ petition under Article 44 of the AJ&K Interim Constitution, 1974, has been filed to set aside the

order passed by Judge Family Court Kotli dated 17.09.2019 as well as to issue a direction to respondent No.1 to accept the application of the

petitioner and to record the remaining evidence of witnesses. The controversy between the parties relates to different dates of birth of the minor. According to the petitioner the minor was born at her

grandmother's home on 09.06.2006 which was also endorsed along with her name by grandmother in the office of Secretary Municipal Corporation

Kotli on 22.07.2006, but mother of minor, respondent No.2, made "Form B" from the office of NADRA Kotli where she endorsed a different date of

birth of the minor as 04.04.2009 which is entirely contradictory to the birth registration certificate issued on the request and application of the minor's

grandmother on 22.07.2006. The petitioner moved an application before the Family Court for guardianship and permission to submit birth certificate

of the minor on 10.01.2018, whereas respondent No.2 also filed an application for guardianship in the Court of Judge Family Court Kotli on

14.09.2017. The Court below after taking objections upon the rival applications as well as hearing the rival parties dismissed the application filed by

the petitioner herein vide order dated 17.09.2019, hence, the instant writ petition.

Arguments on behalf of the parties heard and record perused. The learned counsel' for the parties reiterated the grounds taken in their pleadings, so,

there is no need to produce the same in black and white. The record reveals that both the parties filed applications for guardianship of the minor in the Court below. It reveals that petitioner, for the purpose of

obtaining guardianship of the minor opted to produce birth certificate of the minor according to which minor was born on 09.06.2006 but her date of

birth was shown different by her mother/ respondent No.2 as 04.04.2009 in the birth certificate issued on her application. The Court below decided the

matter in detail after taking thorough probe into the matter. It reveals that respondent No.2 obtained birth certificate on 24.09.2018 which has been issued

by Secretary Union Council Kotli, whereas the produced "Form B" was issued by NADRA which has a presumption of truth. In these circumstances, the

application filed by respondent No.2 in the Court below was accepted and the application filed by the petitioner herein before the Court below was rejected.

It also seems that two different dates of minor's birth were claimed by the parties in the Court below. The Court below has rightly dismissed the

application filed by the petitioner herein. The matter relates to correction of entries in the birth certificate and the Family Court is not vested with the

powers to correct any of the same. However, presumption of correctness is attached to the record of NADRA unless contrary proved or same is nullified

by any Court of law. If the petitioner wants to seek declaration that which one of both is correct and which one is fake, he can approach the Civil Court

by filing a suit in this regard. Disputed question of fact requiring evidence cannot be resolved by this Court while exercising extra ordinary jurisdiction

conferred under Article 44 of the Interim Constitution, 1974. Writ jurisdiction can be invoked in extra ordinary circumstances when no other adequate

remedy under the umbrella of General Law is not provided, that too by indicating any violation of law. Writ jurisdiction is not akin to civil suit. It carries

set protocols and limits. Thus, indulgence is declined, interlocutory order of the Family Court merits no interference. The writ is not maintainable. Thus,

in this view of the matter, it can safely be said that the Court below arrived at a just conclusion. In view of above discussion, the writ petition in hand is

dismissed with no order as to the costs. File shall be consigned record accordingly.

MQ/10/HC(AJ&K) Petition dismissed.

;