Review Guidelines

Thank you for agreeing to undertake this review. Reviewers are essential to the series' goals of intellectual exchange and high standards of research and teaching.

METS editions go through multiple reviews, each focusing on a different aspect of the edition. The purpose of this review is to assess field-specific content and contextualization, ensuring that the Introduction and Explanatory Notes adequately and appropriately situate the text within the field and support the needs of both scholarship and instruction.

Guidelines

As you read the manuscript and write the report, please review and observe the following guidelines:

1. Confidentiality

This is unpublished work, and must be treated as confidential until it is published. Please destroy all electronic and printed copies of the draft manuscript and your report once you have received confirmation that your report has been received.

2. Plagiarism

If you consider that a manuscript contains plagiarism or breaches copyright, please notify us.

3. Explanation

Any critical or negative judgments must be supported by reference to the manuscript under review or other relevant sources.

4. Responsiveness

Turnaround time: 3 months

Review Report

Please attach a **1 – 3 page** report assessing the following:

Introduction: Does the Introduction provide field-specific content? Does it offer relevant context? Does it contain a full bibliographic review, including relevant scholarship, manuscript traditions, and modern editions?

Explanatory Notes: Are they adequate and appropriate in content and length? The goal of the Middle English Text Series is to meet the needs of both novices and experts in the field, and to support the classroom and the research endeavor.

If the edition includes a facing-page translation, please spot-check the translation. Is it accurate?

Please include specific examples supporting your assessment.

Overall Recommendation

Please provide an overall recommendation for the manuscript as follows, with an explanation for your recommendation and specific examples as needed:

Accept in Present Form Accept after Minor Revisions Reconsider after Major Revisions Reject